



To,

**Additional Principal Chief Conservator of Forests  
Nodal Officer, Nagpur**

**Subject :-** Proposal for diversion of 3.48 Ha forest land under FCA 1980 for Gravity diversion Scheme Nanashi Link Cut/Canal in village Nanashi Tal Dindori, Dist.Nashik the state of Maharashtra

**Reference:-**  
 1) Government of India, MoEF & C.C. Regional Office (WCZ) Nagpur vide his letter No.6/MH-293/2022-NGP/10510, dated 31/10/2022  
 2) Your office letter No.Desk-17/NC/II/ID12913(15)/1958, dt.03/11/2022  
 3) Executive Engineer, Minor Irrigation Division, Nashik letter No. MIDN/Nanashi-F/3978, dt.15/11/2022  
 4) Deputy Conservator of Forests, West Nashik Office letter No.Land/ Campa/ FCA/1804, dt.10/02/2023


As per the above referenced letter, No.1 the discrepancies observed in the proposal for diversion of 3.48 Ha forest land under FCA 1980 for Gravity Diversion Scheme Nanashi Link Cut/Canal in village Nanashi, Tal. Dindori, Dist. Nashik, the User Agency & Deputy Conservator of Forests West Nashik have submitted their report vide above Ref No.3 & 4. The pointwise compliance is as follows.

No.	Queries	Compliance	Page No
1	Component wise area calculation statement is not submitted along with the proposal. The same needs to be submitted.	Component wise area calculation statement is attached herewith. <b>Annexure-1</b>	Page No 1 - 2
2	CA scheme is not submitted along with the proposal, only abstract has been submitted. Site specific CA scheme for 10 years shall be prepared and submitted.	Site specific CA scheme for 10 years is prepared by Forest Department.  The 3.48 Ha afforestation work will be done on compensatory non-forest land Survey/Gut no. 13 of Holdar Nagar Tal Trimbak Nashik Range. The said land is adjoining Forest Land. <b>Annexure- 2</b>  In this compensatory land parcel, 1.5 hectare is workable (1000 plants/per hectare norm as per FCA guideline 2019 Para. 2.4(vi) on Page No 46 <b>Annexure- 3</b>	Page No 3-21  Page No 22-23

	<p>So, User Agency has provided undertaking vide Letter No. Outward/ MIDN/Nanashi-F/832/ dated 09/03/2022 to provide for additional afforestation on degraded forest land of 7 Hectare at Survey no 73 and 87 of Vinchurdalvi, Taluka Nashik, Nashik Range.</p> <p><b>Annexure- 4</b></p>	<p>Hence, Compensatory Afforestation Scheme (for 10 years) on compensatory non-forest land of 3.48 Hec Survey/Gut no. 13 of Holdar Nagar Tal Trimbak Nashik Range has been attached herewith.</p> <p>Along with it, Afforestation Scheme on double degraded forest land 7 Hectare at Survey no 73 and 87 of Vinchurdalvi, Taluka Nashik, Nashik Range has been attached herewith. <b>Annexure- 5</b></p>	<p>Page No 24-25</p> <p>Page No 26-48</p>
3	<p>DCF, West Nashik has mentioned in his Part-II that there is presence of White Rumped Vulture, Long Billed Vulture and Leopard which are Schedule-I species. Specific comments from PCCF (Wildlife) in this regard needs to be submitted.</p>	<p>A Conservation plan has been submitted to User Agency vide this office letter no Desk-2/Land/C.N.04/550, dated 23/09/2021</p> <p><b>(Annexure-12)</b></p> <p>based on queries raised by APCCF &amp; (Nodal) Officer M.S.Nagpur vide letter no Desk-17/NC/11/ID-12913/ 414/dated 30/06/2021 regarding Conservation of Leopard and Vultures. <b>Annexure- 6</b></p> <p>The User Agency has agreed Vide Letter No. Outward/ MIDN/ 201/ dated 24/01/2022 to provide for the conservation plan. <b>Annexure- 7</b></p>	<p>Page no 60-63</p> <p>Page no 49-50</p> <p>Page no 51-52</p>
4	<p>DCF, West Nashik has mentioned in his certificate that the proposed site is barest minimum and is most suitable from Technical, Economical and from Forest point of view. In this regard, comparative statement viz. activities to be carried out depth of cutting, amount of muck generating and its disposal shall be submitted.</p>	<p>The compliance report is separately attached as <b>Annexure- 8</b></p>	<p>Page No 53</p>

5	DCF, West Nashik has mentioned in his Part-II that in the joint inspection report it is mention that, alternative land with minimal diversion of forest area is available if the diversion canal is made through Gut No. 194 and Gut No. 196. This option would not only reduce the total area needed for diversion (Forest or otherwise), but also reduces the total project cost. However, the alignment will run through Nanashi village. The Local MLA, on behalf of villagers, has requested to not divert the land for the said project. Comments from State Government in this regard is required.	The alternative land with minimal diversion of forest area through tunnel was available if the diversion canal was made through Gut No. 194 and Gut No. 196. However, as the alignment runs through Nanashi village, to avoid the damage to houses and buildings and to avoid the financial loss and loss of life it is rejected. It is rejected from technical and financial point of view. The Local MLA also, on behalf of villagers has requested not to construct the alignment through Nanashi village. <b>Annexure-9</b>	Page No 54-55
6	Details of alternative alignments explored, Justification for selecting the proposed alternative and reasons for discarding other alternatives is not submitted.	There is no alternative available except the proposed alignment as the canal is gravity canal. Justification for locating the proposed alignment is attached herewith. <b>Annexure- 10</b>	Page No 56
7	Cross sectional map of the canal is not submitted along with the proposal. The same needs to be submitted.	Cross sectional map of the canal is attached herewith.  <b>Annexure- 11</b>	Page No 57-59

Encl :- as above



(Nitin Gudge)

**Chief Conservator of Forests (T)  
Nashik**

Copy to:- Deputy Conservator of Forests, West Nashik for information and necessary action

Copy to:- Executive Engineer, Minor Irrigation Division, Nashik for information and necessary action.