

# कार्यालय प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी, बागेश्वर वन प्रभाग बागेश्वर

Email-dfobag-forest-uk.nic.in/ dfo\_bageshwar@rediffmail.com

दूरभाष एवं फ़ैक्स नं: 05963-220249 वन मित्र काल सेटर- 9208008000

पत्रांक 1988 / 12-1-2 बागेश्वर दिनांक : 21 / 11 / 2023  
सेवा में,

वन संरक्षक,  
उत्तरी कुमाऊँ वृत्त,  
उत्तराखण्ड अल्मोड़ा।

विषय - जनपद बागेश्वर के अन्तर्गत एस0सी0एस0पी0 योजना में बैजनाथ-बागेश्वर-बेरीनाग मोटर मार्ग के किमी0 11 (रवाईखाल) से बुढ़गड़-थकलाड़-बिलेख मोटर मार्ग के निर्माण हेतु 6.953 है0 वन भूमि का गैर वानिकी कार्यों हेतु लोक निर्माण विभाग को प्रत्यावर्तन । (प्रस्ताव संख्या-47031/2020)

सन्दर्भ- भारत सरकार के पत्रांक 8बी0/यू.सी.पी./06/61/2023/एफ.सी./486 दिनांक 12.06.2023।

महोदय,

उपरोक्त विषयक संदर्भित पत्र के क्रम में अवगत कराना है कि उक्त प्रस्ताव में जो आपत्तिया लगायी गयी है, प्रस्तावक विभाग द्वारा बिन्दुवार निराकरण कर प्रस्ताव अग्रिम आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित की जा रही है।

बिन्दु सो 1	The area in the village-wise break-up at para B 2.3 is shown as 5.803 ha instead of 6.953 ha which is required to be corrected.	Village-wise break-up at para B 2.3 is shown 6.953 ha instead of 5.803 ha. At Part-I online.
2	Existing road network is not shown on the KML. State government is requested to upload the KML file of the proposed after marking existing road network.	Existing road network is not shown on the KML. And uploaded the same at para-I.
3	State Government is also requested to provide the details regarding population of each village and its distance from the nearest road.	Details regarding population of each village and its distance from the nearest road is shown in Prapatra-40 which is enclosed in revised proposal.
4	The cost benefit analysis has not been provided in online part I at designate column in prescribed format. The C/B analysis found submitted in the hard copy of the proposal is in old format. The State Government is requested to provide the cost Benefit analysis in prescribed format.	Cost benefit analysis has prepared at designate column in prescribed format. Which is uploaded at para-I online as well as submitted in the hard copy of the revised proposal.
5	The tree enumeration has been done with 9m width therefore the revised enumeration for 7m is required to be submitting .Further the details of saplings (0-10) are appear to be missing in counting, the same must be include in the enumeration.	Tree enumeration has been done revised with 7m width and details of saplings (0-10) are counted and included in the enumeration which is being submitted.
6	The details of tree enumeration for muck dumping sites are requested to be submitted separately.	No trees are being affected in muck dumping sites.
7	The DSS analysis of the CA area shows that in Patch Number 2 the 9ha area is MDF and not found suitable for planting tress@ 1000 trees per hectare. Further in this patch the proposed road is also passing which may surely be reduce the availability of area for plantations. The State Government is requested to replace this area with some other area suitable for plantations	New CA map for 9 ha is prepared and uploaded the same at part-I.

and upload the revised KML file, maps, CA scheme and suitability certificate accordingly.	
---	--

संलग्न- चार प्रतियो में।

भवदीय,



(उमेश चन्द्र तिवाड़ी)

प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी

बागेश्वर वन प्रभाग बागेश्वर।