

**OFFICE OF THE PRINCIPAL CHIEF CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS
(HEAD OF FOREST FORCE), RAJASTHAN, JAIPUR**

*Aranya Bhawan, Jhalana Institutional Area, Jaipur Ph : 0141-2713949
Email : ccf.fca.rajasthan@gmail.com/apccf.fca.forest@rajasthan.gov.in*

No. F.14()/FCA/APCCF/PSC/2024-25/78

Dated : 11.12.2024

**MINUTES OF THE THIRTY-NINTH (39th) MEETING OF THE PROJECT SCREENING COMMITTEE
(PSC) HELD ON 10th DECEMBER, 2024 (TUESDAY)
AT ARANYA BHAWAN, JAIPUR**

The 39th meeting of the Project Screening Committee (PSC) of Rajasthan FCA, Jaipur was held on 10.12.2024 (Tuesday) at 02:30 PM in hybrid mode, under the provisions of Rules 8 & 9 of Forest (Conservation) Rules, 2022 & Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Rules, 2023. The following members/representatives of the State Govt. of Rajasthan were present online as well as offline during the meeting :

1.	Ms. Shikha Mehra Nodal Officer and Principal Chief Conservator of Forests (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur	Chairperson
2.	Shri R. K. Khairwa Chief Conservator of Forests (CCF), Kota	Member
3.	Shri Sunil Chief Conservator of Forests (CCF), Udaipur	Member
4.	Shri Rajiv Chaturvedi Chief Conservator of Forests (CCF), Jaipur	Member
5.	Shri R. K. Jain Chief Conservator of Forests (CCF), Jodhpur	Member
6.	Shri Hanumana Ram Chief Conservator of Forests (CCF), Bikaner	Member
7.	Shri R. K. Khairwa Chief Conservator of Forests and Field Director (WL), MHTR, Kota	Member
17.	Smt. Alpa Choudhary District Collector (DM), Sirohi	Member
18.	Shri Anil Yadav Dy. Conservator of Forests (DCF), Baran	Member
19.	Shri Hari Kishan Saraswat Dy. Conservator of Forests (DCF), Pratapgarh	Member
20.	Shri Abhishek Sharma Dy. Conservator of Forests (DCF), Banswara	Member
21.	Shri Ramawtar Doodhwal Dy. Conservator of Forests (DCF), Sikar	Member
22.	Shri Ashutosh Ojha Dy. Conservator of Forests (DCF), I.G.N.P. St. - II, Jaisalmer	Member
23.	Shri Madan Singh Charan Dy. Conservator of Forests (DCF), I.G.N.P. St. - II, Bikaner	Member
24.	Shri Ajay Chittora Dy. Conservator of Forests (DCF), Udaipur (North)	Member
25.	Shri Apoorva Krishan Srivastava Dy. Conservator of Forests (DCF), Kota	Member
26.	Shri Vijay Shankar Pandey Dy. Conservator of Forests (DCF), Chittorgarh	Member
27.	Dr. S. Sarath Babu Dy. Conservator of Forests (DCF), Bikaner	Member

28.	Smt. Kasturi Prashant Sule Dy. Conservator of Forests (DCF), Sirohi	Member
29.	Shri Nandlal Prajapat Dy. Conservator of Forests (DCF), Wildlife Mt. Abu (WL Mt. Abu), Sirohi	Member
30.	Shri Mohit Gupta Dy. Conservator of Forests (DCF), Jodhpur	Member
31.	Shri Ashutosh Ojha Dy. Conservator of Forests (DCF), Jaisalmer	Member
32.	Smt. Savita Dahiya Dy. Conservator of Forests (DCF), Barmer	Member
33.	Shri Muthu S. Deputy Conservator of Forests and Deputy Field Director, Mukundra Hills Tiger	Member
34.	Shri Anurag Kumar Bhatnagar Dy. Conservator of Forests Wildlife (DCF-WL), Kota	Member
35.	Shri Dinesh Kumar Gupta Dy. Conservator of Forests (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur	Member-Secretary

The following agenda items were discussed in the PSC meeting :

PROPOSALS SUBMITTED ON PARIVESH – 1.0 PORTAL

Agenda 39.1. : Construction and widening of Ramgarh Mata ji parikrma road (FP/RJ/ROAD/34310/2018) – 10.125 Kms.

The details of the proposal are as under : UA submitted the proposal on Parivesh 1.0, information available with Form – A Part – I is :

1. User Agency : **Public Works Department, Division - Shahabad, Distt. – Baran.**
2. Category : **Road (Linear).**
3. Location of the Project :
 - District - **Baran** and Division - **Baran Territorial**
 - Whether the project/activity falling in the State sharing international borders? : **No**
 - Total period for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted : **99 Years**
 - Total estimated cost of the Project (in Lakhs) : **1,000 Lakhs**
4. Land Requirement (in Ha.) of the project or activity :
 - Non-Forest Land : **3.09 Ha.**, Forest Land : **9.06 Ha.**, Total Land : **12.15 Ha.**
 - Legal Status of the Forest land : **Protected Forest**
5. Digital Maps : **KML File, DGPS Map etc. are enclosed.**
6. Employment likely to be generated :
 - **Permanent/Regular : 0 man-days and Temporary : 15 man-days**
7. Whether Rehabilitation and Resettlement (R & R) involved? : **No**
8. Whether project area involves shifting of watercourse/road/rail/Transmission line/water pipeline, etc. required? : **No**
9. Alternative site(s) explored : **Yes.**
10. Brief justification on selected site particularly highlighting environmental considerations : **The selected route will divert minimum forest land instead of alternatives, it is techno-economically feasible and it will also interconnect many villages.**
11. Whether there is any Government Order or Policy/Court order relevant or restricting to the site? : **No.**
12. Whether there is any litigation pending against the project and/or land in which the project is proposed to be set up? : **No.**
13. Whether the proposal involves violation of Act/Rule/Regulation/Notification of Central/State Government? : **No.**
14. Whether any proposal seeking prior approval of Central Government under the FC Act, 1980 for diversion of forest land required for this project has been submitted in the past? : **Yes (Details enclosed).**
15. Undertaking for Certificate Under Forest Rights Act (FRA), 2006 : **Yes (Undertaking enclosed).**

16. Details of Land for Compensatory Afforestation : **NFL applicable : Yes (Details are enclosed/submitted).**
17. Cost-Benefit analysis for the Project : **Not made (Not applicable).**
18. Whether the Project requires Clearance under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 (Environmental clearance)? : **No.**
19. Whether the Project or a part thereof is located in any Protected Area/their Eco-sensitive zone? : **No.**
20. Whether the Project or a part thereof is located in a Scheduled Area? : **No.**
21. Other additional information : **Undertakings regarding payment of NPV, CA and Others, Minimum forest land, area calculation sheet etc. are enclosed.**

The details of the Part – II filled by DCF Baran Territorial on Parivesh 1.0 are as under :

1. District – **Baran** and Division – **Baran Territorial.**
2. Diverted Forest Area – **9.06 Ha.**
3. Category : **Road (Linear).**
4. Legal Status of Forest Land – **Protected Forest.**
5. Canopy Density – **0.4** and Eco-class – **Eco 3**
6. Whether the proposal involves felling of trees? : **Yes.**
7. Number of trees which will actually be felled : **157 trees**, No. of species : **6.**
8. Working plan prescription for the forest land proposed for diversion : **Dhok and misc forest improvement.**
9. Vulnerability of forest area to erosion : **Gentle Rolling.**
10. Approximate distance of proposed Site for diversion from boundary of forest (Km) : **0 Km.**
11. Whether any work in violation of the Act or Guidelines issued under the Act has been carried out : **No.**
12. Details of wildlife present in and around the forest land proposed for diversion : **Jackal, Jungle Cat, Neelgai, Fox, Rabbit, Pig, Hyena, Langur, Cranes, Small Civet, Vulture, Peacock, Saltwater etc.**
13. Whether forms part of National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve Buffer Zone, Elephant Corridor, Wildlife Migration Corridor etc : **No.**
14. Whether any National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve, Elephant Corridor, Wildlife Migration Corridor etc., is located within 1 Km. from boundary of the forest land proposed to be utilized for prospecting or diversion : **No.**
15. Whether the forest land proposed for diversion is located within Eco-Sensitive Zone (ESZ) of the Protected Area notified under Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972 : **No.**
16. Whether any rare/endangered/unique species of flora and fauna found in the area : **Yes.**
17. Whether any protected archaeological/heritage site/defence establishment or any other important monument is located in the area : **No.**
18. Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency is unavoidable and bare minimum for the project : **Yes.**
19. **Compensatory Afforestation Details :**
 - Whether CA is applicable or not? : **Yes : NFL applicable (Some details of CA land such as KML File, Location Details, DGPS Map, Toposheet, Land Suitability Certificate etc. have been uploaded).**
 - Total Financial outlay for C.A. scheme (in Crores) : **0.7043779 Crores.**
20. **District Profile** : Details have been uploaded online in Part – II on Parivesh 1.0.
21. **Other additional information** : Copy of Block Notification, Certificate regarding NPV, Tree-Counting Report, Recommendation of concerned DCF & CCF, Site Inspection Report (SIR) etc. are enclosed/uploaded.

Decision of the PSC (PSC – IInd) :

Earlier, the **proposal was discussed in 27th PSC meeting held on 30.04.2024 and some information was sought from DCF Baran Territorial/CCF Kota Territorial which is as follows :-**

1. Working plan prescription has not been submitted correctly.
2. Ramgarh Crater has been declared as World Geo-Heritage Site but DCF has given no any information in point no. 9 of part - II and in the Site Inspection Report of the DCF. NOC from the competent

authority is also required. A clarification may be also sought from Department of Environment, Government of Rajasthan regarding whether this activity is allowed or not allowed as per guidelines? CCF will also give the comments for recommendation of this road in this point of view after examination of allowed activities in World Geo-Heritage Site guidelines.

3. Non-Forest Land (NFL) has been given in 6 patches, which is not acceptable. As per FCA Guidelines 2023, NFL should be given more than 5 ha in a single patch. District Collector had allotted 32.19 ha. NFL for 7 road proposals. UA should clearly mark individual proposal wise land on DGPS map.
4. Estimate of CA is not clear and CA scheme in prescribed format has not been submitted.
5. The proposed forest area falls inside the Ramgarh Conservation Reserve. Therefore, comments from CWLW are also required.
6. As per the reply of UA, total 4,733 trees are falling inside the RoW of the road but only 157 trees have been marked in online part - II.

Then in 27th PSC meeting, PSC had raised query/EDS to DCF Baran Territorial/CCF Kota Territorial for compliance of all the above points. DCF/CCF had replied and made compliance of the above conditions as under :-

1. Complied. Working plan prescription has been mentioned that the proposed area falls under improvement of Dhok and mixed species.
2. Partially complied. NOC from Archeological Survey of India has been uploaded as the proposed road is passing at the edge of the monuments. Hence, no impact on geological monuments by the project. But corrections are required in point no. 9 of the part - II and Site Inspection Report of the DCF.
3. Complied. UA submitted the proposed Non Forest Land (CA-NFL) 32.19 ha allotted in 6 patches. In which all proposals are marked in the proposed NFL. The proposed NFL 9.06 ha has been adjusted in two patches.
4. Not complied. CA scheme in prescribed format has not been submitted yet. New CA rates are also applicable from the 05.07.2024. CA scheme in prescribed format with new model is required.
5. Not Complied. The proposed forest area falling inside Ramgarh Conservation Reserve. Therefore, comments from CWLW are required.
6. Partially complied. DCF replied that out of total 4,733 trees only 157 trees are to be cut in the proposed road but total 4,733 trees details have not been filled in part - II.

Then in 33rd PSC meeting, PSC had raised query/EDS to DCF Baran Territorial/CCF Kota Territorial for compliance of all non-complied/partially complied EDS points. Now DCF/CCF have replied and made compliance of the above conditions as under :-

1. Not Complied. DCF has not corrected the information at point no. 9 of the part II and SIR as the archeological/historical monuments are present nearby the proposed forest land.
2. Not complied. DCF has not submitted CA scheme in prescribed format.
3. Not Complied. DCF has not submitted comments from CWLW as the proposed forest area falling inside Ramgarh Conservation Reserve.
4. Not Complied. DCF has not corrected in part II. DCF replied that out of total 4,733 trees only 157 trees are to be cut in the proposed road but total 4,733 trees details have not been filled in part - II.

Thus, DCF Baran Territorial/CCF Kota Territorial have still not submitted all the requisite information as directed in the 27th PSC meeting dated 30.04.2024. Therefore; after discussion with all the members, PSC decided to raise Query/EDS to DCF Baran Territorial/CCF Kota Territorial again for compliance of all non-complied/partially complied EDS points. However, it is mandatory for the UA to provide all the requisite information in physical mode to DCF/CCF; if anything required.

Shikha
(Ms. Shikha Mehra)
Chairperson (PSC) and
Nodal Officer & PCCF (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur

D
(Shri Dinesh Kumar Gupta)
MS (PSC) and DCF (FCA),
Rajasthan, Jaipur

- present -
(Shri R. K. Khairwa)
Chief Conservator of Forests,
Kota

- Absent -
(Shri Rohitashva Singh Tomar)
District Collector,
Baran
- present -
(Shri Anil Yadav)
Dy. Conservator of Forests,
Baran

Agenda 39.2. : Construction of Rishabdeo-Mandsaur PS 765 KV D/C Transmission Line under the transmission scheme Transmission System for Evacuation of Power from Rajasthan REZ Phase-IV (Part-2-5.5GW) Jaisalmer/Barmer Complex-Part-E to M/s Rajasthan IV E Power Transmission Limited State in Rajasthan (FP/RJ/PWR_TRANS/497732/2024).

The details of the proposal are as under : UA submitted the proposal on Parivesh 2.0, information available with Form – A Part – I is :

1. User Agency : **Assistant Manager, Rajasthan IV E Power Transmission Limited, Power Grid Corporation of India Limited, Udaipur (Rajasthan).**
2. Category : **Power Transmission Line/Telecommunication Line (Linear), Exempted Category : N/A, Is related to encroachment? : No.**
3. Location of the Project :
 - District - **Pratagarh** and Division – **Pratapgarh Territorial.**
 - Whether the project/activity falling in the State sharing international borders? : **No.**
 - Total period for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted : **35 Years.**
 - Total Cost of the Project at current price level (in Lakhs) : **47,500 Lakhs.**
4. Land Requirement (in Ha.) of the project or activity :
 - Non-Forest Land : **499.8937 Ha.,** Forest Land : **6.4588 Ha.,** Total Land : **506.3525 Ha.**
 - Legal Status of the Forest land : **Reserved Forest.**
 - Underground Component : **Over Head Transmission Line.**
5. Digital Maps : **KML File, DGPS Map etc. are enclosed.**
6. Employment likely to be generated :
 - During Construction Phase : **Permanent : 4,360 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 81,750 man-days**
 - During Operational Phase : **Permanent : 76,650 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 63,875 man-days**
7. Whether Rehabilitation and Resettlement (R & R) involved? : **No.**
8. Whether project area involves shifting of watercourse/road/rail/Transmission line/water pipeline, etc. required? : **No.**
9. Alternative site(s) explored : **Yes (Details are enclosed).**
10. Brief justification on selected site particularly highlighting environmental considerations : **No other Alternative is feasible except mentioned 2 alignments due protected forest Crossing. Analysis of three routes has been done and route-1 has been selected because it involves minimum forest land and lower the project cost.**
11. Whether there is any Government Order or Policy/Court order relevant or restricting to the site? : **No.**
12. Whether there is any litigation pending against the project and/or land in which the project is proposed to be set up? : **No.**
13. Whether the proposal involves violation of Act/Rule/Regulation/Notification of Central/State Government? : **No.**
14. Whether any proposal seeking prior approval of Central Government under the Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Adhinyam, 1980 for diversion of forest land required for this project has been submitted in the past? : **No.**
15. Undertaking for Certificate Under Forest Rights Act (FRA), 2006 : **Yes (Undertaking enclosed).**
16. Details of Land for Compensatory Afforestation (CA) : **Yes : DFL applicable (Undertaking enclosed).**
17. Cost-Benefit analysis for the Project : **Not made, Not applicable because it involves less than 20 ha. diversion of forest land.**
18. Whether the Project requires Clearance under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 (Environmental Clearance)? : **No.**
19. Whether the Project or a part thereof is located in any Protected Area/their Eco-sensitive zone (Wildlife Clearance)? : **No.**
20. Other additional information : **Undertakings regarding payment of NPV, CA and Others, Minimum forest land, Area Calculation Sheet, Undertaking regarding Medicinal Plantation etc. are enclosed.**

The details of the Part – II filled by DCF Pratapgarh Territorial on Parivesh 2.0 are as under :

1. District – **Pratapgarh** and Division – **Pratapgarh Territorial**.
2. Diverted Forest Area – **6.4588 Ha.**
3. Legal Status of Forest Land – **Reserved Forest (6.3516 Ha.) and Forest by dictionary meaning (0.1072 Ha.)**.
4. Canopy Density – **0.2** and Eco-class – **Eco III**
5. Whether the proposal involves felling of trees? : **Yes.**
6. **Enumeration Details :-** Total No. of trees to be felled – **246** and Total Species – **1**
7. Working plan prescription for the forest land proposed for diversion : **Restoration Working Circle (Copy of Working plan prescription is enclosed)**.
8. Vulnerability of forest area to erosion : **No.**
9. Approximate distance of proposed Site for diversion from boundary of forest (Km) : **0 Km.**
10. Whether any work in violation of the Act or guidelines issued under the Act has been carried out : **No.**
11. Details of wildlife present in and around the forest land proposed for diversion : **Jackal, Nilgai, Hyaena, Wild boar, Leopard etc.**
12. Whether forms part of National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve Buffer Zone, Elephant Corridor, Wildlife Migration Corridor etc : **No.**
13. Whether any National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve, Elephant Corridor, Wildlife Migration Corridor etc., is located within 10 Km. from boundary of the forest land proposed to be utilized for prospecting or diversion : **No.**
14. Whether any rare/endangered/unique species of flora and fauna found in the area : **No.**
15. Whether any protected archaeological/heritage site/defence establishment or any other important monument is located in the area : **No.**
16. Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency is unavoidable and bare minimum for the project : **Yes.**
17. **Compensatory Afforestation Details :**
 - Whether CA is applicable or not? : **Yes : DFL applicable (Some details of CA land such as KML File, Location Details, DGPS Map, Toposheet, Land Suitability Certificate etc. have been uploaded).**
 - Total Financial outlay for C.A. scheme (in Crores) : **0.77 Crores (CA scheme is enclosed).**
 - Whether the provision of additional CA land is proposed? : **No.**
18. **District Profile :** Details have been uploaded online in Part – II on Parivesh 2.0.
19. **Other additional information :** Copy of Block Notification, Certificate regarding NPV, Tree-Counting Report, CA Model estimates, Recommendation, Site Inspection Report (SIR) etc. are enclosed/uploaded.

Decision of the PSC (PSC – IInd) :

The proposal was submitted by UA in the 36th PSC meeting held on 25.10.2024 after compliance of EDS, then PSC had forwarded the proposal to concerned DCF Pratapgarh Territorial for filling Part - II. Now the DCF has filled the Part – II with site inspection and forwarded the proposal to this Committee for PSC –IInd. After careful examination of the Part - II of proposal, it is found that following information is to be provided by DCF (due to incompleteness of Part - II) :-

1. Total enumeration of tree is 821 but details of trees have not been found in part II.
2. Digital layers are not available for the forest block Santokhpura which is to be uploaded in website through IT wing of Forest Department.
3. 2x6.4588=12.9176 ha DFL is required for planting i.e. 12,918 plants whereas CA-DFL scheme is submitted for only 12,000 plants. Provision for two security guards for 26 ha DFL is not appropriate. DCF is advised to take the money as per approved model and site specific requirements.

Therefore; after discussion with all the members, PSC decided to raise Query/EDS to the DCF Pratapgarh Territorial for compliance.

Shikha

(Ms. Shikha Mehra)
Chairperson (PSC) and
Nodal Officer & PCCF (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present -

(Shri Sunil)
Chief Conservator of Forests,
Udaipur

- Absent -

(Dr. Anjali Rajoria)
District Collector (DM),
Pratapgarh

d

(Shri Dinesh Kumar Gupta)
MS (PSC) and DCF (FCA),
Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present -

(Shri Hari Kishan Saraswat)
Dy. Conservator of Forests,
Pratapgarh

Agenda 39.3. : Widening and Strengthening Work of Dalot, Salamgarh Ghantali to Mahidam Road (FP/RJ/ROAD/507204/2024).

The details of the proposal are as under : UA submitted the proposal on Parivesh 2.0, information available with Form – A Part – I is :

1. User Agency : **Assistant Engineer, Office of Executive Engineer PWD, Division II, Sub Division Peepalkhunt, Pratapgarh (Rajasthan).**
2. Category : **Road/Construction or Widening of Road including approach road to roadside establishments including bridges (Linear),** Exempted Category : **N/A,** Is related to encroachment? : **No.**
3. Location of the Project :
 - District – **Pratapgarh** and Division – **Pratapgarh Territorial.**
 - Whether the project/activity falling in the State sharing international borders? : **No.**
 - Total Cost of the Project at current price level (in Lakhs) : **2,000 Lakhs.**
 - Total period for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted : **99 Years.**
4. Land Requirement (in Ha.) of the project or activity :
 - Non-Forest Land : **8.7655 Ha.,** Forest Land : **8.8714 Ha.,** Total Land : **17.6369 Ha.**
 - Legal Status of the Forest land : **Protected Forest.**
 - Underground Component : **N/A.**
5. Digital Maps : **KML File, DGPS Map etc. are enclosed.**
6. Employment likely to be generated :
 - During Construction Phase : **Permanent : 4,300 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 50 man-days.**
 - During Operational Phase : **Permanent : 6,000 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 40 man-days.**
7. Whether Rehabilitation and Resettlement (R & R) involved? : **No.**
8. Whether project area involves shifting of watercourse/road/rail/Transmission line/water pipeline, etc. required? : **No.**
9. Alternative site(s) explored : **No (Proposal is related to widening & strengthening of road).**
10. Brief justification on selected site particularly highlighting environmental considerations : **It is socio-economically and techno-economically feasible and it will divert minimum forest land & lower the project cost.**
11. Whether there is any Government Order or Policy/Court order relevant or restricting to the site? : **No.**
12. Whether there is any litigation pending against the project and/or land in which the project is proposed to be set up? : **No.**
13. Whether the proposal involves violation of Act/Rule/Regulation/Notification of Central/State Government? : **No.**
14. Whether any proposal seeking prior approval of Central Government under the Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Adhinyam, 1980 for diversion of forest land required for this project has been submitted in the past? : **No.**
15. Undertaking for Certificate Under Forest Rights Act (FRA), 2006 : **Yes (Undertaking uploaded online).**
16. Details of Land for Compensatory Afforestation (CA) : **Yes : NFL applicable (Details have not been uploaded online).**
17. Cost-Benefit analysis for the Project : **Not made (Not applicable), (Reason thereof : Under process).**
18. Whether the Project requires Clearance under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 (Environmental Clearance)? : **No.**
19. Whether the Project or a part thereof is located in any Protected Area/their Eco-sensitive zone (Wildlife Clearance)? : **No.**
20. Other additional information : **Undertakings regarding payment of NPV, CA & Others, Undertaking regarding Minimum forest land, Area calculation sheet, Bar-chart etc. are enclosed.**

Decision of the PSC (PSC –Ist) :

After discussion with all the members, PSC **decided to raise EDS to UA for this proposal due to incomplete information.** UA will provide the following information :-

1. 3 patches are submitted but not merged to each other. Total alignment is required. Some area of proposed alignment is falling under forest boundary but area has not been included in the proposal.
2. The area of KML file is 8.4402 ha while the proposed forest area is 8.8714 ha. Rectification of KML file is required.
3. UA had never been received forest clearance earlier but as per KML file the road had already constructed. Documents are required for road constructed before 1980.
4. Segment wise KML file does not match with polygon submitted in KML file.
5. Non Forest land details are mismatched.
6. DGPS map should be submitted in lower scale.
7. Details of Non forest land (KML file, DGPS map, Suitability certificate, Order to set apart the land) have not been submitted.
8. Cost Benefit Analysis in prescribed performa has not been submitted.
9. Proposal has not been marked on revenue map.
10. Area width has not been taken as per cross section. Justification is required as per IRC norms.
11. As per technical report, 20 KM road is proposed for construction but as per area calculation, it does not match.
12. UA is advised to submit the proposal also in offline mode to concerned DCF for verification of area and KML file. UA is advised to submit the complete compliance report within stipulated time otherwise the proposal will be delisted.

Shikha

(Ms. Shikha Mehra)

Chairperson (PSC) and
Nodal Officer & PCCF (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present -

(Shri Sunil)

Chief Conservator of Forests,
Udaipur

- Absent -

(Dr. Anjali Rajoria)

District Collector (DM),
Pratapgarh

d

(Shri Dinesh Kumar Gupta)

MS (PSC) and DCF (FCA),
Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present -

(Shri Hari Kishan Saraswat)

Dy. Conservator of Forests,
Pratapgarh

Agenda 39.4. : Mahi Banswara Rajasthan Atomic Power Project (MBRAPP) - 1 to 4 (FP/RJ/REDIV/499195/2024).

The details of the proposal are as under : UA submitted the proposal on Parivesh 2.0, information available with Form – E Part – I is :

1. User Agency : **Additional Chief Engineer (P-PHWR), Nuclear Power Corporation of India Limited, A-2-07, Nabhikiya Urja Bhawan, Anushaktinagar, Mumbai (Maharashtra).**
2. Category : **Re-Diversion (Non-Linear).**
3. Location of the Project :
 - District – **Banswara** and Division – **Banswara Territorial.**
 - Whether the project/activity falling in the State sharing international borders? : **No.**
 - Total Cost of the Project at current price level (in Lakhs) : **42,00,000 Lakhs.**
4. Land Requirement (in Ha.) of the project or activity :
 - Non-Forest Land : **502.67 Ha.**, Forest Land : **100.05 Ha.**, Total Land : **602.72 Ha.**
5. Digital Maps : **KML File etc. are enclosed.**
6. Employment likely to be generated :
 - During Construction Phase : **Permanent : 8,21,250 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 2,04,40,000 man-days.**
 - During Operational Phase : **Permanent : 2,48,20,000 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 0 man-days.**
7. Whether Rehabilitation and Resettlement (R & R) involved? : **Yes.**
 - No. of villages : **7**
 - No. of Project Displaced Families : **1,617**
 - No. of Project Affected Families : **1,776**
 - Status of Rehabilitation & Resettlement : **Completed.**
8. Whether project area involves shifting of watercourse/road/rail/Transmission line/water pipeline, etc. required? : **No.**
9. Alternative site(s) explored : **No (Reason thereof : Sites for Nuclear Power Plants are selected based on stringent siting criteria such as earthquake & Seismotectonic activity in the area, geo-technical & geophysical of the soil strata, availability of water and land, conducive drainage and flooding pattern et.al. This site offered by the Government of Rajasthan was evaluated by the Site Selection Committee, Government of India and approval for setting up of Nuclear Power Plants PHWR type with installed capacity of 2800 MWe (4 x 700 MWe)).**
10. Application for : **Land Use Change**, Change in land use is sought by : **Primary Agency.**
11. Purposed of Re-diversion/LUC : **Updation of Conceptual layout of MBRAPP-1 to 4**
12. Whether the re-diversion/LUC is proposed within the Right of Way of road : **No.**
13. Justification : **The Justification for LUC is uploaded online.**
14. Details of approvals under the Forest (Conservation) Act , 1980 accorded in the past :
 - Proposal No. : **FP/RJ/Others/22621/2016**
 - Area of Forest Land Diverted : **100.05 Ha.**
 - Period of Diversion : **80 Years**
 - Date of Approval : **06/05/2024**
15. Status of compliance to conditions stipulated in the previous approvals : **Details uploaded online.**
16. Category of the Project (Approved Earlier) : **Others.**
17. Total Area proposed for Re-diversion/LUC Forest Land (Ha) : **100.05 Ha.**, Total Area proposed for Re-diversion/LUC Non-Forest Land (Ha) : **502.67 Ha.**
18. Project affected trees to be felled : **Yes.**
 - No. of trees proposed to be felled : **Operating Island, Natural Draft Cooling Tower Block, Piping Corridor (Utilized Forest), Waste Management & Evaporation Facilities Block and Remaining Exclusion zone Block (Utilized forest)**
 - As per original layout plan of proposal (A) : **4,467**
 - As per changed layout plan (B) : **3,823**
 - Net change (B-A) : **-644**
19. Whether a part of the forest land diverted in favour of the user agency has been returned to the State Forest Department or any other Authority? : **No.**

20. Whether there is any Government Order or Policy/Court order relevant or restricting to the site? : **No.**
21. Whether there is any litigation pending against the project and/or land in which the project is proposed to be set up? : **No.**
22. Whether the proposal involves violation of Act/Rule/Regulation/Notification of Central/State Government? : **No.**
23. Whether the Project or a part thereof is located in any Protected Area/their Eco-sensitive zone (Wildlife Clearance)? : **No.**
24. Other additional information : **MoEFCC Approved ToR for EC of MBRAPP, MBRAPP Stage - I Forest Clearance, Govt. of India In-principle Approval for MBRAPP, MBRAPP Stage II Forest clearance, Details of Tree Felling etc. are enclosed.**

Decision of the PSC (PSC –Ist) :

After discussion with all the members, PSC **decided to raise EDS to UA for this proposal due to incomplete information.** UA will provide the following information :-

1. As per previous proposal 9,725 trees are falling inside the proposed forest land. Tree-counting had been done a long time ago for previous proposal. Revised tree-counting is required in consultation with concerned DCF. UA is advised to submit the details and factual status of trees to be felled in old/revised proposal as per new counting.
2. Approved component wise layout plan by competent authority has not been submitted.
3. Justification of change in land-use for each component is required.
4. Cross-section/land use of pipeline corridor is required.
5. Undertaking is required for compliance of stipulating any conditions imposed by State/Central Government.
6. The copy of revised ToR as per amended component wise land use change is required.
7. As per ToR condition, 33% area should be maintained as green then 45% of the forest area is proposed for built up area. Justification is required.

Shikha

(Ms. Shikha Mehra)

Chairperson (PSC) and

Nodal Officer & PCCF (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present -

(Shri Sunil)

Chief Conservator of Forests,

Udaipur

- Absent -

(Dr. Inderjeet Yadav)

District Collector (DM),

Banswara

D

(Shri Dinesh Kumar Gupta)

MS (PSC) and DCF (FCA),

Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present -

(Shri Abhishek Sharma)

Dy. Conservator of Forests,

Banswara

Agenda 39.5. : PROPOSED FOREST LAND DIVERSION OF EXISTING LEASE & APPROACH ROAD M.L.- 516/05 MINERALS - QUARTZ, FELDSPAR & QUARTZITE IN VILLAGE BYOR-PITHALPUR, RANGE - NEEM KATHANA, TEH - SHRI MADHOPUR, DIVISION - SIKAR, DISTRICT - SIKAR (RAJ.) (FP/RJ/MIN/QRY/506955/2024).

The details of the proposal are as under : UA submitted the proposal on Parivesh 2.0, information available with Form – A Part – I is :

1. User Agency : **Sumitra Devi Chetani (Owner), Ward No. 17, Neem Ka Thana, Sikar (Rajasthan).**
2. Category : **Mining/Quarrying (Linear)**, Exempted Category : **N/A**, Is related to encroachment? : **No.**
3. Location of the Project :
 - District - **Sikar** and Division – **Sikar Territorial.**
 - Whether the project/activity falling in the State sharing international borders? : **No.**
 - Total period for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted : **99 Years.**
 - Total Cost of the Project at current price level (in Lakhs) : **50 Lakhs.**
4. Land Requirement (in Ha.) of the project or activity :
 - Non-Forest Land : **0.00 Ha.**, Forest Land : **5.101 Ha.**, Total Land : **5.101 Ha.**
 - Legal Status of the Forest land : **Protected Forest.**
 - Underground component : **Road and Mine.**
5. Digital Maps : **KML File, DGPS Map etc. are enclosed.**
6. Employment likely to be generated :
 - During Construction Phase : **Permanent : 120 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 0 man-days**
 - During Operational Phase : **Permanent : 0 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 0 man-days**
7. Whether Rehabilitation and Resettlement (R & R) involved? : **No.**
8. Whether project area involves shifting of watercourse/road/rail/Transmission line/water pipeline, etc. required? : **No.**
9. Alternative site(s) explored : **No (Not applicable as the project or activity is site-specific).**
10. Justification for locating the project in forest area : **Uploaded online.**
11. Whether there is any Government Order or Policy/Court order relevant or restricting to the site? : **No.**
12. Whether there is any litigation pending against the project and/or land in which the project is proposed to be set up? : **No.**
13. Whether the proposal involves violation of Act/Rule/Regulation/Notification of Central/State Government? : **No.**
14. Whether any proposal seeking prior approval of Central Government under the Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Adhiniyam, 1980 for diversion of forest land required for this project has been submitted in the past? : **No.**
15. Details of Land for Compensatory Afforestation (CA) : **Not applicable (as per UA).**
16. Cost-Benefit analysis for the Project : **Not made (Reason thereof : None).**
17. Whether the Project requires Clearance under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 (Environmental Clearance)? : **No.**
18. Whether the Project or a part thereof is located in any Protected Area/their Eco-sensitive zone (Wildlife Clearance)? : **No.**
19. Period of validity of Mining Plan : **18-06-2008 to 17-06-2058**, Period of Mining Lease : **50 Years (Other additional documents are enclosed/uploaded).**
20. Whether detailed prospecting to assess mineral reserves in the lease has been undertaken : **No.**
21. Details of bore holes drilled for prospecting : **Nil.**
22. Mineral Reserves :
 - Name of Mineral : **QUARTZ, FELDSPAR & QUARTZITE.**
 - Proved Reserves : **60**
 - Indicated Reserves : **1**
 - Inferred Reserves : **1**
 - Mineable Reserves : **1**
23. Estimated reserves in Forest/Non-Forest land if any :


- Name of Mineral : **QUARTZ, FELDSPAR & QUARTZITE**
 - Estimated Reserve in Forest Land : **4.98**
 - Estimated Reserve in Non-Forest Land : **0**
24. Details of Letter of Intent (LoI)/Vesting order/Mining Lease :
- Date of issue of LoI/Vesting order/Mining Lease : **18-08-2008**
 - Period of validity of LoI/Vesting order (years and months) : **58/06**
 - Period of lease (years and months) : **58/06**
 - Date of expiry of lease : **17-06-2058**
 - Lease area (in ha) as per LoI/Vesting order/Mining Lease : **4.98 Ha.**
 - Production capacity (in MTPA) as per LoI/Vesting Order/Mining lease : **1,80,480.**
 - Details of lease renewal(s), if any : **None.**
25. Status of approval of Mining Plan : **Approved.**
26. Minerals to be mined :
- Name of Mineral : **QUARTZ, FELDSPAR & QUARTZITE.**
 - Classification : **Minor**
 - Production Capacity : **1804**
27. Total excavation in MTPA : **1,80,480**, Total Excavation in M.Cu.m/Annum : **1**, Stripping Ratio : **0.01**
28. Life of the mine as per approved mining plan (in years and months) : **58/06**, Life of the mine as per total estimated reserves, if any (in years and months) : **58/06**
29. Type of Mining : **Opencast**, Method of Mining : **Semi-mechanized**, Type of Blasting : **Normal blasting as per rule**, Mitigation measures for control of blast induced vibrations : **Normal blasting as per rule.**
30. Whether it is proposed to install beneficiation plant/Coal washery within the mining lease area? : **No.**
31. Whether it is proposed to install crusher within the mining lease area? : **No.**
32. Dumping Strategy :
- External Dump : **Area : 0.001 Ha., Max. Height : 0.5 m.**
 - Internal Dump : **Area : 0.001 Ha., Max. Height : 0.5 m.**
 - Topsoil Dump/Storage : **Area : 0.001 Ha., Max. Height : 0.5 m.**
33. Total Topsoil excavated during the entire life of the mine : **1 mm³**, Utilization strategy of topsoil : **As per rule.**
34. Total Quarry Area : **4.98 Ha.**, Area of final void : **4.98 Ha.**, Max. depth of final void : **1 m.**
35. Mode of transportation upto pit head : **As per approved rule**, Mode of transportation from pit head to siding/loading : **As per approved rule**, Mode of transport from loading point to consumers : **As per approved rule.**
36. Details of reclamation/post mining land use :
- Plantation area : **2 Ha.**
 - Water body : **0.5 Ha.**
 - Public use : **4.98 Ha.**
 - Other uses : **1 Ha.**
37. Other additional information : **Undertakings regarding payment of NPV, CA and Others, Undertaking for FRA etc. are enclosed.**


Decision of the PSC (PSC – Ist) :

After discussion with all the members, PSC **decided to raise EDS to UA for this proposal due to incomplete information.** UA will provide the following information :-

1. The proposal is not recommended by Department of Mines. The proposal should be forwarded through Mines Department.
2. The lease ML 516/05 was allotted in 2008 in favour of Smt Sumitra Chetani for 4.98 ha revenue land. Why is the proposal submitted for diversion?
3. Leases were granted in a cluster (ML no 516/05 for 4.98 ha & ML 357/05 for 4.0 ha). Why is the proposal submitted alone? Factual status of both mines should be submitted.
4. Copy of approved mining plan has not been submitted.

