Diversion of 0.2186 ha of forest land in favour of M/S Kandhi Top 100 KW, NH 21 Below IDBI Bank Bhojpur Bazar tehsil Sundernagar distt Mandi,HP, for the construction of Kandhi Top (100KW), in district Mandi within the jurisdiction of Mandi Forest Division distt Mandi, HP.

1. Against column C(iii), although the toposheet has been uploaded but as per latest instructions of GoI, the copy of Survey of India Toposheet indicating boundary of forest land proposed to be diverted duly signed by the user agency and DFO concerned is required to be uploaded against this column.

2. Against column C(iv) in online part-1, although the digital map of proposed project has been uploaded, but as per latest instructions of GoI, against this column map of the proposed project duly signed by the user agency and DFO concerned is required to be uploaded. Further all the components mentioned in componentwise breakup are required to be mentioned in digital map with poper index.

3. Against column No. D(i), although a note containing justification for locating the project over the forest land has been uploaded but has not been countersigned by DFO concerned. Against this column a note of justification duly signed by the user agency and countersigned by DFO concerned is required to be uploaded.

There is difference in the employment likely to be generated mentioned in online 4. part-I and mentioned in hard copy of part-I. In online part-I, against column No.E(ii), '0' and against column No. E(iii), '540', has been mentioned whereas in hard copy of part-I against column No.1(vi), 'Attached at P.No.' has been mentioned but P.No. has not been mentioned. The employment details has not bee found placed in the proposal folder. The employment likely to be generated mentioned in online part-I and mentioned in hard copy of part-I, should tally. Further in online part-1, against all the columns, 'Attached at P.No.' has been mentioned and No. P.Nos. have been mentioned.

The legal status of forest land has not been specified in online part-II, and 5. 'Others' has been mentioned against column No.2.of online part-II.

In the 1st copy of proposal folders at P.No.32-37, original copy of FRA certificate 6. has been placed. But the district level proceedings have not been attested by the issuing department. Atleast in one of the proposal folder, original FRA certificate alongwith all the proceedings attested by the issuing department are required to be placed in the proposal folder.

CA has been proposed in terms of number of plants and has been placed at P.Nos.57-53 which is only with seven years of maintenance. CA scheme with ten 7. years of maintenance is required to be placed in the proposal folder and is also required to be uploaded online against additional information detail.

The calculation of NPV placed at P.No.55 of the proposal folder is not in order. 8. The rate of NPV applied has not been mentioned and the rate of NPV in ha @ 50% has been mentioned. The full rate applicable as per eco class is required to be mentioned firstly and then 50% rate is to be mentioned.

The status of TEC is required to be intimated as copy of TEC has still not been 9. found placed/uploaded in the proposal folder.

O/O Pr. CCF, H P (HoFF)