

फोन / फैक्स : 0135-2621669 ई-मेल : director.rajaji@gmail.com

कार्यालय-निदेशक / वन संरक्षक, राजाजी टाइगर रिजर्व, देहरादून, उत्तराखण्ड।

5/1, अंसारी मार्ग, देहरादून, 248001

पत्रांक 3 197 / 12 - | देहरादून,

दिनांक

o (जनवरी, 2021 I

सेवा में

अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एंव नोडल अधिकारी, वन संरक्षण, इन्दिरानगर फारेस्ट कालोनी, उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून।

विषय:--

मा0 मुख्यमंत्री घोषणा संख्या —394/2013 के अन्तर्गत जनपद—उत्तरकाशी के विकास खण्ड मोरी में सुपिन नदी पर धौला नामक स्थान में 42.00 मीटर स्पान के लौह मोटर सेतु के निर्माण हेतु वांछित 0.224 है0 वन भूमि का गैर वानिकी कार्यों हेतु लोक निर्माण विभाग को प्रत्यावर्तन का प्रस्ताव। (ऑनलाईन प्रस्ताव संख्या—FP/UK/ROAD/9741/2015)

सन्दर्भ :-

Proposal No. : FP/UK/Others/9741/2015 EDS दिनांक 01-03-2018 एंव आपका ई0डी०एस0 दिनांक 11.03.2018

महोदय.

उक्त सन्दर्भित ई०डी०एस० पत्र में इंगित '16 बिन्दुओं की वांछित सूचनाएं / संगत अभिलेख उप निर्देशक, गोविन्द वन्यजीव विहार / राष्ट्रीय पार्क, पुरोला द्वारा अपने ई०डी०एस० पत्र सं० 449 / 12—1 पुरोला, दिनांक 22—10—2020 (प्रति संलग्न) के द्वारा आनलाईन आपित्तयों का अपूर्ण निराकरण करते हुए इस कार्यालय को अग्रेतर कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित की गयी। जिनका आनलाईन परीक्षण करने के उपरान्त परिपूर्ण निराकरण हेतु इस कार्यालय के पत्र सं० 2088 / 12—1 दिनांक 28—10—2020 के द्वारा पुनः आनलाईन प्रस्ताव वापस किया गया। उक्त ई०डी०एस० पत्र के कम में उप निर्देशक, गोविन्द वन्यजीव विहार / राष्ट्रीय पार्क, पुरोला द्वारा अपने ई०डी०एस० पत्र सं० 776 / 12—1 दिनांक 22—12—2020 (प्रति संलग्न) के द्वारा आनलाईन आपित्तयों का निराकरण करते हुए आनलाईन प्रस्ताव इस कार्यालय को अग्रेतर कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित किया गया है। जो मय संलग्नकों के अग्रेतर कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित है।

अतः उक्त विषयक प्रकरण में अपने स्तर से अग्रेतर कार्यवाही करने का कष्ट करें।

संलग्नकः— उक्तानुसार।

भवदीय,

(डी०के०सिंह) निदेशक / वन संरक्षक राजाजी टाइगर रिजर्व, प्रिहरादून, उत्तराखण्ड।

ग्रा राज्या अस्ति । १२ न् तददिनांकित।

प्रतिलिपि:— उप निदेशक, गोविन्द वन्यजीव विहार/राष्ट्रीय पार्क, पुरोला (उत्तरकाशी) को उनके पत्र सं0 449/12–1 पुरोला, दिनांक 22–10–2020 एंव पत्र सं0 776/12–1 पुरोला, दिनांक 22–12–2020 के कम में सूचनार्थ एंव आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित।

> (डी०के०सिंह) निदेशक / वन संरक्षक राजाजी टाइगर रिजर्व, &देहरादून, उत्तराखण्ड़।



महोदय,



कार्यालय-उपनिदेशक, गोविन्द वन्य जीव विहार/राष्ट्रीय पार्क, पुरोला।

पुरोला, दूरभाष एवं फैक्स नं0.01373-223433,Email-gwlspurola@gmail.com

776 / 12-1 पत्रांक पुरोलाः दिनांचांलय निक से होता है। पंजिका क्रमांक 7049 सेवा में, पत्राचली चंख्या..../2-) निदेशक / वन संरक्षक, विनांक 29-12-2000 राजाजी टाईगर रिजर्व, आठ काठ करें उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून। मां0 मुख्यमंत्री घोषणा सं0 394/2013 के अन्तर्गत जपनद उत्तरकाशी के विकास खण्ड मोरी में विषय:-सुपिन नदी पर धौला नामक स्थान में 42.00 मीटर स्पान को लौह मोटर सेतु का निर्माण हेतु वांछित 0.224 है0 वन भूमि का गैर वानिकी कार्यों हेतु लोक निर्माण विभाग को प्रत्यार्वतन का प्रस्ताव। (ऑनलाईन प्रस्ताव संख्या—FP/UK/ROAD/9741/2015) आपके कार्यालय का पत्रांक — 2088/12—1 दिनांक — 28.10.2020। सन्दर्भ:-

उपयुक्त विषयक सन्दर्भित पत्र के अनुपालन में लगाई गयी आपतियों का बिन्दुवार निराकरण निम्न प्रकार है एवं प्रस्ताव की हार्ड कापी अग्रेत्तर कार्यवाही हेतु सेवामें प्रेषित है।

SI.	EDS OBJECTIONS	RESPONSE
No.	222 GBGECTIONS	RESPONSE
1	बिन्दु सं0 5 — प्रस्तावित सेतु के निर्माण हेतु वांछित 0.224 है0 के बदले / प्रस्तावित स्थल पर प्रभावित होने वाले वृक्षों की दस गुनी संख्या में परियोजना स्थल के आस—पास रिक्त स्थानों पर उचित वृक्षारोपण हेतु 100 वृक्षों के वृक्षारोपण की योजना वर्तमान निर्धारित दर रु० 1331 /—प्रति पौध के आधार पर तैयार कर आनलाईन—पार्ट—II में यथास्थान आनलाईन करते हुए संगत दस्तावेज / योजना की मूल प्रति अग्रेत्तर कार्यवाही हेतु उपलब्ध कराये।	बदले / प्रस्तावित स्थल पर प्रभावित होने वाले वृक्षों की दस गुनी संख्या में परियोजना स्थल के आस—पास रिक्त स्थानों पर उचित वृक्षारोपण हेत्, वृक्षों के वृक्षारोपण की योजना वर्तमान निर्धारित दर रू० 1331 / — प्रति पौध के आधार पर तैयार कर आनलाईन—पार्ट—II में यथास्थान अपलोड किया गया है, एवं दस्तावेज की मूल प्रति अग्रेत्तर कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित की जा रही हैं।
2	बिन्दु सं0 7 — आपके द्वारा अपने उक्त ई०डी०एस० पत्र अवगत कराया गया है कि आपित्त का निराकरण एजेन्सी द्वारा कर दिया गया है। जबिक आनलाईन Part-I के पैरा D(i) में अभी भी Justofication के स्थान पर अन्य पत्र (Authority Lettar) अपलोड है। कृपया आनलाईन Part-I के पैरा D(i) में सगत दस्तावेज/Justification को उप निदेशक के समुहर हस्ताक्षर के अपलोड किया जाय।	(संलग्नक–01) आपितः का निराकरण यूजर ऐजेन्सी द्वारा आनलाईन कर दिया गया है।
3	बिन्दु सं0 8 — उक्त ई०डी०सी० पत्र उल्लेख है कि आपित का निराकरण प्रयोक्ता एजेन्सी द्वारा कर दिया गया है। जबकि आनलाईन Part-1 के पैरा में अभी भी	आपत्ति का निराकरण यूजर ऐजेन्सी द्वारा आनलाईन कर दिया गया है।