5. The proposed diversion is non-linear category. Rectification is required.
6. The project cost is only 50 lacs but levies for diversion will be more than 50 lacs. Therefore, the project is not viable.
7. Employment will be generated during operational phase also. Rectification is required accordingly.
8. Component-wise breakup has not been given.
9. Details of Non-Forest Land (KML file, DGPS map, Suitability certificate, Order to set apart the land) have not been submitted.
10. Cost Benefit Analysis has not been submitted.
11. Copy of license for prospecting of mines has not been uploaded.
12. Mining is proposed as opencast but underground mentioned in CAF application. Rectification is required.
13. Mining category details have not been submitted properly.
14. UA is also advised to submit the proposal again with complete compliance of the above points.


(Ms. Shikha Mehra)
Chairperson (PSC) and
Nodal Officer & PCCF (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur


(Shri Rajiv Chaturvedi)
Chief Conservator of Forests,
Jaipur


(Shri Mukul Sharma)
District Collector,
Sikar


(Shri Dinesh Kumar Gupta)
MS (PSC) and DCF (FCA),
Rajasthan, Jaipur


(Shri Ramawtar Doodhwal)
Dy. Conservator of Forests,
Sikar

The details of the proposal are as under : UA submitted the proposal on Parivesh 2.0, information available with Form – A Part – I is :

1. User Agency : **DGM (Tech.) Associate Project Director, Office of the General Manager Cum Project Director, Rajasthan State Highways Authority (RSHA), PIU-Banswara (Rajasthan).**
2. Category : **Road/Construction or Widening of Road including approach road to roadside establishments including bridges (Linear), Exempted Category : N/A, Is related to encroachment? : No.**
3. Location of the Project :
 - District – **Banswara** and Division – **Banswara Territorial.**
 - Whether the project/activity falling in the State sharing international borders? : **No**
 - Total period for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted : **99 Years**
 - Total Cost of the Project at current price level (in Lakhs) : **25,060 Lakhs.**
4. Land Requirement (in Ha.) of the project or activity :
 - Non-Forest Land : **117.8784 Ha.**, Forest Land : **10.8256 Ha.**, Total Land : **128.7040 Ha.**
 - Legal Status of the Forest land : **Protected Forest.**
 - Underground Component : **N/A.**
5. Digital Maps : **KML File, DGPS Map etc. are enclosed.**
6. Employment likely to be generated :
 - During Construction Phase : **Permanent : 10,950 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 10,224 man-days.**
 - During Operational Phase : **Permanent : 21,900 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 10,224 man-days.**
7. Whether Rehabilitation and Resettlement (R & R) involved? : **No**
8. Whether project area involves shifting of watercourse/road/rail/Transmission line/water pipeline, etc. required? : **No**
9. Alternative site(s) explored : **No (Not applicable as the project or activity is site specific or it is widening/strengthening of the existing road).**
10. Brief justification on selected site particularly highlighting environmental considerations : **The diversion is proposed because it is socio-economically and techno-economically feasible and this diversion will divert the minimum forest land.**
11. Whether there is any Government Order or Policy/Court order relevant or restricting to the site? : **No**
12. Whether there is any litigation pending against the project and/or land in which the project is proposed to be set up? : **No**
13. Whether the proposal involves violation of Act/Rule/Regulation/Notification of Central/State Government? : **No**
14. Whether any proposal seeking prior approval of Central Government under the Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Adhiniyam, 1980 for diversion of forest land required for this project has been submitted in the past? : **No**
15. Undertaking for Certificate Under Forest Rights Act 2006 : **Yes (Undertaking enclosed).**
16. Details of Land for Compensatory Afforestation (CA) : **Yes : NFL applicable (Details of Non-Forest Land have been given by the UA).**
17. Cost-Benefit analysis for the Project : **Not made because it involves less than 20 ha. diversion of forest land.**
18. Whether the Project requires Clearance under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 (Environmental Clearance)? : **No**
19. Whether the Project or a part thereof is located in any Protected Area/their Eco-sensitive zone (Wildlife Clearance)? : **No**
20. Other additional information : **Undertakings regarding payment of NPV, CA and Others, Minimum forest land, Area Calculation Sheet etc. are enclosed.**

The details of the Part – II filled by DCF Banswara Territorial on Parivesh 2.0 are as under :

1. District – **Banswara** and Division – **Banswara Territorial**.
2. Diverted Forest Area – **10.8256 Ha**.
3. Legal Status of Forest Land – **Protected Forest**.
4. Canopy Density – **0.3** and Eco-class – **Eco 3**
5. Whether the proposal involves felling of trees? : **Yes**.
6. **Enumeration Details** :- Total No. of trees to be felled – **1169** and Total Species – **47**
7. Working plan prescription for the forest land proposed for diversion : **Restoration (Afforestation) Management Principal Working Circle (Copy of Working plan prescription is enclosed)**.
8. Vulnerability of forest area to erosion : **Not vulnerable to erosion**.
9. Approximate distance of proposed Site for diversion from boundary of forest (Km) : **0 Km**.
10. Whether any work in violation of the Act or guidelines issued under the Act has been carried out : **No**.
11. Details of wildlife present in and around the forest land proposed for diversion : **Fox, Hyena, Jackal and Panther etc**.
12. Whether forms part of National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve Buffer Zone, Elephant Corridor, Wildlife Migration Corridor etc : **No**.
13. Whether any National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve, Elephant Corridor, Wildlife Migration Corridor etc., is located within 10 Km. from boundary of the forest land proposed to be utilized for prospecting or diversion : **No**.
14. Whether any rare/endorsed/unique species of flora and fauna found in the area : **No**.
15. Whether any protected archaeological/heritage site/defence establishment or any other important monument is located in the area : **No**.
16. Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency is unavoidable and bare minimum for the project : **Yes**.
17. **Compensatory Afforestation Details** :
 - Whether CA is applicable or not? : **Yes : NFL & DFL both applicable (Some details of CA land such as KML Files, Location Details, DGPS Map, Toposheet, Land Suitability Certificate etc. have been uploaded)**.
 - Total Financial outlay for C.A. scheme (in Crores) : **1.116 Crores (CA scheme is enclosed)**.
 - Whether the provision of additional CA land is proposed? : **No**.
18. **District Profile** : Details have been uploaded online in Part – II on Parivesh 2.0.
19. Other additional information : **Nil**.

Decision of the PSC (PSC – IInd) :

Earlier, the **proposal was submitted by the UA in the 33rd PSC meeting held on 28.08.2024, PSC had accepted & forwarded the proposal to concerned DCF Banswara Territorial for filling Part – II details**. Thereafter, the **proposal was resubmitted in 36th PSC meeting held on 25.10.2024 after filling the Part - II details by DCF Banswara Territorial and some information was sought from the DCF (due to incompleteness of Part – II) which is as follows :-**

1. Some area has been excluded in the proposed CA-DFL. DCF should again reconsider the CA-DFL.
2. As per location details of KML file in part II, the patch (2.2256 hec.) falling inside the village Ghoradara but land is allotted in the village Gowari. Rectification is required.
3. CA-NFL scheme is prepared on the approved model rate of 25 hec. Either it should be taken as 5 hec model or as per actual estimate.
4. NPV details are not as per crown density of the proposed forest area.

Then, the PSC had decided to raise Query/EDS to the DCF for compliance of EDS. Now DCF has replied and made compliance of above conditions as under :-

1. Not Complied. Some area has been excluded in the proposed CA-DFL. DCF should again reconsider the CA-DFL.
2. Not complied. As per District Collector order dated 24.07.2024, the proposed CA-NFL land was allotted in Gowari village (khasra no 182/96 and 180/96). As per part-II, the proposed CA-NFL has been reflected in village Ghoradara and village Gowari. Rectification is required.
3. Complied. DCF has uploaded revised CA-NFL scheme.
4. Not complied. NPV details are not as per crown density of the proposed forest area.

Thus, DCF Banswara has still not submitted all the requisite information as directed in the 36th PSC meeting dated 25.10.2024. Therefore; after discussion with all the members, PSC decided to raise Query/EDS to the DCF Banswara Territorial for compliance of all non-complied/partially complied EDS points.

Shikha
(Ms. Shikha Mehra)
Chairperson (PSC) and
Nodal Officer & PCCF (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present -
(Shri Sunil)
Chief Conservator of Forests,
Udaipur

- Absent -
(Dr. Inderjeet Yadav)
District Collector (DM),
Banswara

↓
(Shri Dinesh Kumar Gupta)
MS (PSC) and DCF (FCA),
Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present -
(Shri Abhishek Sharma)
Dy. Conservator of Forests,
Banswara

Agenda 39.7. : “Construction of Ramgarh-Bhadla-III 765 KV D/C Transmission Line in Transmission scheme for evacuation of power from REZ in Rajasthan (20 GW) under Phase-III Part-C1 by POWERGRID Ramgarh-II Transmission Limited”(FP/RJ/TRANS/472814/2024).

The details of the proposal are as under : UA submitted the proposal on Parivesh 2.0, information available with Form – A Part – I is :

1. User Agency : **Chief General Manager, Powergrid Ramgarh II Transmission Limited, House no. 51 , Achalvansi Colony, Jaisalmer (Rajasthan).**
2. Category : **Power Transmission Line/Telecommunication Line (Linear),** Exempted Category : **N/A,** Is related to encroachment? : **No.**
3. Location of the Project :
 - District - **Jaisalmer & Bikaner** and Division – **IGNP St. II Jaisalmer & IGNP St. II Bikaner Territorial.**
 - Whether the project/activity falling in the State sharing international borders? : **No.**
 - Total period for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted : **35 Years.**
 - Total Cost of the Project at current price level (in Lakhs) : **66,886 Lakhs.**
4. Land Requirement (in Ha.) of the project or activity :
 - Non-Forest Land : **1197.3168 Ha.,** Forest Land : **49.4527 Ha.,** Total Land : **1246.7695 Ha.**
 - IGNP St. II Jaisalmer Territorial Division - Forest Land : **19.0749 Ha.**
 - IGNP St. II Bikaner Territorial Division - Forest Land : **30.3778 Ha.**
 - Legal Status of the Forest land : **Unclassed Forests (35.7043 Ha.) & Areas notified under Section 35 of the IFA (13.7484 Ha.).**
 - Underground Component : **Over Head Construction of Ramgarh-Bhadla-III 765 KV D/C Transmission Line.**
5. Digital Maps : **KML File, DGPS Map etc. are enclosed.**
6. Employment likely to be generated :
 - During Construction Phase : **Permanent : 21,920 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 3,28,800 man-days**
 - During Operational Phase : **Permanent : 1,27,750 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 2,55,500 man-days**
7. Whether Rehabilitation and Resettlement (R & R) involved? : **No.**
8. Whether project area involves shifting of watercourse/road/rail/Transmission line/water pipeline, etc. required? : **No.**
9. Alternative site(s) explored : **Yes (Details are enclosed).**
10. Brief justification on selected site particularly highlighting environmental considerations : **No other Alternative is feasible except mentioned 2 alignments due protected forest Crossing. Analysis of three routes has been done and route-1 has been selected because it involves minimum forest land and lower the project cost.**
11. Whether there is any Government Order or Policy/Court order relevant or restricting to the site? : **No.**
12. Whether there is any litigation pending against the project and/or land in which the project is proposed to be set up? : **No.**
13. Whether the proposal involves violation of Act/Rule/Regulation/Notification of Central/State Government? : **No.**
14. Whether any proposal seeking prior approval of Central Government under the Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Adhiniyam, 1980 for diversion of forest land required for this project has been submitted in the past? : **No.**
15. Undertaking for Certificate Under Forest Rights Act (FRA), 2006 : **Yes (Undertaking enclosed).**
16. Details of Land for Compensatory Afforestation (CA) : **Yes : DFL applicable (Undertaking enclosed).**
17. Cost-Benefit analysis for the Project : **Yes made for this Project (Cost-Benefit Ratio : 1878.5489).**
18. Whether the Project requires Clearance under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 (Environmental Clearance)? : **No.**
19. Whether the Project or a part thereof is located in any Protected Area/their Eco-sensitive zone (Wildlife Clearnace)? : **No.**

20. Other additional information : **Undertakings regarding payment of NPV, CA and Others, Minimum forest land, Area Calculation Sheet, Undertaking regarding Medicinal Plantation etc. are enclosed.**
The details of the Part – II filled by DCF IGNP St. - II Jaisalmer Territorial on Parivesh 2.0 are as under :-

1. District – **Jaisalmer** and Division – **IGNP St. - II Jaisalmer Territorial.**
2. Diverted Forest Area – **19.0749 Ha.**
3. Legal Status of Forest Land – **Protected Forest.**
4. Canopy Density – **0.5** and Eco-class – **Eco 4**
5. Whether the proposal involves felling of trees? : **Yes.**
6. Number of trees which will actually be felled : **333 trees**, No. of species : **4.**
7. Working plan prescription for the forest land proposed for diversion : **Command area management working circle (Copy enclosed).**
8. Vulnerability of forest area to erosion : **Not vulnerable to erosion.**
9. Approximate distance of proposed Site for diversion from boundary of forest (Km) : **0 Km.**
10. Whether any work in violation of the Act or Guidelines issued under the Act has been carried out : **No.**
11. Details of wildlife present in and around the forest land proposed for diversion : **Chinkara, Desert fox etc.**
12. Whether forms part of National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve Buffer Zone, Elephant Corridor, Wildlife Migration Corridor etc : **No.**
13. Whether any National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve, Elephant Corridor, Wildlife Migration Corridor etc., is located within 10 Km. from boundary of the forest land proposed to be utilized for prospecting or diversion : **No.**
14. Whether any rare/endangered/unique species of flora and fauna found in the area : **No.**
15. Whether any protected archaeological/heritage site/defence establishment or any other important monument is located in the area : **No.**
16. Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency is unavoidable and bare minimum for the project : **Yes.**
17. **Compensatory Afforestation Details :**
 - Whether CA is applicable or not? : **Yes : DFL applicable (Some details of CA land such as KML file, Location details, DGPS Map, Toposheet, Land Suitability Certificate etc. have been uploaded).**
 - Area of CA-DFL : **54.5 Ha.**
 - Total Financial outlay for C.A. scheme (in Crores) : **1.2154 Crores (CA scheme is enclosed).**
 - Whether the provision of additional CA land is proposed? : **No.**
18. **District Profile** : Details have been uploaded online in Part – II on Parivesh 2.0.
19. **Other additional information/Other details** : Nil.

The details of the Part – II filled by DCF IGNP St. II Bikaner Territorial on Parivesh 2.0 are as under :-

1. District – **Bikaner** and Division – **IGNP St. II Bikaner Territorial.**
2. Diverted Forest Area – **23.4112 Ha.**
3. Legal Status of Forest Land – **Unclassed Forests.**
4. Canopy Density – **0.1** and Eco-class – **Eco 4**
5. Whether the proposal involves felling of trees? : **Yes.**
6. Number of trees which will actually be felled : **348 trees**, No. of species : **2.**
7. Working plan prescription for the forest land proposed for diversion : **Canal Side Unclassed Strip Plantation (Copy of Working Plan prescription is not enclosed).**
8. Vulnerability of forest area to erosion : **Not vulnerable to erosion.**
9. Approximate distance of proposed Site for diversion from boundary of forest (Km) : **0 Km.**
10. Whether any work in violation of the Act or Guidelines issued under the Act has been carried out : **No.**
11. Details of wildlife present in and around the forest land proposed for diversion : **Chikara, Jungle Cat, Nilgai etc.**
12. Whether forms part of National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve Buffer Zone, Elephant Corridor, Wildlife Migration Corridor etc : **No.**

13. Whether any National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve, Elephant Corridor, Wildlife Migration Corridor etc., is located within 10 Km. from boundary of the forest land proposed to be utilized for prospecting or diversion : **No.**
14. Whether any rare/endangered/unique species of flora and fauna found in the area : **No.**
15. Whether any protected archaeological/heritage site/defence establishment or any other important monument is located in the area : **No.**
16. Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency is unavoidable and bare minimum for the project : **Yes.**
17. **Compensatory Afforestation Details :**
 - Whether CA is applicable or not? : **Yes : DFL applicable (Some details of CA land such as KML file, Location details, DGPS Map, Toposheet, Land Suitability Certificate etc. have been uploaded).**
 - Area of CA-DFL : **87 Ha.**
 - Total Financial outlay for C.A. scheme (in Crores) : **1.86 Crores (CA scheme is enclosed).**
 - Whether the provision of additional CA land is proposed? : **No.**
18. **District Profile :** Details have been uploaded online in Part – II on Parivesh 2.0.
19. **Other additional information/Other details :** Site Inspection Report (SIR), Medicinal Plantation Estimate, Tree-counting list, Recommendation etc. are enclosed.
 - The site inspection has been carried out on 24.08.2024 by CCF Jodhpur (SIR uploaded online).
 - The site inspection has been carried out on 29.09.2024 by CCF Bikaner (SIR uploaded online).

Decision of the PSC (PSC – IInd) :

Earlier, the proposal was submitted by the UA in the 32nd PSC meeting held on 26.07.2024, PSC had accepted & forwarded the proposal to concerned DCFs IGNP St. - II, Jaisalmer Territorial & IGNP St. - II, Bikaner Territorial for filling Part – II details. Thereafter, the proposal was resubmitted in 36th PSC meeting held on 25.10.2024 after filling the Part - II details by both the DCFs and some information was sought from the DCFs (due to incompleteness of Part – II) which is as follows :-

DCF IGNP St. II Jaisalmer Territorial :-

1. Total trees and no of trees are to be felled in the proposal are same but felling would required only where the tower will be erected. Therefore, number of felling of the trees will be less. Rectification is required.
2. Crown density of the proposed forest area is wrong.
3. Copy of working plan has not been attached.
4. CA-DFL area is taken 38.1498 hec. (2x19.0749) but as per 1000 plants per hec. total 38150 plants cannot be planted in the proposed area. Hence, more area is required for planting of 38150 plants.
5. Progress of plantation CA-DFL is fictitious.
6. Legal status of the proposed forest land is protected forest while legal status mentioned in the SIR is unclassified. Clarification is required.
7. Proposed transmission line passes through GIB area but DCF has not mentioned it in SIR.
8. As per SIR of CCF Jodhpur, the proposed area falling inside dense eco class IV forest area but DCF mentioned open eco class (As per NPV calculations). Justification is required.

DCF IGNP St. II Bikaner Territorial :-

1. Forest area for proposed for diversion wrongly mentioned (23.4112 in place of 30.3778 ha) in the part II.
2. Only 15 to 20% of the total trees falling inside the proposed transmission line but in the proposal out of 645 trees, 348 trees are proposed for felling (54%). Justification is required.
3. Copy of working plan has not been attached.

4. Legal status of the proposed forest mention in the SIR is protected un-classed forest. This is not notified under section 35 of RFAAct 1953.
5. DGPS map is not clear that whether the proposed forest land falling inside the forest or not.

