


11. The RFs indicated by the project proponent in Part-I of Form-A & brief project report are different from the RFs mentioned by the DFO in his report . This needs rectification.
12. In Part-II against point No10(ii) The map attached vide Annexure-XIII stated to have been DGPS surveyed map of the diverted Forest area ,is actually the GPS surveyed map of the non-forest land identified for CA in village Biswanathpur in lieu of the Forest Land proposed for diversion in respect of above proposal. The discrepancy needs to be removed. Further, it may be stated as to whether the status of the “Non-Forest” land identified for CA was non-forest as on 25-10-1980.
13. The CA scheme should also include a Treatment Map showing the proposed interventions.
14. The classification of the Non-Forest land included in the land schedule of the project as on 25.10.1980 should be furnished.

In addition to above, the proposal may be checked/verified scrupulously so as to ensure that it is free from any discrepancy and complete in all respects.


Therefore, the Diversion proposal is returned herewith in quadruplicate for resubmission at the earliest after due compliance with the deficiencies as pointed out above for taking further follow up action at this end .

**Encl.: As above.**

  
Regional Chief Conservator of Forests,  
Rourkela Circle, Rourkela.


Memo No. \_\_\_\_\_/3F(Dis-S) /2014. Dated.

Copy forwarded to the Additional Principal Chief Conservator of Forests(Forest Diversion & Nodal Officer,FC Act), O/o the Principal Chief Conservator of Forests, Odisha for favour of kind information with reference to Memo No.8296 dt.17.11.2014 of DFO, Sundargarh Division.

  
Regional Chief Conservator of Forests,  
Rourkela Circle, Rourkela.

Memo No. \_\_\_\_\_/3F(Dis-S) /2014. Dated.

Copy forwarded to the Executive Engineer, R&B Division, Sundargarh for favour of information and necessary action.

  
Regional Chief Conservator of Forests,  
Rourkela Circle, Rourkela