	Google earth picture में Altrenative examined does not show any alternative to the on proposed. कृपया Google earth picture (kml file) में Alternative examined को प्रदर्शित करते हुए आनलाईन Part-I के पैरा D(i) में यथास्थान अपलोड किया जाय।	
5	बिन्दु सं0 12 — आपके स्तर से ई०डी०एस० आपित का निराकरण नहीं किया गया है Part-II के पैरा 15 में अपलोड DFO की SIR में उक्त परियोजना के निर्माण वांछित वन भूमि को PF दर्ज है। कृपया DFO की SIR में वांछित वन भूमि को लैण्ड शैंड्यूल के अनुसार संशोधित किया जाय।	के स्थान पर Proteted forest कर दिया गया है, तथा DFO की SIR Report को ऑनलाईन अपलोड
6	बिन्दु सं0 13 — आनलाईन Part-II के पैरा में अपलोड परियोजना की Topo Sheet लैण्ड शैड्यूल एवं अन्य प्रमाण—पत्रों के अनुसार प्रस्तावित पुल के निर्माण हेतु चयनित संरक्षित वन भूमि है। Status of Wildlife Clearance में No दर्ज किया गया है। जबकि उक्त परियोजना हेतु राष्ट्रीय वन्यजीव सलाहकार बोर्ड की अनुमति को यथास्थान अपलोड/Yes किया जाय।	उक्त परियोजना हेतु राष्ट्रीय वन्यजीव सलाहकार बोर्ड की अनुमति को यथास्थान No के स्थान पर Yes कर दिया गया है।
7	अतिरिक्त — (i)— आनलाईन Part-II के पैरा 3 में District wise area to diverted in Nil दर्ज है। कृपया आनलाईन Part-II के पैरा 3 में District wise area to diverted in the Div. में वांछित सूचना अपलोड की जाय। (ii)— आनलाईन Part-II में अपलोड दस्तावेज Part-7 नहीं	आनलाईन Part-II के पैरा 3 में District wise area अपलोड कर दिया गया है। आनलाईन Part-II में दस्तावेज Part-7 अपलोड
_H	प्रपत्र-7 हैं। कृपया संशोधन किया जाय।	कर दिया गया है।

My computer/F/Desktop/ Land trans new, land trans latter

भवदीय

(कोमले सिंह) 21 । १२ २२ । उप निदेशक, गोविन्द वन्य जीव विहार / रा०पा० पुरोला, उत्तरकाशी।





कार्यालय—उपनिदेशक, गोविन्द वन्य जीव विहार/राष्ट्रीय पार्क, पुरोला।

पुरोला, दूरभाष एवं फैक्स नं0.01373-223433,Email- gwlspurola@gmail.com

पत्रांक ५५५ / १२-१ पुरोलाः

दिनांक ३२ । ० 2020

सेवामें.

निदेशक / वन संरक्षक,

राजाजी टाईगर रिजर्व,

उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून।

विषय:—

मा0 मुख्यमंत्री घोषणा सं0 394/2013 के अन्तर्गत जपनद उत्तरकाशी के विकास खण्ड मोरी में सुपिन नदी पर धौला नामक स्थान में 42 मीटर स्पान को लौह मोटर सेतु का निर्माण हेतु ई0डी0एस0 आपित्तियों के निराकरण के सम्बन्ध में।

सन्दर्भ:-

प्रस्ताव सं0 FP/UK/Others/9741/2015, दिनांक-01.03.2018।

महोदय,

उपयुक्त विषयक सन्दर्भित पत्र के अनुपालन में लगाई गयी आपतियों का बिन्दुवार निराकरण निम्न

प्रकार है:-

SI.	EDS OBJECTIONS	RESPONSE
No.		
1.	The requirement mentioned for construction of 42 mtr.	आपत्ति का निराकरण यूजर ऐजेन्सी द्वारा कर
	Long bridge in certain document like digital map and top sheet in is mentioned as 48 mts long bridge	दिया गया है।
2.	Total period for which the forest land is proposed to be	आपत्ति का निराकरण यूजर ऐजेन्सी द्वारा कर
	diverted is shown as Nil.	दिया गया है।
3.	The authority latter for uploading the case on portal is	आपित्त का निराकरण यूजर ऐजेन्सी द्वारा कर
	in favour of one Sh R.S. Panwar while it is mentioned as Sh. Vijay Kumar Moghar at A3	दिया गया है।
4.	In village wise breakup the name of village is shown	आपत्ति का निराकरण यूजर ऐजेन्सी द्वारा कर
	as Dhaula while in FRA it is mentioned as Sewa, Wari and Hadwari	दिया गया है।
5.	Since area proposed for diversion is less than 1 ha.	काटे जाने वाले वृक्षों के बदले न्यूनतम 100
	Hence CA may not be required but no plantaion	वृक्षों की वृक्षारोपण योजना का प्राक्कलन
	scheme is submitted.	ऑनलाईन एवं ऑफलाईन प्रस्तृत किया जा रहा
		है।
6.	KML file does not show bridge.	आपत्ति का निराकरण यूजर ऐजेन्सी द्वारा कर
		दिया गया है।
7.	Justification for locating the project is not uploaded	आपितत का निराकरण यूजर ऐजेन्सी द्वारा कर
	online at para D part I instead authority uploaded.	दिया गया है।
8.	Google earth picture showing alternative examined	
	does not show any alternative to the one proposed.	आपत्ति का निराकरण यूजर ऐजेन्सी द्वारा कर
		दिया गया है।