Then, the PSC had decided to raise Query/EDS to both DCFs for compliance of EDS. Now DCFs have replied and made compliance of above conditions as under :-

DCF IGNP St. II Jaisalmer Territorial :-

1. Partially complied. Total no. of trees are 125 but no of trees are to be felled are 333 which is not correct. Felling would require only where the tower will erect. Rectification is required.
2. Not Complied. Crown density of the proposed forest is wrong.
3. Complied. Copy of working plan has been uploaded.
4. Partially complied. Revised CA-DFL for 54.5 ha. is proposed but a road is passing through the proposed DFL which is not suitable for management. The area should be proposed above of the road.
5. Not Complied. Progress of plantation CA-DFL is fictitious.
6. Complied. Legal status has been mentioned in SIR and Part II.
7. Complied. DCF uploaded revised Site Inspection Report in which mentioned that the proposed transmission line passes through GIB area.
8. Partially complied. DCF has not uploaded revised NPV details.

DCF IGNP St. II Bikaner Territorial :-

1. Not Complied. Forest area for proposed for diversion wrongly mentioned (23.4112 in place of 30.3778) at point no. 1 of part II.
2. Not complied. Only 15 to 20% of the total trees falling inside the proposed transmission line but in the proposal out of 645 trees, 348 trees are proposed for felling (54%). Justification is required.
3. Not Complied. Copy of working plan has not been attached.
4. Not complied. Legal status of the proposed forest land mentioned in the SIR is protected un-classed forest. This is not the category of legal status. Land should be classified as per legal status.
5. Not complied. DGPS map is not clear that whether the proposed forest land falling inside the forest or not.

Thus, both DCFs have still not submitted all the requisite information as directed earlier in the 36th PSC meeting dated 25.10.2024. Therefore; after discussion with all the members, PSC decided to raise Query/EDS to both DCFs IGNP St. - II, Jaisalmer Territorial & IGNP St. - II, Bikaner Territorial for compliance of all non-complied/partially complied EDS points. However, it is mandatory for the UA to provide all the requisite information in physical mode to the DCFs; if anything required.

<p><i>Shikha</i> (Ms. Shikha Mehra) Chairperson (PSC) and Nodal Officer & PCCF (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur</p>	<p><i>- Present -</i> (Shri R. K. Jain) Chief Conservator of Forests, Jodhpur</p>	<p><i>- Present -</i> (Shri Hanumana Ram) Chief Conservator of Forests, Bikaner</p>	<p><i>- Absent -</i> (Shri Pratap Singh) District Collector, Jaisalmer</p>	<p><i>- Absent -</i> (Smt. Namrata Vrishni) District Collector, Bikaner</p>
<p><i>d</i> (Shri Dinesh Kumar Gupta) MS (PSC) and DCF (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur</p>	<p><i>- Present -</i> (Shri Ashutosh Ojha) Dy. Conservator of Forests, IGNP St. II, Jaisalmer</p>	<p><i>- Present -</i> (Shri Madan Singh Charan) Dy. Conservator of Forests, IGNP St. II, Bikaner</p>		

Agenda 39.8. : Preliminary exploration for Graphite and associated mineralization in Dukwara and Dukwara South Blocks, Banswara district, Rajasthan (Stage: G-3) (FP/RJ/SRY/507325/2024).

The details of the proposal are as under : UA submitted the proposal on Parivesh 2.0, information available with Form – C Part – I is :

1. User Agency : **Geologist, State Unit Rajasthan, WR, GSI (Geological Survey of INDIA, Ministry of Mines), 15-16 Jhalana Doongari, Jaipur (Rajasthan).**
2. Category : **Exploration & Survey (Non-Linear).**
3. Location of the Project :
 - District - **Banswara** and Division – **Banswara Territorial.**
 - Whether the project/activity falling in the State sharing international borders? : **No.**
 - Total period for which the forestland is proposed to be explored/surveyed : **1 Year.**
 - Total Cost of the Project at current price level (in Lakhs) : **71 Lakhs.**
4. Land Requirement (in Ha.) of the project or activity :
 - Non-Forest Land : **113.00 Ha.**, Forest Land : **106.00 Ha.**, Total Land : **219.00 Ha.**
 - Legal Status of the Forest land : **Protected Forest.**
5. Digital Maps : **KML File etc. are enclosed.**
6. Whether the project area falls within protected area or within 1 km of distance from the boundary of PA in the Eco sensitive zone? : **No.**
7. Whether the proposal falls into the exempted category of Surveying Forest Area? : **No.**
8. Purpose of Survey : **Exploratory Drilling.**
9. Total area of the prospecting lease (Ha.) : **0.0 Ha.**, Area proposed for Exploration/Survey : **Forest Land : 106.0 Ha., Non-Forest Land : 113.0 Ha.**
10. Date of issue of the License/Letter of Intent (LOI) for grant of prospecting lease : **N/A.**
11. Activities Details :
 - Surface Sampling : **Bed rock sample, Channel/Groove Sampling, Petrochemical Samples, Petrological Samples, Area : 219.0 Ha.**
 - Pitting or Trenching : **Nil (No. of Pits : 0).**
 - Drilling of Boreholes : **No. of Boreholes per 10 Sq. Km. : 18, Diameter : 2.2 Inches, Depth of Boreholes : 1600 meters, Area of forest land likely to be experience temporary change in land used at each borehole site : 0.003 Ha., Area of forest land likely to be experience permanent change of land used due to drilling or boreholes : 0 Ha., Total meterage area of boreholes : 0.0025 Sq. meters.**
 - Construction of roads or paths involving temporary change in land use : **Length : 0.0 Kms., Width : 0.0 Kms., Area of Forest Land : 0.0 Ha.**
 - Construction of roads or paths involving permanent change in land use : **Length : 0.0 Kms., Width : 0.0 Kms., Area of Forest Land : 0.0 Ha.**
 - Whether any other activity involving temporary changes in land use in proposed to be undertaken? : **No.**
 - Whether any other activity involving permanent changes in land use in proposed to be undertaken? : **No.**
12. Employment likely to be generated :
 - During Construction Phase : **Permanent : 0 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 0 man-days**
 - During Operational Phase : **Permanent : 0 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 28 man-days**
13. Details of machinery or equipment to be deployed for prospecting : **Nil.**
14. Details of existing paths/roads to approach for the Proposed Bore Hole location (PBH) to be utilized for transport of the equipment or machines in the forest land : **Details are enclosed.**
15. Number and duration of stay in the forest land of the persons proposed to be deployed for exploration/survey :
 - No. of Person : **10, Duration : 120 Days.**
16. Summary of the estimated quantity of ore and other samples proposed to be collected during the exploration/survey :
 - Details of Sample : **Core Sample & Surface Samples.**


- Quantity proposed to be collected : **0**.
17. Estimated accuracy and confidence level for mineral reserve assessment :
 - Estimated accuracy level for mineral reserve assessment : **95%**
 - Estimated confidence level for mineral reserve assessment : **95%**
 18. Estimated accuracy and confidence level in case number of bore holes proposed to be drilled is reduced by :
 - Accuracy : **20%**
 - Confidence Level : **10%**
 19. Details of approval accorded under the Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Adhiniyam in the past :
 - Proposal No. : **N/A**.
 - Date of the approval accorded : **N/A**.
 - Area of forest land permitted for prospecting (ha) : **N/A**.
 - Validity period of the approval : **N/A**.
 20. Details of violation(s), if any committed : **N/A**.
 21. Justification for extension of permission accorded for prospecting : **N/A**.
 22. Whether Rehabilitation and Resettlement (R & R) involved? : **No**.
 23. Whether project area involves shifting of watercourse/road/rail/Transmission line/water pipeline, etc. required? : **No**.
 24. Alternative site(s) explored : **No (Not applicable because the project or activity is site-specific)**.
 25. Whether there is any Government Order or Policy/Court order relevant or restricting to the site? : **No**.
 26. Whether there is any litigation pending against the project and/or land in which the project is proposed to be set up? : **No**.
 27. Whether the proposal involves violation of Act/Rule/Regulation/Notification of Central/State Government? : **No**.
 28. Whether any proposal seeking prior approval of Central Government under the Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Adhiniyam, 1980 for diversion of forest land required for this project has been submitted in the past? : **No**.
 29. Details of Land for Compensatory Afforestation (CA) : **Not applicable**.
 30. Whether the Project requires Clearance under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 (Environmental Clearance)? : **No**.
 31. Whether the project area falls within Protected Area or within 1 km of distance from the boundary of PA in the Eco Sensitive Zone (Wildlife Clearance)? : **No**.
 32. Other additional information : **Nil**.

Decision of the PSC (PSC –Ist) :


After discussion with all the members, PSC **decided to raise EDS to UA for this proposal due to incomplete information**. UA will provide the following information :-


1. Total 18 boreholes are planned in 219 ha area in which 11 boreholes will be done in the 106 ha forest area. Justification is required.
2. KML file includes area of forest and non forest but not distinguished. Locations of boreholes and approach road have not been marked in the KML file.
3. Letter from Geological Survey of India, Ministry of Mines, Western Region, Jaipur. Item No. M2ASMIF-MEP//WR/SU-RAJ/2024/50599, FS 2024-25 is required as letter of intent (LOI).
4. DGPS map is not submitted with forest boundary, location of boreholes, temporary roads etc.
5. The proposal is submitted in a sketchy way. Rectification is required in CAF and part I.
6. Details of machinery or equipment to be deployed for prospecting have not been submitted.
7. Details of existing paths/roads in the proposed to be utilized for transportation of the equipment or machines in the forest land have not been submitted.
8. CA is applicable, therefore correction is required at point no. 28 of part I.
9. Undertakings for depositing CA, NPV and minimum forest area required have not been submitted.
10. Authorization certificate has not been submitted.

11. Location of boreholes has not been marked in revenue map and Jamabandi of forest area has not been submitted.
12. UA is advised to submit the proposal also in offline mode to the concerned DCF for verifying the proposed diverted forest area and its KML. UA is also advised to submit the proposal again with complete compliance of the above points.


(Ms. Shikha Mehra)
Chairperson (PSC) and
Nodal Officer & PCCF (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur


(Shri Sunil)
Chief Conservator of Forests,
Udaipur


(Dr. Inderjeet Yadav)
District Collector (DM),
Banswara


(Shri Dinesh Kumar Gupta)
MS (PSC) and DCF (FCA),
Rajasthan, Jaipur


(Shri Abhishek Sharma)
Dy. Conservator of Forests,
Banswara

The details of the proposal are as under : UA submitted the proposal on Parivesh 2.0, information available with Form – A Part – I is :

1. User Agency : **Executive Engineer, PWD Division-Kotra, District-Udaipur (Rajasthan).**
2. Category : **Road/Construction or Widening of Road including approach road to roadside establishments including bridges (Linear), Exempted Category : N/A, Is related to encroachment? : No.**
3. Location of the Project :
 - District – **Udaipur** and Division – **Udaipur (North) Territorial.**
 - Whether the project/activity falling in the State sharing international borders? : **No.**
 - Total Cost of the Project at current price level (in Lakhs) : **1,096 Lakhs.**
 - Total period for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted : **99 Years.**
4. Land Requirement (in Ha.) of the project or activity :
 - Non-Forest Land : **1.5751 Ha.,** Forest Land : **5.0331 Ha.,** Total Land : **6.6082 Ha.**
 - Legal Status of the Forest land : **Protected Forest (3.3003 Ha.) and Reserved Forest (1.7328 Ha).**
 - Underground Component : **N/A.**
5. Digital Maps : **KML File, DGPS Map etc. are enclosed.**
6. Employment likely to be generated :
 - During Construction Phase : **Permanent : 540 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 6,642 man-days.**
 - During Operational Phase : **Permanent : 0 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 0 man-days.**
7. Whether Rehabilitation and Resettlement (R & R) involved? : **No.**
8. Whether project area involves shifting of watercourse/road/rail/Transmission line/water pipeline, etc. required? : **No.**
9. Alternative site(s) explored : **No (Not applicable as the project or activity is site specific).**
10. Brief justification on selected site particularly highlighting environmental considerations : **At presently there is Katcha track and necessary to BT Road for smooth and flow of traffic as in rainy season people faces great difficulty hence necessary estimate has been estimate has been framed to Construct BT Road with necessary C.D. Works. Keeping in view the prevailing law in the proposed route, the reserve of 5.0331hectares of forest land has been proposed. It is forest land involved in the proposed road Alignment is bare minimum.**
11. Whether there is any Government Order or Policy/Court order relevant or restricting to the site? : **No.**
12. Whether there is any litigation pending against the project and/or land in which the project is proposed to be set up? : **No.**
13. Whether the proposal involves violation of Act/Rule/Regulation/Notification of Central/State Government? : **No.**
14. Whether any proposal seeking prior approval of Central Government under the Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Adhinyam, 1980 for diversion of forest land required for this project has been submitted in the past? : **No.**
15. Undertaking for Certificate Under Forest Rights Act (FRA), 2006 : **Yes (Undertaking uploaded online).**
16. Details of Land for Compensatory Afforestation (CA) : **Yes : NFL applicable (Details have not been given/uploaded online by UA).**
17. Cost-Benefit analysis for the Project : **Not made (Not applicable), because this project involves less than 20 ha. diversion of forest land.**
18. Whether the Project requires Clearance under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 (Environmental Clearance)? : **No.**
19. Whether the Project or a part thereof is located in any Protected Area/their Eco-sensitive zone (Wildlife Clearance)? : **No.**

20. Other additional information : **Undertakings regarding payment of NPV, CA & Others, Undertaking regarding Minimum forest land Area calculation sheet etc. are enclosed.**

Decision of the PSC (PSC –1st) :

After discussion with all the members, PSC **decided to raise EDS to UA for this proposal due to incomplete information.** UA will provide the following information :-

1. Why the road is proposed for two points? Justification is required.
2. Alternate alignments have not been submitted.
3. Details of Non Forest land (KML file, DGPS map, Suitability certificate, Order to set apart the land) have not been submitted.
4. UA is advised to submit the IRC guidelines for taking 9.5 meter width.
5. As per project report, 7 km road falling inside the forest but only 5.2 km is using the road. Clarification is required.
6. UA is advised to submit the proposal also in offline mode to concerned DCF for verification of area and KML file. UA is advised to submit the complete compliance report within stipulated time otherwise the proposal will be delisted.

Shikha

(Ms. Shikha Mehra)

Chairperson (PSC) and
Nodal Officer & PCCF (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present -

(Shri Sunil)

Chief Conservator of Forests,
Udaipur

- Absent -

(Shri Arvind Kumar Poswal)

District Collector (DM),
Udaipur

d

(Shri Dinesh Kumar Gupta)

MS (PSC) and DCF (FCA),
Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present -

(Shri Aay Chittora)

Dy. Conservator of Forests,
Udaipur (North)

The details of the proposal are as under : UA submitted the proposal on Parivesh 2.0, information available with Form – A Part – I is :

1. User Agency : **Executive Engineer, Public Works Department (PWD), Division - Kota, Raj Bhawan Road, Nayapura, Distt. – Kota (Rajasthan).**
2. Category : **Road/Construction or Widening of Road including approach road to roadside establishments including bridges (Linear), Exempted Category : N/A, Is related to encroachment? : No.**
3. Location of the Project :
 - District – **Kota** and Division – **Kota Territorial**
 - Whether the project/activity falling in the State sharing international borders? : **No.**
 - Total period for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted : **99 Years.**
 - Total Cost of the Project at current price level (in Lakhs) : **712.5 Lakhs.**
4. Land Requirement (in Ha.) of the project or activity :
 - Non-Forest Land : **4.13 Ha.**, Forest Land : **5.32 Ha.**, Total Land : **9.45 Ha.**
 - Legal Status of the Forest land : **Reserved Forest.**
 - Underground Component : **Nil.**
5. Digital Maps : **KML File, DGPS Map etc. are enclosed.**
6. Employment likely to be generated :
 - During Construction Phase : **Permanent : 2,700 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 5,400 man-days**
 - During Operational Phase : **Permanent : 240 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 1,200 man-days**
7. Whether Rehabilitation and Resettlement (R & R) involved? : **No.**
8. Whether project area involves shifting of watercourse/road/rail/Transmission line/water pipeline, etc. required? : **No.**
9. Alternative site(s) explored : **Yes (Details are enclosed).**
10. Brief justification on selected site particularly highlighting environmental considerations : **In alternate route no 2 proposed left side of road and area of forest land is 5.746 hac., Non forest is 3.9235 hac. And R/S of proposed road submerged in Alniya Dam and even after 75 years of Independence of the Nation, Pachpahar village is still being unconnected to road. It is very necessary road for the above village. Approach road to Pachpahar is a main important road of block Manadana, Tehsil - Ladpura which provides inter-connectivity to Pachpahar. This road will connect many villages and cover population of more than 1,500 in overall.**
11. Whether there is any Government Order or Policy/Court order relevant or restricting to the site? : **No.**
12. Whether there is any litigation pending against the project and/or land in which the project is proposed to be set up? : **No.**
13. Whether the proposal involves violation of Act/Rule/Regulation/Notification of Central/State Government? : **No.**
14. Whether any proposal seeking prior approval of Central Government under the Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Adhinyam, 1980 for diversion of forest land required for this project has been submitted in the past? : **No.**
15. Undertaking for Certificate Under Forest Rights Act (FRA), 2006 : **Yes (Undertaking enclosed).**
16. Details of Land for Compensatory Afforestation (CA) : **Yes : NFL applicable (Details of Non-Forest Land (NFL) are enclosed).**
17. Cost-Benefit analysis for the Project : **Not made for this project (Not applicable because proposed forest area is less than 20 ha.).**
18. Whether the Project requires Clearance under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 (Environmental Clearance)? : **No.**
19. Whether the Project or a part thereof is located in any Protected Area/their Eco-sensitive zone (Wildlife Clearance)? : **No.**
20. Other additional information : **Undertakings regarding payment of NPV/CA and Others, Undertaking for Minimum use of forest land, Bar-chart, Detailed Project Report etc. are enclosed.**

The details of the Part – II filled by DCF Kota Territorial on Parivesh 2.0 are as under :

1. District – **Kota** and Division – **Kota Territorial**.
2. Diverted Forest Area – **5.32 Ha**.
3. Legal Status of Forest Land – **Reserved Forests**.
4. Canopy Density – **0** and Eco-class – **Eco III**
5. Whether the proposal involves felling of trees? : **No**.
6. Working plan prescription for the forest land proposed for diversion : **Grass and Grass Bir Management Working Circle (Copy of Working plan prescription is enclosed)**.
7. Vulnerability of forest area to erosion : **Not vulnerable to soil erosion**.
8. Approximate distance of proposed Site for diversion from boundary of forest (Km) : **0 Km**.
9. Whether any work in violation of the Act or guidelines issued under the Act has been carried out : **No**.
10. Details of wildlife present in and around the forest land proposed for diversion : **Nilgai**.
11. Whether forms part of National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve Buffer Zone, Elephant Corridor, Wildlife Migration Corridor etc : **No**.
12. Whether any National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve, Elephant Corridor, Wildlife Migration Corridor etc., is located within 10 Km. from boundary of the forest land proposed to be utilized for prospecting or diversion : **Yes**.
 - Located Within : **1.58 Kms**.
 - Details and comments on the impact of Project on such areas : **Located at a minimum distance of 1.58 kms from Mukundara Hills Tiger Reserve. However, the proposed site for diversion is already an existing road which has been in use for many decades. This fact is evident from the GT sheet of this area printed in the year 1973, in which this road is clearly visible. Therefore, proposed project is likely to have no impact on MHTR.**
13. Whether any rare/endangered/unique species of flora and fauna found in the area : **No**.
14. Whether any protected archaeological/heritage site/defence establishment or any other important monument is located in the area : **No**.
15. Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency is unavoidable and bare minimum for the project : **Yes**.
16. **Compensatory Afforestation Details :**
 - Whether CA is applicable or not? : **Yes : NFL applicable (Some details of CA land (NFL & DFL) such as KML files, Location details, DGPS Map, Toposheet, Land Suitability Certificate etc. have been uploaded)**.
 - Total Financial outlay for C.A. scheme (in Crores) : **0.5852 Crores**.
 - Whether the provision of additional CA land is proposed? : **No**.
17. **District Profile** : Details have been uploaded online in Part – II on Parivesh 2.0.
18. **Other additional information** : Site Inspection Report (SIR), CA DFL KML file, CA DFL site suitability certificate etc. are enclosed.

