HPFD-F05/278/2023-FCA-HIMACHAL PRADESH FOREST DEPARTMENT

1/274878/2023 No.Ft.48-5679/2022 (FCA)

H.P. Forest Department.

Dated Shimla-1, the

From: Nodal Officer-cum-APCCF (FCA)

O/o Pr.CCF, H.P, Shimla-1

Subject: Diversion of 2.0062 ha of forest land in favour of HPPWD for the

construction of link road Tauta, Pharval, Shamu, Dora to Padyar, from KMs 0/00 to 5/00, within the jurisdiction of Kunihar Forest Division Distt.

To:

CCF Bilaspur

Solan, HP (Online No. FP/HP/Road 153182/2022).

Memo;

Please refer to the proposal as submitted in PARIVESH portal on the subject cited above.

- 2. The following shortcomings have been noticed which may be attended complete in all respect:
 - 1. As per the KML file the some portion of the proposed road seems to be constructed hence, whereas, as per the site inspection report no violation stated to be done in the proposal which is contradictory statements. A detailed report in this regard be given. In case there is the violation of the provisions of FCA, 1980, than the detail of the violator alongwith action taken against them be provided and detail of the same may be furnished in online Part-II accordingly. Further, it is not clarified whether the proposal is under the list of 2183 cases as submitted to Hon'ble High Court or otherwise.
 - 2. The villages to be benefited have not been marked in KML file, further, the alternative as explored are also required to be marked in KML file. The private land/ already broken area/ forest land and dumping sites are also required to be marked in KML file in distinct colour by giving the name.
 - 3. As per the item wise breakup, online one component i.e. road has been mentioned whereas, 8 No. of dumplings sites have been proposed in the proposal. Further, online one village has been mentioned in village wise breakup but as per the Digital Map, three villages has been marked which need to be clarified/ corrected. The area of the proposed road is different in KML file from the actual area, hence, revised KML file be uploaded.
 - 4. The maps as uploaded in online are neither signed by user agency nor by DFO concerned.
 - 5. As per the online Part-I para A-I (vii), estimated cost of project is290.5 lacs whereas as per the Part-I as uploaded against additional information detail of Part-I total project cost has been mentioned as 5 crore. Necessary correction is required to be made. Further, the copy of administrative approval of project may be provided.
 - 6. As per the online Part-I para E, total 3228 mandays has been mentioned whereas as per the Part-I as uploaded against additional information detail of Part-I total 5555 mandays has been mentioned which need to be rectified/corrected.
 - 7. The detail note of the project as uploaded against additional information detail of Part-I is blurred, revised legible note may be uploaded online.
 - 8. Though 0.96 ha. of non forest land is involved in the proposal, hence NoC/Sale deed/Gift deeds for non forest land acquired from private individuals may be uploaded against column of the additional information detail of Part-I.

HPFD-F05/278/2023-FCA-HIMACHAL PRADESH FOREST DEPARTMENT

1/274878/2023

- 9. The abstract of trees has not been signed by DFO concerned. Further, as per the RD wise detail as uploaded at page No. 73 is not correct, as RD of the dumping sites are also required to be mentioned.
- 10. The The length and width of the road has not been given.
- 11. The district wise area to be diverted at para No. 3 of Part-II is incorrect which may be reviewed and corrected.
- 12. The density of the proposed area has been mentioned 16 which is not correct, hence, correct density may be mentioned against the para 4 of Part-II.
- 13. The Working Plan prescription of the proposed forest land has not been mentioned in online Part-II which may be mentioned as per the working plan prescription.
- 14. The detail of wild life present in the area has not been mentioned whereas it should be mentioned against the column No. 8 (i).
- 15. Against the para 13(i)(i) of Part-II the detail of compartment has not been mentioned.
- 16. As per the KML file of CA site total area is 2.75 Ha. whereas, as per the CA scheme area is 4.10 ha. Hence, correct KML file may be uploaded in Part-II. Further, CA scheme is not prepared as per the norms, the complete CA scheme (giving the technical detail with norms) alongwith 10% annual increase is required to be prepared and uploaded against the appropriate column of Part-II. Status of CA land must be clarified with documentary proof at column no-13 of PART-II. (Whether it is notified forest (RF/PF) under IFA, 1927 "or" a Wasteland notified as Protected Forests under HP Govt. notification of 1952 and is in the ownership and possession of H.P Forest department or not. Clarification with documentary proof needs to be submitted).
- 17. The Bill of NPV and Penal NPV has not been found uploaded agasint the additional information detail of Part-II. NPV bill is required to be calculated as per the ECO-class mentioned in the Part-II and correct density as per FSI norms shall be mentioned in PART-II.
- 18. Complete list of Enumeration of trees which are proposed for felling with Compartment/Khasra number and date of enumeration duly authenticated by DFO concerned has not been found uploaded against the additional information detail of Part-II. The detail of trees standing over dumping sites should be mentioned in enumeration list and its abstract, further, the detail must be given whether it shall be felled or otherwise.
- 17. The copy of approved DPR may be uploaded against the additional information detail of Part-I.

You are, therefore, requested to make proper scrutiny of the proposal online so that proposal could be supplied to GoI for approval accordingly.

Divisional Forest Officer (FCA) O/o Pr.CCF, H.P, Shimla-1.