

The user agency may attain the shortcoming mentioned below.

1. The CA stipulation against total forest area diverted in the district is not commensurate. The State Govt may do necessary correction or justify the differences with factual reasons.
2. The details of trees proposed to be felled provided in hard copy, it is seen that the counting of trees done in 7m width in few compartments. As the width of the road proposed for diversion is 9m therefore the revised tree enumeration taking 9m width may provide and correct the same in online Part II.
3. In the muck disposal plan it is not clear that the area marked for muck disposal in the area other than the private land is included in the forest land proposed for diversion. The revised land schedule may be provided clarifying the same.
4. As per Decision Support System (DSS) analysis, out the total area of 18.388 ha proposed for CA, 5 ha is on moderately dense forest. State Govt may replace this 5 ha area with some other area suitable for CA and provide/upload revised digital map, SoI toposheet map and KML file of the complete 18.388 ha CA area again after making the above change.

....Nodal office, Dehradun....