

Diversion of 406.79 ha of forest land in favour of HPPCL for the construction of Thana Plaun, within the jurisdiction of Mandi, Jogindernagar Forest Division Distt Mandi, HP.

The following shortcomings have been noticed:

Jogindernagar Forest Division:

The total period for which forest land is required, against column No.A-1(x), Nil, has been mentioned. In case of hydel projects, 40 years is required to be mentioned against

There is difference in estimated cost of the project mentioned against column No.A-1(vii) of online part-I and mentioned against column No.1(iii) in hard copy of part-I. 2. The estimated cost of the project should tally as mentioned in hard copy and in online

Application has been filed by Shri Ajay Kumar Bisht, General Manager, whereas authority letter has been issued in the name of Shri Parmod Kumar Kathuria, General 3. Manager. It is clarified that Authority letters should be issued by designation and not be name. Revised authority letter is required to be uploaded against this column

Against column C(ii)a, in respect of both the Forest Divisions, the area of patch 0, has been mentioned and while viewing these KML files, the proposed forest area has not 4.

been marked on Google earth.

Against column No.D(i), although justification for locating the project over the forest land has been uploaded but has not been countersigned by DFO concerned. As per 5. latest instructions of GoI, justification signed by the user agency and countersigned by

DFO concerned is required to be uploaded against this column.

There is difference in employment likely to be generated, mentioned against column No.E in online part-I and given in hard copy of the proposal. Against column No.E(ii), 6. '200' and against E(iii), '1200', has been mentioned whereas in hard copy of part-I skilled/semiskilled 1200, No.1(vi), column Engineers/Administrative Finance employees, has been mentioned. The employment details given in online part-I and placed in hard copy should match. Necessary corrections are required to be made in the employment details.

Against column No.G(i), although cost benefit analysis has been uploaded but is not on the revised formats of GoI. GoI has now revised the formats of cost benefit analysis. 7.

Against column No.K(i), Yes has been mentioned and while viewing the said document, a message is appearing, 'This page is not working'. FRA certificate 8. along with all the proceedings is required to be uploaded against this column.

CAT Plan of the said HEP has also been submitted with the proposal, hence the undertaking uploaded against column No.M(ii)a is required to be deleted. Nothing has 9.

been found uploaded against column No.M(ii)b.

Against additional information details, number of documents have been uploaded but detail of only four documents have been given against remarks column. The detail of 10. each uploaded document is required to be given against remarks column.

In the details of CA patches, detail of 17 patches have been shown whereas the maps (digital map and map on Toposheet)of the CA sites, the detail of only 16 patches has 11.

been uploaded.

THE PARTY

PTO

Mandi Forest Division:

1. Against column No.3 of online part-II, District wise area to be diverted in the division, has not been mentioned and Nil has been mentioned against this column, The forest area proposed for diversion in the division is required to be mentioned against this column.

2. There is difference in density of vegetation mentioned in online part-II and mentioned in the hard copy of part-II. In online part-II, 0.1 density has been mentioned whereas in hard copy of part-II, 0.29 density has been mentioned. The correct density of vegetation is required to be mentioned in online as well as in hard copy of part-II and bill of NPV is also required to be calculated as per correct density of vegetation.

3. The bill of NPV placed at P.No.151 of the proposal folder has been calculated for 0.29 density, dense forest, whereas a bill of NPV uploaded in online part-II, has been calculated for 0.08 density. The rate of NPV 6,57,000/- has been levied which is the rate of open forest. Correct bill of NPV is required to be uploaded in online part-II.

4. Dumping has been proposed over 10.35 ha of forest land whereas the reclamation of

plantation part only over 5 ha has been proposed.

5. CCF concerned has also inspected the proposed site hence site inspection report of CCF concerned is also required to be uploaded against the column meant for Site inspection report.

Against the column of recommendations instead of uploading the part-III, copy of site inspection report has been found uploaded.

Deputy Conservator of Forests (FCA)
O/O Nodal Officer Cum-Addl. Pr.CCF(FCA)HP