

**कार्यालय अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी, वन संरक्षण,
इन्दिरानगर फॉरेस्ट कालोनी, उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून।**

Email- Nodalofficerddn@gmail.com

Phone/Fax-0135-2767611

संख्या:- 1468 FP/UK/ROAD/18336/2016 देहरादून: दिनांक 23 नवम्बर, 2020
सेवा में,

अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक,
भारत सरकार,
पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय,
क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय (उत्तर-मध्य क्षेत्र),
25-सुभाष रोड, देहरादून।

विषय:-जनपद-चम्पावत में नरसिंह डांडा से भगीना भण्डारी मोटर मार्ग निर्माण हेतु 3.42 है0 वन भूमि का गैरवानिकी कार्यों हेतु ग्राम्य विकास विभाग को प्रत्यावर्तन।

सन्दर्भ:- भारत सरकार पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, देहरादून के EDS दिनांक 17-11-2020

महोदय,

विषयांकित प्रकरण पर भारत सरकार के उपरोक्त सन्दर्भित EDS दिनांक 17-11-2020 के सम्बन्ध में प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी, चम्पावत वन प्रभाग, चम्पावत से प्राप्त आख्या प्रेषित की जा रही है :-

क्र0सं0	प्रश्न	उत्तर
1	In para A-2(i) of Part I, the name of user Agency is mentioned as PMGSY instead of Rural Development Department (Gramya Vikas Vibhag). State Government may provide the correct detail of user agency at para A-2(i) of Part I.	User agency informed that PMGSY is a central govt. scheme executed at the level of different PIUs, i.e. Irrigation, PWD or PSUs in different district of State under rural development department i.e URRDA,Dehradun.
2	In the administrative approval , the name of Target habitation is mentioned as 'Bhagina Bhandari' but this village has not been marked in the KML File, SoI Topo sheet map and the geo-reference map uploaded at para-C of Part I. The end point of the proposed road is situated near Nariyal Village which is already connected to an existing road. Further, the Barpas Village situated near the start point of the proposed alignment is overlapping with an existing road towards end point. Moreover, one more road already exists towards south east of the proposed road. Therefore, it appears that proposed alignment is not justified. State Government may submit justification of the road in view of the points mentioned above and submit the KML file of the proposed road after marking the location of the beneficiary village.	User agency informed that new KML with name of target habitation Bhagina Bhandari(nariyal tok) with other benefitted habitation village as well as other important places i.e. school ,nearest market , health centers has been uploaded at para C of Part I. the proposed alignment connects the habitation with the only market place that is nariyal at the ends point, the overlapping mistake has been corrected in Uploaded KML. A Separate Justification regarding mentioned shortcomings has been uploaded in additional documents by UA in online part-I regarding the same which makes the proposed alignment suitable for the construction of the said road.
3	It is mentioned in the VLC proceedings held under FRA that the joint meeting of Gram Panchayat Bhagina Bhandari, GP Barpas and GP Garkot was held on 28.11.2015 but the proceedings has not been signed by gram Pradhan of Garkot and Barpas Gps. Further, the date is mentioned as 20.11.2015 in the attendance sheets i.e. prior to the date of meeting which is not in order. State Government may do the needful in this regard.	VLC proceedings under FRA with sign of Gram Pradhan of Garkot and Barpas has been uploaded in order at para K or part I by UA.also submitted in original.
4	The geo-coordinates of the proposed CA area i.e. Sulla Civil (6.84 ha) given in the SoI topo sheet map uploaded at para-L (vi) of the Para-I and para-13 (iii) of Part II do not match with the geo-coordinates given in the geo referenced map uploaded at para-L (V) of Part I and para13 (ii) of Part II. In addition, the location of proposed CA site given in this SoI topo sheet is different from the location given in in an another SoI topo sheet map uploaded at S. No. 3 of additional documents in Part I, geo-coordinates of which match with the geo-referenced map. State Government may remove this discrepancy and	Revised CA area details that is sulla civil (digital map & topo sheet) having similar details has been uploaded at para-L (vi) of online Part I and para13 (ii) of Part II. Also submitted in original

	submit/upload the revised documents in regard.	
5	The alternate alignment examined has been shown in the initial one third part of the proposed road only in the map uploaded at para-D (ii) of Part I. State Government may submit clarification in this regard.	Discrepancy has been removed from the kml as well as in the uploaded maps. Submitted in hard copy also.
6	It is seen from the land schedule that the RoW of 9.00 m has been taken into consideration for calculation of forest as well as private land but it does not match with the 7.00 m width (RoW) mentioned in prarup-16 provided with the Hard Copy. State Government may clarify this discrepancy in the RoW.	Revised Land Schedule has been uploaded of 7.00 m (ROW) and area for muck in forest land is 0.76 ha also referred in muck disposal scheme at online part-1 in additional information. Also submitted in original hard copy
7	In view of point No. 6 above, the forest land proposed for diversion (3.42 Ha) does not match with the area given in the component wise breakup at para-B 2.4 of Part I. In component wise breakup 0.76 has of forest land is earmarked for muck disposal sites, hence the total forest land required for the diversion comes to 4.18 ha instead of 3.42 ha. State Government may submit clarification and provide the correct data in this regard.	Revised Land Schedule has been uploaded accordingly, component wise breakup rectified (2.66 ha for road & 0.76 ha for muck in total 3.42 ha) at para B2.4 in online part-1.
8	In the hard copy of enumeration list of tress, the total is mentioned as 168 tress instead of 167 trees. The trees falling in diameter class of 0-10 cm have not been counted which is required. State Government may provide/ upload the list of trees including the trees falling in 0-10 dia class.	DFO informed that there is 47 trees falling under 0-10 cm and list has been revised and uploaded in online part-1 as well as in online part-II in online and also submitted in original. Hence total no of tress in enumeration list in online para 4(ii) updated (167+47=214 trees) updated accordingly.
9	The density of vegetation, NPV rate and amount of NPV uploaded in Part I and Part II is different from the data given in NPV details provided with the hard copy. State Government may clarify this discrepancy.	NPV has been uploaded in online Part I and part- II as additional information.
10	It is seen that 7 no. of muck disposal sites have been mentioned in muck disposal plan but only 6 sites have been shown in the maps submitted with the hard copy and those uploaded in Part I. State Government may clarify this discrepancy.	User agency informed that 7 Nos of muck disposal sites have been mentioned in the digital map and uploaded in muck disposal scheme.
11	It is seen from data given in para 14 of Part II that the CA stipulated (1573.25 ha) is not commensurate to the forest land diverted (896.9834 ha). State Government may provide correct data at para 14, Part II online in district profile.	Data has been updated at para 14, in online Part II by DFO office.
12	Name of the road is incorrect in the recommendation (Part V) of the State Government. State Government may provide the recommendation of State Government for this project.	Revised part-v has been send by post.
13	State Government may remove above shortcomings and submit revised documents/ information/ clarification and upload the information/ documents, wherever required.	Shortcomings and revised documents/information/ clarification has been uploaded in form-A.

भवदीय,

(डी०जे०के० शर्मा)

अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी