

## कार्यालय उप वन संरक्षक, बांसवाड़ा

क्रमांक प. 14( ) एफ.सी.ए./उवसं/2021/11632

दिनांक:-16-12-2021

निमित्त,  
वरिष्ठ अधिशासी अभियंता,  
NPCIL, बांसवाड़ा।

विषय :- Mahi Banswara Atomic power project (MBRAPP)- 1 to 4 की स्थापना हेतु  
100.05 हेक्टेयर वन भूमि प्रत्यावर्तन बाबत् (FP/RJ/Others/22621/2016)

सन्दर्भ:- प्रधान मुख्य वन संरक्षक, हॉफ, राजस्थान, जयपुर EDS Dated 16.12.2021

महोदय,

उपरोक्त विषयांतर्गत विषयांकित प्रकरण में प्रधान मुख्य वन संरक्षक, हॉफ, राजस्थान, जयपुर से प्राप्त EDS Dated 16-12-2021 की प्रति इस पत्र के साथ संलग्न प्रेषित कर लेख है कि EDS के बिन्दु संख्या 2, 3 एवं 4 की पालना में आपके स्तर से अपेक्षित कार्यवाही शीघ्र पुर्ण करावें ताकी तदुपरान्त इस कार्यालय के स्तर से अपेक्षित कार्यवाही पुर्ण करवा कर प्रस्ताव निर्धारित माध्यम से वापस उच्च कार्यालय को अग्रेषित करवाया जा सके।

संलग्न - उपरोक्तानुसार।



(हरि किशन सारस्वत)  
उप वन संरक्षक,  
बांसवाड़ा

Proposal No. - (FP/RJ/Others/22621/2016)

Print

| From          | Status | To            | EDS Date   | EDS sought/Replied   | EDS Letter |
|---------------|--------|---------------|------------|--|------------|
| DFO           | EDS    | User Agency   | 23/08/2018 | Please find this office letter no. 6951-53 dated 20.08.2018 regarding allotment of Non Forest land for compensatory afforestation.   |            |
| User Agency   | REPLY  | DFO           | 10/01/2019 | The correction have been made as per the instruction received  |            |
| DFO           | EDS    | User Agency   | 26/03/2019 | Please find letter attached herewith for necessary action reg. NFL   |            |
| User Agency   | REPLY  | DFO           | 29/06/2020 | The correction have been made as per the instruction received  |            |
| DFO           | EDS    | User Agency   | 11/07/2020 | It is not clear that what reply you have submitted regarding this office EDS letter number 1839-45 dated 26-03-2019. So please submit hard copy of the reply so that proposal can be processed.  |            |
| User Agency   | REPLY  | DFO           | 26/02/2021 | The correction have been made as per the instruction received  |            |
| Nodal Officer | EDS    | CF            | 13/08/2021 | Please find attached EDS for compliance.   |            |
| CF            | EDS    | DFO           | 24/08/2021 | Please find attached EDS for compliance.   |            |
| DFO           | EDS    | User Agency   | 25/10/2021 | Please find this office letter no 10650 dated 25.10.2021 regarding EDS dated 13.08.21 raised by the PCCF rajasthan   |            |
| User Agency   | REPLY  | DFO           | 28/10/2021 | The correction have been made as per the instruction received  |            |
| DFO           | REPLY  | CF            | 28/11/2021 | Please find attached letter no 11128-31 dated 20.11.2021 of this office regarding EDS raised by PCCF EDS dated 13.08.2021  |            |
| CF            | REPLY  | Nodal Officer | 29/11/2021 | please find the attachment.  |            |
| Nodal Officer | EDS    | CF            | 16/12/2021 | The following shortcomings are found in the proposal- 1. The CA scheme given for 200.10 ha. DFL is amount 29546000, while detailed estimate is given for amount 32281000, which is contradictory. 2. In part I, employment generation is for 1700, but uploaded document is contradictory. 3. In tree enumeration report, still it is not clear that how many trees are to be cut and how many are to be pruned. 4. Many Documents uploaded in PART II by DCF are related to User agency. So these documents should be uploaded by user agency in PART I and DCF has to remove them. |            |
| CF            | EDS    | DFO           | 16/12/2021 | The following shortcomings are found in the proposal- 1. The CA scheme given for 200.10 ha. DFL is amount 29546000, while detailed estimate is given for amount 32281000, which is contradictory. 2. In part I, employment generation is for 1700, but uploaded document is contradictory. 3. In tree enumeration report, still it is not clear that how many trees are to be cut and how many are to be pruned. 4. Many Documents uploaded in PART II by DCF are related to User agency. So these documents should be uploaded by user agency in PART I and DCF has to remove them. |            |