


Proposal No- FP/UK/ROAD/10443/2015 EDS dated- 11/12/2018

Following shortcomings still remain in the point wise reply to the points raised in EDS dated 18-06-2018 of this office.

1. The cost benefit analysis uploaded at section G in Form A, Part-I is not in format, please provided C/B analysis in attached format. (attached herewith).
2. Uploaded FRA document with all annexure has not been opening at section k (i-a) in form A part I. please Re-upload.
3. User agency may review Temporary employment generation details at section E in Form A, part I.

....Nodal office, Dehradun....

पदांक मेमा / 12-1 (2) / दिनांक 12-12-18
प्रतिनिधि कक्षाधिकारी कक्षा, अलमोडा,
प्र.सं. लो. नि. वि. रा. म. सेत का अ. च. ग. र. एवं
उपरोक्त कक्षाधिकारी का पि. ड. व. र. वि. मा. क. व. र.
प्र.सं. क. ल. ड. र. प्र.सं. क. र.


मुख्य प्रशासनिक अधिकारी
अलमोडा वन प्रभाग, अलमोडा

प्रशासीय वनाधिकारी,
अलमोडा वन प्रभाग, अलमोडा

Cost Benefit Analysis Guidelines for forest land diversion -2017

Table-A : Cases under which a cost-benefit analysis for forest diversion are required

No	Nature of proposal	Applicable/ not applicable	Remarks
1	All categories of proposals involving forest land upto 20 hectares in plains and upto 5 hectare in hills	Not applicable	These proposals may be considered on a case to case basis and value judgement
2	Proposal for defence installation purposes and oil prospecting (prospecting only)	Not applicable	In view of national Priority accorded to these sectors, the proposals would be critically assessed to help ascertain that the utmost minimum forest land is diverted for non-forest use
3	Habitation, establishment of industrial units, tourist lodges complex and other building construction.	Not applicable	These activities being detrimental to protection and conservation of forest, as a matter of policy, such proposals would be rarely entertained.
4	All other proposals involving forestland more than 20 hectares in plains and more than 5 hectares in hills including roads, transmission lines, minor, medium and major irrigation projects, hydro projects, mining activity, railway lines, location specific installations like micro-wave stations, auto repeater centres, TV towers etc.	Applicable	These are cases where a cost-benefit analysis is necessary to determine when diverting the forest land to non-forest use in the overall public interest.

Table-B: Estimation of cost of forest diversion

SN	Parameters	Remarks
1	Ecosystem services losses due to proposed forest diversion	Economic value of loss of eco-system services due to diversion of forests shall be the net present value (NPV) of the forest land being diverted as prescribed by the Central Government (MoEF& CC). <i>Note: In case of National Parks the NPV shall be ten (10) times the normal NPV and in case of Wildlife Sanctuary the NPV shall be five (5) times the normal NPV or otherwise prescribed by the ministry or any other competent authority</i>
2	Loss of animal husbandry productivity, including loss of fodder	To be quantified and expressed in monetary terms or 10% of NPV applicable whichever is maximum
3	Cost of human resettlement	To be quantified and expressed in monetary terms as per approved R&R plan
4	Loss of public facilities and administrative infrastructure (Roads, building, schools, dispensaries, electric lines, railways, etc.) on forest land, which would require forest land if these facilities were diverted due to the project	To be quantified and expressed in monetary terms on actual cost basis at the time of diversion

Cost Benefit Analysis Guidelines for forest land diversion -2017

5	possession value of forest land diverted	30% of environmental costs (NPV) due to loss of forests or circle rate of adjoining area in the district should be added as a cost component as possession value of forestland whichever is maximum
6	Cost of suffering to oustees	The social cost of rehabilitation of oustees (in addition to the cost likely to be incurred in providing residence, occupation and social services as per R&R plan) be worked out as 1.5 times of what oustees should have earned in two years had he not been shifted.
8	Habitat Fragmentation Cost	While the relationship between fragmentation and forest goods and services is complex, for the sake of simplicity the cost due to fragmentation has been pegged at 50% of NPV applicable as a thumb rule.
	Compensatory afforestation and soil & moisture conservation cost	The actual cost of compensatory afforestation and soil & moisture conservation and its maintenance in future at present discounted value

Table-C - Existing guidelines for estimating benefits of forest-diversion in CBA

Sr. No.	Parameters	Remarks
1	Increase in productively attribute to the specific project	To be quantified & expressed in monetary terms avoiding double counting
2	Benefits to economy due to the specific project	The incremental economic benefit in monetary terms due to the activities attributed to the specific project
3	No. of population benefited due to specific project	As per the Detailed project report
4	Economic benefits due to of direct and indirect employment due to the project	As per the Detailed project report.
5	Economic benefits due to Compensatory afforestation	Benefits from such compensatory forestation accruing over next 50 years monetised and discounted to the present value should be included as benefits of compensatory afforestation. *For benefits of CA the guideline of the Ministry for NPV estimation may be consulted.

Note-1: Net Present value (NPV) of environment and ecosystem services loss:

The concept of Net Present value of the forest land diverted is a scientific method of calculating the environmental cost and other losses caused due to diversion of forest land for non-forestry purposes. The NPV represents the net value of various ecosystem services and other environmental services in monetary terms which the forest would have provided if the forest would not have been diverted.