No.Ft.48-3731/2018 (FCA) H.P.Forest Department.

From:

Nodal Officer-cum-Addl. P.CCF (FCA)

O/O Pr. CCF, HP, Shimla.

To:

The Regional Officer,

Integrated Regional Office, GoI, MoEF &CC,

CGO Complex, Shivalik Khand, Longwood, Shimla, HP.

Dated Shimla-1, the 8 DEC 2021

Subject:

Diversion of 9.739 ha of forest land for in favour of HPSEB Ltd. for the construction of Devi Kothi Hydel Electric Project (16.00MW) within the

jurisdiction of Churah Forest Division Distt Chamba, HP.

(Online proposal No.FP/HP/HYD.32280/2018)

Sir,

The subject cited forest land diversion proposal was discussed in the 61th meeting Regional Empowered Committee held on 29.10.2021 through video conference. The point wise reply to the observations raised during the meeting is furnished as under please.

The CCF Chamba, has submitted that only two cases/projects have been accorded under the approved comprehensive CAT Plan for Ravi Basin and amount of CAT Plan @2.5% of TEC has been deposited through RTGS/NEFT in HP CAMPA through online challan generated. The detail of projects and funds deposited is given as

| ınder: |                      | Area(in | Total cost                                    | Transation details   |
|--------|----------------------|---------|---|--|
| Sr.No. | Name of project      | ha)     | of CAT<br>Plan<br>deposited<br>@2.5%of<br>TEC |  |
| 1.     | Deothal Chanju(30MW) | 15.64   | 68477500                                      | UTR No. BARBP<br>1923210648<br>dt.17.9.2019(copy<br>enclosed).This<br>amount also CA<br>& NPV amount.  |
| 2.     | Chanju-III(48MW)     | 25.98   | 104642500                                     | UTR No. BARBP<br>19263208038<br>dt.17.9.2019(copy<br>enclosed).This<br>amount also CA<br>& NPV amount. |

Regarding the funds expenditure/funds utilization DFO Chamba has submitted that the plan for implementation of CAT Plan of the above projects and to utilize the funds is under preparation. Once the said plan will be get prepared and finalized, the funds will be demanded and utilized accordingly. As on neither funds under approved comprehensive CAT Plan for Ravi River Basin is demanded nor received by this office.

(iii) The revised Cost Benefit Analysis of the project submitted by the user agency and duly authenticated by DFO concerned is enclosed herewith.

Encl: As above.

Nodal Officer-cum-AP CCF(FCA) O/O Pr. CCF, H P. Shimla

Endst.No.Ft.48-3731/2018(FCA) Dated Shimla-1,the

Copy is forwarded to CCF Chamba for information & necessary action. This is with reference to his office letter No.D.V.509/5499 dated 4.12.2021.

Nodal Officer-cum-AP CCF(FCA) O/O Pr. CCF H P. Shimla AGENCY COPY

NEFT / RTGS CHALLAN for Ad-HOG CAMPA

"Date: 17-09-2019

| Agency Name.     | HIMACHAL PRADESH<br>POWER CORPORATION<br>LIMITED               |  |  |
|------------------|--|--|--|
| Application No.  | 5623695118   |  |  |
| MoEF/SG File No. | 8B/HP/01/55/2019/FC  |  |  |
| Location         | HIMACHAL PRADESH   |  |  |
| Address.         | Himfed<br>Bhawan,Panjari,Below Old<br>MLA Quarters,BypasShimla |  |  |
| Amount(in Rs)    | 131723983/-  |  |  |

Amount in Words: Thirteen Crore Seventeen Linkh Twenty-Three Thousand Nine Hundred and Fighty-Three Rupees Only UTR-BARBP19263208038

NEFT/RTGS to be made as per following details;

| Beneficiary Name:   | HIMACHAL PRADESH<br>CAMPA   |
|---------------------|---|
| IFSC Code:          | CORP0000371   |
| Pay to Account No.  | 150705623695118   |
| Bank Name & Address | Corporation Bank<br>Lodhi Complex Branch,<br>Block 11,CGO Complex,<br>Phase I, Lodhi Road, New<br>Dethir-110003 |

This Challan is strictly to be used for making payment to CAMPA by NEFT/RTGS only This thallan is valid only torseven days

After making successful payment, User Agencies ma Email: he pdeskcampa@corpbank.co.in



HEFT RIS CHALLAT TOTAL HOC CAMPA

Date . 17-09-2016

| Agenty Yama     | PUMACHAL PRADESH POWER CORPORATION LIMITED                     |
|-----------------|--|
| Application No. | 5623829723   |
| Mossiss File No | 8B/HP/01/63/2019/FC  |
| Location.       | HIMACHAL PRADESH   |
| Address.        | Himfed<br>Bhawan,Pahjarl,Below Old<br>MLA Quarters,BypasShimla |
| Amount(In Rs)   | 844315237  |

Amount In Words Eight Crore Porty-Four Laker Thirty One Transcrind Five Hundred and Twenty-Three Russess Only BARBP19 26326648

NEFT/RTG\$ to be made as per following

| Beneficiary Name:    | HIMACHAL PRADESH  |
|----------------------|---|
| IPSC Code:           | CORP0000371   |
| Pay to Account No.   | Valid only forthly challan amount.  |
| Bank Name & Address: | Corporation Bank<br>Lodhi Complex Branch,<br>Block 11,CGO Complex,<br>Phase I, Lodhi Road, New<br>Delhi -110003 |

- This Challan is strictly to be used for making payment to CAMPA by NEFT/RTGS only
  This Challan is valid only for seven days.

After making successful payment, User Agencies m Email: helpdeskcampa@corpbank.co.in



## COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS OF PROJECT

Annexure - i

## CATEGORY OF PROPOSALS FOR WHICH COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS

| S.No. | Parameters   | Applicable/Not<br>Applicable | Remarks  |
|-------|--|------------------------------|--|
| 1.    | All categories of proposals involving forest land up to 20 hectares in plains and up to 5 hectares in hills  | NOT APPLICABLE               | · Al Seson wasses  |
| 2.    | Proposal for defence installation<br>purposes and oil prospecting<br>(prospecting only)  | NOT APPLICABLE               | 20 (001813VIII.)   |
| 3     | Habitation, establishment of industrial units, tourist lodges/complex and other building construction  | NOT APPLICABLE               |  |
|       | All other proposals involving forest land more than 20 hectares in plains and more than 5 ha. In hills including roads, transmission lines, minor, medium and major irrigation projects, hydel projects mining activity, railway lines, location specific installations like micro-wave stations, auto repeater centres, T.V towers etc. |                              | This is 16 MW Hydro Electric Project being constructed in the hilly area of Distt. Chamba (HP) for which barest minimum 9.739 Hect. Forest land for various component of the project has been identified for diversion. Meticulous exercise has been carried out to minimize the use of forest land and trees (which has been accepted after the site inspection by the forest officers of the area) and keeping the public interest intact. |

AE PCSD-III HPSEBL Tissa

Sr. Executive Engineer
Projects Const. Division No -1
HPSEB Ltd Tissa Disti Chamba (H:P)

