

No.Ft. (FCA),
H.P. Forest Department.

Dated Shimla-1, the

From: Nodal Officer-cum-APCCF (FCA)
O/o Pr.CCF, H.P, Shimla-1.

To: CF Chamba

Subject: **Diversion of 3.64 ha. of forest Land in favour of HPPWD for the construction of Link road to village Dangardi, within the jurisdiction of Dalhousie Forest Division, Distt. Chamba, H.P. (Online Proposal No. FP/ HP/Road/43801/2020).**

Memo:

Refer to your office EDS reply dated 11-13-2024 on the subject cited above.

Regarding the cited proposal, the Government of India (GoI) raised some observations on 30/08/2022. In response, an incomplete reply was received in this office on 28/09/2023 from your office. Accordingly, this office requested a complete reply addressing the observations raised by the GoI on 05/10/2023.

Despite this, a point-wise reply along with the clarification requested by the GoI was not provided. Instead, the proposal was resubmitted to this office on 19/09/2024 with the remarks, "*needful has been done.*" This office then requested on 21/09/2024 that the complete observations be addressed. However, the proposal was again resubmitted on 23/09/2024 without a forwarding memo or addressing the observations.

Subsequently, this office reiterated the request to address the observations on 26/09/2024. In response, the proposal was once more sent back on 22/10/2024 with the remarks, "*observations have been attended.*" Despite this, when an online request was made on 23/10/2024 for a point-wise reply, the proposal was resubmitted without uploading the forwarding memo. Instead, it was stated that, "*EDS has been attended by the DFO/User agency and uploaded online on the portal.*"

Despite repeated requests, the observations remain unaddressed, and the forwarding memo has not been uploaded. It is well-known that the completeness of a proposal can be verified from the forwarding memo, which remains absent merely submitting the remarks '*needful has been done*' does not serve the purpose. This has resulted in unproductive correspondence and wasted time for this office.

You are requested to ensure a proper scrutiny of the reply and submit it along with the forwarding memo. Incomplete or vague replies in the future will be taken seriously, and it will be presumed that neither the user agency nor your office is willing to obtain approval for this proposal. Consequently, the proposal will be recommended for withdrawal. Further action for any violations within the area will be dealt with separately.

Nodal Officer,-cum-APCCF (FCA)
O/o Pr. CCF (HoFF)H.P.