9. Employment data at E shows temporary employment as 16800 while in part I of hard copy submitted by user agency it is shown as 9568 which does not match 10. In the component wise breakup at 2.4 name of area is given which is incorrect 11. Instead of digital map duly geo-referenced google earth map is uploaded at C (iv) Part I which cannot be used for DSS analysis. 12. Legal status of the area is not clear as it is mentioned as RF online in Para 4 Part II and PF in SIR of DFO. 13. While as per document provided it appears that prior approvalof NBWL has been obtained it is not clear Wheather prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 14. The file folder provided by the state government is a coloured photocopy which is not admissible as per direction issued by the Ministry. 15. Vulnerability of land from erosion point of view is not mentioned rather is is mentioned that as per geologist report which defeats the purpose of making the case online in public domain if details are not provided in the relevant column. 16. Adminstrative approval and financial sanction from the purpose of making the case of the purpose of making the case online in public domain if details are not provided in the relevant column. 30. While as per document provided by the state government is a coloured photocopy which is not admissible as per direction issued by the Ministry. 41. The file folder provided by the state government is a coloured photocopy which is not admissible as per direction issued by the Ministry. 42. The file folder provided by the state government is a coloured photocopy which is not admissible as per direction issued by the Ministry. 43. The file folder provided by the state government is a coloured photocopy which is not admissible as per direction issued by the Ministry. 44. The file folder provided by the state government is a coloured photocopy which is not admissible as per direction issued by the Ministry. 45. While as per document provided in the relevant column. 46. Administrative approval			
as 16800 while in part I of hard copy submitted by user agency it is shown as 9568 which does not match 10. In the component wise breakup at 2.4 name of area is given which is incorrect 11. Instead of digital map duly geo-referenced google earth map is uploaded at C (iv) Part I which cannot be used for DSS analysis. 12. Legal status of the area is not clear as it is mentioned as RF online in Para 4 Part II and PF in SIR of DFO. 13. While as per document provided it appears that prior approvalof NBWL has been obtained it is not clear Wheather prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 14. The file folder provided by the state government is a coloured photocopy which is not admissible as per direction issued by the Ministry. 15. Vulnerability of land from erosion point of view is not mentioned rather is is mentioned that as per geologist report which defeats the purpose of making the case online in public domain if details are not provided in the relevant column. 16. Administrative approval and financial sanction from	9.	Employment data at E shows temporary employment	आपित्त का निराकरण यूजर एजन्सा द्वारा कर
agency it is shown as 9568 which does not match 10. In the component wise breakup at 2.4 name of area is given which is incorrect 11. Instead of digital map duly geo-referenced google earth map is uploaded at C (iv) Part I which cannot be used for DSS analysis. 12. Legal status of the area is not clear as it is mentioned as RF online in Para 4 Part II and PF in SIR of DFO. 13. While as per document provided it appears that prior approvalof NBWL has been obtained it is not clear Wheather prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 14. The file folder provided by the state government is a coloured photocopy which is not admissible as per direction issued by the Ministry. 15. Vulnerability of land from erosion point of view is not mentioned rather is is mentioned that as per geologist report which defeats the purpose of making the case online in public domain if details are not provided in the relevant column. 16. Administrative approval and financial sanction from			टिया गया है।
10. In the component wise breakup at 2.4 name of area is given which is incorrect 11. Instead of digital map duly geo-referenced google earth map is uploaded at C (iv) Part I which cannot be used for DSS analysis. 12. Legal status of the area is not clear as it is mentioned as RF online in Para 4 Part II and PF in SIR of DFO. 13. While as per document provided it appears that prior approvalof NBWL has been obtained it is not clear Wheather prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 14. The file folder provided by the state government is a coloured photocopy which is not admissible as per direction issued by the Ministry. 15. Vulnerability of land from erosion point of view is not mentioned rather is is mentioned that as per geologist report which defeats the purpose of making the case online in public domain if details are not provided in the relevant column. 16. Administrative approval and financial sanction from since the relevant column. 31. While as per document provided it appears that prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 31. While as per document provided it appears that prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 32. While as per document provided it appears that prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 33. While as per document provided it appears that prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 34. The file folder provided by the state government is a coloured photocopy which is not admissible as per direction issued by the Ministry. 35. The file folder provided by the state government is a coloured photocopy which is not admissible as per direction issued by the Ministry. 36. The file folder provided by the state government is a coloured photocopy which is not admissible as per direction issued by the Ministry. 37. The file folder provided by the state government is a coloured photocopy which is not admissible as per direction issued by the Ministry. 38. The file folder provided by the state government is a coloured photocopy which is not admissible as pe		1	
The file folder provided by the state government is a coloured photocopy which is not admissible as per direction issued by the Ministry. 15. Vulnerability of land from erosion point of view is not mentioned rather is is mentioned that as per geologist report which defeats the purpose of making the case online in public domain if details are not provided in the relevant column. The file folder provided by the state government is a coloured photocopy which is not admissible as per direction issued by the Ministry. Administrative approval and financial sanction from SIR of DFO		agency it is shown as 7500 which does not mater.	आपत्नि का निराकरण यजर ऐजेन्सी द्वारा कर
11. Instead of digital map duly geo-referenced google earth map is uploaded at C (iv) Part I which cannot be used for DSS analysis. 12. Legal status of the area is not clear as it is mentioned as RF online in Para 4 Part II and PF in SIR of DFO. 13. While as per document provided it appears that prior approvalof NBWL has been obtained it is not clear Wheather prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 14. The file folder provided by the state government is a coloured photocopy which is not admissible as per direction issued by the Ministry. 15. Vulnerability of land from erosion point of view is not mentioned rather is is mentioned that as per geologist report which defeats the purpose of making the case online in public domain if details are not provided in the relevant column. 16. Administrative approval and financial sanction from 3. While as per document provided it appears that prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 3. While as per document provided it is not clear wheather prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 3. While as per document provided it is not clear wheather prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 3. While as per document provided it is not clear wheather prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 3. While as per document provided it is not clear wheather prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 3. While as per document provided it is not clear wheather prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 3. While as per document provided it is not clear wheather prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 3. While as per document provided it appears that prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 3. While as per document provided it appears that prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 3. While as per document provided it appears that prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 3. While as per document provided it appears that prior approval approval of Apex court has been obtained. 3. While as per document provided it	10.	In the component wise breakup at 2.4 name of area is	
arth map is uploaded at C (iv) Part I which cannot be used for DSS analysis. 12. Legal status of the area is not clear as it is mentioned as RF online in Para 4 Part II and PF in SIR of DFO. 13. While as per document provided it appears that prior approvalof NBWL has been obtained it is not clear Wheather prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 14. The file folder provided by the state government is a coloured photocopy which is not admissible as per direction issued by the Ministry. 15. Vulnerability of land from erosion point of view is not mentioned rather is is mentioned that as per geologist report which defeats the purpose of making the case online in public domain if details are not provided in the relevant column. 16. Adminstrative approval and financial sanction from 31. While as per document provided it appears that prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 31. While as per document provided it appears that prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 31. While as per document provided it is not clear Wheather prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 31. While as per document provided it is not clear Wheather prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 31. While as per document provided it is not clear Wheather prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 31. While as per document provided it appears that prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 31. While as per document provided it appears that prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 31. While as per document provided it appears that prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 31. While as per document provided it appears that prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 31. While as per document provided it appears that prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 32. While as per document provided it appears that prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 33. While as per document provided it appears that prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 34. The file fold		given which is incorrect	
arth map is uploaded at C (iv) Part I which cannot be used for DSS analysis. 12. Legal status of the area is not clear as it is mentioned as RF online in Para 4 Part II and PF in SIR of DFO. 13. While as per document provided it appears that prior approvalof NBWL has been obtained it is not clear Wheather prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 14. The file folder provided by the state government is a coloured photocopy which is not admissible as per direction issued by the Ministry. 15. Vulnerability of land from erosion point of view is not mentioned rather is is mentioned that as per geologist report which defeats the purpose of making the case online in public domain if details are not provided in the relevant column. 16. Adminstrative approval and financial sanction from 31. While as per document provided it appears that prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 31. While as per document provided it appears that prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 31. While as per document provided it is not clear Wheather prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 31. While as per document provided it is not clear Wheather prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 31. While as per document provided it is not clear Wheather prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 31. While as per document provided it appears that prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 31. While as per document provided it appears that prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 31. While as per document provided it appears that prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 31. While as per document provided it appears that prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 31. While as per document provided it appears that prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 32. While as per document provided it appears that prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 33. While as per document provided it appears that prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 34. The file fold	11	Instead of digital man duly geo-referenced google	आपत्ति का निराकरण यूजर ऐजेन्सी द्वारा कर
