

No.Ft.48-5183/2020(FCA),  
H.P. Forest Department

Dated Shimla-1, the

14 OCT 2021

From: NodalOfficer-cum-Addl.Pr.CCF(FCA)  
O/o Pr. CCF, H.P. Shimla-1.

To: CCF Shimla.

Subject: **Diversion of 0.5944 hectare of forest land for construction of Philu to Shakrogda ( Kms. 0/00 to 2/400), within the jurisdiction of Shimla Forest Division, Distt. Shimla, Himachal Pradesh (FP/HP/Road/54036/2020 (FCA)**

Memo:

Please refer to your office Memo. No. 4861 dated 06.10.2021 on the subject cited above.

2 Following shortcomings have been noticed in the proposal:



1. Only single copy of the proposal folder have been received in this office. Two copy of proposal folder i.e. one original and another duplicate are required to be furnished to this office. Further, page numbering of each and every page as placed in the proposal folder have not been done.
2. There is difference in cost of the project as mentioned in online Part-I & in hard copy of Part-I as placed in the proposal folder. In online Part-I, against column No. A 1. vii, the total cost of the project has been given Rs. 30 lacs whereas against column No. iii of Part-I of hard copy as placed in the proposal, the total cost of the project have been mentioned as Rs. 50 lacs.
3. Legal status of the proposed forest land has not given against column No. (v) of Part-II in the proposal folder.
4. Original FRA certificate has not been found attached in the proposal folder. Original/attested FRA certificate should be attached in the both of the proposal folder.
5. There is difference in employment details given in online Part-I and in hard copy of the part-I as placed in the proposal folder. In the hard copy of the Part-I, against column No. vi, temporary employment has been mentioned as 1500 man-days whereas in online Part-I, against column No. E (ii), the number of permanent employment has been given 2 nos. and against column No. E(iii), the temporary employment has been given as 1000 man-days.
6. Against column Nos. 9 of hard copy of Part-II, "yes" has been mentioned which means work in violation of the Act has been carried out & work in violation is still progress but in online Part-II, against column No. 12, work in violation is not still in progress has been stated. Column Nos. 9 of hard copy of Part-II may be elaborate accordingly.
7. Against column No. 13 (i) of online Part-II, total financial outlay for C.A, Rs. 58,517 /- has been given but against column No. 10 (iv) of Part-II placed in

the proposal folder, total financial layout for CA, Rs. 49,802 /- has been mentioned.

8. Stamp of DFO concerned has not been marked in Part-II & date has also not been depicted.
9. Original/attested copy of non-availability of non-forest land as issued by DC concerned is required to be placed in the proposal folder.
10. Original/attested copy of certificate for beneficiaries is required to be placed in the proposal folder.
11. It may also be ensured that original copy of each document have been placed in the original proposal folder and in second copy of the proposal folder, all documents should be attested from the competent authority.
12. Distance certificate from protected area and its Eco-Sensitive zone may also be uploaded and placed in the proposal folder.

The proposal folder is returned herewith for necessary correction please.

Encls: As above.



  
Addl. Pr. Chief Conservator of Forest (FCA),  
O/o Pr.CCF, H.P, Shimla-1. 


Endst. No. Ft. 48-5183/2020(FCA)

Dated Shimla-1, the **14 OCT 2021**

Copy is forwarded to the following for information and necessary action:-

1. DFO Shimla.
2. Executive Engineer, HPPWD Division No. 1, Shimla, Distt. Shimla, Himachal Pradesh.

  
Nodal Officer-cum-APCCF (FCA)  
O/o Pr.CCF, H.P, Shimla-1 

  
**14/10/21**