



सत्यमेव जयते

भारत सरकार
पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय
क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय (मध्य)
Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change
Regional Office (Central Region)



केन्द्रीय भवन, पंचम तल, सेक्टर-एच, अलीगंज, लखनऊ-226024

Kendriya Bhawan, 5th Floor, Sector-H, Aliganj, Lucknow- 226024, Telefax: 2326696, 2324340, 2324047, 2324025
Email: (Env.) m_env@rediffmail.com, (Forest) goimofrolko@gmail.com

पत्र सं० 8बी/यू०पी०/०६/९०/२०१६/एफ०सी०/३५

दिनांक : 20/10/16

सेवा में,

विशेष सचिव (वन),
उत्तर प्रदेश शासन,
बापू भवन, लखनऊ।

विषय: बिजनौर-नूरपुर-छजलौट मार्ग (एन०एच०-76) किमी० 6.725 से 42.725 तक चौड़ीकरण एवं सुदृढ़ीकरण हेतु 27.23 हे० संरक्षित वनभूमि के गैर वानिकी प्रयोग एवं उस पर अवस्थित 1249 वृक्षों के पातन की अनुमति के संबंध में।

सन्दर्भ: विशेष सचिव, उत्तर प्रदेश शासन का पत्रांक- पी-145/14-2-2016-800(141), दिनांक- 26.09.2016

महोदय,

उपरोक्त विषय पर मुझे आपके पत्र का संदर्भ लेते हुए सूचित करने का निर्देश हुआ है कि प्रस्ताव के निरीक्षण के उपरान्त प्रस्ताव में त्रुटियाँ पायी गयी हैं जो निम्नलिखित हैं-

1. The proposal has been submitted without index and page numbers. The proposal papers have been submitted in a haphazard manner.
2. The kml file uploaded for proposed forest land diversion with the online proposal is not opening on Google earth platform.
3. The topo sheet showing location of proposed forest land diversion is without index and legend.
4. The geo referenced map uploaded with the proposal does not provided geo coordinates of key points.
5. The cost benefit analysis as per MOEF guidelines suffers from lot of discrepancy and inconsistencies. The same need revision.
6. The proposal has been submitted without detailed land schedule showing width of ROW, requirement of protected forest width, balance width of PF etc chainage wise as well as on RHS and LHS. Due to absence of details; it is difficult to ascertain exact requirement of forest land for proposal.
7. The information provided in Sr. no. 8 (i) of part II of the proposal is irrelevant.
8. the information provided for employment generation in part I (online) on page 20 are at variance.
9. The site inspection report at page 48 mentions about existence of rare/endangered/unique species of flora and fauna but the details have not been provided with the proposal. Comments of Chief Wild Life Warden are also required.
10. The district/division profile on page 49 and online part II are at variance.
11. The information regarding cost of CA proposed at 13 (i) is incorrect.

19/10/16

12. The compensatory afforestation scheme has been prepared without incorporating provision for cost escalation.
13. The information given against column no. 12 of part-2 appears to be wrong.
14. the site inspection report of DFO shows that the forest involved are RF/unclassified/protected forest but the details given in the proposal does not match with this. Further, there is no specific recommendation in the site inspection report.
15. The area involved is more than 40 ha. but inspection report of CF has not been attached.

Further, I have been directed to convey that despite pointing out repeatedly, no one from DFO to Special Secretary has read the papers of the proposals therefore, such type of casual and careless attitude leads to unnecessary correspondence and delay in approval of Development project. State Govt. must take this aspect seriously if they are really interest in speedy approval.

भवदीय,

(बृजेन्द्र स्वरूप)
वन संरक्षक {केन्द्रीय}

प्रतिलिपि सूचनार्थ एवं आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित:

1. नोडल अधिकारी एवं मुख्य वन संरक्षक, (वन संरक्षण), अरण्य भवन, 17 राणा प्रताप मार्ग, लखनऊ।
2. प्रभागीय निदेशक, सामाजिक वानिकी प्रभाग, बिजनौर, उ० प्र०।
3. अधिशासी अभियन्ता, निर्माण खण्ड-1, लोक निर्माण विभाग, बिजनौर, उ० प्र०।
4. श्री कमल मिश्रा, राजभाषा अनुभाग, पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, लखनऊ को वेबसाइट पर अपलोडिंग हेतु प्रेषित।
5. आदेश पत्रावली।


19/10/16
(बृजेन्द्र स्वरूप)
वन संरक्षक {केन्द्रीय}