

No. FCA /LHEP 8109  
Himachal Pradesh Forest Department

Dated Rampur, the 14 / 1 / 2020

From: - C.F. Rampur.

To: - DFO. Ani at Luhri

Subject: - **Diversion of 0.9486 hac. of additional Forest land for Luhri HEP-Stage-I (210 MW), Bithal Shimla for the construction of approach road for Moin quarry site within jurisdiction of Ani at Luhri Forest Division, Distt Kullu, Himachal Pradesh –Submission of hard copy of the proposal seeking prior approval of Central Government under the Forest (Conservation) Act,1980.**

**Memo:**

Reference your office Memo No. 3199 dated 22.11.2019 on the subject cited above.

The case for the diversion 0.9486 hac. of Forest land in favour of additional Forest land for Luhri HEP-Stage-I (210 MW), Bithal Shimla for the construction of approach road for Moin quarry site has been scrutinized in this office and it is observed that same has been submitted in hasty and slip shod manner without examining the two alternatives routes feasibility as warranted by the Law. The following shortcoming/observations have been noticed:-

1. Checklist submitted by the User Agency has not been filled in and also not indexed properly.
2. Detail note/ History of the project needs to be authenticated by the DFO.
3. As per the check list statement showing detail of forest area proposed for diversion is 07, but check list placed in the proposal folder is 06. Check list be prepared on the prescribed format and component wise detail of forest land proposed for diversion be given.
4. Reference of previously diverted land has not shown/reflected in the justification for locating the road in forest area i.e. check list No.10. This should be prepared by giving complete detail of forest area and tree involved in the alternatives examined & reasons for their rejection.
5. Certificate from the Competent Authority that all the forest rights, under the Forest Right Act. 2006 has not been placed in the proposal folders and place in the proposal folder alongwith the Gram Sabha proceedings. If not processed, undertaking alongwith the copy of papers processed with DC and SDM be placed in the proposal folders. Please see to it.
6. As per the MoEF Check list for site inspection report in respect of DFO is 16, but the check list placed in the proposal folder is 15. Please see to it.
7. As per the MoEF check list i.e location Map of non forest/degraded forest area identified for CA is 17, whereas check list placed in the proposal folder is 16. Please see to it.

8. As per the MoEF check list for the scheme for compensatory afforestation is 18, whereas the check list placed in the proposal folder is 17. Place and date may also be mentioned in the check list. Please see to it.
9. Check list 19, is with regard to certificate from the DFO that non forest land identified for CA is suitable from the management and protection point & view. But check list placed in the proposal folder is for land suitability certificate by DFO. This check list has not been placed in the proposal folder.
10. Check list placed at page 65 is not applicable as per latest MoEF check list and needs to be detached.
11. Certificate regarding the non-availability of non forest land in the State raising compensatory afforestation has not been placed in the proposal folder. This certificate will be based on the certificate signed by DFO and Deputy Commissioner/Collector of the District concerned about non availability of such non forest land.
12. Detailed muck treatment plan be prepared and placed in the proposal folder.
13. मक डंपिंग साईट का ततीमा नही बना हुआ है। जिसे अब बनाया जाये वह प्रपोजल फौलडर में लगाया जाये।
14. Please ensure that all the check list be prepared and placed in proposal folder as per the MoEF check list.  
The proposal folder as received are returned herewith for doing the needful.

Encl :- As above.

  
Conservator of Forests, 13/1/2020  
Rampur, Bsr. H.P.