

No. Ft. 48-3150/2015 (FCA).

Forest Department, Himachal Pradesh.

From: Nodal Officer-cum-CCF (FCA)
O/o Pr.CCF(HoFF).HP, Shimla-1.

To: The Addl. Principal Conservator of Forests (Central) to the
Govt. of India, MoEF &CC,
Regional Office (North-Central Zone)
25,SubhaSH Road, Dehradun,
PIN-248001(U.K)

Dated Shimla-1, the

01 JUL 2019

Subject: Diversion of 4.2504 ha of forest land in favour of M/s Himprabha Power Pvt. Ltd. Village Karanguhi, P.O.Panthera, Tehsil Ghumarwin, District Bilaspur, H.P. for the construction of Kupan-II SHEP within the jurisdiction of Rampur Forest Division, Distt. Shimla (H.P) (Kurpan-II 4.8 MW SHEP uin distt Kullu/Shimla (4.2402)

Sir,

Kindly refer to your office EDS conveyed through e-mail on 16.12. 2016 in respect of the proposal cited as subject.

2. The point wise reply to the shortcomings in the proposal is submitted as under :-

Sr.No.	Observations	Reply
1.	Short narrative of the project uploaded at para-A-1 (iii) does not given adequate information of the project.	The User Agency has submitted the adequate information of the project which is uploaded in Para-A 1(iii) online.
2	At A I(x) Part-I online, total period for which diversion is required is not filled.	Total period of the project has been mentioned/filled in online in Para-A 1 (iii) online.
3	The authority letter to the application uploaded at A-3 (Xviii) Part-I online in favour of the Organization/company called KMN Engineering Consultant Pvt. Ltd. whereas the application is filed by Veena Kumari, Managing Director. On the other hand, all paper/certificate required to be signed by the User Agency in the Hard Copy have been signed by various other representatives of HIM Prabha Power Pvt. Limited. State Govt. may remove this discrepancy.	The Authority letter has been issued in favour of Smt. Veena Kumari and uploaded online A-3(xviii) (copy enclosed)

Sr.No	Observations	Reply
4	Instead of giving site break-up, Forest Division wise breakup of the Forest land proposed for diversion has been provided/uploaded online Part-B 2.3. Part-I	The User Agency has submitted the village wise break up and division wise break up of forest land proposed for diversion and the same has been uploaded on the the parivesh. nic.in web portal of MoEF.
5.	Component wise break up of area proposed for diversion separately for all individual project opponents' indicated in the digital map provided with the hard copy may be uploaded at Para B 2.4 Part-I online instead of clubbing the area of more than one component together.	Needful has been done by the User Agency and uploaded on the parivesh. nic.in web portal of MoEF
6.	The KML for each component is uploaded separately in Part-I for Rampur and Ani Forest Divisions which is not proper. The State Govt.may upload a single KML file showing all the components of the project for both the Forest Division in Part-I at Para-C (ii) b online.	The User Agency has uploaded a single KML file showing all the components of the projects for both Forest Divisions in Part-I at Para-C (ii) online.
7	The geo-referenced digital map for area proposed for diversion uploaded at Para – C (iv) of online Part-I is not found satisfactory though correct digital map is provided with hard copy of the proposal. State Govt. may upload the digital map available in hardcopy online at C (iv) Part-I after including few more geo-coordinates for the T/L specifically for each turning point.	The User Agency has uploaded the Digital map hard copy online at C (iv) Part-I after indicating some more geo-coordinates for the transmission line specifically for each turning point.
8	State Govt. may provide/upload the Village Level Committee proceeding for Khakrola & Kumsu Village and Sub-Divisional Level Committee meeting proceeding for Shimla at Para-K, Part-I online.	The User Agency has provided/uploaded the FRA Certificate including Village Level Committee Meeting Proceedings for Khaskrola and Kumsu village level and Sub-Divisional Level Committee meeting proceeding for Shimla District online web portal(Copy thereof enclosed)

Sr.	Observations	Reply
9	The NOC of Village Khakrola & Kumsu may be provided/uploaded online at Additional Documents in Part-I	The User Agency has submitted the NOC of Village Khakrola and Kumsu village and also uploaded on the MoEF Portal. (Copy enclosed)
10	As directed by the Ministry, the CA may proposed over equivalent non-forest land and not on double the area on degraded forest land.	As per certificate issued by Deputy Commissioner, Distt. Kullu (placed at P-169 in the proposal folder) there is no Non-forest land for Compensatory Afforestation in the District Kullu, as such double area for Compensatory Afforestation has been proposed on Govt. Forest Land.
11	Approximate distance of the site proposed for diversion is mentioned as 0.00 Km at Para-7 of Para-II online which does not appear to be correct.	Necessary correction has been done by DFO Ani on the MoEF Web Portal.
12	Detail of wildlife present is not provided in Para-8(i) Part-II online Ani Forest Division.	DFO Ani has uploaded the detail of wildlife present on the MoEF Web Portal.
13	The total number of trees to be felled in Rampur Forest Division in 8 as per detail mentioned at Page-41 of hardcopy but this is not mentioned at Para-4 of online Part-II.	DFO Rampur has made the necessary correction on the MoEF Web portal in para-4 of online Part-II.
14	The total number of trees proposed to be felled are shown as 114 at Para-4 online Part-II for Ani Forest Division but as per Pg-48 of the hardcopy of the proposal, this number should be 106 only.	Necessary correction has been done by DFO Ani in online Part-II para-4 for Ani Forest Division.
15	Though, a document placed in hardcopy of the proposal at Pg-114 contains permission for Transmission line through underground cable, it is not clear whether the proposed 22 JKV T/L is through bare conductor or through over head single armoured cable because the RoW is taken 4 m in Rampur Forest Division and 4.86 m Ani Forest Division which is not as per the existing guidelines. May clarify as to what kind of	The User Agency has submitted that transmission line has been proposed by laying underground cable. The project is near the bank of river Kurpan in Ani Forest Division and as per the Geographical/Technical Survey the land is required 4.86 Mtrs to make the trench

	T/L is proposed and revise the RoW for the same in accordance with the guidelines.	RoW and to make in inspections of cable time to time and there is no need of extra width of trench cable at Rampur as the area is not along with the river as per Geographical/ Technical Survey, therefore, only 4 Mtrs. Width has been taken. In the rules of RoW, no width has been defined for laying of 22KV underground cable.
16	Titles of the documents uploaded as additional document in Part-II online are not mentioned in the remarks column.	Needful has been done by the DFO Ani and user agency on the Web Portal of MoEF

3. It is informed vide your office letter No.Mis/II/ROC/COP/2013/1847 dated 08.02.2018, the above said proposal has been revoked not giving the reply beyond 90 days of the observations pointed out. Now the reply has been received, therefore, the proposal may not be revoked in the interest of State as well User Agency.

The reply given as above may kindly be considered and approval of the Govt. of India may kindly be conveyed at an early date.

Yours faithfully,

Encls: as above

Nodal Officer-cum-CCF (FCA)

O/o Pr. CCF (HoFF), H.P.

Endst. No. Ft.48-3150/2015 (FCA)

Dated Shimla-1, the

01 JUL 2019

Copy for information is forwarded to:-

1. CF Kullu with reference to his office Memo. No. Ani FCA-III/1594 dated 20.06.2019.
2. DFO Ani & Rampur.
3. M/s Himprabha Power Pvt. Ltd. Village Karanguhi, P.O.Panthera, Tehsil Ghumarwin, District Bilaspur, H.P.

Nodal Officer-cum-CCF (FCA)

O/o Pr. CCF (HoFF), H.P.

11/7/20