

- 1- Forest land detail mention in Form A, Part I, B-2.3 village wise breakup differs from what mentioned in other places. Kindly rectify the same. (screenshot is attached for your reference).

B-2.2 Details of Districts involved

District wise breakup			
S.no	District Name	Forest Land(ha.)	Non-Forest Land(ha.)
1.	Pithoragarh	3.02	3.105
Total		3.02	3.105

B-2.3 Village wise breakup

Villages wise breakup			
S.no	Village	Forest Land(ha.)	Non-Forest Land(ha.)
1	Tilari	2.07	1.665
2	Dubtar	0.45	0.54
3	Serasunali	0	0.9
Total		2.52	3.105

B-2.4 Component wise breakup

Component wise breakup			
S.no	Component	Forest Land(ha.)	Non-Forest Land(ha.)
1	Road	2.52	3.105
2	Muck Disposal	0.5	0
Total		3.02	3.105

C. Maps of forest land proposed to be diverted

- 2- FRA certificate not uploaded at relevant place. Kindly upload the same.
- 3- Kindly upload the relevant document under L-(g). Copy of Mou/agreement executed between the Present owner and the User Agency, as the document uploaded is not correct.
- 4- No. of tree affected in forest land mentioned in Uploaded tree list is 42 while in part II at Para 4 (ii) it is mentioned as 67; also the detail of the tree not matched with the uploaded list(species wise as well as girth wise). Kindly rectify accordingly. (screenshot is attached for your reference).

S.No	District Name	Forest Land(ha.)	Non-Forest Land(ha.)
1	Pithoragarh	3.02	3.105
Total		3.02	3.105

4. Details of Vegetation available in the forest land proposed for diversion

(i) Density of vegetation

S. No.	Area(in ha.)	Density	Eco-Class
1	3.02	0.2	Eco 5
Total.	3.02		

(ii) Species-wise local/scientific names and girth-wise enumeration of trees at FRL

S. No.	Scientific Name	Local Name	(0-30)cm.	(31-60)cm.	(61-90)cm.	(91-120)cm.	(121-150)cm.	(>150)cm.
1	Pinus roxburghii	Cheer	0	8	4	5	4	0
2	Alnus Nepalensis	Utees	0	6	3	1	2	0
3	Myrica esculenta	Kafal	0	4	3	4	1	0
4	Misc. Species	Katauz	0	12	6	1	3	0
Total			0	30	16	11	10	0
Sub Total (No of Trees.)			67					

5. Working plan prescription for the forest land proposed for diversion : NIL

6. Brief note on vulnerability of the forest area to erosion : No erosion prone area.

7. Approximate distance of the proposed site for diversion from boundary of forest(in km.) : 1

8. Significance of the forest land proposed for diversion from wildlife point of view

(स्वीकृत समरेखन)

प्रश्नगत परियोजना के निर्माण हेतु दिनांक 01/03/2019 को किये गये संयुक्त निरीक्षण के अनुसार आवेदित वन भूमि पर प्रभावित होने वाले वृक्षों की गणना की गई व निरीक्षण के दौरान प्रभावित होने वाले वृक्षों की निम्नानुसार गणना की गई।
प्रस्तावित वन भूमि पर प्रभावित होने वाले वृक्षों का भूमिवार/प्रजातिवार/व्यासवार विवरण।

क्र.सं.	भूमि की श्रेणी	वनव्लाक/कम्पार्टमेंट/खसरा संख्या	प्रजाति	वैज्ञानिक नाम	व्यास वर्ग							
					0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40-50	50-60	60 से अधिक	योग
1.	आरक्षित भूमि	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2.	बेनाप भूमि	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3.	सिविल भूमि	सानदेव	चीड़	Pinus roxburghu Sarg	-	07	04	02	02	-	-	15
			उत्तीस	Alnusnepalensis D.Don	-	04	01	01	01	-	-	07
			कामल	Myrica esculenta Buch	-	03	02	01	-	-	-	06
			कटौज	Cagapapsiteunlofdes soach	-	08	04	02	-	-	-	14
4.	वन पंचायत भूमि	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
योग :- वन भूमि		-	-	-	-	22	11	06	03	-	-	42
5.	नाप भूमि	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
कुल योग :-		-	-	-	-	22	11	06	03	-	-	42

उपरोक्तानुसार गणना किये गये वृक्षों का पातन किया जाना अपरिहार्य है। इसके अतिरिक्त 0-10 व्यास वर्ग के वृक्षों को सूची में सम्मिलित नहीं किया गया है।

(अमीन)
 प्रा.व.व.नि.वि.
 डी.डी.ए.

कान्छे अभियन्ता
 प्रा.व.व.नि.वि.
 डी.डी.ए.

सहायक अभियन्ता
 प्रा.व.व.नि.वि.
 डी.डी.ए.

अधिकारी अभियन्ता
 प्रा.व.व.नि.वि.
 डी.डी.ए.

5- Name of the CA area not mentioned in the CA scheme uploaded. Kindly rectify the same.

.....NODAL OFFICE.....