E-Mail ID cfgarhwalp@gmail.com
cfgarhwal555@gmail.com
Phone/Fax 01368-222566
वन मित्र कॉल सेंटर–9208008000

कार्यालय वन संरक्षक, गढ़वाल वृत्त, उत्तराखण्ड, पौड़ी

पत्रांकः– 1325 / 12–1 दिनांक, पौड़ी, अक्टूबर, 30 ,2017.

सेवा में,

अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक,
एवं नोड़ल अधिकारी वन संरक्षण,
इन्दिरानगर फॉरेस्ट कालोनी,
उत्तराखण्ड़, देहरादून।

विषय– जनपद–रूद्रप्रयाग के अन्तर्गत ममणी–उरोली मोटर मार्ग (2.10 है0) के निर्माण हेतु लोक निर्माण विभाग को वन भूमि हस्तान्तरण प्रस्ताव।

Proposal No. - FP/UK/ROAD/11055/2015.

संदर्भः– प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी, रूद्रप्रयाग वन प्रभाग, रूद्रप्रयाग का पत्रांक 915/12–1(2) दिनांक, 24.10.2017.

महोदय,

उपयुक्त विषयक प्रकरण में वांच्छित आपत्तियों का निराकरण प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी, रूद्रप्रयाग वन प्रभाग, रूद्रप्रयाग द्वारा किया गया है जो निम्न प्रकार हैं:

बिन्दु संख्या	आपत्ति	निराकरण
1	In the village wise breakup the area of both the village Uroli and Ijra is not mentioned separately in online Part-I.	Village Ijra is only being benefited by project. Area under proposal is solely of village Uroli. **Area of village Ijra is not required to be diverted for the proposal.** So it is not mentioned in village wise breakup. Area of village Uroli is required to be diverted. So it is mentioned is village wise breakup **(At B-2.3 of Part I)**
2	The village Level Committee proceeding for village Ijra is not provided with the FRA certificate. The State Govt. may rovide/upload the VLC proceeding for village Ijra.	VLC proceeding for village Ijra is not required as no area of village is being dirverted for proposal. Ijra village is mentioned only under **"benefited village"** category.
3	From the component wise breakup in online Part-I and the muck disposal plan provided in hard copy, it is not clear that the area marked for muck dumping included in the area proposed for diversion or the private land. The State Govt. may clarify whether the area marked for dumping is included in the area proposed for diversion, if not revise the proposal after including the area in diversion area accordingly.	Area marked for muck dumping is private land which is other than the private land proposed for diversion. Noc of private land owners is **uploaded at other document as NOC for muck dumping site.**
4	The area mentioned for CA is 2.1 ha in online Part-I para L and para 13 of online Part-II, which is incorrect. Though, the CA scheme and suitability certificate provided for 4.2 ha area in hard & soft copy the State Govt. do necessary correction in online portal and the revenue papers and Khasra details uploaded at para L (iv) (A-H).	Area mentioned for CA is corrected in **on line Part-I Para-L and Part-II para-13**. Revenue papers and khasra details had been uploaded **at para L (iv) (A-H)** which needs no correction.

संलग्नकः– उपरोक्तानुसार।

भवदीय

(जी0के0रस्तोगी)
वन संरक्षक,
गढ़वाल वृत्त, उत्तराखण्ड, पौड़ी।

Manish

फोन/ फैक्स नं0 :– 01364 – 233505::
पता –: माई की मढ़ी निकट जवाडी बाईपास रूद्रप्रयाग

कार्यालय उप वन संरक्षक, रूद्रप्रयाग वन प्रभाग, रूद्रप्रयाग।

पत्रांक 915 / 12-1(2) दिनांक 24.10/2017::

सेवा में,

वन संरक्षक,
गढ़वाल वृत्त उत्तराखण्ड,
पौड़ी।

विषय :– **जनपद–रूद्रप्रयाग के अन्तर्गत ममणी–उरोली मोटर मार्ग (2.10 है0) के निर्माण हेतु लोक निर्माण विभाग को वन भूमि हस्तान्तरण प्रस्ताव।**

Proposal No. - FP/UK/ROAD/11055/2015

सन्दर्भ :– नोडल अधिकारी द्वारा लगाई गई आपत्तियां (EDS) दिनांक 09.08.2017।

महोदय,

उपरोक्त सन्दर्भित विषयक वन भूमि हस्तान्तरण प्रस्ताव में नोडल अधिकारी स्तर से लगाई गई आपत्तियों का निराकरण अधिशासी अभियन्ता, प्रान्तीय खण्ड, लो0नि0वि0, रुद्रप्रयाग ने अपने पत्रांक–3161/16सी0 दिनांक 11.10.2017 द्वारा निम्न प्रकार प्रेषित है।

बिन्दु संख्या	आपत्ति	निराकरण
1	In the village wise breakup the area of both the village Uroli and Ijra is not mentioned separately in online Part-I.	Village Ijra is only being benefited by project. Area under proposal is solely of village Uroli. **Area of village Ijra is not required to be diverted for the proposal.** So it is not mentioned in village wise breakup. Area of village Uroli is required to be diverted. So it is mentioned is village wise breakup **(At B-2.3 of Part I)**
2	The village Level Committee proceeding for village Ijra is not provided with the FRA certificate. The State Govt. may rovide/upload the VLC proceeding for village Ijra.	VLC proceeding for village Ijra is not required as no area of village is being dirverted for proposal. Ijra village is mentioned only under **"benefited village"** category.
3	From the component wise breakup in online Part-I and the muck disposal plan provided in hard copy, it is not clear that the area marked for muck dumping included in the area proposed for diversion or the private land. The State Govt. may clarify whether the area marked for dumping is included in the area proposed for diversion, if not revise the proposal after including the area in diversion area accordingly.	Area marked for muck dumping is private land which is other than the private land proposed for diversion. Noc of private land owners is **uploaded at other document as NOC for muck dumping site.**
4	The area mentioned for CA is 2.1 ha in online Part-I para L and para 13 of online Part-II, which is incorrect. Though, the CA scheme and suitability certificate provided for 4.2 ha area in hard & soft copy the State Govt. do necessary correction in online portal and the revenue papers and Khasra details uploaded at para L (iv) (A-H).	Area mentioned for CA is corrected in **on line Part-I Para-L and Part-II para-13**. Revenue papers and khasra details had been uploaded **at para L (iv) (A-H)** which needs no correction.

संलग्न :– उपरोक्तानुसार।

भवदीय,

उप वन संरक्षक,
रूद्रप्रयाग वन प्रभाग, रूद्रप्रयाग।