PROPOSAL NO. FP/UK/ROAD/16306/2015

- 1. The coordinate though provided on digital map for 200-300m but geo-coordinates for few turns of the proposed alignment are missing. The State Gov may provide coordinate for all turns of the road.
- 2. The scanned copy of geo-referenced map is uploaded on wrong place in online Part I as it should be uploaded at C b (iv) instead of C b(iii) and the SoI toposheet map is uploaded at C b(iv) should be uploaded at C b(iii). The necessary correction is requiring in the online Part I.
- 3. The name of villages provides in the village wise break up are not matching with the name mentioned in FRA certificate signed by the DC. The State Govt may review the name of village provided in village wise break up and also provide village level committee (VLC) proceeding for all villages. The complete FRA certificate may upload at designated place in Part I.
- 4. The density 0.3 does not account for the dense forest category for which the State Govt calculated NPV. The State Govt may review the same and provide revised calculation of NPV.
- 5. Number of trees in the alignment is 126 as per the information provided in hard copy of the proposal but in online Part II, the 92 trees are mentioned need clarification and necessary correction.
- 6. Detail of wildlife in online Part II is not provided.
- 7. Cite suitability certificate though provided as an additional document in online Part II but not uploaded in designated place of 13 (vi) in online Part II.
- 8. The original copy of following documents may provide to this office through the State Govt:
 - 1. FRA certificate alongwith all annexure.
 - 2. Geo-referenced map of area showing coordinate for 200-300 m including all turns of the road and for area proposed for CA as uploaded in online Part I.
 - 3. The State Govt may also provide hard copy of the revised document in original including authenticated copy of Part I, II, III, IV and V as in online web portal to this office through the State Govt.