F. No. 6-178/2022-WL Government of India Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (Wildlife Division)

6th Floor, Vayu Wing, Indira Paryavaran Bhawan Jor Bagh Road, Aliganj, New Delhi-110003.

Date: 30th September, 2022

To,

All Members

Standing Committee of NBWL

Subject: 70thMeeting of Standing Committee of National Board for Wild Life on 13th October 2022 at 11:30 AM in New Delhi - reg.

Sir/Madam,

It has been decided to convene the 70th Meeting of the Standing Committee of the National Board for Wild Life on 13th October, 2022 at 11:30 AM in New Delhi under the chairmanship of Hon'ble Minister for Environment, Forest and Climate Change. It is requested to kindly make it convenient to attend the meeting. The Agenda for the meeting will be circulated separately.

Yours faithfully,

(Sunt Sharma)
Joint Director (WL)
E.mail: jd-wl@nic.in

Distribution:

- 1. Secretary, MoEF& CC
- 2. DGF&SS, MoEF&CC.
- 3. ADGF(WL), MoEF&CC.
- 4. ADGF(FC), MoEF&CC.
- 5. Member Secretary, NTCA.
- 6. Director/IGF, PE Division, MoEF&CC.
- 7. Director, WII, Dehradun.
- 8. Director, GEER Foundation, Gandhinagar.
- 9. Dr. R. Sukumar, Member, NBWL.
- 10. Dr. H.S. Singh, Member, NBWL.
- 11. Secretary, Environment, Forest, Science and Technology Department, Govt. of Andhra Pradesh.

Copy with request to be present during the meeting:

- 1. **Additional Chief Secretary/Principal Secretary/Secretary**, Forest Department, Government of Gujarat/Chattisgarh/ Karnataka/ Kerala/Madhya Pradesh/ Maharashtra/ Manipur/ Odisha/ Rajasthan/Telangana/ Uttarakhand/Uttar Pradesh/ Himachal Pradesh.
- 2. **PCCF and HoFF,** Government of Gujarat/Chattisgarh/ Karnataka/Kerala/Madhya Pradesh/Maharashtra/ Manipur/ Odisha/ Rajasthan/Telangana/ Uttarakhand/Uttar Pradesh/ Himachal Pradesh.

3. **CWLW**, Government of Gujarat/Chattisgarh/ Karnataka/ Kerala/Madhya Pradesh/ Maharashtra/ Manipur/ Odisha/ Rajasthan/Telangana/ Uttarakhand/Uttar Pradesh/ Himachal Pradesh.

Copy also to:

- 1. PS to Hon'ble MoEF&CC.
- 2. PS to Hon'ble MoS, EF&CC.
- 3. PSO to Secretary, MoEF& CC
- 4. PPS to DGF&SS, MoEF&CC.
- 5. PSO to Addl. DGF(WL)/PPS to IGF(WL)/PPS to DIG(WL), MoEFCC.

TENTATIVE AGENDA OF 70^{TH} MEETING OF THE STANDING COMMITTEE OF NATIONAL BOARD FOR WILD LIFE

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT, FOREST AND CLIMATE CHANGE
INDIRA PARYAVARAN BHAWAN, JOR BAGH ROAD,
NEW DELHI - 110003

SI.	AGEN	AGENDA ITEMS				
1	AGEN	DA No. 1	3			
		Confirmation of the minutes of 69 th Meeting of the Standing Committee of National Board for Wild Life held on 29 th July, 2022				
2	AGEN	DA No. 2	4-26			
	Action	Taken Report	4-20			
3	AGEN	DA No. 3	07.00			
	Policy	Matters, Court Orders	27-28			
4	AGEN	DA No. 4				
	Fresh	proposals (Inside Protected Areas/Outside Protected Areas)				
	i.	Bihar (1)	29-33			
	ii.	Chandigarh (1)	34-38			
	iii.	Chhattisgarh (1)	39-42			
	iv.	Gujarat (1)	43-46			
	V.	Jharkhand (1)	47-50			
	iii.	Karnataka (1)	51-53			
	iv.	Maharashtra (1)	54-58			
	iv.	Rajasthan (32)	59-165			
	v. Telangana (2)		166-175			
	vi.	Uttar Pradesh (1)	176-180			
	vii.	Uttarakhand (1)	181-185			
5	AGEN	DA No. 5	186			
	Any other item with the permission of the Chair					

AGENDA No. 1

70.1 Confirmation of the minutes of the 69th Meeting of the Standing Committee of National Board for Wild Life held on 29th July, 2022.

The 69th Meeting of the Standing Committee of National Board for Wild Life was held on 29th July, 2022. The minutes of the meeting were circulated vide letter F. No. 6-92/2022 dated 30th August, 2022 amongst all the Members. Copy of the minutes is placed at **ANNEXURE-I**.

Comments of the Ministry: The matter is placed before the Committee for consideration.

AGENDA No.2

70.2 ACTION TAKEN REPORT

S.	Agenda Item	Action Taken	Category
No. 1.	Building Stone Mine (Quarry) project of M/s Nellikunnu Granite & Crusher Pvt. Ltd. is situated at Survey No. 523/1pt, 571/1pt & 567pt of Maruthonkara Village & Panchayat, Vatakara Taluk, Kozhikode District, Kerala in an area of 7.8991 hectares. FP/KL/QRY/4578/2019	The proposal is for use of 7.8991 ha from default ESZ of Malabar Sanctuary by Building Stone Mine (Quarry) project of M/s Nellikunnu Granite & Crusher Pvt. Ltd at Maruthonkara Village & Panchayat, Vatakara Taluk, Kozhikode District, Kerala at a distance of 2.56 kms from Malabar WL Sanctuary. The proposal was first considered in the 67th meeting held on 25.03.2022. As the mitigation plan had not been submitted with the proposal, the Standing Committee decided to defer the proposal till the next meeting. The Chief Wild Life Warden submitted a Wildlife Mitigation Plan with financial outlay of ₹12 lakhs for human wildlife conflict mitigation activities. The proposal was again discussed in the 68th meeting held on 30th May, 2022. After discussions, the Standing Committee decided that the Chief Wild Life Warden, Kerala shall	Mining

prepare appropriate mitigation plan and explain the same in detail in the next meeting and deferred the proposal for the next meeting. The Chief Wild Life Warden, Kerala submitted a detailed Wildlife Mitigation Plan vide his letter dated 20.07.2022. The proposal was again considered in the 69th meeting held on 29th July, 2022 wherein, it was decided that the State Government would be requested to submit a revised mitigation plan that addresses the impact of mining on wildlife. The proposal was, therefore. deferred. The Chief Wild Life Warden vide letter dated 7th October, 2022 has mentioned that it was clarified that the project has absolutely no negative impact on the nearest protected areas as well as the reserve forests due to its distant location. lt has been mentioned that the mitigation measures proposed are for wild animals that thrive in habitations human creating conflict in far forest fringe zone and specific. site The mitigation proposed

plan is for immediate deal measures to probable with the conflict that may arise due to operation of quarries. estimated cost of the mitigation plan to address human wildlife conflict in and around M/s Nellikunnu Granite Stone Quarry project area is ₹12 Lakhs and includes Awareness programme, compensation of crop and property damage/loss, capacity building of existing Jana Jagratha Samithy, purchase of cage, equipments etc., expense for the purchase of equipments to relocate problematic animals only needed. Apart from this, the waste management and pollution mitigation includes plan Construction of small check dam to prevent soil erosion along with gully plugging and brushwood check dams, green development belt along the boundary of the proposed site to reduce noise as well as dust problems, reclamation of pit area and plant suitable species, separate dumping of

		top soil and planting with grass species, signages and boards regarding environmental awareness. The mitigation plan submitted by the Chief Wild Life Warden is placed as Annexure II The Standing	
		Committee may like to take a view on the matter.	
2.	Building Stone Mine (Quarry) project of M/s Highrange Metal Crusher Survey Nos.1119, 969/3, 969/3, 969/4, 969, Peermade Village, Peermade Taluk, Idukki District, Kerala for area 4.606 hectare at a distance of 8.61 kms from Periyar Tiger Reserve FP/KL/QRY/4464/2019	The proposal is for use of 4.606 ha in default ESZ of Periyar Tiger Reserve by Building Stone Mine (Quarry) project of M/s Highrange Metal Crusher at Peermade Village, Peermade Taluk, Idukki District, Kerala about 8.61 km from the boundary of the Tiger Reserve. The proposal was first considered in the 67th meeting held on 25.03.2022. As the mitigation plan had not been submitted with the proposal, the Standing Committee decided to defer the proposal till the next meeting. The Chief Wild Life Warden submitted a Wildlife Mitigation Plan with financial outlay of ₹12 lakhs for human wildlife conflict mitigation activities.	Mining

The proposal was again discussed in the 68th meeting held on 30th May, 2022. After discussions, the Standing Committee decided that the Chief Wild Life Warden, Kerala shall prepare appropriate mitigation plan and explain the same in detail in the next meeting and deferred the proposal for the next meeting. The Chief Wild Life Warden. Kerala submitted a detailed Wildlife Mitigation Plan vide his letter dated 20.07.2022. The proposal was reconsidered in the 69th meeting held on 29th July, 2022 wherein it was decided that the State Government would be requested to submit a revised mitigation plan that addresses the impact of mining on wildlife. The proposal therefore. was, deferred. The Chief Wild Life Warden vide letter dated 7th October. 2022 has mentioned that it was clarified that the project has absolutely negative impact on the nearest protected areas as well as the reserve forests due to its distant location. lt has been mentioned that the

mitigation measures proposed are for wild animals that thrive in human habitations creating conflict in far forest fringe zone and specific. site The proposed mitigation plan is for immediate measures deal to with the probable conflict that may arise due to operation of quarries. A wildlife mitigation plan with estimated cost of ₹12 lakhs for reduction of wildlife human conflict in and around the project area of High M/s Range Metals for awareness programmes for people and students, providing facilities for primary response teams engaged in reducing humanconflict wildlife adjoining human habitations, providing JJS/VSS/EDC with solar home light system for installation in identified areas for visibility of the human population inhabiting the adjoining areas, training/capacity building of frontline staff and purchase of equipments for relocation of problematic animals. Apart from wildlife mitigation plan waste management and pollution mitigation plan has been submitted for air

quality management by following proper blasting pattern, regular water sprinkling, proper maintenance transport vehicles and noise generating machinery, development of greenbelt, avoiding of overloading of trucks, regular monitoring of ambient air quality, sound proof cabins, noise absorption pads, ear muffs/ear workers. plugs to maintenance vibration levels and safety zone, provision of bio-toilet, construction of bunds and channels avoid erosion and contamination, mine pit water collection for watering plantation, solid waste management by reclaiming part quarry area, levelling and compaction of the backfilled area, dumping of other solid waste in levelled plot near quarry, preparation of disaster management plan. The mitigation plan submitted by the Chief Life Wild Warden is placed as Annexure III. The **Standing** Committee may like to take a view on the matter.

3. Building Stone Quarry The proposal is for Mining Project Located Sy use of 4.2649 ha from at Nos.138/(pt), 836(pt), default ESZ 837(pt), 839(pt) and 847(pt) Peechi-Vazhani at Killimangalam Village, Sanctuary for Thalappily Taluk, Thrissur Building Stone Quarry Project by M/s District. Kerala FP/KL/QRY/4590/2019 Celakkara Granite at Killimangalam Village, Thalappily Taluk, Thrissur District, Kerala about 6.68 km from the boundary of the Sanctuary. The proposal was first considered in the 67th meeting held on 25.03.2022. As the mitigation plan had not been submitted with the proposal, the Standing Committee decided to defer the proposal till the next meeting. The Chief Wild Life Warden submitted a Wildlife Mitigation Plan with financial outlay of ₹8 lakhs for human wildlife conflict mitigation activities. The proposal was again discussed in the 68th meeting held on 30th May, 2022. After discussions, the Standing Committee decided that the Wild Chief Life Warden, Kerala shall prepare appropriate mitigation plan and explain the same in detail in the next meeting and deferred the proposal for the next meeting.

The Chief Wild Life Warden, Kerala submitted a detailed Mitigation Wildlife Plan vide his letter dated 20.07.2022. The proposal was again considered in the 69th meeting held on 29th July, 2022 wherein, it was decided that the Government State would be requested to submit a revised mitigation plan that addresses the impact of mining on wildlife. The proposal was, therefore, deferred. The Chief Wild Life Warden vide letter dated 7th October. 2022 has mentioned that it was clarified that the project has absolutely no negative impact on the nearest protected areas as well as the reserve forests due to its distant location. lt has been mentioned that the mitigation measures proposed are for wild animals that thrive in human habitations creating conflict in far forest fringe zone and site specific. proposed mitigation plan is for immediate measures deal to with the probable conflict that may arise due to operation of quarries. The estimated cost of the

mitigation plan to address human wildlife conflict in and around M/s Chelakkara Granite Stone Quarry project area is ₹8 Lakhs and includes - Creation of Awareness among locals, compensation payments for human wildlife attacks, incentive for crop pattern changing, of capacity cost building programmes field staff, expense for the purchase of equipments to relocate problematic animals only needed. Apart from this, the waste management and pollution mitigation plan has been submitted. lt has been mentioned that the crusher unit of Chelakkara Granites has been working in a covered outer structure/building, that block the dusts going outside of the unit. Mist sprinklers have been installed at about 20 m height all over the unit and its surroundings to the control dust generating from the ground itself. For this purpose, water from the nearby pond is used. Unused quarry area has been utilised as a water harvesting pond

		located about 30 m	
		away from the	
		crusher unit. The plan	
		includes recycling of	
		water used for	
		making M-sand,	
		collection of slurry	
		formed during	
		production of the	
		sand and sale as one	
		of the components for	
		the construction of	
		the basements of the	
		buildings. It has been	
		further mentioned	
		that the Granite	
		Stones used for	
		making M-sand have been excavated from	
		their own nearby	
		quarry unit. No	
		boulders are	
		transported or sold	
		from the unit. The	
		road leading to the	
		unit is also kept wet to	
		control the air	
		pollution. The	
		mitigation plan	
		submitted by the	
		Chief Wild Life	
		Warden is placed as	
		Annexure IV.	
		The Standing	
		Committee may like	
		to take a view on the	
		matter.	
4.	Hubli – Ankola New Railway	A proposal for wildlife	Railway
	line project Karnataka	clearance for Hubli-	
		Ankola railway line	
		project was received	
		by the Ministry from	
		the State Government	
		of Karnataka in 2017.	
		The project involves diversion of an area of	
		595.64 ha of forest	
		land for the	
		construction of a new	
L		conduction of a new	

broad gauge railway line from Hubballi to passing Ankola through tiger corridors connecting Kali Tiger Reserve Sharavathi Sanctuary in Karnataka. As the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka in their order that 20.04.2022 has mentioned that the earlier order dated 18.06.2020 shall not come in the way of the respondents in taking the said decision and view in of dismissal of the SLP in Hon'ble Supreme Court of India and direction of the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka, the proposal was placed for the consideration of the Standing Committee in the 68th meeting.

After detailed discussions. the Standing Committee decided that Committee shall be constituted to examine the proposal, survey the area, assess the impacts of the project over forest and wildlife etc. The committee shall comprise Additional Director General of Forests, Forest Conservation, MoEFCC, Dr. H. S. Singh, Member, SCNBWL, Dr. R. Member, Sukumar,

SCNBWL, Representatives of Indian Director. Institute of Technology, Dharwad, Director, Wildlife Institute of India, Dehradun and Director General, IFCRE, Dehradun as members and Deputy Inspector General of Forests (Wildlife), MoEFCC as memberconvenor. The Committee shall hear public representatives, nongovernmental organisation and other stakeholders who wish to present their views on the project. The committee shall submit its report within two and half months The Standing Committee also decided that the Chief Wild Life Warden. Karnataka shall facilitate the visits, meetings etc. of the committee Karnataka as may be required as per the terms of reference of the committee.

Ministry constituted the Committee vide letter dated 3rd June 2022. The Committee held a meeting on 16th June, 2022.

The Committee carried out site inspection and hearing of

		stakeholders in North Kanara and Dharwad districts of Karnataka from 26.09.2022 till 29.09.2022. The report of the Committee is awaited. The Standing Committee may like to take a view on the matter.	
5.	Proposal for use of 0.701 ha of forest land from Shoolpaneshwar Wildlife Sanctuary for setting up of 4G Telecommunication towers in 7 villages of Narmada District & laying of Optical Fibre Cable in Narmada district. FP/GJ/OFC/143971/2021	The proposal is for use of 0.701 ha of forest land from Shoolpaneshwar Wildlife Sanctuary for setting up of three Telecommunication towers in 7 villages & laying of Optical Fibre Cable in Narmada district. It was discussed in the 68th meeting of the Standing Committee held on 30th May, 2022. After discussions, the Standing Committee decided that the State Government shall examine the availability of alternate sites for erection of telecommunication towers and therefore, deferred the proposal for the next meeting. The State Government of Gujarat vide letter dated 6th October, 2022 has submitted that all the telecommunication tower locations are technically evaluated (considering geographical terrains)	Telecommunication towers and OFC

examined for alternative location however but consideration the above facts/reasons alternative sites for telecommunication towers could not be possible. The report received from the State Government is placed as Annexure V. The Standing Committee may like to take a view on the matter. 6. Proposal for use of 0.0648 ha of forest land from use of 0.0648 ha of forest land from grant from the sanctuary for erection of Telephone tower in Pipargots and Alindra villages of Dhanpur Taluka in Vadodra district FP/GJ/Others/5284/2020			for seamless connectivity of tribal villages. The department has	
consideration the above facts/reasons alternative sites for telecommunication towers could not be possible. The report received from the State Government is placed as Annexure V. The Standing Committee may like to take a view on the matter. 6. Proposal for use of 0.0648 ha of forest land from Ratanmahal Wildlife Sanctuary for erection of Telephone tower in Pipargota and Alindra villages of Dhanpur Taluka in Vadodra district FP/GJ/Others/5284/2020 FP/GJ/Others/5284/2020 The Standing Committee held on 30th May, 2022. The Standing Committee held on 30th May, 2022. The Standing Committee decided that the State Government shall examine the availability of alternate sites for erection of telecommunication towers and therefore, deferred the proposal for the next meeting. The State			examined for alternative location	
alternative sites for telecommunication towers could not be possible. The report received from the State Government is placed as Annexure V. The Standing Committee may like to take a view on the matter. 6. Proposal for use of 0.0648 ha of forest land from Ratanmahal Wildlife Sanctuary for erection of Telephone tower in Pipargota and Alindra villages of Dhanpur Taluka in Vadodra district FP/GJ/Others/5284/2020 FP/GJ/Others/5284/2020 Telephone tower in Pipargota and Alindra villages of Dhanpur Taluka in Vadodra district. It was discussed in the 68th meeting of the Standing Committee held on 30th May, 2022. The Standing Committee decided that the State Government shall examine the availability of alternate sites for erection of telecommunication towers and therefore, deferred the proposal for the next meeting. The State			consideration the	
towers could not be possible. The report received from the State Government is placed as Annexure V. The Standing Committee may like to take a view on the matter. The proposal for use of 0.0648 ha of forest land from Ratanmahal Wildlife Sanctuary for erection of Telephone tower in Pipargota and Alindra villages of Dhanpur Taluka in Vadodra district FP/GJ/Others/5284/2020 The Standing Committee held on 30th May, 2022. The Standing Committee held on 30th May, 2022. The Standing Committee decided that the State Government shall examine the availability of alternate sites for erection of telecommunication towers and therefore, deferred the proposal for the next meeting. The State			alternative sites for	
received from the State Government is placed as Annexure V. The Standing Committee may like to take a view on the matter. 6. Proposal for use of 0.0648 ha of forest land from Ratanmahal Wildlife Sanctuary for erection of Telephone tower in Pipargota and Alindra villages of Dhanpur Taluka in Vadodra district FP/GJ/Others/5284/2020 FP/GJ/Others/5284/2020 The proposal is for use of 0.0648 ha of forest land from Ratanmahal Wildlife Sanctuary for erection of Telecommunication towers in Pipargota and Alindra villages of Dhanpur Taluka in Vadodra district. It was discussed in the 68th meeting of the Standing Committee held on 30th May, 2022. The Standing Committee decided that the State Government shall examine the availability of alternate sites for erection of telecommunication towers and therefore, deferred the proposal for the next meeting. The State			towers could not be	
placed as Annexure V. The Standing Committee may like to take a view on the matter. The proposal for use of 0.0648 ha of of forest land from Ratanmahal Wildlife Sanctuary for erection of Telephone tower in Pipargota and Alindra villages of Dhanpur Taluka in Vadodra district FP/GJ/Others/5284/2020 FP/GJ/Others/5284/2020 FP/GJ/Others/5284/2020 placed as Annexure V. The Standing Committee is for on the proposal is for one of the proposal is for on the proposal is for one of the proposal for the next meeting. The State			received from the	
Committee may like to take a view on the matter. 6. Proposal for use of 0.0648 ha of forest land from Ratanmahal Wildlife Sanctuary for erection of Telephone tower in Pipargota and Alindra villages of Dhanpur Taluka in Vadodra district FP/GJ/Others/5284/2020 FP/GJ/Others/5284/2020 Committee may like to take a view on the matter. The proposal is for use of 0.0648 ha of forest land from Ratanmahal Wildlife Sanctuary for erection of Telecommunication towers in Pipargota and Alindra villages of Dhanpur Taluka in Vadodra district. It was discussed in the 68th meeting of the Standing Committee held on 30th May, 2022. The Standing Committee decided that the State Government shall examine the availability of alternate sites for erection of telecommunication towers and therefore, deferred the proposal for the next meeting. The State			placed as Annexure	
to take a view on the matter. 6. Proposal for use of 0.0648 ha of forest land from Ratanmahal Wildlife Sanctuary for erection of Telephone tower in Pipargota and Alindra villages of Dhanpur Taluka in Vadodra district FP/GJ/Others/5284/2020 FP/GJ/Others/5284/2020 to take a view on the matter. The proposal is for use of 0.0648 ha of forest land from Ratanmahal Wildlife Sanctuary for erection of Telecommunication towers in Pipargota and Alindra villages of Dhanpur Taluka in Vadodra district. It was discussed in the 68th meeting of the Standing Committee held on 30th May, 2022. The Standing Committee decided that the State Government shall examine the availability of alternate sites for erection of telecommunication towers and therefore, deferred the proposal for the next meeting. The State			_	
6. Proposal for use of 0.0648 ha of forest land from Ratanmahal Wildlife Sanctuary for erection of Telephone tower in Pipargota and Alindra villages of Dhanpur Taluka in Vadodra district FP/GJ/Others/5284/2020 FP/GJ/Others/5284/2020 The proposal is for use of 0.0648 ha of forest land from Ratanmahal Wildlife Sanctuary for erection of Telecommunication towers in Pipargota and Alindra villages of Dhanpur Taluka in Vadodra district. It was discussed in the 68th meeting of the Standing Committee held on 30th May, 2022. The Standing Committee decided that the State Government shall examine the availability of alternate sites for erection of telecommunication towers and therefore, deferred the proposal for the next meeting. The State			to take a view on the	
of forest land from Ratanmahal Wildlife Sanctuary for erection of Telephone tower in Pipargota and Alindra villages of Dhanpur Taluka in Vadodra district FP/GJ/Others/5284/2020 FP/GJ/Others/5284/2020 Is a continuous of telecommunication towers in Pipargota and Alindra villages of Dhanpur Taluka in Vadodra district. It was discussed in the 68th meeting of the Standing Committee held on 30th May, 2022. The Standing Committee decided that the State Government shall examine the availability of alternate sites for erection of telecommunication towers and therefore, deferred the proposal for the next meeting. The State		Decree - 16 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 2		Talaaamanniaatiaa
Ratanmahal Wildlife Sanctuary for erection of Telephone tower in Pipargota and Alindra villages of Dhanpur Taluka in Vadodra district FP/GJ/Others/5284/2020 FP/GJ/Others/52	б.	•		
Telephone tower in Pipargota and Alindra villages of Dhanpur Taluka in Vadodra district FP/GJ/Others/5284/2020 FP/GJ/Others/5284/2020 FP/GJ/Others/5284/2020 Sanctuary for erection of Telecommunication towers in Pipargota and Alindra villages of Dhanpur Taluka in Vadodra district. It was discussed in the 68th meeting of the Standing Committee held on 30th May, 2022. The Standing Committee decided that the State Government shall examine the availability of alternate sites for erection of telecommunication towers and therefore, deferred the proposal for the next meeting. The State		Ratanmahal Wildlife	forest land from	
and Alindra villages of Dhanpur Taluka in Vadodra district FP/GJ/Others/5284/2020 FP/GJ/Others/5284/2020 of Telecommunication towers in Pipargota and Alindra villages of Dhanpur Taluka in Vadodra district. It was discussed in the 68th meeting of the Standing Committee held on 30th May, 2022. The Standing Committee decided that the State Government shall examine the availability of alternate sites for erection of telecommunication towers and therefore, deferred the proposal for the next meeting. The State				
Dhanpur Taluka in Vadodra district TP/GJ/Others/5284/2020 FP/GJ/Others/5284/2020 FP/GJ/Others/5284/2020 FP/GJ/Others/5284/2020 The Standing Committee held on 30th May, 2022. The Standing Committee decided that the State Government shall examine the availability of alternate sites for erection of telecommunication towers and therefore, deferred the proposal for the next meeting. The State				
district and Alindra villages of Dhanpur Taluka in Vadodra district. It was discussed in the 68th meeting of the Standing Committee held on 30th May, 2022. The Standing Committee decided that the State Government shall examine the availability of alternate sites for erection of telecommunication towers and therefore, deferred the proposal for the next meeting. The State				
PP/GJ/Others/5284/2020 Dhanpur Taluka in Vadodra district. It was discussed in the 68th meeting of the Standing Committee held on 30th May, 2022. The Standing Committee decided that the State Government shall examine the availability of alternate sites for erection of telecommunication towers and therefore, deferred the proposal for the next meeting. The State		•	. •	
It was discussed in the 68 th meeting of the Standing Committee held on 30 th May, 2022. The Standing Committee decided that the State Government shall examine the availability of alternate sites for erection of telecommunication towers and therefore, deferred the proposal for the next meeting. The State			_	
68th meeting of the Standing Committee held on 30th May, 2022. The Standing Committee decided that the State Government shall examine the availability of alternate sites for erection of telecommunication towers and therefore, deferred the proposal for the next meeting. The State		FP/GJ/Others/5284/2020		
Standing Committee held on 30 th May, 2022. The Standing Committee decided that the State Government shall examine the availability of alternate sites for erection of telecommunication towers and therefore, deferred the proposal for the next meeting. The State				
held on 30 th May, 2022. The Standing Committee decided that the State Government shall examine the availability of alternate sites for erection of telecommunication towers and therefore, deferred the proposal for the next meeting. The State				
Committee decided that the State Government shall examine the availability of alternate sites for erection of telecommunication towers and therefore, deferred the proposal for the next meeting. The State				
that the State Government shall examine the availability of alternate sites for erection of telecommunication towers and therefore, deferred the proposal for the next meeting. The State				
Government shall examine the availability of alternate sites for erection of telecommunication towers and therefore, deferred the proposal for the next meeting. The State				
examine the availability of alternate sites for erection of telecommunication towers and therefore, deferred the proposal for the next meeting. The State				
sites for erection of telecommunication towers and therefore, deferred the proposal for the next meeting. The State			Government shall	
telecommunication towers and therefore, deferred the proposal for the next meeting. The State			examine the	
towers and therefore, deferred the proposal for the next meeting. The State			examine the availability of alternate	
deferred the proposal for the next meeting. The State			examine the availability of alternate sites for erection of	
for the next meeting. The State			examine the availability of alternate sites for erection of telecommunication	
			examine the availability of alternate sites for erection of telecommunication towers and therefore,	
'			examine the availability of alternate sites for erection of telecommunication towers and therefore, deferred the proposal for the next meeting.	
Government of Gujarat vide letter			examine the availability of alternate sites for erection of telecommunication towers and therefore, deferred the proposal for the next meeting. The State	
			examine the availability of alternate sites for erection of telecommunication towers and therefore,	

		dated 6 th October,	
		2022 has submitted	
		that all the	
		telecommunication	
		tower locations are	
		technically evaluated	
		(considering	
		geographical terrains)	
		for seamless	
		connectivity of tribal	
		villages. The	
		department has	
		examined for	
		alternative location	
		however but	
		consideration the	
		above facts/reasons	
		alternative sites for	
		telecommunication	
		towers could not be	
		possible. The report	
		received from the	
		State Government is	
		placed as Annexure VI.	
		VI.	
		The Standing	
		The Standing Committee may like	
		The Standing Committee may like to take a view on the	
		Committee may like	
7.	Proposal for use of 0.95 ha of	Committee may like to take a view on the	Irrigation and water
7.	forest land from National	Committee may like to take a view on the matter. The proposal is for use of 0.95 ha of forest	Irrigation and water supply
7.	<u> </u>	Committee may like to take a view on the matter. The proposal is for use of 0.95 ha of forest land from National	•
7.	forest land from National Chambal Wildlife Sanctuary for construction of Intake	Committee may like to take a view on the matter. The proposal is for use of 0.95 ha of forest land from National Chambal Wildlife	•
7.	forest land from National Chambal Wildlife Sanctuary for construction of Intake Well, Approach Bridge	Committee may like to take a view on the matter. The proposal is for use of 0.95 ha of forest land from National Chambal Wildlife Sanctuary for	•
7.	forest land from National Chambal Wildlife Sanctuary for construction of Intake Well, Approach Bridge and water pipeline by Water	Committee may like to take a view on the matter. The proposal is for use of 0.95 ha of forest land from National Chambal Wildlife Sanctuary for construction of Intake	•
7.	forest land from National Chambal Wildlife Sanctuary for construction of Intake Well, Approach Bridge and water pipeline by Water Resources Department,	Committee may like to take a view on the matter. The proposal is for use of 0.95 ha of forest land from National Chambal Wildlife Sanctuary for construction of Intake Well, Approach Bridge	•
7.	forest land from National Chambal Wildlife Sanctuary for construction of Intake Well, Approach Bridge and water pipeline by Water	Committee may like to take a view on the matter. The proposal is for use of 0.95 ha of forest land from National Chambal Wildlife Sanctuary for construction of Intake Well, Approach Bridge and water pipeline by	•
7.	forest land from National Chambal Wildlife Sanctuary for construction of Intake Well, Approach Bridge and water pipeline by Water Resources Department, Bhind, Madhya Pradesh.	Committee may like to take a view on the matter. The proposal is for use of 0.95 ha of forest land from National Chambal Wildlife Sanctuary for construction of Intake Well, Approach Bridge and water pipeline by Water Resources	•
7.	forest land from National Chambal Wildlife Sanctuary for construction of Intake Well, Approach Bridge and water pipeline by Water Resources Department,	Committee may like to take a view on the matter. The proposal is for use of 0.95 ha of forest land from National Chambal Wildlife Sanctuary for construction of Intake Well, Approach Bridge and water pipeline by Water Resources Department, Bhind,	•
7.	forest land from National Chambal Wildlife Sanctuary for construction of Intake Well, Approach Bridge and water pipeline by Water Resources Department, Bhind, Madhya Pradesh.	Committee may like to take a view on the matter. The proposal is for use of 0.95 ha of forest land from National Chambal Wildlife Sanctuary for construction of Intake Well, Approach Bridge and water pipeline by Water Resources Department, Bhind, Madhya Pradesh.	•
7.	forest land from National Chambal Wildlife Sanctuary for construction of Intake Well, Approach Bridge and water pipeline by Water Resources Department, Bhind, Madhya Pradesh.	Committee may like to take a view on the matter. The proposal is for use of 0.95 ha of forest land from National Chambal Wildlife Sanctuary for construction of Intake Well, Approach Bridge and water pipeline by Water Resources Department, Bhind, Madhya Pradesh. The proposal was first	•
7.	forest land from National Chambal Wildlife Sanctuary for construction of Intake Well, Approach Bridge and water pipeline by Water Resources Department, Bhind, Madhya Pradesh.	Committee may like to take a view on the matter. The proposal is for use of 0.95 ha of forest land from National Chambal Wildlife Sanctuary for construction of Intake Well, Approach Bridge and water pipeline by Water Resources Department, Bhind, Madhya Pradesh. The proposal was first considered in the 69th	•
7.	forest land from National Chambal Wildlife Sanctuary for construction of Intake Well, Approach Bridge and water pipeline by Water Resources Department, Bhind, Madhya Pradesh.	Committee may like to take a view on the matter. The proposal is for use of 0.95 ha of forest land from National Chambal Wildlife Sanctuary for construction of Intake Well, Approach Bridge and water pipeline by Water Resources Department, Bhind, Madhya Pradesh. The proposal was first considered in the 69th meeting of the	•
7.	forest land from National Chambal Wildlife Sanctuary for construction of Intake Well, Approach Bridge and water pipeline by Water Resources Department, Bhind, Madhya Pradesh.	Committee may like to take a view on the matter. The proposal is for use of 0.95 ha of forest land from National Chambal Wildlife Sanctuary for construction of Intake Well, Approach Bridge and water pipeline by Water Resources Department, Bhind, Madhya Pradesh. The proposal was first considered in the 69th meeting of the Standing Committee	•
7.	forest land from National Chambal Wildlife Sanctuary for construction of Intake Well, Approach Bridge and water pipeline by Water Resources Department, Bhind, Madhya Pradesh.	Committee may like to take a view on the matter. The proposal is for use of 0.95 ha of forest land from National Chambal Wildlife Sanctuary for construction of Intake Well, Approach Bridge and water pipeline by Water Resources Department, Bhind, Madhya Pradesh. The proposal was first considered in the 69th meeting of the Standing Committee wherein it was	•
7.	forest land from National Chambal Wildlife Sanctuary for construction of Intake Well, Approach Bridge and water pipeline by Water Resources Department, Bhind, Madhya Pradesh.	Committee may like to take a view on the matter. The proposal is for use of 0.95 ha of forest land from National Chambal Wildlife Sanctuary for construction of Intake Well, Approach Bridge and water pipeline by Water Resources Department, Bhind, Madhya Pradesh. The proposal was first considered in the 69th meeting of the Standing Committee wherein it was decided that the State	•
7.	forest land from National Chambal Wildlife Sanctuary for construction of Intake Well, Approach Bridge and water pipeline by Water Resources Department, Bhind, Madhya Pradesh.	Committee may like to take a view on the matter. The proposal is for use of 0.95 ha of forest land from National Chambal Wildlife Sanctuary for construction of Intake Well, Approach Bridge and water pipeline by Water Resources Department, Bhind, Madhya Pradesh. The proposal was first considered in the 69th meeting of the Standing Committee wherein it was decided that the State Government of	•
7.	forest land from National Chambal Wildlife Sanctuary for construction of Intake Well, Approach Bridge and water pipeline by Water Resources Department, Bhind, Madhya Pradesh.	Committee may like to take a view on the matter. The proposal is for use of 0.95 ha of forest land from National Chambal Wildlife Sanctuary for construction of Intake Well, Approach Bridge and water pipeline by Water Resources Department, Bhind, Madhya Pradesh. The proposal was first considered in the 69th meeting of the Standing Committee wherein it was decided that the State	•

		T	T
		submit their reports regarding compliance of conditions relating to the projects recommended by the Standing Committee in the past in National Chambal Sanctuary.	
		The Chief Wild Life Warden, Madhya Pradesh vide his report dated 4 th October, 2022 has given statement that regarding the compliance of conditions imposed for 15 projects	
		recommended in National Chambal Sanctuary. From the report it can be inferred that the conditions have been complied with in 12 projects. No works have been carried out	
		in remaining three projects. The report received from the Chief Wild Life Warden is placed as Annexure VII. The report from the State Government of Rajasthan is awaited.	
		The Standing Committee may like to take a view in the matter.	
8.	Proposal for use of 0.0762 ha of forest land from Jaikwadi Bird Sanctuary for laying underground 24" Steel Gas Pipeline from Ahmednagar to Aurangabad within the RoW of MSH-05 in Ta. Gangapur &	the proposal is for use of 0.0762 ha of forest land from Jaikwadi Bird Sanctuary for laying underground 24" Steel Gas Pipeline	Gas pipeline

	NI.	[Т
	Newasa for city gas	from Ahmednagar to	
	distribution by BGRL.	Aurangabad	
		within the RoW of	
	FP/MH/Pipeline/5827/2021	MSH-05 in Gangapur	
	-	and Newasa in	
		Maharashtra for city	
		gas distribution by	
		Bharat Gas	
		Resources Ltd.	
		The proposal was first	
		considered in the 69 th	
		meeting of the	
		Standing Committee	
		held on 29 th July, 2022	
		wherein it was	
		decided to defer the	
		proposal till the	
		submission of	
		contingency plan by	
		the State Government	
		in case of leakage of	
		gas which may affect	
		the ecosystem.	
		life ecosystem.	
		The report from the	
		State Government is	
		awaited.	
		awaiteu.	
		The Standing	
		Committee may like	
		to take a view on the	
		matter.	
9.	Proposal for use of 1.25 ha of	The proposal is for	Road
J.	•	use of 1.25 ha of forest	1.000
	forest land for widening and		
	upgradation of the existing	land for widening and	
	road from Allapalli to	upgradation of the	
	Mailaram in Bhadradri	existing road from	
	Kothagudem District,	Allapalli to Mailaram in	
	Telangana.	Bhadradri	
		Kothagudem District,	
	FP/TG/ROAD/5487/2020	Telangana.	
		The proposal was first	
		considered in the 69 th	
		meeting of the	
		Standing Committee	
		held on 29 th July, 2022	
		wherein it was	
1		decided the Inspector	
		General of Forests,	

		Office, Hyderabad shall inspect the project site and submit report regarding the violations. The report is awaited. The Standing Committee may like to take a view on the matter.	
10.	Proposal for use of 3.43 ha of forest land from Kinnersani Wildlife Sanctuary for widening and upgradation of the existing road from Mondikunta to Mamilavai in Bhadradri Kothagudem District, Telangana. FP/TG/ROAD/4418/2019	The proposal is for use of 3.43 ha of forest land from Kinnersani Wildlife Sanctuary for widening and upgradation of the existing road from Mondikunta to Mamilavai in Bhadradri Kothagudem District, Telangana. The proposal was first considered in the 69th meeting of the Standing Committee held on 29th July, 2022 wherein it was decided the Inspector General of Forests, Integrated Regional Office, Hyderabad shall inspect the project site and submit report regarding the violations. The Standing Committee may like to take a view on the matter.	Road
11.	Proposal for use of 6.4325 ha of forest land from Kaimur Wild Life Sanctuary for construction of intake Jackwell, laying of underground pipeline, 1.20	The proposal is for use of 6.4325 ha of forest land from Kaimur Wild Life Sanctuary for construction of intake	Water supply

km, in length and construction of water treatment plant under Patwadh Group of Villages, Uttar Pradesh.

FP/UP/WATER/119894/2021

Jackwell, laying of 1.20 km long underground pipeline and construction of a water treatment plant under Patwadh group of villages in Uttar Pradesh.

The proposal was first considered in the 69th meeting of the Standing Committee held on 29th July, 2022 wherein it was decided to defer the proposal till the submission of report State bγ the Government regarding availability of alternate sites for the project.

The State Government vide letter dated 29th September, 2022 has forwarded the letter of the Divisional Forest Officer, Kaimur Wildlife Division wherein it is stated that upon inspection of two alternative sites, it is found that the other two sites are also within the Reserved Forests and Kaimur Sanctuary which are technically less feasible compared to the proposed location. The report of the State Government is placed as Annexure VIII.

The Standing Committee may like to take a view on the matter.

12. Management of lands of Α suggestion **Policy** was Protected Areas/forests received from Dr. H. under transmission Singh regarding line management of areas below transmission lines passing through protected areas and forest areas. He suggested that measures need to be taken to check the growth of weeds like lantana which start growing in the area cleared for installation of transmission lines. suggested that since the height of transmission lines is usually 10 m or more from the ground level, trees of height up to 5m, shrubs and grasses may be planted in the area. He mentioned that species like *Aloe vera*, Agave, Commiphora etc. may be planted as green hedges in these areas. He suggested that guidelines may be issued by the Ministry management of on lands of Protected Areas/forests under transmission lines. The matter was deliberated in the 66th meeting held on 31st December. 2022 wherein it was decided that the Ministry shall request the details of protected areas and forest areas with transmission lines and the vegetation, terrain and periodicity

of maintenance of the area. The details collected shall be shared with the expert members of the Standing Committee and the Wildlife Institute of India. Thereafter. guidelines management of such areas may be framed. The Standing Committee also decided that in future, all proposals for laying transmission lines submitted for its consideration should be accompanied with a management plan for the area below the transmission line.

Accordingly, Ministry requested that States / UTs for providing the details in a format. The details received from the States/ UTs has been communicated to the Expert members of the Standing Committee and also to Wildlife Institute India, Dehradun and 3rd reminded on October, 2022.

Comments from the Expert Members and Wildlife Institute of India are awaited.

Ministry vide revised guidelines dated 21st July, 2022 regarding submission of proposals for consideration of the Standing Committee informed has States/UTs that proposals for transmission lines shall be accompanied with a management plan for the area below the transmission lines. The management plan should prescribe the species to be planted and maintained below the transmission line, periodicity maintenance etc.

The Standing Committee may like to take a view on the matter.

AGENDA No. 3

70.3 POLICY MATTERS, COURT ORDERS

1. Management of protected areas

Hon'ble Prime Minister at the conference of Hon'ble Forest Ministers and the officials of Forest Departments of States/Union Territories at Kevadia in Gujarat on 23.09.2022 gave important mantras for the conservation of forests and environment of the country through video conference. Hon'ble Prime Minister is also the Chairman of the National Board for Wild Life.

The important points which would assist in management and conservation of protected areas are as follows:

a. Strength to campaign of solid waste management and freedom from single use plastic - the Panchayats, local bodies, self-help groups and MSMEs may be encouraged on the issue:

Solid waste management (SWM) is a major problem, where urbanization, industrialization and economic growth have resulted in increased municipal solid waste (MSW) generation per person. Effective SWM is a major challenge in cities with high population density. Achieving sustainable development within a country experiencing rapid population growth and improvements in living standards is a challenge in India because of its diversity with many different religious groups, cultures and traditions and growing pressure on the protected areas abutting human habitations and in Eco-sensitive Zones.

b. To conserve the water conservation as water is very important for human life. In this regard, to develop 75 Amrit Sarovar (ponds) [Wetlands] in every district during the 'Amrit Mahotsav' of Independence:

Wetlands are a critical part of our natural environment. Wetlands in the protected areas and forests and ecosensitive zones are crucial for wild animals and forests. They provide habitat for animals and plants and many contain a wide diversity of life, supporting plants and animals that are found nowhere else. Their conservation is important for the recharge of ground water and overall survival of living beings. Wetlands protect our shores from wave action, reduce the impacts of floods, absorb pollutants and improve water quality.

 There is a theme on Experienced Based Learning and great emphasis has been laid on it. The Ministry may take up the issue of the Experienced Based Learning with the Department of Education;

As the name suggests, experiential learning is the process of learning through experience. Experiential learning as "the process whereby knowledge is created through the transformation of experience. Knowledge results from the combinations of grasping and transforming the experience." This is important from the conservation perspective which can be promoted among the school and college students, local communities and all stakeholders of forests and wildlife. This could be a tool for creating awareness regarding conservation and protection of forests and wildlife.

d. Carbon sequestration - Construction of tunnels, flyovers helps in reducing carbon emission and this angle should be considered while issuing clearances:

Carbon sequestration is the process of capturing and storing atmospheric carbon dioxide. It is one method of reducing the amount of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere with the goal of reducing global climate change. Linear infrastructure projects such as roads, railways etc. should be aimed at reducing carbon footprints. The implementing agencies while formulating the projects pay special attention towards their responsibility in mitigating climate change whenever the projects are designed and in particular when the such projects are proposed to be located within protected areas, tiger reserves, forests, wildlife corridors and eco-sensitive zones.

It is suggested as follows:

- The Ministry may either issue advisory to the Chief Wild Life Wardens for implementation of the above matters in the States/UT; or
- b. The certain conditions may be imposed on the User Agencies at the time of recommendations of project proposals to adhere to these mantras while executing the recommended projects.

The Standing Committee may like to deliberate and provide guidance to the Ministry.

AGENDA No. 4

70.4 (Fresh Proposals falling inside / Outside the Protected Area)

BIHAR

A. PROPOSAL FALLING INSIDE PROTECTED AREAS

S	. No.	File No	Name of the Proposal
	1.	6-207/2022 WL	Proposal for use of 10.368 ha of forest land from Rajauli (Nawada) Wildlife Sanctuary for construction of four laning of Rajauli- Bakhtiyarpur section from Km 47+723 to Km 54+405 of NH-31 (Package-I), Bihar.
			FP/BR/ROAD/40700/2019

1	Name of the Proposal	Proposal for use of 10.368 ha of forest land from Rajauli (Nawada) Wildlife Sanctuary for construction of four laning of Rajauli- Bakhtiyarpur section from Km 47+723 to Km 54+405 of NH-31 (Package-I), Bihar. FP/BR/ROAD/40700/2019
2	Name of the protected Area involved	Rajauli (Nawada) Wildlife Sanctuary
3	File No.	6-207/2022 WL
4	Name of the State	Bihar
5	Whether proposal is subjudice	Not Sub-Judice
6	Area of the protected area	27.26 sq km
7(a)	Area proposed for diversion/Denotification	10.368 ha
7(b)	Area so far diverted from the protected area(s)	
8	Status of ESZ, if any	Proposal received from the State Government on 06.10.2022 and under scrutiny.
9.	Specific comments w.r.t section 29 to the Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972	The proposed project envisages widening of a road inside the Rajauli (Nawada) Wildlife Sanctuary in the district Nawada. The proposed Rajauli-Bakthiyarpur Section lies on NH-31 in Bihar which links the State of Jharkhand with Bihar. Thus, it is an existing two-lane road passing through the sanctuary which is lo be widened to a four-lane road. The proposed Section (Package-I) passes between Dibour-I & Dibour-II forest patches of the sanctuary. The total length of this section is 6.682 kms. (19.8678 Ha.) out of which a total length of 3.6 kms. (10.368 Ha.) passes through Dibour-I sanctuary patch in two segments. A total of 981 trees above 30 cm. girth would be affected, out of which 827 trees would be translocated and 154 trees would need to be felled in the course of widening. The number of trees below 30 cm. girth have not been accounted for, being in the nature of planes and bushes by the road side. Since, the project falls in Rajauli (Nawada) Wildlife Sanctuary and its environs, the proposal is under consideration for wildlife clearance. The project proponent agency is Bihar National Highways

		Authority of India (PIU, Patna). Other than felling of the trees for widening, no destruction of any wildlife is envisaged inside the sanctuary u/s section 29 of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972 under this project, as preventive & mitigation measures shall be taken by the project proponent during construction and additionally mitigation measures shall also be taken by the department.
10	Whether project linear/non-linear	Linear
11	Whether EC obtained	No
12	Date of submission by user agency	16/02/2021
13	Name of the applicant agency	National Highways Authority of India, PIU,Patna
14	Total number of tree to be felled	A total of 981 trees above 30 cm. girth would be affected, out of which 827 trees would be translocated and 154 trees would need to be felled in the course of widening.
15	Maps depicting the diversion proposal included or not	Yes

16 Recommendation of State Board for Wild Life

The State Board for Wildlife has recommended the proposal in its 10th meeting held on 18th April, 2022 subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Animal Passage Plan will be prepared for the wildlife in the inner areas of the path. Animal Passage Plan for the movement of wild animals will be an essential part of the plan.
- 2. After the construction of the said path, necessary control and regulations on the speed limit and the use of horn etc. from the point of view of wildlife conservation and management shall be done by Nawada Wildlife Administration (Nawada Forest Division). According to the instructions of Nawada Zoological Administration for speed limit control and other protection measures construction and installation of information board, etc. shall be done by the user agency at its own expense.
- 3. The amount proposed for Management Action Plan presented in the mitigation plan prepared by the Divisional Forest Officer for mitigating the impacts of construction works will be deposited by the spokesperson agency, which will not be more than two percent of the amount of proportionate project cost falling within the Sanctuary.
- 4. For the interests of wildlife conservation by the Chief Wild Life Warden, Bihar, may impose other practical conditions or regulations.

17 Brief justification on the proposal as given by the applicant agency

The Government of India has decided to take up the development of various road stretches/Corridors in the central part of the country to upgrade the road network to meet the growing traffic requirement in this part of the country by augmenting the road capacity for safe and efficient movement of the traffic of selected road stretches for N 1-1 Connectivity lo Backward Areas / Religious / Tourist Places (BRT) Scheme.

In pursuance of the above the Ministry of Road Transport and Highways (MoRTII)/ National Highways Authority of India (NHAI) have decided for construction of four lanning of Rajauli Bakhtiyarpur section from Km 47+723 to Km 54+405 of NH-31 (Package-I). Nawada district in the State of Bihar to improve the efficiency of freight movement. Project stretch falls in the state of Bihar an Indian state considered to be a part of Eastern as well as Northern India. It is contiguous with Uttar Pradesh to its west, Nepal to the north. the northern part of West Bengal to the east, with Jharkhand to the south.

The proposed road would act as the prime artery for the economic flow to this region. It will enhance economic development, provide employment opportunities to locals. strengthen tourist development, ensure road safety, and provide better transportation facilities and other facilities such as way side amenities. Vehicle operating cost will also be reduced clue to improved road quality. Sufficient care has been taken during the design stage to avoid acquisition of forest areas located along the project road. However at some locations the proposed project foils in protected forest areas and diversion of protected forest land is unavoidable.

18 Rare and endangered species found in the area

Rajauli (Nawada) Wildlife Sanctuary is home to Sloth Bear, Chital, Sambhar, Hyaena, Hog Deer, Barking Deer, Jungle Cat, Small Indian Civet, Indian Fox, etc.