visional Forest Officer Church Forest Divn. Salsoni

### PARAMETERS FOR EVALUATION OF LOSS OF FORESTS

| S.<br>No | Parameters                   | MoEF<br>Guidelines                   | TOP E. ROPOSATE SO   | AND SERVICE SERVICES   | Total Los<br>(Rs. In<br>Lakhs) |
|----------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|
| 1.       | Ecosystem services losses    | Economic value of loss of eco-system | Calculation of NPV Distt:- Chamba  |  | Rs 68.08/-                     |
|          | due to proposed forest       | services due to diversion of         | 1.1 Surface Forest<br>Land   | 9.739 Hac.   |                                |
|          | diversion                    | forests shall be the                 | Eco-Class of Forest  | Class VI   |                                |
|          |                              | net present value                    | Forest Cover   | Open Forest (OF)   |                                |
|          |                              | (NPV) of the forest land being       | NPV rate of class (VI) forest  | 6,99,000/- per hectare<br>(Surface @100%)  |                                |
|          |                              | diverted.                            | NPV of Forest  | 9.739 Hac x 6,99,000/-<br>= 68,07,561.00   |                                |
|          | 6. WM 31 E                   | 2011 2015 111                        | 997 - 197 -  | = 68.08 lakh   |                                |
| 2.       | Loss of animal husbandry     | To be Quantified and expressed in    | Calculation  | of a becomes their   | Rs 6.81 /-                     |
|          | productivity, including loss | monetary terms or 10% of NPV         | (I) Self Quan  | tified   |                                |
|          | of                           | applicable                           | Distt:- Chamba   | 0.720 11   |                                |
|          | fodder                       | whichever is maximum                 | 1.1 Surface Forest<br>Land   |  |                                |
|          | N. A. 139 12-                |                                      | Eco-Class of Forest  | Class VI   |                                |
|          | 1362                         |                                      | Forest Cover   | Open Forest (OF)   |                                |
|          |                              | -M10-1                               | Rate of fodder production (as per montane & moist temperate Forest)  | 6236/- lakhs /year   |                                |
|          |                              |                                      | Economic value of  | 9.739 Hac x 6236/-   |                                |
|          |                              |                                      | fodder production  | = 60,732/-   |                                |
|          |                              |                                      | Te partitional and the control of th | = 0.61 lakh  |                                |
|          | Section laws to              |                                      | 10% of NPV = 10°<br>= Rs 6,80,756/- =<br>As Per MoEFFCC<br>higher than self –Qual<br>Lakh > 0.61 Lakh  | 6.81 Lakh suggest 10% of NPV is ntified Value That is , 6.81 l husbandry Productivity, |                                |
|          |                              |                                      |  | ta.  |                                |

|    | C . 61  | T. 1   |   |  |                                       | 1         |
|----|---|--|---|--|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| 3. | Cost of human   | To be quantified   |   |  |                                       | 886.00    |
|    | resettlement  | and expressed in monetary terms on   |   | Item of Work   | Amount (in Lakhs)                     | \$ a      |
|    |   | actual cost basis at<br>the time of<br>diversion   |   | Cost towards land<br>loss (Including<br>Solatium, Stamp<br>Duty,<br>Interest Rate)   | 612.73                                |           |
|    |   |  | 2                                       | Rehabilitation and<br>Resettlement   | 164.97                                |           |
|    |   |  | 3                                       | Internal Monitoring<br>of Implementation<br>of the Social<br>Mitigation Plan   | 108.00                                |           |
|    |   | 1  |   | Total  | 885.70                                |           |
| 4  |   |  |   | Grand Total  | 886.00                                |           |
| 5  | facilities and Administrative infrastructure (Roads, buildings, schools, dispensaries, electric lines, railway etc) on forest land, or which would require forest land if these facilities were diverted due to the project. Possession | and expressed in monetary terms on actual cost basis at the time of diversion  | public fac<br>(if any), id<br>of the R& | cture identified . How cilities and administration dentified later) will be took Plan at the time of it rameter cost:- NIL | ive infrastructure taken up as a part |           |
|    | Value of Forest<br>land to be<br>diverted   | environmental cost (NPV) due to loss of forests or circle rate of adjoining area in the district should be added as per a cost components as possession value of forestland as below:- |   | IPV = 30%  of  68,07,56%   | 1/- 3A REGZOG                         | NS 20.437 |
| O  | . ~d.   | whichever is maximum   |   |  |                                       |           |
| 6. | Cost of<br>Suffering to<br>outsees  | The social cost of rehabilitation of   | No Outsee<br>There are<br>hence no l    | e no outsees who are   | e being evicted,                      |           |

