

No.Ft.48-4008/2019(FCA),  
H.P. Forest Department.

Dated Shimla-1, the 1 NOV 2020

From: Nodal Officer-cum-Addl.Pr.CCF (FCA)  
O/o Pr.CCF, H.P, Shimla-1

To: CCF Rampur.

Subject: **Diversion of 0.4148 hectare of forest land in favour of HPPCL for the construction of Integrated Kashnag HEP Stage-II & III for some modification in the Project component i.e. construction of Silt Flushing Tunnel (SFT) within the jurisdiction of Kinnaur Forest Division, Distt. Shimla, H.P.**

Memo:

Please refer to your office Memo. No. 3701 dated 25.10.2021 on the subject cited above.

2 The proposal received vide your above referred communication is found incomplete. The following shortcomings have been noticed in the proposal which is to be completed. Proposal folders received are returned herewith. All the requisite documents may please be placed in the proposal folder with proper numbering and index.

1. Complete Justification for location the project in forest area has not been uploaded against column No. D(i) of online Part-I. Justification as placed in the proposal folder at page No. 41-43 should be uploaded against this column.
2. Page number of justification for locating the project has not been mentioned in Part-I of the proposal folder.
3. Temporary employment has not been mentioned in Part-I of the proposal folder against column No. 1(vi).
4. Page number of environment clearance has not been given in Part-I against column No. 4.
5. Legal status of the land proposed for diversion has not been mentioned in online Part-II against column No. 2.
6. In online Part-II against column 6, it has been stated that the area is steep and slightly prone to the erosion but against column No. (viii) of Part-II of the proposal folder, it has been stated that there is no possibility of erosion of the forest land.
7. Against column No. 8 of online Part-II, whether the forest land proposed for diversion is located within eco-sensitive zone(ESZ) of the Protected Area notified under Wildlife(Protection) Act,1972 (Note: In case, ESZ of a Protected Area is not notified,then,10kms distance from boundary of the Protected Area should be treated as ESZ); No has been mentioned. Distance certificate from DFO concerned stating the distance of the project site from ESZ of the protected area is required to be uploaded and placed in the proposal folder.
8. Page No. of Site inspection report has not been given in column No. 11 of the Part-II.
9. There is difference in District Profile in online Part-II against column No. 14 & in Part-II against column No. 12 of the proposal folder.
10. Against column No. 16 of the online Part-II, the recommendation of the DFO concerned has not been uploaded but only a copy of letter addressed to CCF



11. Layout plan of the project as placed in the proposal folder at Page No. 31 has not been authenticated by DFO concerned.
12. FRA certificate as placed in the proposal folder at Page No. 54-60 is not complete. Complete proceedings of Gram Sabha Level Committee meeting, Forest Right Committee meeting and Sub-Divisional Committee meeting for the area of 0.4148 hectare of forest land is not found in the proposal folder. Only Form-I issued by DC concerned for 0.4148 hectare area is found placed in the proposal folder & other documents related to FRA certificate is for an area of 0.9348 hectare. Complete FRA certificate for an area of 0.4148 hectare with all documents from all level committee should be placed in the proposal folder and also upload the same in online Part-I against appropriate column.
13. There is variation in the number of trees. In online Part-II against column No. 4 (ii) & (iii) total 23 trees (in FRL 1=21 and FRL 2= 2 trees) has been mentioned but in the list of the trees as placed in the proposal folder at page No. 63 & 63 B, total 21 trees has been mentioned.
14. At Page No. 65 of the proposal folder, a photocopy of the site inspection report has been placed in the proposal folder. Original copy of the site inspection report is required to be placed in the proposal folder and same should be uploaded in online Part-II against appropriate column.
15. Against column No. 13 (ii) of the online Part-II, photocopy of CA scheme is found uploaded. Original copy of CA scheme is required to be uploaded against this column. Further, against column No.13 (iv), instead of toposheet of the land proposed for CA, a photocopy of CA scheme is found uploaded.
16. The CA scheme has not been prepared on current wage rate.
17. Each and every document should be placed in the proposal folder in a sequence with proper Page number. Further date and placed should also be mentioned in each document with the signing authority.

Encls: As above:

Endst. No. Ft.48-2008/2019 (FCA)

Copy is forwarded to the following for necessary action:-

1. DFO Kinnaur
2. The Deputy General Manager, IKHEP, HPPCL, Kinfed Building, Reckong Peo, Distt. Kinnaur, Himachal Pradesh.

Nodal Officer-cum-Addl.Pr.CCF (FCA)  
O/o Pr.CCF, H.P, Shimla-1

Dated Shimla-1, the

11 NOV 2021

Nodal Officer-cum-Addl.Pr.CCF (FCA)  
O/o Pr.CCF, H.P, Shimla-1

P. P. O



Handwritten notes and signatures in blue ink. Includes 'S.L.C.' and a signature with the date '20/11/21' written below it.



Encl: No 4167 Date 20-11-2021

Copy is forwarded to ops  
Konyaw for attending the observation  
within two days and submit  
pointwise subg to the office for  
processing the case further.

Kono  
Chief Conservator of Forests (T)  
Rampur Forest Circle, Rampur, A.P.