used for DSS analysis. 12. Legal status of the area is not clear as it is mentioned as RF online in Para 4 Part II and PF in SIR of DFO. 13. While as per document provided it appears that prior approvalof NBWL has been obtained it is not clear Wheather prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 14. The file folder provided by the state government is a coloured photocopy which is not admissible as per direction issued by the Ministry. 15. Vulnerability of land from erosion point of view is not mentioned rather is is mentioned that as per geologist report which defeats the purpose of making the case online in public domain if details are not provided in the relevant column. 16. Administrative approval and financial sanction from	11.	instead of digital map duty geo-reference garage	
12. Legal status of the area is not clear as it is mentioned as RF online in Para 4 Part II and PF in SIR of DFO. 13. While as per document provided it appears that prior approvalof NBWL has been obtained it is not clear Wheather prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 14. The file folder provided by the state government is a coloured photocopy which is not admissible as per direction issued by the Ministry. 15. Vulnerability of land from erosion point of view is not mentioned rather is is mentioned that as per geologist report which defeats the purpose of making the case online in public domain if details are not provided in the relevant column. 16. Administrative approval and financial sanction from 31. While as per document provided it appears that prior approval it is not clear with the prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 31. While as per document provided it is not clear with the provided in the relevant column. 31. While as per document provided it appears that prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 31. While as per document provided it appears that prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 31. While as per document provided it is not clear with the provided in the relevant of NBWL has been obtained it is not clear with the provided in the relevant of NBWL has been obtained it is not clear with the provided in the relevant of NBWL has been obtained it is not clear with the provided in the relevant of NBWL has been obtained it is not clear with the provided in the relevant of NBWL has been obtained it is not clear with the provided in the relevant of NBWL has been obtained it is not clear with the provided in the relevant of NBWL has been obtained it is not clear with the provided in the relevant of NBWL has been obtained it is not clear with the provided in the relevant of NBWL has been obtained it is not clear with the provided in the relevant of NBWL has been obtained it is not clear with the provided in the relevant of NBWL has been obtained it is not clear		earth map is uploaded at C (IV) Part I which carries of	विद्या गया छ।
13. While as per document provided it appears that prior approval of NBWL has been obtained it is not clear Wheather prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 14. The file folder provided by the state government is a coloured photocopy which is not admissible as per direction issued by the Ministry. 15. Vulnerability of land from erosion point of view is not mentioned rather is is mentioned that as per geologist report which defeats the purpose of making the case online in public domain if details are not provided in the relevant column. 16. Administrative approval and financial sanction from 3 junch an intertative approval at the intertation of DFO. 3 junch an intertative approval at the intertation of DFO. 3 junch an intertative approval at the intertation of DFO. 3 junch an intertative approval at the prior approval ap		used for DSS analysis.	अपन्ति का निराकरण ऑनलाईन एवं
as RF online in Para 4 Part II and PF in SIR of DFO. 13. While as per document provided it appears that prior approvalof NBWL has been obtained it is not clear Wheather prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 14. The file folder provided by the state government is a coloured photocopy which is not admissible as per direction issued by the Ministry. 15. Vulnerability of land from erosion point of view is not mentioned rather is is mentioned that as per geologist report which defeats the purpose of making the case online in public domain if details are not provided in the relevant column. 16. Administrative approval and financial sanction from SIR of DFO. 31. While as per document provided it appears that prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 31. Supremise of extraored with the details at the prior approval and financial sanction from approval and financial sanction from supremise of approval approval and financial sanction from supremise of approval approval approval and financial sanction from supremise of approval app	12.	Legal status of the area is not clear as it is mentioned	
13. While as per document provided it appears that prior approvalof NBWL has been obtained it is not clear Wheather prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 14. The file folder provided by the state government is a coloured photocopy which is not admissible as per direction issued by the Ministry. 15. Vulnerability of land from erosion point of view is not mentioned rather is is mentioned that as per geologist report which defeats the purpose of making the case online in public domain if details are not provided in the relevant column. 16. Administrative approval and financial sanction from 314 314 315 314 315 314 315 314 315 314 315 314 315 314 315 314 315 314 315 315 315 315 315 315 315 315 315 315		as RF online in Para 4 Part II and PF in SIR of DFO.	ऑफलाईन इस कायालय स्तर स कर दिया
13. While as per document provided it appears that prior approvalof NBWL has been obtained it is not clear Wheather prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 14. The file folder provided by the state government is a coloured photocopy which is not admissible as per direction issued by the Ministry. 15. Vulnerability of land from erosion point of view is not mentioned rather is is mentioned that as per geologist report which defeats the purpose of making the case online in public domain if details are not provided in the relevant column. 16. Administrative approval and financial sanction from approval of Apex court has been obtained. 3. अपित्त का निराकरण यूजर ऐजेन्सी द्वारा कर दिया गया है। 4. उपलित का निराकरण यूजर ऐजेन्सी द्वारा कर सम्बन्धित क्षेत्र में भूरखलन से कोई भी क्षिति होने की सम्भावना नहीं है।			गया है।
approvalof NBWL has been obtained it is not clear Wheather prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 14. The file folder provided by the state government is a coloured photocopy which is not admissible as per direction issued by the Ministry. 15. Vulnerability of land from erosion point of view is not mentioned rather is is mentioned that as per geologist report which defeats the purpose of making the case online in public domain if details are not provided in the relevant column. 16. Administrative approval and financial sanction from Single Approval and financial sanction from Single Approval and financial sanction from Single Approval Approval and financial sanction from Single Approval Appro			
approval of NBWL has been obtained it is not clear Wheather prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 14. The file folder provided by the state government is a coloured photocopy which is not admissible as per direction issued by the Ministry. 15. Vulnerability of land from erosion point of view is not mentioned rather is is mentioned that as per geologist report which defeats the purpose of making the case online in public domain if details are not provided in the relevant column. 16. Administrative approval and financial sanction from Single Ratio Rati	13.	While as per document provided it appears that prior	
Wheather prior approval of Apex court has been obtained. 14. The file folder provided by the state government is a coloured photocopy which is not admissible as per direction issued by the Ministry. 15. Vulnerability of land from erosion point of view is not mentioned rather is is mentioned that as per geologist report which defeats the purpose of making the case online in public domain if details are not provided in the relevant column. 16. Administrative approval and financial sanction from 311 and 52 and 53 and 54 and 55 and 56		approvalof NBWL has been obtained it is not clear	दिया गया है।
obtained. 14. The file folder provided by the state government is a coloured photocopy which is not admissible as per direction issued by the Ministry. 15. Vulnerability of land from erosion point of view is not mentioned rather is is mentioned that as per geologist report which defeats the purpose of making the case online in public domain if details are not provided in the relevant column. 16. Administrative approval and financial sanction from 31 पतित का निराकरण यूजर ऐजेन्सी द्वारा कर		Wheather prior approval of Apex court has been	
14. The file folder provided by the state government is a coloured photocopy which is not admissible as per direction issued by the Ministry. 15. Vulnerability of land from erosion point of view is not mentioned rather is is mentioned that as per geologist report which defeats the purpose of making the case online in public domain if details are not provided in the relevant column. 16. Administrative approval and financial sanction from आपत्ति का निराकरण यूजर एजेन्सी द्वारा कर			
coloured photocopy which is not admissible as per direction issued by the Ministry. 15. Vulnerability of land from erosion point of view is not mentioned rather is is mentioned that as per geologist report which defeats the purpose of making the case online in public domain if details are not provided in the relevant column. 16. Adminstrative approval and financial sanction from आपत्ति का निराकरण यूजर ऐजेन्सी द्वारा कर	1.1		आपत्ति का निराकरण यूजर ऐजेन्सी द्वारा कर
direction issued by the Ministry. 15. Vulnerability of land from erosion point of view is not mentioned rather is is mentioned that as per geologist report which defeats the purpose of making the case online in public domain if details are not provided in the relevant column. 16. Administrative approval and financial sanction from आपत्ति का निराकरण यूजर ऐजेन्सी द्वारा कर	14.	The me tolder provided by the state government as ner	
15. Vulnerability of land from erosion point of view is not mentioned rather is is mentioned that as per geologist report which defeats the purpose of making the case online in public domain if details are not provided in the relevant column. 16. Administrative approval and financial sanction from आपत्ति का निराकरण यूजर ऐजेन्सी द्वारा कर		coloured photocopy which is not admissione as per	१५४। १५। ७।
mentioned rather is is mentioned that as per geologist report which defeats the purpose of making the case online in public domain if details are not provided in the relevant column. 16. Adminstrative approval and financial sanction from आपत्ति का निराकरण यूजर ऐजेन्सी द्वारा कर		direction issued by the Millistry.	सम्बन्धित क्षेत्र में भरखलन से कोई भी क्षति
report which defeats the purpose of making the case online in public domain if details are not provided in the relevant column. 16. Adminstrative approval and financial sanction from आपत्ति का निराकरण यूजर ऐजेन्सी द्वारा कर	15.	Vulnerability of land from erosion point of view is not	
online in public domain if details are not provided in the relevant column. 16. Administrative approval and financial sanction from आपत्ति का निराकरण यूजर ऐजेन्सी द्वारा कर		mentioned rather is is mentioned that as per geologist	होने की सम्भावना नहीं है।
online in public domain if details are not provided in the relevant column. 16. Administrative approval and financial sanction from आपत्ति का निराकरण यूजर ऐजेन्सी द्वारा कर		report which defeats the purpose of making the case	
the relevant column. 16. Adminstrative approval and financial sanction from आपत्ति का निराकरण यूजर ऐजेन्सी द्वारा कर		online in public domain if details are not provided in	
16. Adminstrative approval and financial sanction from आपित्ति का निराकरण यूजर ऐजेन्सी द्वारी कर		the relevant column.	
	16	Adminstrative approval and financial sanction from	आपित्ति का निराकरण यूजर ऐजेन्सी द्वारा कर
the competent authority for the project is not available. दिया गया है।	10.	the competent authority for the project is not available.	
the competent address for the project		the competent additions for the project	

भवदाय

(सुबोध कुमार काला) उप निदेशक, गोविन्द वन्य जीव विहार / रा०पा० पुरोला, उत्तरकाशी।



कार्यालय अधिशासी अभियन्ता निर्माण खण्ड, लोक निर्माण विभाग, पुरोला

Office of the Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Purola

दुरभाष / फैक्स संख्या 01373-7223324

E-Mail ID-eepwdpurola@rediffmail.com

पत्रांक - 998 / व्याभूमि

दिनॉक:-09/09 /2020

सेवामें.

अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी, इन्दिरानगर, फॉरेस्ट कॉलोनी, देहराद्न।

विषय :-

मा० मुख्यमंत्री घोषणा सं० 394/2013 के अन्तर्गत जनपद उत्तरकाशी के विकास खण्ड मोरी में रूपिन नदी पर घौला नामक स्थान में 42 मीटर स्पान का लौह मोटर सेतु का निर्माण हेतु ई०डी०एस० आपित्त्यों के निराकरण के सम्बन्ध में।

सन्दर्भ :--

प्रस्ताव सं0 FP/UK/Others/9741/2015 दिनांक 01-03-2018

महोदय.

उपर्युक्त विषयक सन्दर्भित पत्र के अनुपालन में लगाई गयी आपत्तियों का बिन्दुवार निराकरण निम्न प्रकार है -

SI.No.	EDS Objections	Response
1	The requirement is mentioned for construction of 42 mtr long bridge. In certain document, like digital map and toposheet, it is mentioned as 48 mts.long bridge.	डिजिटल मैपस् में 42 मीटर स्पान अंकित करा दिया गया है।
2	Total period for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted is shown as Nil	ऑनलाइन सही कर दिया गया है।
3	The authority letter for uploading the case on portal is in favour of one Sh. R.S. Panwar while it is mentioned as Sh. Vijay kumar Moghar at A3	वर्तमान में श्री अरविन्द रावत, किनष्ठ अभियंता वनभूमि हस्तान्तरण हेतु नामित हैं अतः उनके नाम अथोरिटी लेटर अपलोड किया गया है।
4	In village wise breakup, the name of village is shown as Dhaula while in FRA it is mentioned as Sewa, Wari and Hadwari.	उक्त मोटर सेतु धौला नामक स्थान पर निर्मित किया जाना प्रस्तावित है, धौला ग्राम हडवाड़ी के अन्तर्गत आता है। इस क्षेत्र के ग्राम हडवाड़ी, वरी एवं सेवा वर्तमान तक मोटर मार्ग की सुविधा से वंचित है। धौला से आगे वन विभाग का जीप मार्ग निर्मित है, किन्तु मोटर सेतु न होने के कारण उक्त ग्राम जीप मार्ग का लाभ नहीं ले पा रहे है, अतः सेतु निर्माण के उपरान्त तीनो ग्राम मोटर मार्ग की सुविधा से लाभान्वित होंगे। वनभूमि हस्तान्तरा में पड़ने वाली भूमि मात्र धौला नामक स्थान की है जिस कारण In village wise breakup में धौला का नाम अंकित किया गया है।
5	Since area proposed for diversion is less than 1 ha. Hence CA may not be required but no	डी०एफ०ओ० स्तर से किया जाना है।
6	plantaion scheme is submitted. KML file does not show bridge.	
7		के०एम०एल० अपलोड कर दी गयी है।
	Justification for locating the project is not uploaded online at para D part I instead authority letter is uploaded.	जस्टिफिकेशन अपलोड कर दिया गया है।

8	Google earth picture showing alternative examined does not show any alternative to the one proposed	वैकल्पिक स्थल मानचित्र में दर्शांकर अपलोड कर दिया गया है।
9	Employment data at E shows temporary employment as 16800 while in part I of hard copy submitted by user agency it is shown as 9568 which does not match.	हार्ड कॉपी व ऑनलाइन ठीक कर दिया गया है। हार्ड कॉपी व ऑनलाइन ठीक कर दिया गया
10	In the component wise breakup at 2.4 name of area is given which is incorrect.	है।
11	Instead of digital map duly geo-referenced google earth map is uploaded at C(iv) Part I which cannot be used for DSS analysis	जीयोरिफरेंस मैप अपलोड कर दिया गया है।
12	Legal status of the area is not clear as it is mentioned as RF online in Para 4 Part II and PF in SIR of DFO	डी०एफ०ओ० स्तर से किया जाना है।
13	While as per document provided it appears that prior approval of NBWL has been obtained, it is not clear whether prior approval of Apex court has been obtained.	
14	The file folder provided by the state government is a coloured photocopy which is not admissible as per direction issued by the Ministry.	वनभूमि प्रस्ताव की मूल प्रति इस कार्यालय के पत्रांक 1326/2सी0 दिनांक 5-9-17 द्वारा पूर्व ही संकलित करा दी गयी है।
15	Vulnerability of land from erosion point of view is not mentioned rather it is mentioned that as per geologist report which defeats the pupose of making the case online in public domain if details are not provided in the relevant column.	डी०एफ०ओ० स्तर से किया जाना है।
16	Adminstrative approval and financial sanction from the competent authority for the project is not available.	स्वीकृति का शासनादेश की छायाप्रति संलग्न कर दी गयी है।

अधिशासी अभियंता निर्माण खण्ड, लो०नि०वि०, पुरोला

ख्रण्ड, लो०नि०वि०, पुरोला

पत्रांक

तद्दिनांक ७१/०१/२०२०

प्रतिलिपि 1. प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी, टौंस वन प्रभाग, पुरोला को सूचनार्थ प्रेषित।

Scanned with CamScanner

फोन : 0135 2621669, फँक्स : 0135 2620933, e mail: director.rajaji@gmail.com



कार्यालय, निदेशक / वन संरक्षक, राजाजी टाइगर रिजर्व, देहरादून, उत्तराखण्ड. 5/1, अन्सारी मार्ग, देहरादून, 248001.

पत्रांक

1081 / 12-1 देहरादून

दिनांक

02 नवम्बर

2017

सेवा में.

अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक / नोडल अधिकारी वन भूमि हस्तान्तरण,भूमि सर्वेक्षण निदेशालय उत्तराखण्ड देहरादून।

विषय:-

जनपद उत्तरकाशी में रूपिन नदी पर धौला नामक स्थान पर 42 मी0 स्पान का स्टील गार्डर पुल के निर्माण हेतु 0.224 है0 वन भूमि का गैरवानिकी कार्यो हेतु निर्माण विभाग को प्रत्यावर्तन हेतु वन भूमि हस्तान्तरण प्रस्ताव की हार्ड कापी उपलब्ध कराने के सम्बन्ध मे।

महोदय,

जनपद उत्तरकाशी में गोबिन्द वन्यजीव विहार/राष्ट्रीय पार्क के रूपिन रंज के अन्तर्गत रूपिन नदी पर धौला नामक स्थान पर 42 मी० स्पान का स्टील गार्डर पुल के निर्माण हेतु 0.224 है0 वन भूमि का गैरवानिकी कार्यो हेतु लोक निर्माण विभाग पुरोला को प्रत्यावर्तन हेतु वन भूमि हस्तान्तरण प्रस्ताव की हार्ड कापी संशोधित कर चार प्रतियों में संलग्न कर आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित है।


संलग्नःप्रस्ताव मूल मे।


भवदीय,

निदेशक / वन संरक्षक, राजाजी टाइगर रिजर्व, देहरादून।

....../.....तद्दिनांकित्। प्रतिलिपि:- उप निदेशक गोविन्द वन्यजीव विहार / राष्ट्रीय पार्क पुरोला उत्तरकाश्री को सूचनार्थ प्रेषित।

> निदेशक / वन संस्थाक, राजाजी टाइगर रिजर्व, देहरादून।





प्रपत्र-7

SITE INSPECTION REPORT- NOT BELOW THE RANK OF DCF (For the forest land to be diverted under FCA)

- 1- A proposal has been received by this office from Coustruction Division P.W.D, Purola Uttarkashi .for diversion under FCA-1980) of 0.224 ha. of forest land for non-forestry purpose. The project envisages the use of forest land for construction of 42 meter Span Steel Girder Motor bridge over Rupin River at Dhaula place in block Mori, Under Ghosna No. 394/2013.. The site inspection of the land involved in the proposal has been done by me on dated 15.1.10 2020
- 2- On inspection of the site, it is found that the land required by the user agency is a Protected Forest Other forests measuring 0.224 ha.- Protected forest Area-0.224 ha.
- 3- The requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency in Co1.2 part-1 is unavoidable and is barest minimum required for the project.
- 4- Whether any rare /endangered /unique species of flora and fauna found in the area. If, so the details there of:-
- 5- xx Whether any protected archeological /heritage site/defense establishment or any other important monument is located in the area, if, so the details thereof with NOC from competent authority, if required.-
 - The user agency has not violated the provisions of forest (Conservation), Act 1980 and no a) work has been started without proper sanction.
 - It has been found that the user agency has violated (Conservation), Act, and 1980 provisions. A details report as per para 1.9 of chapter 1, Para C of Hand book of forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 attached.

Specific recommendation for acceptance or otherwise of the proposal.

(नोट:- प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी द्वारा यहाँ पर प्रस्ताव के सम्बन्ध में अपनी संस्तुति / टिप्पणी आवश्यक रुप से अंकित की जास NBWL को डार्की बैंडिक के उनत प्रस्ताव वन्म जीवों की सुरक्षा दास्वजात पैरा डप.पथ के उन्नेत्रावित सुझावों / निर्देशों का पान्तन किसे जाने पत् ही संस्तुत जनारेत्र के

Place:- PUROLA

Date 15 10 2020

(Signature)

Name -- Subodh Kumar Kala.

Designation - Deputy Director.

उप निदेशक Office Searisha विहार/राष्ट्रीय पार्क प्रतेला (उत्तरकाशी)

N.B.

x State the purpose for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted.

xx out of(a) and (b) tick the option which is applicable and cross the option which is not applicable.

As per letter number 2-2/2000 FC darted 16-10-2000 from ministry of Environment & Forest, Government of India for proposal involving less then 40 hectares of forest land, the site inspection report from DCF is required and for proposal involving more the 40 hectares of forest land site inspection report from the conservator of forests is required.

PART-II

(To be filled by the concerned Deputy Conservator of Forest)

State Serial No. of proposal: UK-0253/2015

1. Location of the project/Scheme: Uttarakhand

(i) State / Union Territory: Uttarakhand

(ii) District : Uttarkashi

(iii) Forest Division: Govind Pashu Vihar, Purola

(iv) Area of forest land proposed for diversion (in ha.): 0.224

(v) Category: Others

2. Legal status of forest land proposed for diversion :

S. No.	Division		
	DIVISION	Forest Land(ha.)	Legal Status
1	Govind Pashu Vihar, Purola		Eegai Status
	That That That The Total	0.224	Reserved Forest

Division 1. : Govind Pashu Vihar, Purola

3. District wise area to be diverted in the division :

S. No.	Di ci	
	District	Area(ha.)
	Uttarkashi	0.224

4. Details of Vegetation available in the forest land proposed for diversion :

(i) Density of vegetation

. No.	Area(in ha.)	Density	Eco-Class
1	0.224		ECO-Class
	0.224	0.15	Eco 5

(ii) Species-wise local/scientific names and girth-wise enumeration of trees at FRL:

ii	Chir	(0-30)cm.	(31-60)cm.	(61-90)cm.	(91-120)cm.	(121-150)cm.	(>150)cm.
ii	Chir	0					
	Annual Control of the	0	0	0	2		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
ic	Little		-		-		0
3	ULIS	0	0	0	0	STORY OF	0
15	nsis	nsis Utis	nsis Utis 0	nsis Utis 0 0	nsis Utis 0 0 0	nsis Utis 0 0 0 0	nsis Utis 0 0 0 0 1

- 5. Working plan prescription for the forest land proposed for diversion: protection
- 6. Brief note on vulnerability of the forest area to erosion: as per geologist report
- 7. Approximate distance of the proposed site for diversion from boundary of forest(in km.) : .05 $\,$
- 8. Significance of the forest land proposed for diversion from wildlife point of view:
 - (i). Details of wildlife present in and around the forest land proposed for diversion: local fauna only
 - (ii). Whether forms part of national park, wildlife sanctuary, biosphere reserve, tiger reserve, elephant corridor, wildlife migration corridor etc.: Yes

Details and comments on the impact of Project on such areas: This being only a bridge across the river, there is no impact on flora and fauna, rather would help in better patrolling and conservation of forests and wildlife

- Whether the forest land proposed for diversion is located within eco-sensitive zone(ESZ) of the Protected Area notified under Wildlife(Protection) Act,1972 (Note: In case, ESZ of a Protected Area is not notified,then,10kms distance from boundary of the Protected Area should be treated as ESZ): No
- (iv). Whether any national park, wildlife sanctuary, biosphere reserve, tiger reserve, elephant corridor, wildlife migration corridor etc., is located within 1 Km. from boundary of the forest land proposed for diversion : Yes

Details and comments on the impact of Project on such areas: This being only a bridge across the river, there is no impact on flora and fauna, rather would help in better patrolling and conservation of forests and wildlife

- (v). Whether any rare/endangered/unique species of flora and fauna found in the area: No
- 9. Details of any protected archaeological/heritage site/defence establishment or any other important monument located in the area, if any :
 - (i). Whether any protected archaeological/heritage site/defence establishment or any other important monument is located in the area: No
- 10. Comment as to the reasonability of the extent of the forest land proposed for diversion :
 - (i). Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency is unavoidable and bare minimum for the project: Yes
- 11. Details of violation(s), if any ,committed:
 - (i). Whether any work in violation of the Act or guidelines issued under the Act has been carried out: No
- 12. Whether work in violation is still in progres(Yes/No): No Data
- 13. Details of compensatory afforestation scheme :
- (i). Patch wise details for Degraded Area (To be earmarked by DFO)

गोविन्द वन्यजीव विहार/राष्ट्रीय पार्क पुरोला (उत्तरकाशी)

(i). Total Financial	Report Part 2 Total Financial Outlay for C.A(lacs): 1.331					
			Patch Wise De	etails		
S.no Patch No.	Area(ha.)	Name of PF/RF	Range	Compartment No	Uploaded KML file of pato	
		NO Data		214f=1=15		
Scanned copy of	the Geo-reference	ed map of the forest la	and proposed	for C.A. prepared by using	DGPS or Total Station:	
Scanned copy of v).	of India Toposheet	ed map of the forest la		******	DGPS or Total Station:	
Copy of Survey of	of India Toposheet			******	DGPS or Total Station:	
Scanned copy of Copy of Survey of Additional information	of India Toposheet	indicating boundary of		proposed for C.A.:		
Scanned copy of V). Copy of Survey of Additional information	of India Toposheet	indicating boundary of Documents		proposed for C.A.: Map	Remarks	

Bar chart

Digital map

Treelist

part-1 in sign.

actualcuttreelist

landschedule

mukdisposal

NPV

CMghosnalater

Part-7

00.00		
14	District De	-fil

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

S.no	District Name	Geographical area of the district (in ha.)	Forest area of the district (in ha.)	Total forest area diverted since 1980 (in ha.)	No. of Approved Cases	Forest Land including penal C.A. (in ha.)	Progress of compensatory afforestation as on(date)	A) Forest land (in ha.)	B) Non- forest land (in ha.)
1 1	Uttarkashi	695783.35	801600	150,620	101	270,255	15/10/2020	190.35	34.27

15. Site inspection report of the DFO/CCF/Nodal Officer highlighting important facts pertaining to the forest land:

METER .

