

कार्यालय उप वन संरक्षक, केदारनाथ वन्यजीव प्रभाग, गोपेश्वर।

Office of Deputy Conservator of Forests, Kedarnath Wildlife Division, Gopeshwar.

Phone / Fax No-01372252149

Email- dfo.kedarnath.cml@gmail.com

पत्रांक:- 1776 /

गोपेश्वर, दिनांक 19 नवम्बर 2015

सेवा में,

अधिकासी अभियन्ता,  
निर्माण खण्ड लो0नि0वि0,  
पोखरी।

विषय:- पोखरी काण्डई मोटर मार्ग के सम्बन्ध में।

महोदय,

उपरोक्त विषय में E.D.S प्रपत्र इस अक्षय से प्रेषित किया जा रहा है कि, कृपया अपने से सम्बन्धित बिन्दुओं का निराकरण कर आन लाईन करने का कष्ट करें।

संलग्न:- E.D.S प्रपत्र

भयदीया,

(नीतू लक्ष्मी एम0)

उप वन संरक्षक,

केदारनाथ वन्यजीव प्रभाग, गोपेश्वर।





## Online Submission & Monitoring of Forest and Wildlife Clearance Proposals

:: [Logout](#)

[Role : DFO]  
[State : Uttarakhand]



[Change Image](#)

Configuration ▾	Add Proposal ▾	Dash Board	Update Proposals,Received Upto 31-12-2011 ▾	Miscellaneous ▾
Downloads ▾	Others ▾	Processing of Proposals received on or after 15 July 2014 ▾		

### EDS Form

▽ Help

● EDS has been completed, ● EDS is pending, Click on this image button for EDS reply.

**Essential Details Sought by  
Forest Department \* :**

Send To\* :

Upload a copy of Essential Details Sought letter(if any):  No file selected.  
(Only PDF)

Online Help/Query	Sought by Forest Department	Sought on/Replied on(Date)	Uploaded copy of Essential Details Sought letter	Query from/Reply to
●	Respected Sir/Madam The EDS report has not been attached. Please attach the concerned EDS report for this proposal so that the objection can be rectified.	19/11/2015		User Agency
●	Please Find the attachment File.	18/08/2015	81118124812121PokhariKandaiEDSII.pdf	DFO
●	Please Find the attachment file.	18/08/2015	2014_27_18_Aug_2015_124644643_edslatter_PokhariKandaiEDS.pdf	DFO
●	Plz. reply to obj RO-1.FRA certificate is without date and district level com.proceeding is not given. 2. the road sanctioned from pokhri to kandai of 16 km but proposed for 14.20 km starting from gugli and upto khataw need clarification. land suitability certificate for CA mentioning details of patches selected for CA in devsthan civil. 3. NPV calculation is wrongly done for open forest and also the density shown is 0.6 in part II and 0.2 in calculation. 5.instead of answer given in yes or no the part II filled with no data need correction. 6. the cost benefit analysis annexure VI B should filled as per guidelines.	20/07/2015		CF