कार्यालय अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी, वन संरक्षण, इन्दिरानगर फॅारेस्ट कालोनी, उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून।

Email- Nodalofficerddn@gmail.com

Phone/Fax-2767611

पत्रांक:-

340

FP/UK/ROAD/40174/2019 दिनॉकः देहरादून 🛮 🖰 अगस्त, 2020

सेवा में,

अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक, भारत सरकार, पर्यावरण, वन एंव जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, (उत्तर-मध्य क्षेत्र), 25 सुभाष रोड़, देहरादून।

विषय:-जनपद-रुद्रप्रयाग के अन्तर्गत जाबरी से जयकण्डी (अजयपुर से ककोला) मोटर मार्ग के निर्माण के सम्बन्ध में। Online proposal No- FP/UK/ROAD/40174/2019

सन्दर्भः- भारत सरकार पर्यावरण, वन एंव जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, देहरादून के EDS दिनांक 20-02-2020

महोदय,

विषयांकित प्रकरण पर भारत सरकार के उपरोक्त सन्दर्भित EDS दिनांक 20.02.2020 के सम्बन्ध में वन संरक्षक, गढ़वाल वृत्त, पौड़ी से प्राप्त आख्या के क्रम में प्रयोक्ता एजेन्सी द्वारा प्रेषित बिन्दुवार सूचना निम्न प्रकार पेषित की जा रही है :-

क्र0सं0	प्रश्न	उत्तर
1	KML file of CA area not found uploaded. State govt may upload the KML file of CA area at para 13, part II online.	KML file CA area has been uplaoded in online part I and Part II.
2	Only two geo-coordinates shown in the digital map of the proposed road. State Govt may provide/upload scanned copy of GIS software generated geo referenced digital map to the exact scale of the proposed road showng geo coordinates at 200 to 300 interval along the alignment including all turning points.	Geo-coordinates shown in the digital map of the proposed road in Form A, part I.
3	Village level committee meeting proceedings of village Sara not submitted/uploaded. Furhter, the name of the village chingwar is mentioned in the last para of VLC meeting proceedings of village jaikandi. State Govt may submit clarification in this regard.	VLC meeting of proceedings village has been submitted and uploaded at para K in Form A, Part I.
4	CA area is proposed in civil soyam land but no details filled at para L in part I and para 13, part II. State Govt may provide of CA area in part I and Part II.	CA area is proposed in civil soyam land has been uploaded at Para L and Para 13 in Part I & II.
5	CA site suitability certificate found incomplete. State Govt may submit/upload the same providing full detail of CA area.	CA site suitability certificate has been uploaded in Form A,part I.
6	It is seen from the copy of map indicating loction of alternate examined uploaded at para D (ii) of part I that the alternate alignment goes all along the proposed alignment which is a mere formality done and not acceptable.	Alternate examined detail has been uploaded at para D (ii) in Form A, Part-I.

7	As per details given at para B-2.3, the forest land involved in the project falls within the jurisdiction of Shera (Kandera) and Kakola villages but the village level committee meeting proceedings of these villages have not been provided/uploaded.	in Form A, Part-I.
8	Cost of CA has been worked out to Rs 6,28,516.73 but amount is mentioned as Rs 8,36,552.00 at the bottom citing PCCF's order dt 21-11-2017. State Govt may submit clarification in this regard.	uploaded in form A, part I
9	It is seen from the details given in the Muck Disposal plan uploaded that 3 muck disposal sites covering an area of 0.108 ha are proposed in civil land and 5 sites covering an area of 0.675 ha in Nap land but the area is mentioned as 0.045 ha and 0.10 ha respectively in the component wise breakup at para B-2.4 of part I. Furhter, the capacity of muck disposal sites is mentioned as 1,74,240 cum whereas, the Quantity of muck to be disposed at muck disposal sites is mentioned as 15,902.67cum only.	
10	It reveals from the data given at para 14 of part II in district profile that the CA stipulated should be double the area of forest land diverted. Further, the progress of CA (1158.614 ha) is equal to CA (1158.614 ha) is equal to CA stipulated (1158.614 ha), which may also be calrified.	District profile detail has been uploaded an additional information uploaded in form A, part I.
11	No of trees is mentioned as 26 in the recommendation of CA instead of 29, which is required to be calrified.	Consideration of the contract
12	इसके अतिरिक्त लाभान्वित ग्राम जयकण्डी उल्लेखित किया गया है किन्तु अन्य कोई विवरण प्रस्तुत नहीं किया गया है। साथ ही के०एम०एल० फाईल पर तीन अन्य ग्राम दर्शाये गये है जो ही उपलब्ध है तीन अन्य ग्राम दर्शाये गये हैं जो लाभान्वित ग्राम की सूची में सम्मिलित नहीं है। इसके अतिरिक्त पूरे क्षेत्र में एक पक्का मार्ग पूर्व से ही उपलब्ध है तथा प्रस्तावित मार्ग के अंतिम छोर पर एक अन्य पक्का मार्ग परिलक्षित हो रहा है। अतः प्रस्तावित मार्ग का कोई औचित्य प्रतीत नहीं होता है।	User agency has been mentioned that this proposed road three villages are getting benefit of all weather connectivity. The villages are Sera, Kakola, ajaypur. As from the KML it seems that nearby there is a pucca motor road but in actual these roads area earthen road only and doesn't provide the all weather connectivity. A photograph of the whole area has been uploaded in additional information details.

अतः प्रकरण पर वन संरक्षक अधिनियम 1980 के अन्तर्गत स्वीकृति निर्गत करने का कष्ट करें।

भवदीय, (डी०जें०कें० शर्मा) ^{६|६|२०} अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक हुवं नोडल अधिकारी