

No.
H.P. Forest Department.

Dated Rampur, the

From:- C. C. F. Rampur.

To:- Nodal Officer-cum- APCCF (FCA)
O/o Pr. CCF (HoFF) H.P.

Subject:- Renewal of lease of 0.0934 ha (Instead of 0.2898 ha) of forest land for already established Stone Crusher at Pashada and approach road to quarry site at Pashada in favour of M/s Bushahar Laghu Udyog VPO Jhakri, Tehsil Rampur Bushahar, within the jurisdiction of Rampur Forest Division, Distt. Shimla, Himachal Pradesh. (Online Proposal No. FP/HP/Ind/35171/2018).

Memo:

Kindly refer to your office Memo No. Ft. (FCA) dated 08.07.2024 on the subject cited above.

2. The point wise reply to the observations raised vide your office letter under reference is furnished as under:-

Sr. No.	Observation	Reply
1.	The detail mentioned/shown in Part-I, Para-E (ii) & (iii) is still not corrected which may be reviewed.	The necessary correction regarding employment likely to be generated has been mentioned by user agency in the Part-I column No. E (ii) & (iii).
2.	The component as mentioned in the layout Plan of the proposed project is not matching with the component mentioned in component wise breakup (Part-1,B-2.4)	User agency has prepared the revised layout plan as per Para-B-2.4 of Part-I and uploaded as additional information at Sr No.16 of Part-I.
3.	In reply to point No.7 of GoI it has been stated that filed book is not a part of project is not correct, field book alongwith the titma and jamabandi are part of the revenue papers are required to be uploaded.	The user agency intimated that the Khasra No. 38/1 measuring area 0.0801 ha is not part of the proposal therefore, the same has been withdrawn by user agency. Since the above khasra number is not part of proposal therefore there is no need to upload the field book of the same. However, the revenue record of the DA for 0.0934 ha has already been uploaded by user agency in the Part-I.
4.	In reply to point No.11 of GoI, the legal status of DA land mentioned in Part-II, Para 2 is still mismatching with Part-II, Sr. No.5 (v) which need to be reviewed and revised.	The necessary correction in online Para-2 of Part-II has been done. Now there is no mismatch of legal status of DA mentioned in online Para-2 of Part-II and offline Part-II Sr. No. 5.

5.	The approved and proposed project has still not marked in distinct colour in KML file.	The user agency has now uploaded the revised KML file after doing the necessary correction in Para - C of Part-I.
6.	In reply point No.14 of GoI, polygon of 0.1964 ha. land in CD form with survival percentage of plantation raised as per the approved Reclamation Plan has not been submitted to this office.	The photographs and survival percentage of plantation has been sent to your office through mail on dated 21.11.2024.
7.	The reply to point No.15 of GoI is still not in consonance with the observation of GoI.	User agency has now uploaded the corrected KML file of DA for 0.0934 ha at column No. C of Part-I.
8.	The recommendation letter of DFO uploaded in Para-2, Para-16 is still found blank.	DCF Rampur has intimated that due to technical error in PARIVESH 1.0 the recommendation letter could not be uploaded in the appropriate column of Part-II and the column remained blank. However, the recommendation of DFO has been uploaded as additional information Sr No. 5 of Part-II.
9.	The shape of the diversion area (DA) marked on the SoI map is still not in proper shape as per the revenue record. The revised SoI map of DA may be uploaded against the appropriate column of the portal.	Revised SoI Map has been uploaded at Column No. C (iii) of Part-I by the user agency after making the necessary rectification.

This is for favour of information and further necessary action please

Chief Conservator of Forests,
Rampur Bsr, H.P.

