



OFFICE OF THE DIVISIONAL FOREST OFFICER, SUNDARGARH DIVISION.

(Phone-06622-272243, FAX-06622-274987, email- dfosnqdv@gmail.com)

Memo No.

4F/ Misc.Dt.

The Regional Chief Conservator of Forests,
Rourkela Circle, Rourkela.

Sub: - CONT.No.785 of 2013 filed by Nabin Kishor Bagh & Others Vrs. Suwendu Ray & Others. Widening of two lane road to four lane road from Bankibahal to Kanika Railway siding.
(State serial No. 14/2014)
-Submission of Compliance report

Ref: - Your memo no.3062 dt.17.11.2014.

In inviting a reference to the above cited memo, the point wise compliance report vide memo under reference is furnished herewith in details below:-

Sl. No.	Objection description	Compliance status
1	The Diversion proposal submitted is for widening of existing 2-lane road to 4-lane road from Bankibahal to Kanika (from 0/00 Km to 25/750 Km.) Railway siding . But in the "berif Note "on the project " at Page-2 and in CA scheme under Para-1(Project overview), the stretch of the road has been mentioned as Duduka to Kanika (25.750Km) . Further , it has been mentioned there that the road from Duduka to Kanika is part of road of Surguda,Balichuan,Balinga,Banijhariaal,Hemgir,Kanika,Belpahar(MDR-29). This need clarification.	In the Brief note the Road was wrongly mentioned as Dudka to Kanika. Now the same has been rectified as Bankibahal to Kanika railway Siding from 0/0km to 25/750km. This Road from Bankibahal to Kanika is a part of Road Surguda-Balichuan-Balinga-Hemgir-Kanika-Belpahar (MDR-29), which is of 46.00km length and herewith rectified.
2	The proposed project need to be shown on the Toposheet . The Toposheet should also be signed by all concerned including the User Agency.	The Road has been shown in the topo sheet in Red colour. This has been signed by all officers and submitted.
3	Page -64 (Annexure-III B):The Land schedule has not been countersigned by the DFO.	Land schedule countersigned by the DFO
4	Page No.13: The Certificate /Undertaking furnished by the User Agency have not been countersigned by the DFO.	The certificate/Undertaking furnished by the user Agency countersigned by the DFO and submitted.
5	Page No.13: The Cost Benefit Analysis has been furnished by the User Agency only. The loss to be sustained on account of timber , firewood, Minor Forest produce and environmental loss has to be calculated by the DFO and no the User Agency. The Summary of Cost Benefit Analysis (Ratio) is to be countersigned by the DFO.	The Cost Benefit analysis has been checked by DFO and the same has been countersigned by the DFO.
6	Page No. 1 : The Check list has not been countersigned by the DFO.	The Checklist has been countersigned and submitted by the DFO.
7	All the Geo-referenced maps for the forest area involved other than RFs are required to be signed by the Tahasildar concerned since the signatures of the concerned Tahasildar are wanting in those maps.	The Tahasildar Hemgir has countersigned the Geo-referenced maps of Forest Area and the same has been submitted.

Memorandum 6904 dt-15-12-14
 ESR
 copy to A2200 of up
 15/12/14
 Dudka-Kanika file -

	All the village sheet having forest area proposed to be diverted need to be countersigned by the DFO.	The village sheet having Forest Area proposed to be diverted has been countersigned by the DFO.
9	In Part-II against Point No.X , it has been mentioned that the project site does form part of any national Park, Wild Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve, ElephantCorridor etc.. This may be verified.	This Project Site does not form any part of national park, Wild Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, TigerReserve, ElephantCorridor etc and a map has been enclosed showing the distance.
10	The proposal should contain an index in the beginning showing the list of Documents/Maps/ Annexure with page numbers. Further, all the documents may be serially paginated.	An index has been provided showing all the documents,Maps,Annexure with page number and all the documents has been serially paginated.
11	The RFs indicated by the project proponent in part-I of Form-A & brief project report are different from the RFs mentioned by the DFO in his report . This needs rectification.	The name of the RFs in part-I of Form-A and in the DFO report has been checked and rectified the defects.
12	In Part-II against point No 0 (ii) The map attached vide Annexure-XIII stated to have been DGPS surveyed map of the diverted Forest area , is actually the Biswanathpur in lieu of the Forest land proposed for diversion in respect of above proposal . The discrepancy needs to be removed. Further, it may be stated as to whether the status of the "Non-Forest" land identified for CA was non-forest as on 25-10-1980.	The GPS map enclosed vide Annexure-XIII for CA land in BishwanathPur was GPS surveyed map . The same has been rectified and shown as GPS surveyed maps. Again it has been stated by the Tahasildar Hemgir that, The Land Identified for CA was non -Forest land as on 25.10.1980.
13	The CA scheme should also include a Treatment Map showing the proposed interventions.	A treatment map has been enclosed for the CA scheme.
14	The classification of the Non-Forest lands include in the land schedule of the project as on 25.10.1980 should be furnished.	This has also been furnished by the Tahasildar Hemgir and the letter is enclosed for kind reference.

Hence, after compliance the proposal in 5 sets are submitted herewith for onward transmission to higher quarters.

This is for favour of information and necessary action

Encl:- Proposal in 5 sets .

80/12

Divisional Forest Officer,
Sundargarh Division.

Memo No. _____/4F (Misc) dt.

Copy forwarded to the Addl.Principal Chief Conservator of Forests, Forest Diversion & Nodal Officer, FC-Act. , Office of the Pr.Chief Conservator of Forests Odisha, Bhubaneswar for favour of information and necessary action .

80/12

Divisional Forest Officer,
Sundargarh Division.

Memo No. 8700 /4F (Misc) dt. 15-12-14

Copy forwarded to the Executive Engineer , Sundargarh R & B Division , Sundargarh for information and necessary action

Divisional Forest Officer,
Sundargarh Division.