

No.Ft.48-3643/2017 (FCA)

Proposal No.FP/HP/others/27150/2017

Diversion of 0.4253 ha of forest land in favour of HP Police Department for the construction of Police Post at Thural in district Kangra within the jurisdiction of Palampur Forest Division Distt Kangra, HP.

1. As per joint inspection report placed at P.No.43 of the proposal folder, 14 Nos of trees and 13 Bamboo clumps felling is involved. Thus in view of para3.2(viii)(b) of chapter 3 of GoI guidelines, "in such cases, plantation of ten times the number of trees likely to be felled will have to be carried out by way of compensatory afforestation. But in the present proposal a scheme of plantating of 100 trees has been placed in the proposal folder. The cost of plantation in terms of number of plants of ten times the number of trees likely to be felled is to be submitted/uploaded.
2. No separate enumeration list of trees has been placed in the proposal folder. Only in a joint inspection report number of trees involved in the proposal has been mentioned. In the present proposal 14 Nos of trees and 13 Bamboo clumps are involved. But against column No.4(ii), only 6 trees have been mentioned. In this regard it is clarified that all trees from Vth class to onward are to be mentioned as per girth class mentioned on the web portal of GoI, against this column. Only the saplings/seedings/Bamboo clumps do not come under the girth class mentioned on the portal. Hence the saplings/seedings/Bamboo clumps coming in the proposal are to be mentioned against remarks column against column No.4. Thus column No.4(ii) is required to be corrected accordingly.
3. The cost of trees has not been calculated. The bill of cost of trees is also required to be supplied to this office.
4. CA scheme uploaded against column No.13(ii), is incomplete. Against this column complete CA scheme is required to be uploaded.
5. In the site inspection report placed at P.No.42 and uploaded against column No.15, in online part-II, no date has been mentioned. Site inspection report with date on site inspection report is required to be supplied/uploaded. Further, in the site inspection report, against both the columns of 6(a) & (b), 'No' & NA has been mentioned. Thus it can not be made out whether there is violation of FCA or not. Revised site inspection report showing Yes or No against the columns 6(a) & (b), which is applicable is required to be mentioned and uploaded.
6. Against column of recommendations, DFO Palampur has uploaded site inspection report instead of uploading the recommendations in the form of part-II. Now it can not be uploaded. As per portal, once the recommendations have been uploaded it can not be uploaded again. Hence the hard copy of part-II is now required to be uploaded against additional information details.