

**कार्यालय अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी, वन संरक्षण,
इन्दिरानगर फॉरेस्ट कालोनी, उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून।**

सेवा में,

अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक (केन्द्रीय),
भारत सरकार,
पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय,
क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, 25-सुभाष रोड़,
देहरादून।

विषय:—जनपद—रूद्रप्रयाग में ममणी— उरोली 2.10है0 मोटर मार्ग का निर्माण हेतु।

FP/UK/ROAD/11055/2015

महोदय,

विषयांकित प्रकरण के सम्बन्ध में वन संरक्षक, गढ़वाल वृत्त, अल्मोड़ा से प्राप्त आख्या के क्रम में प्रयोक्ता एजेन्सी द्वारा प्रेषित बिन्दुवार आख्या मय संलग्नक सहित निम्न प्रकार प्रेषित की जा रही है :-

क्र०सं०	प्रश्न	उत्तर
1	Short narrative of the projects provided in online part I does not provide adequate information about the projects.	Short narrative details filled at section A-1-(iii) in Form A Part I by User agency.
2	In the village wise breakup the area of both the village Uroli and Ijra is not mentioned separately in online part I.	User agency mentioned that Ijra village is located near the end of proposed road hence being benefited from the proposal. Land of Ijra village is not being used in proposed road.
3	The geo refenced digital map for area proposed for diversion uploaded in online part I para C(b-iv) is in google earth image does not serve the purpose. the State Govt may uploade the digital map showing geo coordinates of the proposed road at 200-300 including coordinates of each turns.	Geo-refenced digital map for area proposed uploaded at section C-iv in Form A part I.
4	The geo refenced digital map for area proposed for CA uploaded in online part-i para (L(v) does not serve the purpose as peovided in google earth image. the Status Govt. may provide/upload digital map showing geo coordinates for each corner points exact to the scale.	Geo-refenced digital map for area proposed for CA uploaded at section L-iv in Form A part I.
5	Employment details provided in online part I appears incorrect, The Statetgovt may review the same and p rovide correct details.	GIS map uploaded at section C-iv in Form A Part I.
6	The village level committee proceeding for village Ijra is not provided with the FRA certificate. the State Govt may provide/uploade the VLC proceeding for village Ijra.	User agency mentioned that the Land acquiustion from Ijara village had not been done as the proposed road is ending near Ijra village. this benefiting it so VLC proceeding for village Ijra is not required.
7	The rate of NPV calculated for dense forest however the 0.05 density does not accord for dense forest category. The State Govt, may review and provide correct NPV rate for the projects.	NPV calculation provided and uploaded at part II additional information details SR.No-13 (iv) and density is also corrected by DFO.
8	From the componenet wise breakup in online part I and the muck disposal plan provided in hard copy, it is not clear that the area marked for muck dumping included in th e area prospsed for diversion or the pricate land. The State Govt may clarify whather the land area marked for dumping is included in th e area proposed for diversion. if not revise the proposal after including the ara in diversion area accordinalgy.	Area marked for muck dumping is private abd NOC of private land owner is attached at additional information details SR.No-25 by user agency.
9	The area mentined for CA is 2.1 ha in online part I para-L and para 3 of online part II, which is incorrect. though, the CA scheme and suitability certificate provided for 4.2 ha area in hard and soft copy the State govt do necessary correction in online portal and the revenue paper and Khasra details uploaded at para L(iv) and (A-H).	Area mentioned for CA had been corrected in online part I para L and para 13is of online part II. Revenue paper and khasra details had been uploaded at section L-(iv) -(A—H) of part I by user agency.
10	StateGovt may upload revised KML file for the CA area of 4.2 ha in online part I para L.	KML file of CA area of 4.20 h a had been uploaded at section L of part I by user agency.

भवदीय,

(विनोद कुमार)

अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी