भारत सरकार
पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन
मंत्रालय
क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय (उत्तर–केन्द्रीय क्षेत्र)
25 सुभाष रोड, देहरादून–248001
दूरभाषः 0135–2650809
फैक्स–0135–2653010
ईमेल- moef.ddn@gov.in

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT, FOREST & CLIMATE CHANGE
REGIONAL OFFICE (NORTH CENTRAL ZONE)
25 SUBASH ROAD, DEHRADUN-248001
PHONE- 0135-2650809
FAX- 0135-2653010
Email- moef.ddn@gov.in

फाईल संख्या 8बी./यू.सी.पी./06/185/2019/एफ0 सी0/1787 दिनांकः 08/11/2019

सेवा में,

✓ अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी
वन संरक्षण, इन्दिरानगर फॉरेस्ट कालोनी,
उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून।

विषयः– जनपद– उत्तरकाशी में प्रधानमंत्री ग्राम सड़क योजना के अर्न्तगत गडथ (दिवारीखोला) से गडथ लिंक मोटर मार्ग के निर्माण हेतु 3.5975 है0 वन भूमि का गैर वानिकी कार्यो हेतु ग्राम्य विकास विभाग को हस्तांन्तरण। **(FP/UK/ROAD/33094/2018).**

सन्दर्भः–अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी, वन संरक्षण, उत्तराखण्ड का पत्रांक – **857/ FP/UK/ROAD/33094/2018**दिनांक **25-09-2019.**

महोदय,

उपरोक्त विषय पर सन्दर्भित पत्र का आशय ग्रहण करते हुए प्रस्ताव पर ध्यानपूर्वक विचार करने के उपरान्त मुझे आपको यह सूचित करने का निर्देश हुआ है कि प्रस्ताव में निम्नलिखित कमियां पाई गयी है। अतः राज्य सरकार निम्न बिन्दुओं पर आवश्यक सूचनायें प्रेषित करने का कष्ट करें। ताकि अग्रिम कार्यवाही सुनिश्चित की जा सके:–

1. Name of the User Agency is mentioned as PMGSY, Uttarkashi, instead of Rural Development Department (Gramya Vikas Vibhag) in para-A-2(i) of Part-II. State Govt. may submit/upload the correct information in para-A-2(i) of Part-II.
2. Complete muck disposal plan proposed in reserve forest and civil soyam land. State Govt. may avoid muck disposal in reserve forest area and choose some area in nap land.
3. It appears from KML file that the earth cutting along proposed alignment from Diwarikhol to Garath village has already been done. Further, it is seen that the road along alternate alignment from another existing road also appears constructed. Hence, the proposal doesn't appear to be justified. State Govt. may submit the justification/clarification in this regard.
4. Employment details seems incorrect at para-E in Part-I. State Government may upload the correct information at para-E in online Part-I.
5. Correction made in SDLC and VLC proceedings needs to be C/s by issuing authority. State Govt. may submit the SDLC and VLC proceedings with duly C/s by DFO.
6. Document uploaded at para-L(iv) is found incorrect. State Government may upload the correct information at para-L(iv) in online Part-I.
7. Shape of digital map and KML file of CA area are not matching. State Govt. may upload the correct KML file and digital map and also submit the digital map in original.
8. CA scheme for Rs.18,22,724/- has been uploaded in additional documents in Part-I but the CA scheme for Rs. 22,05,490/- found uploaded in additional documents in Part-II. Further, two CA schemes for Rs.22,05,490/- and Rs. 20,04,995/- found uploaded in the hard copy. State Govt. may clarify the discrepancy and may submit/upload the correct information.

9. Area mentioned in the tree enumeration list is incorrect and date of counting of trees is also not mentioned in the tree enumeration list. State Govt. may clarify the discrepancy and may submit/upload the correct information.
10. As per tree enumeration list 73 trees falls in 0-10 category but the details of these trees is not mentioned in para-4 online Part-II. States Govt. submit/upload the aforesaid information at para-4 of online Part-II.
11. Area mentioned in land schedule of reserve forest area is incorrect. State Govt. may submit the correct land schedule duly C/s by the DFO.
12. Muck disposal plan is not C/s by DFO. State Govt. may submit the correct document.
13. Comments made by DFO at para-6 in Part-II are incorrect. State Govt. may submit/upload the correct comments by DFO at para-6 in Part-II.
14. This is to be stated that the DFO has uploaded an estimate for Rs.31,62,000/- which is mentioned as wild life conservation plan. But this is an estimate and not the wild life conservation plan. The wild life conservation plan should have been prepared by an expert taking into account the present scenario in the area, the scenario after the construction of road, assessment of impact of the road on the wild life of the area and the mitigative measures to minimize the impact on the wildlife and the cost of mitigative measures. Therefore, it appears that the so called wild life conservation plan has been prepared without application of mind. State Govt. may do the needful in this regard.
15. DFO has not given the details of rare/endangered/unique species of flora and fauna found in the area in para-5.4 of the SIR. Further, no comments have been given against para-5.5 of SIR and the recommendation given is lacking in clarity. State Govt. may do the needful in this regard.
16. Aerial distance certificate is not found submitted in hard copy. State Govt. may submit he Aerial distance certificate in original copy.
17. DFO has raised 6 issues in his site inspection report uploaded at para 15 of Part-II. But DFO should have taken measures to address the issues raised at his level and then forwarded the proposal to the higher authorities in a complete manner. Further, none of the higher authorities of the forest department/State Govt. have given comments on these issues. State Govt. may do the needful in this regard.
18. State Government may remove the above shortcomings and submit the hard copy of the above mentioned points.
19. उपरोक्त के अतिरिक्त जो alternative प्रस्तावित किया गया है, उस alignment पर मार्ग पूर्व से उपलब्ध प्रतीत होता है अतः उसी alignment को मुख्य प्रस्ताव के रूप में प्रस्तुत किया जा सकता था। साथ में यदि पूर्व में यह मार्ग violation में निर्मित किया गया है तो उसकी भी जानकारी इस कार्यालय में प्रषित करे।

यदि चाही गई सूचना पत्र जारी होने की तिथि के 60 दिनों के भीतर (अधिकतम 90 दिन) इस कार्यालय में प्राप्त नहीं हुयी तो प्रस्ताव को निरस्त किया जा सकता है।

भवदीय,

(सन्नी गोयल)
तकनीकी अधिकारी (वानिकी)

प्रतिलिपि सूचनार्थ एवं आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु :–

1. अपर मुख्य सचिव (वन), उत्तराखण्ड शासन, सुभाष रोड़, देहरादून।

(सन्नी गोयल)
तकनीकी अधिकारी (वानिकी)