



कार्यालय वन संरक्षक, गढ़वाल वृत्त, उत्तराखण्ड, पौड़ी

पत्रांक:- 1981 / 12-1 दिनांक, पौड़ी, जनवरी, 06, 2017.

सेवा में,

अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं
नोडल अधिकारी वन संरक्षण,
इन्दिरानगर फॉरेस्ट कालोनी,
उत्तराखण्ड देहरादून।

विषय-

जनपद-रुद्रप्रयाग के अन्तर्गत ग्राम पंचायत मयाली के थापलानामी टोक से अगस्त्य उच्च प्राथमिक विद्यालय होते हुये ग्राम मयाली गांव तक मोटर मार्ग के निर्माण हेतु 0.3325 है० वन भूमि का गैर वानिकी कार्यों हेतु हस्तान्तरण के सम्बन्ध में।

Proposal No- FP/UK/ROAD/10591/2015.

सन्दर्भ-
महोदय,


प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी, रुद्रप्रयाग वन प्रभाग, रुद्रप्रयाग का पत्रांक 1411/12-1(2) दिनांक 29.12.2017.

उपयुक्त विषयक प्रकरण में वांछित आपत्तियों का निराकरण प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी, रुद्रप्रयाग वन प्रभाग, रुद्रप्रयाग द्वारा किया गया है जो निम्न प्रकार हैं:

बिन्दु संख्या	आपत्ति	निराकरण
1	In reply to point no.1, it is mentioned that the entire land proposed for diversion i.e. 0.3325 ha falls in only one village Mayali and village wise breakup has been corrected online accordingly. However, in the village wise breakup uploaded online at Para-B-2.3 Part-I, the land proposed for diversion has been filled as 0.00 in the column of forest land and 0.63 ha in the column of non-forest land, which is not understood. State Govt. may explain that if forest land falling in Mayali village is not required to be diverted for construction of the proposed road then why the proposal for diversion has been submitted.	Village wise breakup uploaded at para-B2.3 of patr-I has been corrected.
2	Though in reply to point no.1 it is mentioned that entire land proposed for diversion falls in Mayali village but in the FRA certificate name of Thapla village has also been mentioned. State Govt. may provide Village Level Committee meeting proceeding under FRA for this village and also show forest land falling in this village in the village wise breakup online. And in case forest land of village Mayali only is required to be diverted then the State Govt. may revise the FRA certificate accordingly.	Thapla village comes under revenue village Mayali. Hence separate VLC proceeding for thapla village is not uploaded. [Note-Thapla is not revenue village but a Tok unde revenue village Mayali]
3	FRA certificate and proceedings of the meeting of Sub Division Level Committee is without any date.	Proceedings of sub division level committee has been uploaded with date.

संलग्न:- उपरोक्तानुसार।

भवदीय,


(जी०के०रस्तोगी)

वन संरक्षक,

गढ़वाल वृत्त, उत्तराखण्ड, पौड़ी।



ई. मेल :- dforudraprayag@gmail.com

फोन/ फॅक्स नं० :- 01364 - 233505::

पता :- माई की मढ़ी निकट जवाडी बाईपास रुद्रप्रयाग

कार्यालय उप वन संरक्षक, रुद्रप्रयाग वन प्रभाग, रुद्रप्रयाग।

पत्रांक - 1411 / 12-1 (2)

दिनांक 29/12/2017::

सेवा में,

वन संरक्षक,
गढ़वाल वृत्त, उत्तराखण्ड,
पौड़ी।

विषय :- जनपद-रुद्रप्रयाग के अन्तर्गत ग्राम पंचायत मयाली के थापलानामी तोक से अगस्त्य उच्च प्राथमिक विद्यालय होते हुये ग्राम मयाली गांव तक मोटर मार्ग के निर्माण हेतु 0.3325 है० वन भूमि का गैर वानिकी कार्यों हेतु हस्तान्तरण के सम्बन्ध में।
Proposal No- FP/UK/ROAD/10591/2015

सन्दर्भ :- भारत सरकार द्वारा लगाई गई ऑनलाईन आपत्तियां दिनांक 13.11.2017।

महोदय,

उपरोक्त सन्दर्भित विषयक वन भूमि हस्तान्तरण प्रस्ताव में भारत सरकार स्तर से लगाई गई आपत्तियों का निराकरण अधिशासी अभियन्ता, प्रान्तीय खण्ड, लो०नि०वि०, रुद्रप्रयाग ने अपने पत्रांक-3907/16सी दिनांक 29.12.2017 द्वारा निम्न प्रकार प्रेषित है।

बिन्दु संख्या	आपत्ति	निराकरण
1	In reply to point no.1, it is mentioned that the entire land proposed for diversion i.e. 0.3325 ha falls in only one village Mayali and village wise breakup has been corrected online accordingly. However, in the village wise breakup uploaded online at Para-B-2.3 Part-I, the land proposed for diversion has been filled as 0.00 in the column of forest land and 0.63 ha in the column of non-forest land, which is not understood. State Govt. may explain that if forest land falling in Mayali village is not required to be diverted for construction of the proposed road then why the proposal for diversion has been submitted.	Village wise breakup uploaded at para-B2.3 of patr-I has been corrected.
2	Though in reply to point no.1 it is mentioned that entire land proposed for diversion falls in Mayali village but in the FRA certificate name of Thapla village has also been mentioned. State Govt. may provide Village Level Committee meeting proceeding under FRA for this village and also show forest land falling in this village in the village wise breakup online. And in case forest land of village Mayali only is required to be diverted then the State Govt. may revise the FRA certificate accordingly.	Thapla village comes under revenue village Mayali. Hence separate VLC proceeding for thapla village is not uploaded. [Note-Thapla is not revenue village but a Tok unde revenue village Mayali]
3	FRA certificate and proceedings of the meeting of Sub Division Level Committee is without any date.	Proceedings of sub division level committee has been uploaded with date.

भवदीय

उप वन संरक्षक,

रुद्रप्रयाग वन प्रभाग, रुद्रप्रयाग।