

To,

The Chief Conservator of Forests,
Bellary Circle, Bellary.

Sir,

Sub : Diversion of 0.597 hectare of forest land in Bukkasagara Village Sy.No. 549, 550 & 551 and Kanive Thimmalapura Village Sy.No. 258 & 133, Kamalapura Hobli, Hospete Taluk, Ballari District for Laying of Drinking Water Pipeline from the Tungabhadra river under combined Water Supply Scheme (CWSS) to Bukkasagara, Devasamudra and five (5) other villages in Hospete Taluk in favour of Executive Engineer, Rural Drinking Water Supply & Sanitation Department, Ballari
(Proposal No. FP/KA/WATER/19533/2016)

Ref : 1) This office letter even dated : 24.10.2019 & 13.12.2019.

- 2) Letter No. A5(4)/GFL/CR.11/2016-17 dated : 04.12.2019 of the Additional Chief Conservator of Forests, (Forest Conservation), Bangalore.
- 3) Letter No.M1/MNG/Pipeline/CR-10/2018-19(RWS & S) dated : 10.12.2019 of the Chief Conservator of Forests, Ballari
- 4) Letter No. AEE/RDWS/SD/HPT/FC/2016-17 Dated : 24.12.2019 of the Executive Engineer, Rural Water Supply and Sanitation Division, Bellary District.

* * * * *

With reference to the above subject, as per the directions of the Additional Principal Chief Conservator of Forests (Forest Conservation), Bangalore, this office has submitted revised proposal along with the Form-A Part-II and Site inspection report to process the proposal under Forest (Conservation) 1980 and also submitted the proposal seeking approval for Wildlife clearance (State Board of Wildlife and National Board of Wildlife) vide this office letter dated : 24.10.2019.

Further, the Additional Principal Chief Conservator of Forests (Forest Conservation), Bangalore has directed to transfer the online link to user agency for enabling submission of documents (as mentioned in reference(2) letter) pertaining to PART-I of the proposal and submit back to Additional Principal Chief Conservator of Forests (Forest Conservation), Bangalore through to the Chief Conservator of Forests, Ballari Circle, Ballari, in hard copy as well as online link after receiving from the user agency.

As per directions above, this office requested the user agency to submit the hard copy as well as online link and also advised to upload a parallel online application seeking wildlife clearance from National Board for wildlife. The user agency has submitted the documents and online application as sought by the Additional Principal Chief Conservator of Forests (Forest Conservation), Bangalore vide letter reference(4) above.

PART-I

OBSERVATION		Information
Item C (II) (b) KML File of Patches	An irrelevant KML polygon has been uploaded. In case, the User Agency is not able to upload the correct KML file because of technical restrictions of FC web portal at this stage, the following KML Files / sub files (with 'polygon' feature, in built 'measurement' option and proper identifiable file names) need to be submitted in the form of Compact Disk (CD).	The relevant and correct KML polygon is uploaded by user agency' and also submitted a Compact Disk(CD) which is enclosed as follows : 1. KML file of forest land proposed for <u>diversion</u> (in single colour). 2. KML file of entire alignment of proposed pipeline in forest as well as non-forest land (in a different colour).
D(i) Copy of note containing justification for locating the Project in forest land D (ii) Whether a copy of map indicating location of alternative examine is required to be provided D (ii) (a) Reason for not providing such map:	- The justification uploaded online in Item D(i) States that '<i>this alignment is very short and economically viable, and there is no other suitable alignment for laying the proposed pipeline and easy for regular maintenance.</i>' <i>The justification submitted by the User Agency in hard copy states that 'We have marked two alternative alignments for laying pipeline in the forestland in addition to proposed alignment in the map Lengths of 2 alternative alignments is 1.76 km (route2) and 1.78 km (route3). But economically suitable alignment for laying pipeline is having a length of 1.99 km (route 1) However, the map showing such three alignments (route 1, 2 & 3) has neither been uploaded online nor submitted in the hard copy.</i> 1. Please note that the Government of India specifically seeks the Map showing alternatives in favour of forest land. 2. If it is not possible to upload the required map (on appropriate scale and with Map Index and link signed by User Agency) in Item D (ii) (a), the hard copy of the same should be submitted to this office immediately.	The user agency has rectified the mistakes made in the online justification and now uploaded the 2 alternative alignment (route-2 & route-3) apart from the proposed alignment (route-1). 1) The Map (1 inches=1 mile) showing alternatives alignments (routes) in favour of forest land is enclosed herewith. 2) Apart from the uploading the required map(1 inches = 1 mile), the user agency have submitted the hard copy of the same which is enclosed herewith.

	3. The field officers are also required to verify the facts regarding alternate alignments and submit their remarks in this issue while forwarding the documents submitted by the User Agency. Please note that without such details the proposal is likely to be returned by the Government.	3) Verified the facts regarding alternate alignments, the user agency has marked the two alternative alignments for laying pipeline in the forest land in addition to proposed alignment in the map. Lengths of the 2 alternative alignments is 1.76 km (route-2) and 1.78 km (route-3). These two alignments are having obstructions like trees, ponds and hillocks etc., and it is very difficult to lay the water pipeline. Therefore, technically and economically suitable alignment for laying the water pipeline is this proposed alignment (route-1) which is having a length of 1.99 km route-1).
Item I. (iii) Status of approval of the Standing committee of National Board for the Wildlife (NBWL) to the Project	As the project alignment passing through a wildlife Sanctuary, the project will require wildlife clearance. The Deputy Conservator of Forests has stated that the wildlife proposal is still at draft stage. The User Agency is again advised to upload a parallel online application seeking wildlife clearance from national Board for Wildlife.	In this regard, the user agency have applied the application through online for wildlife clearance from National Board for Wildlife. (Copy of the PART-I & II for Wildlife Clearance is enclosed herewith). And also submitted herewith PART-II(A) hard copy seeking approval of the Standing Committee of National Board for the Wildlife (NBWL).

Hence, this proposal may be recommended for approval under Section-2 of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980

This is for your kind information.

Yours faithfully

Sd/-

Deputy Conservator of Forests,
Ballari Division, Ballari

- 1) Copy submitted the Principal Chief Conservator of Forests, (Wildlife) and Chief Wildlife Warden, Bangalore for kind information.
- 2) Copy submitted to the Additional Principal Chief Conservator of Forests, (Forest Conservation), Bangalore for kind information.


Deputy Conservator of Forests,
Ballari Division, Ballari

ರವಾಣಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.
06.10.2020
ಎಸಾಂಕ

Biskasagara Pipeline

o/c