Decision of the PSC (PSC – IInd) :

The proposal was submitted by UA in the 37th PSC meeting held on 13.11.2024 after providing fresh Non-Forest Land (CA-NFL) with all the essential details, then PSC had forwarded the proposal to concerned DCF Kota Territorial for filling/revising/changing the information of Part - II accordingly. Now the DCF has filled the Part – II with site inspection and forwarded the proposal to this Committee for PSC – IInd. After careful examination of the Part - II of proposal, it is found that following information is to be provided by DCF (due to incompleteness of Part - II) :-

1. DCF submitted CA-DFL (10.64 ha) scheme in this proposal because of planting cannot be taken in CA-NFL due to dense *Prosopis juliflora* jungle. CA-NFL scheme is required for protection of CA-NFL land by constructing boundary of non forest land received in this proposal.
2. Copy of notification of the forest block in which the proposed forest land is fallen has not been attached.

Therefore; after discussion with all the members, PSC decided to raise Query/EDS to the DCF Kota Territorial for compliance.

Shikha
(Ms. Shikha Mehra)
Chairperson (PSC) and
Nodal Officer & PCCF (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur

d
(Shri Dinesh Kumar Gupta)
MS (PSC) and DCF (FCA),
Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present -
(Shri R. K. Khairwa)
Chief Conservator of Forests,
Kota

- Absent -
(Dr. Ravindra Goswami)
District Collector,
Kota

- Present -
(Shri Apoorva Krishna Srivastava)
Dy. Conservator of Forests,
Kota

Agenda 39.11. : KANNOJ RED OCHRE AND CHINA CLAY MINES (FP/RJ/MIN/QRY/508291/2024).

The details of the proposal are as under : UA submitted the proposal on Parivesh 2.0, information available with Form – A Part – I is :

1. User Agency : **MOHAMMED SAEED KHAN (OWNER), 1125, KHWAJA BAGH, TEHSIL AND DISTRICT CHITTORGARH (Rajasthan).**
2. Category : **Mining/Quarrying (Linear)**, Exempted Category : **N/A**, Is related to encroachment? : **No.**
3. Location of the Project :
 - District - **Chittorgarh** and Division – **Chittorgarh Territorial.**
 - Whether the project/activity falling in the State sharing international borders? : **No.**
 - Total period for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted : **50 Years.**
 - Total Cost of the Project at current price level (in Lakhs) : **95 Lakhs.**
4. Land Requirement (in Ha.) of the project or activity :
 - Non-Forest Land : **0.00 Ha.**, Forest Land : **10.7096 Ha.**, Total Land : **10.7096 Ha.**
 - Legal Status of the Forest land : **Chhote Jhad ka jungle.**
 - Underground component : **N/A.**
5. Digital Maps : **KML File, DGPS Map etc. are enclosed.**
6. Employment likely to be generated :
 - During Construction Phase : **Permanent : 125 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 3 man-days**
 - During Operational Phase : **Permanent : 275 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 5 man-days**
7. Whether Rehabilitation and Resettlement (R & R) involved? : **No.**
8. Whether project area involves shifting of watercourse/road/rail/Transmission line/water pipeline, etc. required? : **No.**
9. Alternative site(s) explored : **No (Not applicable as the project or activity is site-specific).**
10. Whether there is any Government Order or Policy/Court order relevant or restricting to the site? : **No.**
11. Whether there is any litigation pending against the project and/or land in which the project is proposed to be set up? : **No.**
12. Whether the proposal involves violation of Act/Rule/Regulation/Notification of Central/State Government? : **No.**
13. Whether any proposal seeking prior approval of Central Government under the Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Adhiniyam, 1980 for diversion of forest land required for this project has been submitted in the past? : **No.**
14. Details of Land for Compensatory Afforestation (CA) : **Yes : NFL applicable (Details of Non-Forest Land have been given by the UA).**
15. Cost-Benefit analysis for the Project : **Not made.**
16. Whether the Project requires Clearance under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 (Environmental Clearance)? : **Yes (Status of Environmental Clearance : Application is yet to be submitted).**
17. Whether the Project or a part thereof is located in any Protected Area/their Eco-sensitive zone (Wildlife Clearance)? : **No.**
18. Period of validity of Mining Plan : **01-04-2022 to 31-03-2027**, Period of Mining Lease : **50 Years (Other additional documents are enclosed/uploaded).**
19. Whether detailed prospecting to assess mineral reserves in the lease has been undertaken : **No.**
20. Details of bore holes drilled for prospecting : **Nil.**
21. Mineral Reserves :
 - Name of Mineral : **China Clay, Red Ochre.**
 - Proved Reserves : **1520406, 6767914**
 - Indicated Reserves : **743885, 1293300**
 - Inferred Reserves : **0.0001, 0.0001**
 - Mineable Reserves : **2142990, 7025214**
22. Estimated reserves in Forest/Non-Forest land if any :

- Name of Mineral : **Red Ochre, China Clay**
 - Estimated Reserve in Forest Land : **7025214, 2142990**
 - Estimated Reserve in Non-Forest Land : **0.0001, 0.0001**
23. Details of Letter of Intent (Lol)/Vesting order/Mining Lease :
- Date of issue of Lol/Vesting order/Minning Lease : **18-01-2022**
 - Period of validity of Lol/Vesting order (years and months) : **03/00**
 - Period of lease (years and months) : **50/00**
 - Date of expiry of lease : **17-01-2072**
 - Lease area (in ha) as per Lol/Vesting order/Mining Lease : **10.7096 Ha.**
 - Production capacity (in MTPA) as per Lol/Vesting Order/Mining lease : **7415976**
 - Details of lease renewal(s), if any : **Nil.**
24. Status of approval of Mining Plan : **Approved.**
25. Minerals to be mined :
- Name of Mineral : **China Clay, Red Ochre.**
 - Classification : **Minor, Minor**
 - Production Capacity : **1.2, 0.3**
26. Total excavation in MTPA : **7.5**, Total Excavation in M.Cu.m/Annum : **2.7**, Stripping Ratio : **0.3600**
27. Life of the mine as per approved mining plan (in years and months) : **07/00**, Life of the mine as per total estimated reserves, if any (in years and months) : **07/00**
28. Type of Mining : **Opencast**, Method of Mining : **Semi-mechanized**, Type of Blasting : **N/A**, Mitigation measures for control of blast induced vibrations : **N/A.**
29. Whether it is proposed to install beneficiation plant/Coal washery within the mining lease area? : **No.**
30. Whether it is proposed to install crusher within the mining lease area? : **No.**
31. Dumping Strategy :
- External Dump : **Area : 0.0001 Ha., Max. Height : 0.0001 m.**
 - Internal Dump : **Area : 0.0001 Ha., Max. Height : 0.0001 m.**
 - Topsoil Dump/Storage : **Area : 0.0001 Ha., Max. Height : 0.0001 m.**
32. Total Topsoil excavated during the entire life of the mine : **0.0001 mm³**, Utilization strategy of topsoil : **0.0001.**
33. Total Quarry Area : **8.5081 Ha.**, Area of final void : **8.5081 Ha.**, Max. depth of final void : **54 m.**
34. Mode of transportation upto pit head : **By Trucks and Tippers**, Mode of transportation from pit head to siding/loading : **By Trucks and Tippers**, Mode of transport from loading point to consumers : **By Trucks and Tippers.**
35. Details of reclamation/post mining land use :
- Plantation area : **4.179 Ha.**
 - Water body : **6.4306 Ha.**
 - Public use : **0.0001 Ha.**
 - Other uses : **0.0001 Ha.**
36. Other additional information : **Nil.**

Decision of the PSC (PSC – Ist) :

After discussion with all the members, PSC **decided to raise EDS to UA for this proposal due to incomplete information.** UA will provide the following information :-

1. The proposal is not recommended by Department of Mines. The proposal should be forwarded through Mines Department.
2. The area of polygon of KML file is 10.9607 ha while the proposed area is 10.7096 ha. Rectification is required.
3. The proposed diversion is in non-linear category. Accordingly, rectification is required.
4. Justification of proposed forest land for mining purpose has not been submitted.
5. Details of Non Forest Land (KML file, DGPS map, Suitability certificate, Order to set apart the land) have not been submitted.

6. DGPS map has not been submitted with forest boundary and geo-coordinates.
7. Component-wise breakup has not been given.
8. Cost Benefit Analysis has not been submitted.
9. Copy of approved mining plan has been uploaded but land use table is not proper and provision for safety zone has not been kept. Rectification is required.
10. Undertakings for NPV, CA, Minimum forest, FRA certificate etc. are required.
11. The project cost is only 95 lacs but levies for diversion will be more than 95 lacs. Therefore, the project is not viable.
12. Employment details during operational phase are fictitious.
13. Copy of license for prospecting of mines has not been uploaded.
14. Mining category details have not been submitted properly.
15. UA is advised to submit the proposal also in offline mode to the concerned DCF for verifying the proposed diverted forest area and its KML. UA is also advised to submit the proposal again with complete compliance of the above points.

Shikha

(Ms. Shikha Mehra)

Chairperson (PSC) and

Nodal Officer & PCCF (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present -

(Shri Sunil)

Chief Conservator of Forests,
Udaipur

- Absent -

(Shri Alok Ranjan)

District Collector,
Chittorgarh

d

(Shri Dinesh Kumar Gupta)

MS (PSC) and DCF (FCA),
Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present -

(Shri Vijay Shankar Pandey)

Dy. Conservator of Forests,
Chittorgarh

Agenda 39.12. : "Road widening and strengthening work with service lane, drain and footpath from Museum Circle to Bichwal via Deen Dayal Upadhyay-Urmul Circle-(old NH-15) Km 0/00 to 11/00 in Bikaner (Rajasthan)" (FP/RJ/ROAD/509197/2024).

The details of the proposal are as under : UA submitted the proposal on Parivesh 2.0, information available with Form – A Part – I is :

1. User Agency : **Executive Engineer, Office of Executive Engineer, PWD City Division, Bikaner, Kothi No. 9 Civil Lines, Bikaner (Rajasthan).**
2. Category : **Road/Construction or Widening of Road including approach road to roadside establishments including bridges (Linear),** Exempted Category : **N/A,** Is related to encroachment? : **No.**
3. Location of the Project :
 - District – **Bikaner** and Division – **Bikaner Territorial.**
 - Whether the project/activity falling in the State sharing international borders? : **No.**
 - Total Cost of the Project at current price level (in Lakhs) : **3,300 Lakhs.**
 - Total period for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted : **99 Years.**
4. Land Requirement (in Ha.) of the project or activity :
 - Non-Forest Land : **2.2066 Ha.,** Forest Land : **10.464 Ha.,** Total Land : **12.6706 Ha.**
 - Legal Status of the Forest land : **Areas notified under Section 35 of the IFA.**
 - Underground Component : **N/A.**
5. Digital Maps : **KML File, DGPS Map etc. are enclosed.**
6. Employment likely to be generated :
 - During Construction Phase : **Permanent : 720 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 20,000 man-days.**
 - During Operational Phase : **Permanent : 0 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 0 man-days.**
7. Whether Rehabilitation and Resettlement (R & R) involved? : **No.**
8. Whether project area involves shifting of watercourse/road/rail/Transmission line/water pipeline, etc. required? : **No.**
9. Alternative site(s) explored : **No (Not applicable as the project or activity is site specific).**
10. Brief justification on selected site particularly highlighting environmental considerations : **It is socio-economically and techno-economically feasible and it will divert minimum forest land & lower the project cost.**
11. Whether there is any Government Order or Policy/Court order relevant or restricting to the site? : **No.**
12. Whether there is any litigation pending against the project and/or land in which the project is proposed to be set up? : **No.**
13. Whether the proposal involves violation of Act/Rule/Regulation/Notification of Central/State Government? : **No.**
14. Whether any proposal seeking prior approval of Central Government under the Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Adhiniyam, 1980 for diversion of forest land required for this project has been submitted in the past? : **No.**
15. Undertaking for Certificate Under Forest Rights Act (FRA), 2006 : **Yes (Undertaking uploaded online).**
16. Details of Land for Compensatory Afforestation (CA) : **Yes : DFL applicable (as per UA).**
17. Cost-Benefit analysis for the Project : **Not made (Not applicable), because this project involves less than 20 ha. diversion of forest land.**
18. Whether the Project requires Clearance under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 (Environmental Clearance)? : **No.**
19. Whether the Project or a part thereof is located in any Protected Area/their Eco-sensitive zone (Wildlife Clearance)? : **No.**
20. Other additional information : **Undertakings regarding payment of NPV, CA & Others, Undertaking regarding Minimum forest land, Area calculation sheet, Bar-chart etc. are enclosed.**

Decision of the PSC (PSC –1st) :

After discussion with all the members, PSC **decided to raise EDS to UA for this proposal due to incomplete information.** UA will provide the following information :-

1. Equivalent Non-Forest Land (NFL) is required in this proposal. Details of NFL (KML file, DGPS map, Suitability certificate, Order to set apart the land) have not been submitted.
2. Area calculation statement with respect to existing available ROW, proposed width in both sides of LHS & RHS and existing width of present road is required.
3. As per project report, 9 meters clearance is required and provision has been kept accordingly but in the proposal, forest land required more than 10 meters. Clarification is required.
4. UA is advised to submit the proposal also in offline mode to concerned DCF for verification of area and KML file. UA is advised to submit the complete compliance report within stipulated time otherwise the proposal will be delisted.

Shikha

(Ms. Shikha Mehra)

Chairperson (PSC) and

Nodal Officer & PCCF (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present -

(Shri Hanumana Ram)

Chief Conservator of Forests,

Bikaner

- Absent -

(Smt. Namrata Vrishni)

District Collector (DM),

Bikaner

d

(Shri Dinesh Kumar Gupta)

MS (PSC) and DCF (FCA),

Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present -

(Dr. S. Sarath Babu)

Dy. Conservator of Forests,

Bikaner

The details of the proposal are as under : UA submitted the proposal on Parivesh 2.0, information available with Form – A Part – I is :

1. User Agency : **Executive Engineer (T & C), Rajasthan Rajya Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited (RVPN), Sakatpura, Kota (Rajasthan).**
2. Category : **Power Transmission Line/Telecommunication Line (Linear)**, Exempted Category : **N/A**, Is related to encroachment? : **No.**
3. Location of the Project :
 - District - **Baran** and Division – **Baran Territorial.**
 - Whether the project/activity falling in the State sharing international borders? : **No.**
 - Total period for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted : **35 Years.**
 - Total Cost of the Project at current price level (in Lakhs) : **6,907 Lakhs.**
4. Land Requirement (in Ha.) of the project or activity :
 - Non-Forest Land : **165.9805 Ha.**, Forest Land : **36.932 Ha.**, Total Land : **202.9125 Ha.**
 - Legal Status of the Forest land : **Protected Forest.**
 - Underground Component : **N/A.**
5. Digital Maps : **KML File, DGPS Map etc. are enclosed.**
6. Employment likely to be generated :
 - During Construction Phase : **Permanent : 1,460 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 21,900 man-days**
 - During Operational Phase : **Permanent : 51,100 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 1,27,750 man-days**
7. Whether Rehabilitation and Resettlement (R & R) involved? : **No.**
8. Whether project area involves shifting of watercourse/road/rail/Transmission line/water pipeline, etc. required? : **No.**
9. Alternative site(s) explored : **Yes (Details are enclosed).**
10. Brief justification on selected site particularly highlighting environmental considerations : **No other Alternative is feasible except mentioned 2 alignments due protected forest block. Analysis of three routes has been done and route-1 has been selected because it involves minimum forest land and lower the project cost.**
11. Whether there is any Government Order or Policy/Court order relevant or restricting to the site? : **No.**
12. Whether there is any litigation pending against the project and/or land in which the project is proposed to be set up? : **No.**
13. Whether the proposal involves violation of Act/Rule/Regulation/Notification of Central/State Government? : **No.**
14. Whether any proposal seeking prior approval of Central Government under the Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Adhiniyam, 1980 for diversion of forest land required for this project has been submitted in the past? : **No.**
15. Undertaking for Certificate Under Forest Rights Act (FRA), 2006 : **Yes (Undertaking enclosed).**
16. Details of Land for Compensatory Afforestation (CA) : **Yes : DFL applicable (Undertaking enclosed).**
17. Cost-Benefit analysis for the Project : **Yes made for this project (Cost-Benefit Ratio : 71.8207).**
18. Whether the Project requires Clearance under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 (Environmental Clearance)? : **No.**
19. Whether the Project or a part thereof is located in any Protected Area/their Eco-sensitive zone (Wildlife Clearance)? : **No.**
20. Other additional information : **Undertakings regarding payment of NPV, CA and Others, Minimum forest land, Area Calculation Sheet/Area Statement, Undertaking regarding Medicinal/Dwarf Plantation etc. are enclosed.**

Decision of the PSC (PSC –Ist) :

The proposal was submitted in the 33rd PSC meeting held on 28.08.2024, some information was sought from UA which is as follows :-

1. Non forest lands are available nearby the proposed route, so the alignment can be selected in a way where proposed forest area gets reduced.
2. The proposed route is overlapping with proposal no FP/RJ/TRANS/491185/2024. Correction/Justification is required.
3. Three transmission lines are parallel to each-other, these may be aligned in such a way where proposed forest area gets minimal.
4. Cost Benefit Analysis is not correct. Revised/Correct Cost Benefit Analysis is required.
5. UA is advised to submit the proposal also in offline mode to the concerned DCF for verifying the proposed diverted forest area and its KML. UA is also advised to submit the proposal again with complete compliance of the above points.

User Agency has replied and made compliance of the above conditions as under (Now the proposed forest area has been revised from 39.06 Ha. to 36.932 Ha.) :-

1. Partially complied. UA submitted revised proposal from 39.06 ha to 36.932 ha but we can shift the alignment through the non forest lands which are available nearby, so that alignment can be selected in a way where proposed forest area gets reduced.
2. Not complied. The proposed alignment is overlapping/crossing with proposal no: FP/RJ/TRANS/491185/2024- 132 kV D/C Transmission Line from Proposed 220kV GSS Kelwara to 132 kV GSS, Kelwara). Correction/Justification is required.
3. Not complied. Three transmission lines are parallel to each other; these may be aligned in such a way where proposed forest area gets minimum.
4. Complied. Revised Cost Benefit Analysis has been submitted by UA.
5. Partially complied.

UA has still not submitted the complete information as directed earlier in 33rd PSC meeting held on 28.08.2024. Therefore; after discussion with all the members, PSC decided that the proposal is still incomplete and is being de-listed (raise EDS to UA again for all non-complied/partially complied EDS points) as per "Rule 9(9) of Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan), Rules 2023" and advised UA to submit complete compliance within stipulated time otherwise the proposal will be rejected and deleted permanently from the portal next time, if found incomplete.

Shikha

(Ms. Shikha Mehra)

Chairperson (PSC) and

Nodal Officer & PCCF (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present -

(Shri R. K. Khairwa)

Chief Conservator of Forests,

Kota

- Absent -

(Shri Rohitashva Singh Tomar)

District Collector (DM),

Baran

d

(Shri Dinesh Kumar Gupta)

MS (PSC) and DCF (FCA),

Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present -

(Shri Anil Yadav)

Dy. Conservator of Forests,

Baran

The details of the proposal are as under : UA submitted the proposal on Parivesh 2.0, information available with Form – A Part – I is :

1. User Agency : **Assistant Engineer, O/o Executive Engineer, Public Works Department (PWD), Division - Abu Road, Near Bus Stand, Mount Road, Sirohi (Rajasthan).**
2. Category : **Road/Construction or Widening of Road including approach road to roadside establishments including bridges (Linear), Exempted Category : N/A, Is related to encroachment? : No.**
3. Location of the Project :
 - District – **Sirohi** and Division – **Sirohi Territorial.**
 - Whether the project/activity falling in the State sharing international borders? : **No**
 - Total period for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted : **99 Years**
 - Total Cost of the Project at current price level (in Lakhs) : **2,500 Lakhs.**
4. Land Requirement (in Ha.) of the project or activity :
 - Non-Forest Land : **1.1198 Ha.**, Forest Land : **7.8802 Ha.**, Total Land : **9.0000 Ha.**
 - Legal Status of the Forest land : **Reserved Forest.**
 - Underground Component : **No.**
5. Digital Maps : **KML File, DGPS Map etc. are enclosed.**
6. Employment likely to be generated :
 - During Construction Phase : **Permanent : 14,600 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 800 man-days.**
 - During Operational Phase : **Permanent : 0 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 0 man-days.**
7. Whether Rehabilitation and Resettlement (R & R) involved? : **No**
8. Whether project area involves shifting of watercourse/road/rail/Transmission line/water pipeline, etc. required? : **No**
9. Alternative site(s) explored : **Yes (Details are enclosed).**
10. Brief justification on selected site particularly highlighting environmental considerations : **The Dedlar village is newly created Tehsil in Bhakhar Area divided from Abu road in Pindwara Abu Constituency. Most of Revenue village like Tankiya Jayadara Nichalagarh etc. are on other Side bhakhar due to which those villages are coming to Tehsil Headquarter via Nichlahagarh Uplagarh Hanuman tekri abu road NH-27 to dedlar travelling distance almost 38 Km therefore this Road construction is necessary to reduce travelling distance 12-18 km only and also due to Battisha Nallah Project Existing BT road submerged under Dam Reservoir hence connectivity to Deldar tehsil headquarter held up through existing BT road Abu road Derna Dedlar Nichlagarh road, hence by the constructing of this BT road those revenue villages will properly connected with short route for ease of transportation of various basic amenity of Human being like hospitality Education and revenue works etc. By considering the above mentioned issued the Proposed Road has been considered in the CM Budget Announcement 2024-25. The diversion is proposed because it is socio-economically and techno-economically feasible and this diversion will divert the minimum forest land.**
11. Whether there is any Government Order or Policy/Court order relevant or restricting to the site? : **No**
12. Whether there is any litigation pending against the project and/or land in which the project is proposed to be set up? : **No**
13. Whether the proposal involves violation of Act/Rule/Regulation/Notification of Central/State Government? : **No**
14. Whether any proposal seeking prior approval of Central Government under the Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Adhiniyam, 1980 for diversion of forest land required for this project has been submitted in the past? : **No**
15. Undertaking for Certificate Under Forest Rights Act 2006 : **Yes (Undertaking enclosed).**
16. Details of Land for Compensatory Afforestation (CA) : **Yes : NFL applicable (Details of equivalent Non-Forest Land have been given by the UA).**

17. Cost-Benefit analysis for the Project : **Not made because it involves less than 20 ha. diversion of forest land.**
18. Whether the Project requires Clearance under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 (Environmental Clearance)? : **No**
19. Whether the Project or a part thereof is located in any Protected Area/their Eco-sensitive zone (Wildlife Clearance)? : **No**
20. Other additional information : **Undertakings regarding payment of NPV, CA and Others, Minimum forest land, Area Calculation Sheet etc. are enclosed.**

Decision of the PSC (PSC –Ist) :

Earlier, the **proposal was submitted in the 33rd PSC meeting held on 28.08.2024, some information was sought** from UA which is as follows :-

1. Why is the proposed road required? As the proposed road will give benefit to only two villages. No other villages found to be benefited by this road. Justification on the basis of traffic data (PCU), social aspects etc is required.
2. As the fresh forest land is required in new alignment. Therefore, alternative routes are required.
3. Details of Non-Forest Land (order for allotment/set-aside of non forest land, KML file, GT Sheet, DGPS map etc.) have not been provided.
4. Cross section is incorrect as per width taken in the proposal.
5. Project cost is uploaded but project report is not uploaded.
6. UA is advised to submit the proposal also in offline mode to the concerned DCF for verifying the proposed diverted forest area and its KML. UA is also advised to submit the proposal again with complete compliance of the above points.

Then User Agency had replied/resubmitted the proposal in 37th PSC meeting dated 13.11.2024 and made compliance of the above conditions as under (the proposed forest area was same) :-

1. Complied. UA provided that the road connects five villages and traffic data & social aspect of the road have been given.
2. Not Complied. UA has not provided alternatives of alignment but in this proposal alternative routes are required.
3. Not Complied. Details (order for allotment/set-aside the non forest land, KML file, GT Sheet, DGPS map etc.) of non forest land have not been provided.
4. Complied. Revised Cross section has been uploaded in the proposal.
5. Complied. Justification for road on the basis of traffic data (PCU), social aspects has been given.
6. Complied. Project report has been uploaded.

Then PSC had raised EDS again to UA for compliance of all non-complied/partially complied EDS points as above. Now UA has again replied & made compliance as under :-

1. Complied. UA has provided alternative alignments.
2. Complied. Details of Non-forest land have been submitted as the Non-forest land was given in Takiya village by the District Collector.

Now, **User Agency (UA) has made compliance of all the EDS** raised in earlier PSC meetings. Therefore; after discussion with all the members, **PSC decided to accept & forward this proposal to the concerned DCF Sirohi Territorial for filling Part – II details. However, it is mandatory for the UA to provide all the requisite information in physical mode to the concerned DCF;** if anything required.

Shikha
(Ms. Shikha Mehra)
Chairperson (PSC) and
Nodal Officer & PCCF (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur

-Present-
(Shri R. K. Jain)
Chief Conservator of Forests,
Jodhpur

-Present-
(Smt. Alpa Choudhary)
District Collector (DM),
Sirohi

d
(Shri Dinesh Kumar Gupta)
MS (PSC) and DCF (FCA),
Rajasthan, Jaipur

-Present-
(Smt. Kasturi Prashant Sule)
Dy. Conservator of Forests,
Sirohi

The details of the proposal are as under : UA submitted the proposal on Parivesh 2.0, information available with Form – A Part – I is :

1. User Agency : **RAJASTHAN STATE HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY, JAIPUR (RSAH).**
2. Category : **Road (widening of existing road).**
3. Location : **District – Pratapgarh, Division – Pratapgarh Territorial**
4. Land Details :
 - **Forest land : Total 10.4639 hec. District - Pratapgarh, Division – Pratapgarh Territorial**
 - **Non Forest Land : Total 52.7698 hec. District & Division- Pratapgarh**
5. Project Cost : **155.35 Cr.**
6. Period for diversion : **99 years**
7. Employment Details (in man-days) : **Construction: Permanent - 9125 Temporary : 6214; Operational : Permanent - 18250 Temporary : 12428**
8. Project Site Specific : **Yes, Alternatives are not required due the project is submitted for widening of existing road.**
9. Digital Maps : **KML file and DGPS map are enclosed with Part I.**
10. Compensatory Afforestation Land : **Applicable. 10.4639 hec. Non forest land has been set apart by District Collector Pratapgarh in the village but not given.**
11. Cost Benefit Analysis : **Enclosed.**
12. Justification : **This is the Road Widening Project so that Widening of road has been proposed on the existing Road to minimize the impacts on Forest land therefore there is no any other alternative is feasible.**
13. Undertakings : **Undertaking for Forest Right Act 2006, payment of NPV, CA, Minimum Forest etc. are enclosed.**
14. Brief Note : **Proposal submitted for widening of existing road. No violation found in the proposal. The details of the Part – II filled by DCF Pratapgarh Territorial on Parivesh 2.0 are as under :**
 1. District – **Pratapgarh** and Division – **Pratapgarh Territorial.**
 2. Diverted Forest Area – **10.4639 Ha.**
 3. Legal Status of Forest Land – **Reserved Forest (4.9061 Ha.) and Protected Forest (5.5578 Ha.).**
 4. Canopy Density – **0.2** and Eco-class – **Eco III**
 5. Whether the proposal involves felling of trees? : **Yes.**
 6. Number of trees which will actually be felled : **2752 trees**, No. of species : **41.**
 7. Working plan prescription for the forest land proposed for diversion : **Natural Forest Management Working Circle. Restoration Working Circle. (Copy of Working plan prescription is enclosed).**
 8. Vulnerability of forest area to erosion : **Area is not vulnerable to erosion.**
 9. Approximate distance of proposed Site for diversion from boundary of forest (Km) : **0 Km.**
 10. Whether any work in violation of the Act or guidelines issued under the Act has been carried out : **No.**
 11. Details of wildlife present in and around the forest land proposed for diversion : **Small civet, Jackal, Jungle cat, Indian hyena, Leopard, Crocodiles, Pythons, Nilgai etc.**
 12. Whether forms part of National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve Buffer Zone, Elephant Corridor, Wildlife Migration Corridor etc : **No.**
 13. Whether any National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve, Elephant Corridor, Wildlife Migration Corridor etc., is located within 10 Km. from boundary of the forest land proposed to be utilized for prospecting or diversion : **No.**
 14. Whether any rare/endangered/unique species of flora and fauna found in the area : **No.**
 15. Whether any protected archaeological/heritage site/defence establishment or any other important monument is located in the area : **No.**
 16. Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency is unavoidable and bare minimum for the project : **Yes.**
 17. **Compensatory Afforestation Details :**
 - Whether CA is applicable or not? : **Yes : NFL applicable (Some details of CA land such as KML File, Location Details, DGPS Map, Toposheet, Land Suitability Certificate etc. have been uploaded).**

- Total Financial outlay for C.A. scheme (in Crores) : **0.9197 Crores (CA scheme is enclosed).**
 - Whether the provision of additional CA land is proposed? : **No.**
18. **District Profile** : Details have been uploaded online in Part – II on Parivesh 2.0.
19. Other additional information : **Copy of Block Notification, Tree-cutting list, Certificate regarding NPV, Recommendation, Site Inspection Report (SIR) etc. are enclosed.**

Decision of the PSC (PSC – IInd) :

Earlier, the **proposal was submitted by the UA in the 34th PSC meeting held on 19.09.2024, PSC had accepted & forwarded the proposal to concerned DCF Pratapgarh Territorial for filling Part – II details.** Thereafter, the **proposal was resubmitted in 38th PSC meeting held on 26.11.2024 after filling the Part - II details by DCF Pratapgarh Territorial and some information was sought from the DCF (due to incompleteness of Part – II) which is as follows :-**


1. There is involvement of 2,752 trees felling but list of trees has not been mention in part II.
2. The amount of CA-NFL is 10076840 which is higher than current CA-NFL models. Justification is required.


Then, **the PSC had decided to raise Query/EDS to the DCF for compliance of EDS. Now DCF has replied and made compliance of above conditions as under :-**

1. Complied. DCF mentioned the list of trees in part II. As per part II, 2752 trees are to be felled.
2. Complied. Revised CA scheme has been uploaded for an amount of Rs. 9197175.00.

Thus, DCF Pratapgarh Territorial has submitted all the requisite information as directed in the 38th PSC meeting dated 26.11.2024. Therefore; after discussion with all the members, PSC decided to accept/recommend this proposal in public interest with the condition that tree felling should be minimum & forward this proposal to the Nodal Officer for filling Part – III details as per Rule 9(4)(h) of Forest (Conservation), Rules, 2022.


(Ms. Shikha Mehra)
 Chairperson (PSC) and
 Nodal Officer & PCCF (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur


(Shri Sunil)
 Chief Conservator of Forests,
 Udaipur


(Dr. Anjali Rajoria)
 District Collector (Div1),
 Pratapgarh


(Shri Dinesh Kumar Gupta)
 MS (PSC) and DCF (FCA),
 Rajasthan, Jaipur


(Shri Hari Kishan Saraswat)
 Dy. Conservator of Forests,
 Pratapgarh

The details of the proposal are as under : UA submitted the proposal on Parivesh 2.0 information available with Form – A Part – I :

1. User Agency : **Public Health Engineering Department, Sirohi, Rajasthan.**
2. Category : **Drinking water (Non-Linear).**
3. Location : **District – Sirohi, Division – Mount Abu WL.**
4. Land Details :
 - **Forest land : Total 23.1959 hec. District - Sirohi, Division – Mount Abu WL : Reserve Forest- 0, Protected Forest - 23.1959 Hec. All land falling in the mount abu wildlife sanctuary.**
 - **Non Forest Land : Total 30.2473 hec. District - Sirohi & Division - Mount Abu WL**
5. Project Cost : **250.54 Cr.**
6. Period for diversion : **99 years.**
7. Employment Details (in man-days) : **Construction : Permanent - 5400 Temporary : 2000; Operational : Permanent - 542025 Temporary : 36135**
8. Project Site Specific : **Yes, Alternatives are not required due the project is submitted for providing drinking water to Mount Abu town.**
9. **Digital Maps : KML file and DGPS map are enclosed with Part I.**
10. **Compensatory Afforestation Land : Applicable. 23.20 hec. Non forest land has been set apart by District Collector Sirohi in the village Panchdeval District Sirohi.**
11. **Cost Benefit Analysis : Enclosed.**
12. **EC applicable : No, WLC applicable : Yes.**
13. **Justification : This is the drinking water project in which dam will be constructed. There is no any other source for providing drinking mount abu town. Forest area is taken in such a way to minimize the impacts on Forest land therefore there is no any other alternative is feasible**
14. Undertakings: **Undertaking for Forest Right Act 2006, payment of NPV, CA, Minimum Forest etc. are enclosed.**
15. Brief Note : **Proposal submitted for construction of dam for drinking water. No violation found in the proposal.**

The details of the Part – II filled by DCF WL Mt. Abu Sirohi on Parivesh 2.0 are as under :

1. District – **Sirohi** and Division – **WL Mt. Abu Sirohi.**
2. Diverted Forest Area – **23.1959 Ha.**
3. Legal Status of Forest Land – **Reserved Forest (18.4994 Ha.) and Unclassed Forest (4.6965 Ha.).**
4. Canopy Density – **0.6** and Eco-class – **Eco III**
5. Whether the proposal involves felling of trees? : **Yes.**
6. **Enumeration Details :- Total No. of trees to be felled – 1387 and Total Species – 24**
7. Working plan prescription for the forest land proposed for diversion : **The Proposed area falls under the three following categories: - 1. Mount Abu Wildlife Sanctuary (Reserve Forest)- 18.4994 ha. 2. unclassified forest out of Mount Abu wildlife. For Wildlife Sanctuary Mount Abu Management plan is under drafting phase as per New MoEF & CC Guidelines dated 30-11-2023. For Management of wildlife sanctuary i.e. Protection, Habitat improvement, Fire management, Soil & Moisture Conservation etc. Prescriptions are Proposed. Outside Protected area the Prescriptions of Eco-Sensitive zone (Prohibited, Regulated & Promoted Activities) shall be applicable and the conditions of SC-NBWL to be complied imposed in wildlife clearance approval (Copy of Working plan prescription is enclosed).**
8. Vulnerability of forest area to erosion : **The Sanctuary Area constitutes a part of Aravali system and almost made up of Erinpura granite the area nearby Nallahs and streams is moderately prone to erosion.**
9. Approximate distance of proposed Site for diversion from boundary of forest (Km) : **0 Km.**
10. Whether any work in violation of the Act or guidelines issued under the Act has been carried out : **No.**

11. Details of wildlife present in and around the forest land proposed for diversion : **The proposed area falls partially under Mount Abu wildlife sanctuary & partially under ESZ. Mainly Leopard, Sloth Bear, Hyena, Wolf, Sambhar, Wild Boar, Jackal, Grey Jungle fowl, Jungle cat, Common langoor, Red Spur fowl, Peafowl, Python etc.**
12. Whether forms part of National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve Buffer Zone, Elephant Corridor, Wildlife Migration Corridor etc : **Yes (Details and comments on the impact of Project on such areas : This Project will help the wildlife to flourish due to availability of water throughout the year).**
13. Whether any National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve, Elephant Corridor, Wildlife Migration Corridor etc., is located within 10 Km. from boundary of the forest land proposed to be utilized for prospecting or diversion : **No.**
14. Whether any rare/endangered/unique species of flora and fauna found in the area : **Yes (Details and comments on the impact of Project on such species of Flora and Fauna : This Project will help the wildlife to flourish due to availability of water throughout the year and 1387 Trees will be affected due to this project).**
15. Whether any protected archaeological/heritage site/defence establishment or any other important monument is located in the area : **No.**
16. Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency is unavoidable and bare minimum for the project : **Yes.**
17. **Compensatory Afforestation Details :**
 - Whether CA is applicable or not? : **Yes : NFL applicable (Some details of CA land such as DGPS Map, Toposheet, Land Suitability Certificate etc. have been uploaded).**
 - Total Financial outlay for C.A. scheme (in Crores) : **1.4266 Crores (CA scheme is enclosed).**
 - Whether the provision of additional CA land is proposed? : **No.**
18. **District Profile :** Details have been uploaded online in Part – II on Parivesh 2.0.
19. Other additional information : **Copy of Block Notification, Certificate regarding NPV, Site Inspection Report (SIR) etc. are enclosed.**

Decision of the PSC (PSC – IInd) :

Earlier, the proposal was submitted by the UA in the 36th PSC meeting held on 25.10.2024, PSC had accepted & forwarded the proposal to concerned DCF WL Mt. Abu Sirohi for filling Part – II details. Thereafter, the proposal was resubmitted in 38th PSC meeting held on 26.11.2024 after filling the Part - II details by DCF WL Mt. Abu Sirohi and some information was sought from the DCF (due to incompleteness of Part – II) which is as follows :-

1. Copy of notification of involved forest block and wildlife management plan have not been submitted.
2. NPV details have not been uploaded.
3. *Prosopis Juliflora* is invaded in the proposed CA-NFL; suitability certificate has been given by DCF Sirohi. The CA- NFL will be suitable after removal of *Juliflora*. Removal of *Juliflora* has not been included in the CA-NFL scheme. CA scheme in prescribed performa has not been submitted.

Then, the PSC had decided to raise Query/EDS to the DCF for compliance of EDS. Now DCF has replied and made compliance of above conditions as under :-

1. Complied. Copy of notification of forest block & wildlife management plan has been submitted.
2. Complied. NPV details have been uploaded.
3. Complied. DCF submitted revised CA scheme including amount of removal of *Prosopis juliflora* and other weeds having an amount of CA-NFL is Rs. 14266000.

Thus, DCF WL Mt. Abu Sirohi has submitted all the requisite information as directed in the 38th PSC meeting dated 26.11.2024. Therefore; after discussion with all the members, PSC decided to

accept/recommend this proposal in public interest & forward this proposal to the Nodal Officer for filling Part – III details as per Rule 9(4)(h) of Forest (Conservation), Rules, 2022.

Shikha

(Ms. Shikha Melra)

Chairperson (PSC) and
Nodal Officer & PCCF (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present -

(Shri R. K. Jain)

Chief Conservator of Forests (WL),
Jodhpur

- Present -

(Smt. Alpa Choudhary)

District Collector,
Sirohi

d

(Shri Dinesh Kumar Gupta)

MS (PSC) and DCF (FCA),
Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present -

(Shri Nandlal Prajapat)

Dy. Conservator of Forests (WL),
Mt. Abu, Sirohi

Agenda 39.17. : Construction of Fatehgarh-3-Bhadla-3 400 kV D/C Transmission Line (Quad) Scheme in Transmission system for evacuation of power from REZ in Rajasthan (20 GW) under Phase-III Part-A3 by Fatehgarh-III Transmission Limited (FP/RJ/PWR_TRANS/481261/2024).

The details of the proposal are as under : UA submitted the proposal on Parivesh 2.0, information available with Form – A Part – I is :

1. User Agency : **Project Head, Fatehgarh III Transmission Limited, T-15A, Third Floor, Salcon Rasvilas, Saket, New Delhi.**
2. Category : **Power Transmission Line/Telecommunication Line (Linear)**, Exempted Category : **N/A**, Is related to encroachment? : **No.**
3. Location of the Project :
 - District - **Jodhpur, Jaisalmer & Barmer** and Division – **Jodhpur, IGNP St.-II Jaisalmer, Jaisalmer & Barmer Territorial.**
 - Whether the project/activity falling in the State sharing international borders? : **No.**
 - Total period for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted : **35 Years.**
 - Total Cost of the Project at current price level (in Lakhs) : **65,000 Lakhs.**
4. Land Requirement (in Ha.) of the project or activity :
 - Non-Forest Land : **1003.8902 Ha.**, Forest Land : **30.613 Ha.**, Total Land : **1034.5032 Ha.**
 - Jodhpur Territorial Division - Forest Land : **10.4420 Ha.**
 - IGNP St.-II Jaisalmer Territorial Division - Forest Land : **0.2392 Ha.**
 - Jaisalmer Territorial Division - Forest Land : **19.7754 Ha.**
 - Barmer Territorial Division - Forest Land : **0.1564 Ha.**
 - Legal Status of the Forest land : **Deemed Forest (29.739 Ha.) & Areas notified under Section 35 of IFA (0.874 Ha.).**
 - Underground Component : **N/A.**
5. Digital Maps : **KML File, DGPS Map etc. are enclosed.**
6. Employment likely to be generated :
 - During Construction Phase : **Permanent : 60,280 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 5,48,000 man-days**
 - During Operational Phase : **Permanent : 2,55,500 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 5,11,000 man-days**
7. Whether Rehabilitation and Resettlement (R & R) involved? : **No.**
8. Whether project area involves shifting of watercourse/road/rail/Transmission line/water pipeline, etc. required? : **No.**
9. Alternative site(s) explored : **Yes (Details are enclosed).**
10. Brief justification on selected site particularly highlighting environmental considerations : **No other Alternative is feasible except mentioned 2 alignments due protected forest Crossing. Analysis of three routes has been done and route-1 has been selected because it involves minimum forest land and lower the project cost.**
11. Whether there is any Government Order or Policy/Court order relevant or restricting to the site? : **No.**
12. Whether there is any litigation pending against the project and/or land in which the project is proposed to be set up? : **No.**
13. Whether the proposal involves violation of Act/Rule/Regulation/Notification of Central/State Government? : **No.**
14. Whether any proposal seeking prior approval of Central Government under the Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Adhiniyam, 1980 for diversion of forest land required for this project has been submitted in the past? : **No.**
15. Undertaking for Certificate Under Forest Rights Act (FRA), 2006 : **Yes (Undertaking enclosed).**
16. Details of Land for Compensatory Afforestation (CA) : **Yes : DFL applicable (Undertaking enclosed).**
17. Cost-Benefit analysis for the Project : **Yes made for this project (Cost-Benefit Ratio : 3034.2675).**
18. Whether the Project requires Clearance under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 (Environmental Clearance)? : **No.**
19. Whether the Project or a part thereof is located in any Protected Area/their Eco-sensitive zone (Wildlife Clearance)? : **No.**

20. Other additional information : **Undertakings regarding payment of NPV, CA and Others, Minimum forest land, Area Calculation Sheet, Undertaking regarding Medicinal Plantation etc. are enclosed.**

Decision of the PSC (PSC –Ist) :

The **proposal was submitted in the 35th PSC meeting held on 10.10.2024, some information was sought** from UA which is as follows :-

1. The starting point of the proposed line and alternatives are different. Rectification is required.
2. Alternatives have been given but alignment has not been taken as per concept of minimum forest land.
3. Name of canal/road and oran villages have not been mentioned in the DGPS map.
4. Total alignment is falling inside the potential area of GIB. Status of installation of bird diverters in earlier forest diversion proposals is required.
5. UA is advised to submit the proposal also in offline mode to the concerned DCF for verifying the proposed diverted forest area and its KML. UA is also advised to submit the proposal again with complete compliance of the above points otherwise the proposal will be delisted.

User Agency has replied and made compliance of the above conditions as under (Now the proposed forest area has been revised from 37.9408 Ha. to 30.613 Ha.) :-

1. Complied. Rectification has been done.
2. Partially complied. The area has been revised from 37.9408 ha to 30.613 ha forest land. Some area can be reduced at the polygon no 3,5,10 (Jaisalmer) & 15,16 (Jodhpur).
3. Complied. Name of canal/road and oran villages have been mentioned in the DGPS map.
4. Complied. No forest diversion proposal was submitted in GIB area. Hence, information is nil for Bird Diverters.
5. Partially complied.

UA has still not submitted the complete information as directed earlier in 35th PSC meeting held on 10.10.2024. Therefore; after discussion with all the members, **PSC decided that the proposal is still incomplete and is being de-listed (raise EDS to UA again for all non-complied/partially complied EDS points) as per "Rule 9(9) of Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan), Rules 2023"** and advised UA to submit complete compliance within stipulated time otherwise the proposal will be rejected and deleted permanently from the portal next time, if found incomplete.

Shikha

(Ms. Shikha Mehra)

Chairperson (PSC) and Nodal Officer & PCCF (FCA),
Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present -

(Shri R. K. Jain)

Chief Conservator of Forests,
Jodhpur

[Signature]

(Shri Dinesh Kumar Gupta)
MS (PSC) and DCF (FCA),
Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Absent -

(Shri Gaurav Agrawal)
District Collector,
Jodhpur

- Absent -

(Shri Pratap Singh)
District Collector,
Jaisalmer

- Absent -

(Smt. Tina Dabi)
District Collector,
Barmer

- Present -

(Shri Mohit Gupta)
Dy. Conservator of Forests,
Jodhpur

- Present -

(Shri Ashutosh Ojha)
Dy. Conservator of Forests,
IGNP St. II, Jaisalmer

- Present -

(Shri Ashutosh Ojha)
Dy. Conservator of Forests,
Jaisalmer

- Present -

(Smt. Savita Dahiya)
Dy. Conservator of Forests,
Barmer

Agenda 39.18. : Construction of feeder with all structures from delivery cistern at Navnera barrage to submergence of Mez Anycut including construction of aqueduct for crossing of chambal river (NGBI link package 2) in Kota n Bundi Districts of Rajasthan (FP/RJ/WATER/504909/2024).

The details of the proposal are as under : UA submitted the proposal on Parivesh 2.0, information available with Form – A Part – I is :

1. User Agency : **General Manager, PIU Kota, ERCPC (EASTERN RAJASTHAN CANAL PROJECT CORPORATION LIMITED) Sinchai Bhawan, JLN Marg, Jaipur (Rajasthan).**
2. Category : **Drinking Water (Linear)**, Exempted Category : **N/A**, Is related to encroachment? : **No.**
3. Location of the Project :
 - District - **Kota** and Division – **Kota Territorial.**
 - Whether the project/activity falling in the State sharing international borders? : **No.**
 - Total period for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted : **99 Years.**
 - Total Cost of the Project at current price level (in Lakhs) : **1,84,479 Lakhs.**
4. Land Requirement (in Ha.) of the project or activity :
 - Non-Forest Land : **303.57 Ha.**, Forest Land : **24.05 Ha.**, Total Land : **327.62 Ha.**
 - Legal Status of the Forest land : **Protected Forest.**
 - Underground Component : **No.**
5. Digital Maps : **KML File, DGPS Map etc. are enclosed.**
6. Employment likely to be generated :
 - During Construction Phase : **Permanent : 1,09,500 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 1,40,000 man-days**
 - During Operational Phase : **Permanent : 4,56,000 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 8,75,000 man-days**
7. Whether Rehabilitation and Resettlement (R & R) involved? : **No.**
8. Whether project area involves shifting of watercourse/road/rail/Transmission line/water pipeline, etc. required? : **No.**
9. Alternative site(s) explored : **Yes (details are enclosed/uploaded online).**
10. Brief justification on selected site particularly highlighting environmental considerations : **Main alternative (Alt-2) has less Forest land, less displacement and best suitable for project development point of view.**
11. Whether there is any Government Order or Policy/Court order relevant or restricting to the site? : **No.**
12. Whether there is any litigation pending against the project and/or land in which the project is proposed to be set up? : **No.**
13. Whether the proposal involves violation of Act/Rule/Regulation/Notification of Central/State Government? : **No.**
14. Whether any proposal seeking prior approval of Central Government under the Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Adhiniyam, 1980 for diversion of forest land required for this project has been submitted in the past? : **No.**
15. Undertaking for Certificate Under Forest Rights Act (FRA), 2006 : **Yes (Undertaking enclosed).**
16. Details of Land for Compensatory Afforestation (CA) : **Yes : NFL applicable (Details uploaded online).**
17. Cost-Benefit analysis for the Project : **Yes made for this project (Cost-Benefit Ratio : 3.6601).**
18. Whether the Project requires Clearance under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 (Environmental Clearance)? : **No.**
19. Whether the Project or a part thereof is located in any Protected Area/their Eco-sensitive zone (Wildlife Clearance)? : **Yes (Status of approval of the Standing Committee of National Board for Wildlife (NBWL) to the Project : Proposal no. WL/RJ/DRKWATER/491532/2024 is under consideration).**
20. Category specific details :
 - Hydel, Irrigation, Drinking water : **Drinking water.**
 - Whether project involves Hydroelectric power project? : **No.**
 - Whether project involves construction of Dam/Barrage/Weir? : **Others (Feeder & Distribution Canal and Aqueduct).**

- Name of River (source) : **Kalisindh**
 - Average rainfall : **746 mm.**
 - Water availability : **Approx. 1498 MCM.**
 - Provision of fish pass envisaged : **No (Reason : No fish is passing).**
21. Other additional information : **Undertakings regarding payment of NPV, CA and Others, Minimum forest land, Bar-Chart etc. are enclosed.**

Decision of the PSC (PSC –Ist) :

The **proposal was submitted in the 38th PSC meeting held on 26.11.2024, some information was sought** from UA which is as follows :-

1. The area is 24.51 ha in submitted KML file for diversion of forest land while the proposal is submitted for 21.8 ha. The polygon submitted Khasra wise whereas segment wise KML file is required. As per submitted digital forest boundary, some area has not been taken in the proposal. Clarification is required.
2. Proposal is not site specific. Therefore; alternative routes with analysis are required.
3. Patch-wise/Segment-wise area details for forest/non forest area do not match with area proposed at point no 3.4 of part I.
4. DGPS map is not appropriate. Corner coordinates including geo-reference have not been marked in DGPS map.
5. It is not clear that who is the owner of the land proposed for CA. Copy of order/consent for set-apart the non forest land (NFL) from District Collector has not been uploaded. KML file for proposed CA-NFL has not been identified because a lot of polygons are uploaded.
6. As per reply, CB Analysis is not required for drinking water project. Please provide guidelines for this purpose. Cost Benefit Analysis has not been prepared on the basis of current wage/model rates.
7. Cross section uploaded but not specified that how much width is required.
8. Area Calculation sheet (length and width wise) with respect to sanctuary area has not been submitted.
9. Regarding Muck Disposal plan, UA replied that filling is much higher than cutting, therefore muck will not be generated. But how much muck will generate and where will the muck be used for filling. Justification and undertaking are required.
10. Project has not been marked on khasra map and khasras which are included in the proposed forest land have not been highlighted.
11. Project Report of the proposed forest land has not been submitted.
12. As per letter of DCF Kota dated 12.11.2024, the proposed forest area for diversion is increased from 21.8 to 24.05 ha. Hence, revised proposal is required.

User Agency has replied and made compliance of the above conditions as under (Now the proposed forest area has been revised from 21.8 Ha. to 24.05 Ha.) :-

1. Complied. The revised proposal has been submitted for 24.05 ha and KML file is submitted accordingly.
2. Complied. UA submitted alternative routes with analysis.
3. Complied. Patch-wise/Segment-wise area details for forest/non forest area match in part I.
4. Complied. Revised DGPS map with geo-corner coordinates has been uploaded.
5. Complied. Order of District Collector Kota has been upload in part II for 24.05 ha Non-forest land has been set apart for this proposal. Non forest land has been given in village Babuliya renmal with KML file and DGPS map.

6. Complied. Revised Cost Benefit Analysis based on current FC guidelines has been uploaded in Part I.
7. Complied. Revised Cross section with width has been uploaded.
8. Complied. Area Calculation sheet (length and width wise) with respect to sanctuary area has been submitted.
9. Complied. Undertaking for muck disposal has been submitted although UA replied that filling is higher than cutting therefore muck will not be generated.
10. Complied. Project has been marked on Khasra map and Khasra has been highlighted.
11. Complied. Project Report of the proposed forest land has been submitted.
12. Complied. UA submitted revised proposal for 24.05 ha forest land.

Now, **User Agency (UA)** has made compliance of all the EDS raised in earlier PSC meeting. Therefore; after discussion with all the members, **PSC decided to accept & forward this proposal to the concerned DCF Kota Territorial for filling Part – II details. However, it is mandatory for the UA to provide all the requisite information in physical mode to the concerned DCF;** if anything required.

Shikha
(Ms. Shikha Mehra)
 Chairperson (PSC) and
 Nodal Officer & PCCF (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present -
(Shri R. K. Khairwa)
 Chief Conservator of Forests,
 Kota

- Absent -
(Dr. Ravindra Goswami)
 District Collector,
 Kota

d
(Shri Dinesh Kumar Gupta)
 MS (PSC) and DCF (FCA),
 Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present -
(Shri Apoorva Krishna Srivastava)
 Dy. Conservator of Forests,
 Kota

Agenda 39.19. : Laying of Pipe Line Water Supply Project of 648 villages in Block Begun Bhainsorgarh, Gangarar, Chittorgarh, Bhadesar & Nimbahera District-Chittorgarh From Chambal River under Jal-Jeevan Mission (Package-1) (FP/RJ/Pipeline/464727/2024).

The details of the proposal are as under : UA submitted the proposal on Parivesh 2.0, information available with Form – A Part – I is :

1. User Agency : **Executive Engineer, Public Health Engineering Department (PHED), Project Division - Begun, District-Chittorgarh (Rajasthan).**
2. Category : **Pipeline (Linear), Exempted Category : N/A, Is related to encroachment? : No.**
3. Location of the Project :
 - District - **Chittorgarh** and Division – **Chittorgarh Territorial, WL MNP Kota & WL Kota.**
 - Whether the project/activity falling in the State sharing international borders? : **No.**
 - Total period for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted : **99 Years.**
 - Total Cost of the Project at current price level (in Lakhs) : **93,109 Lakhs.**
4. Land Requirement (in Ha.) of the project or activity :
 - Non-Forest Land : **54.8151 Ha., Forest Land : 11.4169 Ha., Total Land : 66.2320 Ha.**
 - Chittorgarh Territorial : Forest Land : **8.7619 Ha.**
 - WL MNP Kota : Forest Land : **1.3952 Ha.**
 - WL Kota : Forest Land : **1.2598 Ha.**
 - Legal Status of the Forest land : **Protected Forest (8.7619 Ha.) and Reserved Forest (2.655 Ha.).**
 - Underground Component : **Underground Water Pipe line.**
5. Digital Maps : **KML File, DGPS Map etc. are enclosed.**
6. Employment likely to be generated :
 - During Construction Phase : **Permanent : 7,300 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 1,42,350 man-days**
 - During Operational Phase : **Permanent : 54,750 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 1,09,500 man-days**
7. Whether Rehabilitation and Resettlement (R & R) involved? : **No.**
8. Whether project area involves shifting of watercourse/road/rail/Transmission line/water pipeline, etc. required? : **No.**
9. Alternative site(s) explored : **No (Not applicable as the project or activity is site specific).**
10. Brief justification on selected site particularly highlighting environmental considerations : **The diversion is proposed because it is socio-economically and techno-economically feasible. The proposed “Laying of Pipe Line Water Supply Project of 648 villages in Block Begun Bhainsorgarh, Gangarar, Chittorgarh, Bhadesar & Nimbahera District-Chittorgarh From Chambal River under Jal-Jeevan Mission (Package-1)” alignment hence without forest land involvement can't complete. Considering the selection of the path in the Laying Pipe line, CPS, WTP, IPS, MBR, OHSR, ESR, GLRS & Power Transmission lines of the proposed for “Laying of Pipe Line Water Supply Project of 648 villages in Block Begun Bhainsorgarh, Gangarar, Chittorgarh, Bhadesar & Nimbahera District-Chittorgarh From Chambal River under Jal-Jeevan Mission (Package-1)” minimum forest land has been done. No Alternative routes are available for this. The (Chittorgarh Territorial Division-9.2517 ha., WL Kota-0.7700 ha & WL MNP Kota-1.3952 ha) minimum forest land is 11.4169 ha. It is proposed that the unavoidable be unavoidable. Keeping in view the prevailing law in the proposed the reserve of 11.4169 hectares of forest land has been proposed. It is forest land involved in the proposed for “Laying of Pipe Line Water Supply Project of 648 villages in Block Begun Bhainsorgarh, Gangarar, Chittorgarh, Bhadesar & Nimbahera District-Chittorgarh From Chambal River under Jal-Jeevan Mission (Package-1)” alignment is bare minimum.**
11. Whether there is any Government Order or Policy/Court order relevant or restricting to the site? : **No.**
12. Whether there is any litigation pending against the project and/or land in which the project is proposed to be set up? : **No.**
13. Whether the proposal involves violation of Act/Rule/Regulation/Notification of Central/State Government? : **No.**