|   | re monte.<br>A (allas)   | special services as R&R Plan) be worked out as 1.5 times of what outsees should have earned in 2 years had not been shifted   | OV 12: A brace of a second sec |                         |          |
|---|--|---|--|-------------------------|----------|
| 7 | Habitation<br>Fragmentation<br>Cost                              | While the relationship between fragmentation and forest goods and services is complex, for the the shake of simplicity the cost due to fragmentation has been pegged at 50% of NPV applicable as a thumb rule | 50% of NPV 50% of Rs 68.07561 in   | lacs = 34.03781 in lacs | 34.04/-  |
| 8 | Compensatory afforestation and soil & moisture conservation cost | The Actual cost of Compensatory afforestation and soil & moisture conservation and its maintenance in future at present discounted value  | Estimated cost for compensatory afforestation (asper proposal for diversion of forest land) 19.500 hectare  Soil and moisture conservation cost (as per Cost of CAT plan i.e. 1.5% of 13500 Lakh  Total  | 202.50 lacs             | 224.92/- |

AE
PCSD-III
HPSEBL Tissa

Sr. Executive Engineer
Projects Const. Division No -1
HPSEB Ltd. Tissa Distl. Chamba (H.P.)

Olyjsional Forest Officer
Churah Forest Divn. Salooni

# PARAMETERS FOR EVALUATION OF BENEFIT NOT WITHSTANDING LOSS OF FOREST

| Sr<br>No. | Parameter                           | MoEF Guidelines  |   | Hyde                             | el Project                                 |  | Total<br>Benefit<br>(Rs. In<br>Lakhs) |  |  |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| 1.        | Increase in                         | To be quantified and   |   |                                  |  |  | 13,601.25                             |  |  |
|           | productivity                        | expressed in monetary  | Capacity of   | of Project                       | 16MW                                       |  | 15,001.25                             |  |  |
|           | attributable to                     | terms avoiding double  | Net design  |                                  | 69.75 G                                    | WH   |                                       |  |  |
|           | the specific project.               | counting   | Rate of sa  | leable                           | 3.75/Kv                                    | vh   |                                       |  |  |
|           | project.                            | on lipse to was placed and being related and bei | Percentage electricity provided to  | e of<br>being                    | (levelize                                  | d Tariff)  |                                       |  |  |
|           | D20 - 14 2                          | A STATE OF THE STATE OF  | free of cos   | st                               |  |  |                                       |  |  |
|           | 10.3                                | and a second second of the sec | Cost of sa<br>net energy  | 7                                | x 0.13 = 3,40,03,1340.03 I                 | 125/-<br>akhs                                    |                                       |  |  |
|           |                                     |  | For 40 year   | ars                              | 340.03 x<br>=13601.                        |  |                                       |  |  |
|           | E Same A                            | Military and Commen  | Total Paran   | neter Bene                       | fit :-13,60                                | 1.25 Lakhs                                       |                                       |  |  |
| 2.        | Benefits to Economy due to specific | The incremental economic benefit in monetary terms due to  | Calculation   | n:-                              |  | f the cleanest                                   | 1667.92                               |  |  |
|           | project                             | the activities attributed to the specific project  | cheapest<br>sources of of<br>Several dire   | and envenergy, ir ct and ind     | vironment<br>ivestment<br>irect econ       | ally friendly<br>in energy has<br>omic benefits. | 3                                     |  |  |
|           |                                     |  | Hydroelectr<br>Highways<br>communitie   | , Industres , thus de            | y and o                                    |  |                                       |  |  |
|           |                                     |  | expanding access to health and education, and improving the quality of life. We calculate these incremental benefits in terms of addition to output (GSDP) made by this |                                  |  |  |                                       |  |  |
|           |                                     |  | specific pro<br>Incremental   | ject throu                       | gh the co                                  | oncept of the                                    |                                       |  |  |
|           |                                     |  | State   | Gross<br>State<br>Value<br>Added | GSDP                                       | ICOR   | 116                                   |  |  |
|           |                                     |  | Himachal<br>Pradesh   | 42.28                            | 6.77                                       | 6.24   |                                       |  |  |
|           |                                     |  |   |                                  | Investment 69750000 x 3.75 = 2615.62 Lakhs |  | kom s                                 |  |  |
|           |                                     |  | Output  | to                               | =2615.6                                    | ent / IOCR<br>2 /6.24<br><b>9.17 Lakhs</b>       | 7                                     |  |  |

| Nos. of Population benefited due to specific project   Population benefited due to specific project   |    | O SPOIL OMIC   |  | =1,046.25 lakhs  Local Area Develop: Project Cost ) =0.015 x 13500 = 202  Total Parameter Ber  | 2.50 Lakhs  |         |
|---|----|--|--|--|---|---------|
| Economic due to of direct and indirect employment due to the projects  Benefits from such benefits due to compensatory afforestation  Sensor of the project of the project of the compensatory afforestation for benefits of the guidelines of the NPV estimation  As per detailed project report  On average approximately 175 numbers of persons from affected population to be employed directly/indirectly and approximately 1000000 m-days of temporary employment will be generated during construction of the project for 4 years Monetary equivalent of above benefits considered as 300 lakhs  136.31  Land Covered by 19.500 Hac (Twice Compensatory of the forest land Afforestation diverted)  Forest Type Eco-ClassVI  NPV 699000 x 19.500  Forest Type Eco-ClassVI  NPV 699000 x 19.500  Total NPV 699000 x 19.500  =136.31 Lakh  Total Parameter Benefit = 136.31 Lakh | 3  | Population<br>benefited due<br>to specific               |  | Calculation:- The completion of the benefit the population affected panchayats as population of the state through sale of electri However, exact quant parameter is not possi policy dependent.  | e project will directly<br>a residing in project<br>s well as the entire<br>e and rest of India<br>city.<br>ification of this<br>ble as it is time and            | 0.00    |
| 5. Economic benefits due to compensatory afforestation over next 50 years monetized and discounted to the present value should be included as benefits of the compensatory afforestation for benefits of CA the guidelines of the NPV estimation may  Benefits from such compensatory afforestation accuring over next 50 years monetized and discounted to the present value should be included as benefits of the compensatory afforestation for benefits of CA the guidelines of the NPV estimation may  Benefits from such compensatory 19.500 Hac (Twice Compensatory of the forest land diverted)  Forest Type Eco-ClassVI  NPV 699000 per hac.  Total Parameter Benefit = 136.31 Lakh  Total Parameter Benefit = 136.31 Lakh   | 4  | to of direct<br>and indirect<br>employment<br>due to the |  | On average approximately 100000 employment will construction of the presence o | nately 175 numbers of<br>ted population to be<br>tly/indirectly and<br>00 m-days of temporary<br>be generated during<br>oject for 4 years<br>to of above benefits | 300 .00 |
| Consuited   | 5. | benefits due to<br>compensatory<br>afforestation         | compensatory afforestation accuring over next 50 years monetized and discounted to the present value should be included as benefits of the compensatory afforestation for benefits of CA the guidelines of the NPV | Land Covered by Compensatory Afforestation Forest Type NPV Total NPV   | 19.500 Hac (Twice of the forest land diverted ) Eco-ClassVI 699000 per hac . 699000 X 19.500 =136.31 Lakhs  | 136.31  |

. D)

| Total Benefit      | 15,705.48Lakhs      |      |  |
|--------------------|---------------------|------|--|
| Total Cost         | 1240.28Lakhs        | # in |  |
| Benefit Cost Ratio | = 15,705.48/1240.28 |      |  |
| 2613.631.188.63    | = 12.66 /1          |      |  |

AE PCSD-III HPSEBL Tissa Sr. Executive Engineer
Projects Const. Division No -1
HPSEB Ltd Tissa Distt. Chamba (H.P.)

Olyjsional Forest Officer
Church Forest Divn. Salsoni