

## OFFICE OF THE PCCF (HOFF), RAJASTHAN, JAIPUR

F 14(Road)/2018/FCA/PCCF/ 4117

Date: 3.12.2018

To

Additional Principle Chief Conservator of Forests  
(Central), Government of India, MOEF & CC  
Regional Office, Central Zone, Pancham Tal, Central Bhavan, Sector H  
Aliganj, Lucknow

Sub :- **Diversion of 7.534 ha. of forest land for in favour of NHAI PIU Jodhpur Rajasthan for upgradation of Jodhpur Ring Road section I from Dangiyawas (0+000) to Nagaur Road (74+619) in Jodhpur District of Rajasthan.reg-Proposal No FP/RJ/Road /32975/2018**

Ref : - Your letter no 8B/Raj/06/30/2018/FC/806 Dated 19.11.2018

Dear Sir,

With reference to above mention subject compliance of your EDS dated 19.11.2018 is based on information provided by CCF/DCF/UA as follows.

S.N.	Observation raised by MOEF	Compliance by UA/DCF/CCF
1	Due to non-functional GIS DSS, analysis of Kml files for proposed forest land for diversion and proposed compensatory afforestation has not been carried out. Any shortcoming on account of GIS DSS analysis pertaining to Kml files for proposed forest diversion and proposed compensatory afforestation will be communicated separately.	Agreed.
2	Following Observations are made on the basis of overlay of Kml files (uploaded online) on Google Earth and documents provided with hard copy-	
A	Proposed Forest land diversion shows existence of permanent Structures.	As per User Agency reply, Hon'ble High Court has issued instructions to JDA to remove the encroachments in Jodhpur Ring Road stretch (Forest & Non forest land)(Order of high court enclosed in additional documents).JDA and NHAI will remove all encroachments in forest stretches with the help of Forest & local administration.
B.	Original topo-sheets for proposed forest land for diversion and proposed compensatory afforestation have not been submitted.	Original topo-sheets of forest land for diversion and proposed compensatory afforestation is attached in hard copy of the forest proposal at page no 29-30
C	Geo referenced maps of different forest patches are not linked with the tables providing geo coordinates.	Geo reference map of different forest patches are updated and linked with geo coordinates.
D	As per part I of the proposal, Kml files of 11 forest patches have been uploaded whereas geo referenced maps provided with hard copy shows less number of forest patches	Nos. of forest patches in respected Geo-referenced Maps is listed below. Geo-referenced Map 1 of 3- 3 patches Geo-referenced Map 2 of 3- 2 patches





		Geo-referenced Map 3 of 3- 6 patches Hard copy is enclosed at page no 31 to 34.
3 A	Information regarding already approved proposal"..... For the Project already submitted in the past" at B1 has not been provided in part 1 whereas there exists previous proposal whose approval details should have been uploaded.	Compliance of User Agency is submitted which is uploaded in additional information. System does not permit entering the details at point B-1 at this stage. Hard copy is enclosed at page no 11
B	Information regarding sum of extent of non-forest land village wise (provided at B2.3 in part I in tabular form) does not tally with the data provided a-1(ix) in part-1, This discrepancy needs rectification.	Village wise Non forest land has been updated in online proposal part I. Hard copy is enclosed at page no 6-7.
C	Sum of segment wise proposed forest patch for diversion (at c-(ii) (b) of part I) is 7.474001 ha. Whereas proposal is forwarded for 7.534 ha. The discrepancy in extent of forest land proposed for diversion need to be removed and same value should be provided in all documents. Data regarding extent of proposed forest land for diversion is inconsistent.	Sum of the segment wise forest patches for diversion has been updated in online proposal part I. Hard copy is enclosed at page no 7-8.
4	Forest patches proposed for diversion are of irregular shapes whose area calculation is carried out by multiplication of length with width which is gross approximation and will lead to erroneous extent of area proposed for diversion. This issue needs rectification and submission of details of calculation.	The area calculated by joint inspection of DCF and User Agency. Area calculation sheet already provided with the proposal. The area for irregular shape polygon has been calculated by the use of Arc GIS software and accurate to the ground trothing.
5	The issues raised by DFO in his site inspection report regarding plot no. 534 where additional land requirement (1.33 ha.) is existing but ownership of that particular patch required is not clear/ambiguous. The plot no. 534 having area 109.22 ha. Contains 101.22 ha. Belonging to Department of Forest. A clarification in this regard with undertaking from Land Revenue Authority is needed that required 1.33 ha. For project is not a forest land.	District Collector Jodhpur has allotted 7.10 Bhigha of additional land to PWD from JDA in addition to 1.18 Bhigha (total 9.08 Bhigha = 1.5209 ha.). Copy of order of District Collector dated 30.11.2018 is uploaded in additional information and hard copy is attached at page no. 35. Necessary amendment in revenue records & maps is to be done.
6	Illegible copy of Gazette notification has been provided with the proposal and uploaded online as well as. A legible copy of the same need submission.	Legible copy of the notification has been uploaded in additional information of part I of online proposal. Hard copy is enclosed at page 24-28.
7	Whether additional land is being acquired in this proposal for strip plantation along proposed widening of road? If yes, what are location of such patches and how the user agency will carry out avenue plantation work over it? A write up on this issue is need submission.	The User Agency has submitted that as this project has been transferred to them from PWD and existing strip of complete land is proposed to be used for RoW of the proposed road which is 60 meter and will be completely utilized for the carriage way, shoulder and utility establishment and service area. So wherever vacant land will be available, avenue plantation will be carried out.





8	Undertakings provided in the proposal are without mentioning online proposal number.	Proposal number on Undertakings has been updated. Hard copy is enclosed at page no 12 to 17.
9	Muck management plan provided at page 17 of the proposal shows inherent contradiction. Same document mentions that 'Muck will not be generated' as well as 'proposed dumping site is located away from river/stream/nala', if muck is not generated then there is no need for dumping site. The issue needs clarification.	Certificate regarding Muck generation and disposing at site undertaking uploaded in additional information of Part I of Online proposal. Hard copy is enclosed at page no. 18.
10	Compensatory afforestation scheme is without cost estimates. Details regarding protection of plantation and soil-moisture conservation works along with costing have not been included in the CA scheme.	CA scheme is prepared on the basis of approved model rates. Cost Estimate of scheme is uploaded in additional information of online proposal part II. Hard copy is enclosed at page no 60-65. It includes protection of plantation and soil-moisture conservation works along with costing.
11 A	Site suitability certificate of proposed CA site shall be uploaded online also.	Site suitability certificate of proposed CA site has been uploaded in additional information of part II of Online proposal.
B	Vegetation density is mentioned as 0.01 in one document whereas 0.1 at other places.	As per reply of DCF Jodhpur, vegetation density of the proposal read as 0.1.

Enclosed: As above

Yours sincerely

(Priya Ranjan)  
CCF Protection  
Rajasthan, Jaipur  
Tel: 0141-2713760

Date: 3

**F 14( ) Road/2018/FCA/PCCF/4118-21**

Copy forwarded for:

- 1- Secretary (Forest), Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
- 2- Chief Conservator of Forest, Jodhpur
- 3- Deputy Conservator of Forest, Jodhpur
- 4- Manager, NHAI PIU Jodhpur.

CCF Protection  
Rajasthan, Jaipur