

Chruch,Priest House,School,Teachers & Servant Quarter at Rosary Villa Bhowali**(0.9921 ha)**

On scrutiny of the proposal, following shortcomings have been found:

1. Short narrative of the project does not give adequate information about the proposal [Para-A-1 (iii) of online Part-I] which is required to be given in a bit more detail.
2. The period for which forest land is proposed to be diverted is not mentioned in para A-1(x) of online Part-I which is required to be mentioned.
3. It is seen from the information given in para-A-3(i) and (iii) of online Part-I that the online application is submitted by Sr. Anjali Jacob but the authority letter has not been uploaded at para-A-3(xvii) of online Part-I which is required to be uploaded .
4. Component wise breakup of forest land required for the project is not mentioned in para-B-2.4 of online Part-I. Here, requirement of land for Church, Priest House, School, Teachers and Servant quarters is required to be given separately for each component.
5. Scanned copy of the Geo-referenced map of the forest land proposed to be diverted prepared by using DGPS or Total Station is uploaded at para-C(iii) of online Part-I instead of designated place at para-C(iv). Moreover, the map in question is not clear and the shape of the forest land proposed for diversion does not match with the shape of the area given in KML file. State Govt. may submit GIS Software generated geo referenced digital map showing geo-coordinates at all the corner points along the periphery (Polygon) of the area and upload the same at designated place.
6. Copy of Survey of India Toposheet indicating boundary of forest land proposed to be diverted has been found uploaded at para-C(iv) of online Part-I instead of designated place para-C(iii) which may be uploaded at proper place.
7. Employment likely to be generated is not mention in para-E of online Part-I which may be reviewed.
8. Although, the FRA certificate is found uploaded at para-K of online Part-I but the name of the project, area of forest land proposed for diversion and the date is not mentioned in the certificate. Moreover, other mandatory documents like proceeding of the District Level Committee meeting, Sub-Division Level Committee meeting and Gram Sabha meeting have not been found uploaded which may be reviewed and uploaded.
9. Although, 0.9921 ha of RF land is proposed for diversion but the Working Plan prescriptions have not been given in para-5 of online Part-II.
10. Approximate distance of proposed site for diversion inside from boundary of forest has not been given in para-7 of online Part-II.
11. It is seen form the data given in para-14 of online Part-II that the CA Stipulated (675.72 ha) is not commensurate to the forest land diverted (421.1698 ha). Logically, CA Stipulated should be double the area of forest land diverted. State govt. may clarify the discrepancy.
12. DFO has not given any comment against para-5.4 and 5.5 of the site inspection report which may be reviewed and revised SIR may be submitted.
13. In Part-II online, the Eco -class is mentioned as Eco-class –V but it is mentioned as Eco-class-IV in the NPV calculation at page-32 of the hard copy which may be reviewed and corrected. Accordingly, revised NPV calculation may be submitted, if required.
14. Some of the documents attached in hard copy of the proposal are photocopies, hence all the original documents may be submitted in the hard copy of the proposal.
15. The original Lease Deed was signed on the 10.07.1924 and was stated to be effective w.e.f. from 01.07.1924 for 90 years. Thus, the lease period of 90 years has expired on 30.06.2014 but the application for renewal of lease was submitted on 30.01.2015 i.e. after the expiry of lease period. The user agency should have submitted the application well in advance. State Govt. may also clarify the reasons for delay.

State Govt. is requested to remove the above shortcomings & submit required information/documents/clarification.