

URL Address: www.jkforest.gov.in

E-mail: pccfjkforest@gmail.com



Jammu & Kashmir Forest Department Office of the Pr. Chief Conservator of Forests & HoFF Government of Jammu & Kashmir



EDS: Online proposal No. FP/JK/Hyd/150591/2021

- 1/ Refer to the EDS raised by State Secretary. As directed, CA plan for the instant proposal has to be carried out over non-forest land. The DFO shall prepare CA Plan in the NFL as per Rule 13 of the Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Rules, 2023.
- 2/ The State Secretary has observed that the enumeration data for FRL, FRL-2m & FRL-4m respectively is not in the prescribed format on PARIVESH. Further, the number of trees enumerated at each level appears to be illogical. The DFO's shall submit the enumeration list on the prescribed format only and then submit a abstract in the following format:-

Number of trees/saplings	0-10 cm dbh	10-20 dbh	20-30 dbh	
a. Between Full Reservoir level upto FRL minus 2 m			doll	
b. FRL minus 2m upto FRL minus 4 m				
c. FRL minus 4 m to the reiver bed				
Total number of trees/saplings				

alo: PCUS Rest 408-13 M4-5-2024

S.Senthil Kumar) IFS

Addl. Pr. Chief Conservator of Forests

Nodal Officer (FCA)

Copy to:

- Conservator of Forests, Chenab Circle Doda/Conservator of Forests, East Circles Jammu.
- 2. Divisional Forest Officer, Udhampur/Batote/Ramban/Mahore

ESSENTIAL DETAILS SOUGHT (EDS)

Proposal for forest clearance involving use of 847.17 Ha of forest land for construction of (1856 MW) Sawalkot Hydroelectric Project in Udhampur, Batote, Ramban and Mahore Forest Divisions by NHPC Ltd..

(Online Proposal No. FP/JK/Hyd/150591/2021

The case has been examined and the following observations have been made:

- The areas proposed for carrying out the Compensatory Afforestation (CA) have been identified on the patches of Degraded Forest Land (DFL) by all the four Forest Divisions instead of Non-Forest Land (NFL) as mandated under Rule 13 of the Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Rules, 2023 which is reproduced below:
 - 13. Creation of Compensatory Afforestation .- (1) An user agency shall provide land which is neither notified as forest under the Indian Forest Act, 1927 (16 of 1927) or any other law nor managed as forest by the Forest Department and it shall also bear the cost of raising compensatory afforestation over such land and the requirement of Compensatory Afforestation land shall be as per the Schedule-II annexed to these rules: Provided that in case the non-forest land or portion thereof provided by the user agency is not fit for raising compensatory afforestation of a specified density, then additional compensatory afforestation shall be raised on a degraded notified or unclassed forest land under the management control of the Forest Department which is twice in size of such shortfall in the given compensatory afforestation land and the user agency shall also bear the additional cost on such account.....Provided also in exceptional circumstances when the suitable land required for compensatory afforestation under this clause is not available and the certificate to this effect is given by the State Government or Union territory Administration, as the case may be, the compensatory afforestation may be considered on degraded forest land which is twice in extent to the area proposed to be diverted in case of the Central Government agencies or Central Public Sector Undertakings on case to case The applicability of the above-

quoted CA provisions in the instant case is justified in light of the Sub-rule 8 of Rule 16 of the Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Rules, 2023 has been referred to which is reproduced as under:

"...(8) Any proposal which has already been submitted under the provisions of the Forest (Conservation) Rules, 2003 or Forest (Conservation) Rules, 2022 and are currently under consideration of the various authorities in the State Government or Union territory Administration or the Central Government for grant of 'In-principle' or 'Final' approval shall be dealt in the following manner. namely:-

(I) Any proposals granted 'In-principle' approval shall be dealt under the provisions of the extant rules and be processed and considered for grant of 'Final' approval without amending the conditions stipulated in the 'In-principle' approval; and

(ii) Any provision of the extant rules will be applicable on the proposals which are yet to be granted 'In-principle approval under the Adhinivam."

From the the perusal of Clause (ii) of the above-referred sub-rule, the instant case, being under the process of accord of In-principle Approval under the Rules framed under the repealed Act viz., the Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980, has to be accorded "Final Approval" under the extant rules viz., Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Rules, 2023 which are applicable w.e.f, 01.12.2023. Therefore, the CA in the instant case has to be carried out over non-forest lands.

2. Another observation is regarding the enumeration data of trees/poles/saplings uploaded by the respective DFOs on PARIVESH Portal. It is to apprise that the enumeration data has to be filled in by the DFOs in the prescribed format on PARIVESH and the enumeration details at Full Reservoir Level (FRL); FRL minus two meters (FRL-2m) and FRL minus 4 meters (FRL-4m). Since the Full Reservoir Level is the highest water level, therefore, logically, the number of trees enumerated At the Full Reservoir Level (FRL) in the proposed Reservoir area of the Hydel Project, would be the highest followed by numbser of trees enumerated at FRL-2m and FRL-4m rspectively. However, the enumeration data uploaded by all the four Forest Divisions on PARIVESH doesn't conform to

the aforementioned logical arrangement. This needs to be clarified by the APCCF, Nodal Officer, FCA (J&K) and the enumeration lists need to be revisited thereof. The enumeration data submitted on PARIVESH by the four Forest Divisions is tabulated below:

Name of Divi	ision No of Tree	s/poles/Saplings	Remarks		
	FRL	FRL-2 Mtr	FRL-4 Mtr	Remarks	
Batote Mahore	350000	52190	297810	FRL-2m Level has lesser no. of trees compared to FRL-4m which is illogical	
Ramban	77	399	280	The enumeration data from FRL to FRL-4m is in reverse of the logical order/sequence.	
	272029	14227	257802	FRL-2m Level has lesser no. of trees compared to FRL-4m which is illogical.	
Jdhampur	13	3710		The enumeration data from FRL to FRL-4m is in reverse of the logical order/sequence.	

Please clarify the above observations raised above.