-

Division Name	Circle	Site inspected By	Whether site inspected	No. of times site visited	Site inspection report	Date of visit
	Rajaji National Park	CF	No	One	No Data	
Govind Pashu Vihar, Purola	Rajaji National Park	DFO	Yes	One	and the second second	29/08/2020

(Specific recommendation of the DFO/CCF/Nodal Officer with(Part II, III & Part IV))

16. Specific recommendation of the DFO/CCF/Nodal Officer for acceptance or otherwise of the proposal with reason:

Division	Circle	Recommendation By	Recommendation	Reason	Letter	Whether CF
Govind Pashu Vihar, Purola	Rajaji National Park	DFO	Recommended	as per file attached	* 1	agreed
	Rajaji National Park	CF	Recommended	useful for wildlife patrolling and connectivity of villages		Yes
1111		Nodal Officer	Recommended	As per file attached		

Specific recommendation of the State Government(Part V)

17. Specific recommendation of the State Government for acceptance or otherwise of the proposal with reason (Part V):

State	Recommendation By	Recommendation	Recommendation Date	Remarks	Signing Authority	Notification	Recommendation Letter	
Uttarakhand	State Secretary	Recommended	12/01/2016	Trees man	State Govt. Officer	No Data	10	

Print page

जप्रिदेशक गोविन्द वन्यजीव विहार/राष्ट्रीय पार्क पुरोला (उत्तरकाशी)

काटे जाने वाले वृक्षों के बदले न्यूनतम 224 वृक्षों की वृक्षारोपण योजना

परियोजना का नाम :- मा0 मुख्यमंत्री घोषणा सं0 394/2013 के अन्तर्गत जपनद उत्तरकाशी के विकास खण्ड मोरी में सुपिन नदी पर धौला नामक स्थान में 42 मीटर स्पान को लौह मोटर सेतु का निर्माण (0.224 है0)

2 3 4 द्वितीय चर	सर्वे एवं सीमांकन क्षेत्र की सफाई (झाड़ी कटान आदि) गड्डा खुदान (0.30X0.30X0.45) पौधों की कीमत (प्रथम वर्ष) 75 प्रतिशत	1 1 224 224	ਵੈ0 ਵੈ0	181.00	है0	181.00
2 3 4 द्वितीय चर	गड्डा खुदान (0.30X0.30X0.45) पौधों की कीमत (प्रथम वर्ष) 75 प्रतिशत	224	है0		60	181.0
3 4 द्वितीय चर 1	गड्डा खुदान (0.30X0.30X0.45) पौधों की कीमत (प्रथम वर्ष) 75 प्रतिशत	224			40	
4 द्वितीय चर 1	पौधों की कीमत (प्रथम वर्ष) 75 प्रतिशत		गड्ढे	5,436.00	है0	5,436.0
द्वितीय चर		224		11.42	गड्ढे	2,558.0
1 3	ım •		पौध	9.75	पौध	2,184.0
1 3			100	योग :-	प्रथम चरण	10,359.08
	गङ्डा भरान (0.30X0.30X0.45)	224				
2 1	पौधों की कीमत (द्वितीय वर्ष) कुल व्यय का 25 प्रतिशत	224	गड्ढे	1.30	गड्ढे	291.20
-	जना का कारत (विसाव पर्व) चुटा व्यय का 25 प्रातशत	224	पौध	1.05	पौध	235.20
	पौध ढुलान कार्य	224	पौध	7.79	पौध	1,744.9
4 1	पौधरोपण व थावलाबन्दी	224	पौध	3.38	पौध	757.12
	निराई-गुडत्राई व मल्विंग (दो बार)	224 .	गड्ढे	5.05	गड्ढे	1,131.20
6.	पौधों की सुरक्षा हेतु लोहे के ट्रीगार्ड लगाना	224	गड्ढे	770.00	गड्ढं	1,72,480.00
	खाद व दवा पर व्यय	224	गड्ढे	25%	गड्ढे	31,824.00
Ŧ	रोपण वर्ष में वृक्षारोपण की देख—रेख व रखाव पर व्यय 9 माह	1 .	माह	8,584.00	माह	8,584.00
V	9.1 वृक्षारोपण क्षेत्रों के अन्तर्गत सूखे घास—फूस एवं ज्वलनशील पदार्थों को हटाना	1	है0	684.00	है0	684.00
हे प	अन्य व्यय जैसे साइन बोर्ड, पौध ढुलान हेतु टोकरी, मूतली, बोरी, आदि क्रय वृक्षारोपण के समय वर्षा से बचने हेतु पॉलीथीन शीट क्रय वर्ष में दो—तीन बार फोटोग्राफी, कील्ड स्तरीय कार्यों को प्रचार प्रसार एवं डॉक्यूमेंटेशन आदि कार्य।	1	ਫੈ0	1,700.00	है0	1,700.00
				योग :- ति	द्वेतीय चरण	2,19,431.68
तीय चरण						
1 र क	ोपण वर्ष के उपरान्त द्वितीय वर्ष रखरखाव व अनुरक्षण हार्य	1 .	माह	8584.00	माह	8,584.00
ातुर्थ चरण					योग -	8,584.00
a a	ोपण वर्ष के उपरान्त तृतीय वर्ष रखरखाव व अनुरक्षण जर्य	1	माह	8584.00	माह	8,584.00
वम चरण	:-				योग –	8,584.00
1 रो	पण वर्ष के उपरान्त चतुर्थ वर्ष रखरखाव व अनुरक्षण	1 1	- T			
क	गर्य	1	माह	8584.00	माह	8,584.00
टम् चरण					योग -	8,584.00
1 रो	पण वर्ष के उपरान्त पंचम वर्ष रखरखाव व अनुरक्षण	1	माह	8584.00	माह	8,584.00
1/					योग –	8,584.00

Y						
सप्तम व	वरण :-					
1	ोपण वर्ष के उपरान्त षष्टम् वर्ष रखरखाव व अनुरक्षण कार्य	1	माह	8584.00	माह	8,584.00
					योग –	8,584.00
अष्टम व	वरण :					
1	रोपण वर्ष के उपरान्त सप्तम वर्ष रखरखाव व अनुरक्षण कार्य	1 .	माह	8584.00	माह	8,584.00
					योग –	8,584.00
नवम् च	रिण :-					•
1	रोपण वर्ष के उपरान्त अष्ठम वर्ष रखरखाव व अनुरक्षण कार्य	1	माह	8584.00	माह	8,584.00
					योग -	8,584.00
दशम च	त्ररण :					
1	रोपण वर्ष के उपरान्त नवम् वर्ष रखरखाव व अनुरक्षण कार्य	1	माह	8584.00	माह	8,584.00
	योग –					8,584.00
	कुल योग :-	1				2,98,462.76

Rs:-

2,98,462.76

परियोजना निर्माण हेतु प्रस्तावित वन भूमि के सापेक्ष दोगुने अवनत क्षेत्रफल में आवश्यक धनराशि

say :-

2,98,144.00

उप पिदेशक गोविन्द वन्यजीव विहार/राष्ट्रीय पार्क पुरोला (उत्तरकाशी)