14. Whether any proposal seeking prior approval of Central Government under the Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Adhiniyam, 1980 for diversion of forest land required for this project has been submitted in the past? : **No.**
15. Undertaking for Certificate Under Forest Rights Act (FRA), 2006 : **Yes (Undertaking enclosed).**
16. Details of Land for Compensatory Afforestation (CA) : **Yes : NFL applicable (Details of equivalent Non-Forest Land have been given by the UA).**
17. Cost-Benefit analysis for the Project : **Not applicable, so not made for this Project (because the proposed forest area is less than 20 Ha.).**
18. Whether the Project requires Clearance under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 (Environmental Clearance)? : **No.**
19. Whether the Project or a part thereof is located in any Protected Area/their Eco-sensitive zone (Wildlife Clearance)? : **Yes (Status of approval of the Standing Committee of National Board for Wildlife (NBWL) to the Project : Proposal No. "WL/RJ/Pipeline/493319/2024" is under consideration).**
20. Other additional information : **Undertakings regarding payment of NPV, CA and Others, Minimum forest land, Area Calculation Sheet etc. are enclosed.**

The details of the Part – II filled by DCF Chittorgarh Territorial on Parivesh 2.0 are as under :

1. District – **Chittorgarh** and Division – **Chittorgarh Territorial.**
2. Diverted Forest Area – **8.7619 Ha.**
3. Legal Status of Forest Land – **Protected Forest (3.4295 Ha.) and Reserved Forest (5.3324 Ha.).**
4. Canopy Density – **0.2** and Eco-class – **Eco III**
5. Whether the proposal involves felling of trees? : **Yes.**
6. **Enumeration Details :-** Total No. of trees to be felled – **5134** and Total Species – **41**
7. Working plan prescription for the forest land proposed for diversion : **The proposal falls under SMC, Plantation, Protection and Grass and Grazing Working Circle (Copy of Working plan prescription is enclosed).**
8. Vulnerability of forest area to erosion : **Being underground pipeline project, the impact on soil erosion shall be minimum.**
9. Approximate distance of proposed Site for diversion from boundary of forest (Km) : **0 Km.**
10. Whether any work in violation of the Act or guidelines issued under the Act has been carried out : **No.**
11. Details of wildlife present in and around the forest land proposed for diversion : **Various scheduled wildlife present in the area are Leopard, Golden Jackal, Hyena, Pea fowl, Fox, Monitor Lizard etc. Other wildlife species present are blue bull, wild boar, rodents and avi fauna etc.**
12. Whether forms part of National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve Buffer Zone, Elephant Corridor, Wildlife Migration Corridor etc : **No.**
13. Whether any National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve, Elephant Corridor, Wildlife Migration Corridor etc., is located within 10 Km. from boundary of the forest land proposed to be utilized for prospecting or diversion : **Yes (Located within : 0.01 Km., Details and comments on the impact of Project on such areas : Part of the project area falls under Bhainsrodgarh WLS for separate Wildlife Clearance shall be taken by UA as per applicable rules).**
14. Whether any rare/endangered/unique species of flora and fauna found in the area : **Yes (Details and comments on the impact of Project on such species of Flora and Fauna : Various scheduled/rare and endangered wildlife present in the area are Leopard, Golden Jackal, Hyena, Pea fowl, Fox, Monitor Lizard etc. Mitigative Measures in form of construction of underground passageway shall be taken by UA as WII guidelines).**
15. Whether any protected archaeological/heritage site/defence establishment or any other important monument is located in the area : **No.**
16. Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency is unavoidable and bare minimum for the project : **Yes.**
17. **Compensatory Afforestation Details :**
 - Whether CA is applicable or not? : **Yes : NFL applicable (Some details of CA land such as KML File, Location Details, DGPS Map, Toposheet, Land Suitability Certificate etc. have been uploaded).**

- Total Financial outlay for C.A. scheme (in Crores) : **1.4595 Crores (CA scheme is enclosed).**
 - Whether the provision of additional CA land is proposed? : **No.**
18. **District Profile** : Details have been uploaded online in Part – II on Parivesh 2.0.
19. Other additional information : **Copy of Block Notification, Certificate regarding NPV, Tree-cutting list, Recommendation, Site Inspection Report (SIR) etc. are enclosed.**

The details of the Part – II filled by DCF WL MNP Kota on Parivesh 2.0 are as under :

20. District – **Chittorgarh** and Division – **WL MNP Kota.**
21. Diverted Forest Area – **1.3952 Ha.**
22. Legal Status of Forest Land – **Reserved Forest.**
23. Canopy Density – **0.3** and Eco-class – **Eco III**
24. Whether the proposal involves felling of trees? : **Yes.**
25. **Enumeration Details** :- Total No. of trees to be felled – **1143** and Total Species – **9**
26. Working plan prescription for the forest land proposed for diversion : **Tiger conservation plan not approved yet.**
27. Vulnerability of forest area to erosion : **There is underground pipe line work then soil erosion less.**
28. Approximate distance of proposed Site for diversion from boundary of forest (Km) : **0 Km.**
29. Whether any work in violation of the Act or guidelines issued under the Act has been carried out : **No.**
30. Details of wildlife present in and around the forest land proposed for diversion : **Sloth bear, Tiger, Leopard, Rattel, Four horned Antelope.**
31. Whether forms part of National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve Buffer Zone, Elephant Corridor, Wildlife Migration Corridor etc : **Yes (Details and comments on the impact of Project on such areas : The project is situated in ROW and under ground pipe line so the impact on wild life is minimal).**
32. Whether any National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve, Elephant Corridor, Wildlife Migration Corridor etc., is located within 10 Km. from boundary of the forest land proposed to be utilized for prospecting or diversion : **No.**
33. Whether any rare/endangered/unique species of flora and fauna found in the area : **No.**
34. Whether any protected archaeological/heritage site/defence establishment or any other important monument is located in the area : **No.**
35. Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency is unavoidable and bare minimum for the project : **Yes.**
36. **Compensatory Afforestation Details :**
- Whether CA is applicable or not? : **Yes : NFL applicable (Some details of CA land such as KML File, Location Details, DGPS Map, Toposheet, Land Suitability Certificate etc. have been uploaded).**
 - Total Financial outlay for C.A. scheme (in Crores) : **0 (Land provided to DCF WL Kota).**
 - Whether the provision of additional CA land is proposed? : **No.**
37. **District Profile** : Details have been uploaded online in Part – II on Parivesh 2.0.
38. Other additional information : **Copy of Block Notification, Site Inspection Report (SIR) etc. are enclosed.**

The details of the Part – II filled by DCF WL Kota on Parivesh 2.0 are as under :

1. District – **Chittorgarh** and Division – **WL Kota.**
2. Diverted Forest Area – **1.1 Ha.**
3. Legal Status of Forest Land – **Protected Forest.**
4. Canopy Density – **0.3** and Eco-class – **Eco III**
5. Whether the proposal involves felling of trees? : **Yes.**
6. **Enumeration Details** :- Total No. of trees to be felled – **110** and Total Species – **1**
7. Working plan prescription for the forest land proposed for diversion : **N/A.**
8. Vulnerability of forest area to erosion : **There will be felling of trees and hence there will be erosion of soil also.**
9. Approximate distance of proposed Site for diversion from boundary of forest (Km) : **0.2 Km.**
10. Whether any work in violation of the Act or guidelines issued under the Act has been carried out : **No.**









11. Details of wildlife present in and around the forest land proposed for diversion : **Leopard, Wild pig, Nilgai, Mongoose.**
12. Whether forms part of National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve Buffer Zone, Elephant Corridor, Wildlife Migration Corridor etc : **Yes (Details and comments on the impact of Project on such areas : There will be slight negative impact on wildlife as there will be disturbance because of presence of human being).**
13. Whether any National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve, Elephant Corridor, Wildlife Migration Corridor etc., is located within 10 Km. from boundary of the forest land proposed to be utilized for prospecting or diversion : **Yes (Located within : 0.2 Km, Details and comments on the impact of Project on such areas : There will be slight negative impact on wildlife as there will be disturbance because of presence of human being).**
14. Whether any rare/ endangered/unique species of flora and fauna found in the area : **Yes (Details and comments on the impact of Project on such species of Flora and Fauna : There will be slight negative impact on wildlife as there will be disturbance because of presence of human being).**
15. Whether any protected archaeological/heritage site/defence establishment or any other important monument is located in the area : **No.**
16. Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency is unavoidable and bare minimum for the project : **Yes.**
17. **Compensatory Afforestation Details :**
 - Whether CA is applicable or not? : **Yes : DFL applicable (Some details of CA land such as DGPS Map, Toposheet etc. have been uploaded).**
 - Total Financial outlay for C.A. scheme (in Crores) : **1.4595 Crores (CA scheme is enclosed).**
 - Whether the provision of additional CA land is proposed? : **No.**
18. **District Profile :** Details have been uploaded online in Part – II on Parivesh 2.0.
19. Other additional information : **Nil.**

Decision of the PSC (PSC – IInd) :

The proposal was submitted in the 38th PSC meeting held on 26.11.2024 after filling of Part - II by concerned DCFs Chittorgarh Territorial, WL MNP Kota & WL Kota, but PSC had raised Query/EDS to UA for area correction in part-I. Now UA has made corrections (as under) in part - I regarding area :-

1. Complied. UA revised the proposal as follows :-
 - a. DCF MNP Kota – 1.3952 ha (Earlier 1.3952)
 - b. DCF WL Kota – 1.2598 ha (earlier 0.7700 ha)
 - c. DCF Chittorgarh Territorial – 8.7619 ha (earlier 9.2517 ha)
 Revised proposal as above the details have been submitted.
2. Complied. Undertakings for CA and NPV have already been given.
3. Complied. CA–NFL will be taken by DCF Chittorgarh. Hence, CA scheme will be prepared only by DCF Chittorgarh.

Therefore; after discussion with all the members, PSC decided to forward this proposal to concerned DCFs Chittorgarh Territorial, WL MNP Kota & WL Kota for filling/revising/changing the information of Part - II accordingly.

 (Ms. Shikha Mehra) Chairperson (PSC) and Nodal Officer & PCCF (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur	 (Shri Sunil) Chief Conservator of Forests, Udaipur	 (Shri R. K. Khairwa) CCF & FD (WL), MHTR, Kota	 (Shri Alok Ranjan) District Collector, Chittorgarh
 (Shri Dinesh Kumar Gupta) MS (PSC) and DCF (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur	 (Shri Vijay Shankar Pandey) Dy. Conservator of Forests, Chittorgarh	 (Shri Muthu S.) DCF & DFD (WL), MNP, Kota	 (Shri Anurag Kumar Bhatnagar) Dy. Conservator of Forests (WL), Kota

Copy to : (Through e-mail) :

1. Chief Conservator of Forests, Kota (e-mail : cffdp.kota.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
2. Chief Conservator of Forests, Udaipur (e-mail : ccf.udpur.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
3. Chief Conservator of Forests, Jaipur (e-mail : ccf.jpr.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
4. Chief Conservator of Forests, Jodhpur (e-mail : ccf.jdpur.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
5. Chief Conservator of Forests, Bikaner (e-mail : ccf.bknr.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
6. Chief Conservator of Forests and Field Director (WL), MHTR, Kota (e-mail : ccfwl.kota.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
7. District Collector, Baran (e-mail : dm-brn-rj@nic.in).
8. District Collector, Pratapgarh (e-mail : dm-pra-rj@nic.in).
9. District Collector, Banswara (e-mail : dm-ban-rj@nic.in).
10. District Collector, Sikar (e-mail : dm-sik-rj@nic.in).
11. District Collector, Jaisalmer (e-mail : dm-jai-rj@nic.in).
12. District Collector, Bikaner (e-mail : dm-bik-rj@nic.in).
13. District Collector, Udaipur (e-mail : dm-uda-rj@nic.in).
14. District Collector, Kota (e-mail : dm-kot-rj@nic.in).
15. District Collector, Chittorgarh (e-mail : dm-chi-rj@nic.in).
16. District Collector, Sirohi (e-mail : dm-sir-rj@nic.in/rajsir@nic.in).
17. District Collector, Jodhpur (e-mail : dm-jod-rj@nic.in).
18. District Collector, Barmer (e-mail : dm-bar-rj@nic.in).
19. Dy. Conservator of Forests, Baran (e-mail : dcf.brn.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
20. Dy. Conservator of Forests, Pratapgarh (e-mail : dcf.pratpgh.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
21. Dy. Conservator of Forests, Banswara (e-mail : dcf.bnwr.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
22. Dy. Conservator of Forests, Sikar (e-mail : dcf.sikar.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
23. Dy. Conservator of Forests, I.G.N.P. St. - II, Jaisalmer (e-mail : dcfignp.jsm@gmail.com).
24. Dy. Conservator of Forests, I.G.N.P. St. - II, Bikaner (e-mail : dcf.ignp2.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
25. Dy. Conservator of Forests, Udaipur (North) (e-mail : dcf.udprn.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
26. Dy. Conservator of Forests, Kota (e-mail : dcf.kota.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
27. Dy. Conservator of Forests, Chittorgarh (e-mail : dcf.chitor.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
28. Dy. Conservator of Forests, Bikaner (e-mail : dcf.bknr.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
29. Dy. Conservator of Forests, Sirohi (e-mail : dcf.sirohi.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
30. Dy. Conservator of Forests (WL) Mt. Abu, Sirohi (e-mail : dcfwl.mtabu.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
31. Dy. Conservator of Forests, Jodhpur (e-mail : dcf.jdpr.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
32. Dy. Conservator of Forests, Jaisalmer (e-mail : dcf.jslmr.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
33. Dy. Conservator of Forests, Barmer (e-mail : dcf.bmr.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
34. Dy. Conservator of Forests and Dy. Field Director (WL), Mukundra Hills Tiger Reserve-I (MHTR), Kota (e-mail : dcfmnp.kot.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
35. Dy. Conservator of Forests (WL), Kota (e-mail : dcfwl.kota.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
36. Executive Engineer, Public Works Department (PWD), Division - Shahabad, Distt. - Baran, Pin - 325217 (Rajasthan) (e-mail : pwd_shahabad@rediffmail.com/kkggbn@gmail.com).
37. Assistant Manager, Rajasthan IV E Power Transmission Limited, Power Grid Corporation of India Limited, K-17 Gold Leaf Colony, Sukher, Bhuwana, Near Hotel Rajkamal, Udaipur (Rajasthan) - 313001 (e-mail : rishabdeoprojects@powergrid.in).
38. Assistant Engineer, Office of Executive Engineer PWD, Division II, Sub Division Peepalkhunt, Pratapgarh (Rajasthan) - 312605 (e-mail : eearnod.pwd@rajasthan.gov.in).

39. Additional Chief Engineer (P-PHWR), Nuclear Power Corporation of India Limited, A-2-07, Nabhikiya Urja Bhawan, Anushaktinagar, Mumbai (Maharashtra) – 400094 (e-mail : pphwrnpcil@gmail.com/kknpp.npcil@gmail.com).
40. Sumitra Devi Chetani (Owner), Ward No. 17, Neem Ka Thana, Sikar (Rajasthan) – 332713 (e-mail : sumitrachetani@gmail.com).
41. DGM (Tech.) Associate Project Director, Office of the General Manager Cum Project Director, Rajasthan State Highways Authority (RSHA), PIU - Banswara, Rajasthan – 327001 (e-mail : rshabanswara@rajasthan.gov.in).
42. Chief General Manager, Powergrid Ramgarh II Transmission Limited, House no. 51, Achalvansi Colony, Jaisalmer (Rajasthan) - 345001 (e-mail : ibprasad@powergrid.in).
43. Geologist, State Unit Rajasthan, WR, GSI (Geological Survey of INDIA, Ministry of Mines), 15-16 Jhalana Doongari, Jaipur (Rajasthan) - 302004 (e-mail : uzzwalkumar.sharma@gsi.gov.in).
44. Executive Engineer, PWD Division-Kotra, District-Udaipur (Rajasthan) – 307025 (e-mail : xenkotra.pwd@rajasthan.gov.in).
45. Executive Engineer, Public Works Department (PWD), Division- Kota, Raj Bhawan Road, Nayapura, District – Kota (Rajasthan) – 324001 (e-mail : distt_div@rediffmail.com).
46. MOHAMMED SAEED KHAN (OWNER), 1125, KHWAJA BAGH, TEHSIL AND DISTRICT CHITTORGARH (Rajasthan) – 312613 (e-mail : mohammedsaeedkhan1981@gmail.com).
47. Executive Engineer, Office of Executive Engineer, PWD City Division, Bikaner, Kothi No. 9 Civil Lines, Bikaner (Rajasthan) - 334001 (e-mail : eecitybkn.pwd@rajasthan.gov.in).
48. Executive Engineer (T & C), Rajasthan Rajya Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited (RVPN), Sakatpura, Kota (Rajasthan) - 324006 (e-mail : xen.tnc.kota@rvpn.co.in/se.tnc.kota@rvpn.co.in).
49. Assistant Engineer, O/o Executive Engineer, Public Works Department (PWD), Division - Abu Road, Near Bus Stand, Mount Road, Sirohi (Rajasthan) – 307026 (e-mail : eeaburoad@rediffmail.com/earoad.pwd@rajasthan.gov.in).
50. DGM (Technical) Associate Project Director, Office of the General Manager Cum Project Director, Rajasthan State Highways Authority (RSHA), PIU-Banswara, Rajasthan – 327001 (e-mail : rshabanswara@rajasthan.gov.in).
51. Assistant Engineer, Public Health Engineering Department (PHED), PHED Division Abu Road, Sirohi (Rajasthan) – 307026 (e-mail : phedabr@gmail.com).
52. Project Head, Fatehgarh III Transmission Limite, T-15A, Third Floor, Salcon Rasvilas, Saket, New Delhi - 110017 (e-mail : harish.dhir@apraava.com).
53. General Manager, PIU Kota, ERCPL (EASTERN RAJASTHAN CANAL PROJECT CORPORATION LIMITED) Sinchai Bhawan, JLN Marg (Jaipur) – 302017 (e-mail : ceercpc@gmail.com).
54. Executive Engineer, Public Health Engineering Department (PHED), Project Division - Begun, District - Chittorgarh (Rajasthan) - 312023 (e-mail : ee.pbegun.phed@rajasthan.gov.in).
55. Guard File.