19 Opinion of the Chief Wild Life Warden

The Chief Wild Life Warden has recommended the proposal with the following conditions:

- 1. The user agency shall prepare and implement the animal passage plan in the road length inside the sanctuary.
- 2. The user agency shall not harm, exploit or destroy any wildlife in the sanctuary area and shall strive to ensure the same.
- 3. The user agency shall bear the cost of mitigation measures as per the estimate submitted and appended by the Divisional Forest Officer-cum-Wildlife Warden, Nawada to ensure wildlife and wild flora/ fauna conservation through pre-construction, construction and post-construction phases. This amount of ₹90.17 lakhs, which is less than 2% of the project cost inside sanctuary, shall be deposited by the user agency with the department.
- 4. The legal status of the proposed area will remain as part of the sanctuary and will remain under control of the Environment, Forests and Climate Change Department, Bihar.

- 5. Every possible effort will be done to minimize the effect of construction on wildlife in that area and noise shall be kept under limits.
- 6. The construction debris will be disposed off outside the sanctuary and at a safe distance.
- 7. The work will be executed in daytime from dawn to dusk.
- 8. The project proponent / user agency shall comply with any additional conditions imposed by Chief Wild Life Warden, Bihar as deemed necessary in the interest of wildlife protection and management in the sanctuary area.

20 | Animal Passage Plan

The Mitigation prepared by the Divisional Forest Officer is placed as **Annexure IX.**

Animal Passage Plan has been submitted by the User Agency is placed as **Annexure X**.

The structures proposed in the animal passage plan include:

- a. Re-construction of existing 5 minor bridges (proposed RCC Box of span 3 X 3);
- b. Re-construction of 3 existing culverts (proposed minor bridges of PSC girder type of 30 m span);
- c. Re-construction of 5 existing culverts (Proposed RCC Box of span 3 X 3);
- d. Construction of 3 PSC Girder vehicular underpasses of span 30 m
- e. Construction of 67 New RCC HP Culverts of 1.2 m diameter @ every 100 m.

21 **Violation**, if any

No violations reported.

22 | Comments of Ministry

The Standing Committee may like to take a view on the proposal.

CHANDIGARH

A. PROPOSAL FALLING OUTSIDE PROTECTED AREAS

S. No.	File No	Name of the Proposal
2.	6-208/2022 WL	Proposal for proposed projects i.e. i. "General Housing Scheme at Plot Nos.1 & 2 and ii. Govt. Housing Scheme at Plot No.7 at RGCTP Habitat Project, IT Park, Chandigarh. The project site Plot 1 and 2 is 1.56 km away from the Sukhna Wildlife Sanctuary. The project site Plot no. 7 is 1.52 km away from the Sukhna Wildlife Sanctuary.
		FP/CH/Others/6097/2021

1	Name of the Proposal	Proposal for proposed projects i.e. i. "General Housing Scheme at Plot Nos.1 & 2 and ii. Govt. Housing Scheme at Plot No.7 at RGCTP Habitat Project, IT Park, Chandigarh. The project site Plot 1 and 2 is 1.56 km away from the Sukhna Wildlife Sanctuary. The project site Plot no. 7 is 1.52 km away from the Sukhna Wildlife Sanctuary. FP/CH/Others/6097/2021			
2	Name of the protected area involved		na Wildlife		
3	File No.	6-208	/2022 WL		
4	Name of the State		Chandigar	h	
5	Whether proposal is sub- judice	Not su	ıb-judice		
6	Area of the protected area	25.98	46 sq km		
7(a)	Area proposed for		Divisio	n wise details o	f land
	diversion / Denotification	S.no	Division Name	Protected Area Name	Project Area under Protected Area (in ha)
		1.	Wildlife Division	ESZ of Sukhna Wildlife Sanctuary (Plot Nos. 1 & 2)	6.723
		2.	Wildlife Division	ESZ of Sukhna Wildlife Sanctuary (Plot No. 7)	2.725
` '	Area so far diverted from the protected area(s)	NA			
8	Status of ESZ if any	Final notified on 18 th January, 2017. The extent of Eco-sensitive Zone varies from 2.0 kilometres to 2.75 kilometres			
9	section 29 to the Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972	NA			
10	linear	Linear			
	Whether EC obtained		der proces		
12	Name of the applicant agency	Chan	digarh Hou	ising Board	

13	Date of submission	12/11/2021
14	Total number of tree to be	-
	felled	
15	Maps depicting the	Yes
	Sanctuary and the	
	diversion proposal	
	included or not	

16 Recommendation of State Board for Wild Life

The State Board for Wild Life recommended the proposal in its meeting held on 27th June, 2022 subject to the conditions that no reflecting glasses shall be used in the building front walls and flats constructed by the Chandigarh Housing Board, UT, Chandigarh looking at interest of migratory birds visiting Sukhna Lake and their safety..

17 Brief justification on the proposal as given by the applicant agency

The Chandigarh Administration has developed Rajiv Chandigarh Technology Park (RGCTP) adjoining Manimajra development of IT Industries. Administrator, UT Chandigarh design as a Nodal Agency (as conveyed by Finance Secretary, UT vide Er. 8321 dated 1.12.2005) for development of RGCTP Habitat Project. Administration had made allotment of land measuring approximate! acres which includes land under external roads in the Chi Technology Park in favour of Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh letter No. 25411/M-111 I 7/2006/G-VI dated 24.08.2006 for executing project of development of residential, commercial and other infra facilities in the said park. For development of the project, M/s Developers Ltd.(PDL) was selected as developer of RGCTP Habitat PPP basis and land was also handed over to POL on 06.10.2006. M/S Developers Ltd. entered into arbitration in the year 2009. The Arbitrator had pronounced the award in January 2015 pronouncement of award, CHB had taken over the possession of M/s Parsvnath Developers Ltd.

The Administration decided to re-plan the area, accordingly Chief Architect, UT Chandigarh supplied the revised layout plan for proposal of 110.63 acres excluding external roads, wherein area has been divided into various residential, commercial, institutional plots etc. The Chandigarh Housing Board has planned a General Housing Scheme on Plot No. 7, wherein, the flats of various categories have been planned. Plot no. 1 and 2 will comprise 728 flats (4 BHK, 3 BHK and 2BHk Flats), Community Centre and Convenient Shopping. Plot no. 7 will comprise of 300 flats (4 BHK and EWS Flats), Club Building and convenient Shopping. As per the latest decision, rest of the plots are to be auctioned and the related clearances for the same will be taken by the respective buyers. The said project will fulfill the requirement of Housing need of resident of the Chandigarh and also provide housing to the employees working in the IT Park, Chandigarh. The Plot No. 7 has been planned for Govt. Housing. The houses are proposed to be constructed as Govt. Housing to cater to the demand of Punjab Govt., Haryana Govt. PGI and Chandigarh Administration.

18 Rare and endangered species found in the area

Sukhna Wildlife Sanctuary is home to Leopard, Sambar, Indian Pangolin, Golden Jackal, Grey Langur, Wild Boar, Red Jungle Fowl and Indian Peafowl etc.

19 **Opinion of the Chief Wild Life Warden**

The Chief Wild Life Warden has recommended the proposal with the condition that the project proponent should take adequate steps to preserve maximum number of existing trees and also plant different fruit bearing tree species in the area to attract the birds species. The noise level at the time of construction and thereafter to be kept minimum to avoid any adverse effect on the migratory birds visiting Sukhna lake.

20 Violation, if any

NA

21 Comments of Ministry

The Eco-Sensitive Zone of the Sukhna Wildlife Sanctuary towards Chandigarh side has been notified. Construction activities fall under the regulated category. As per the notification, no new commercial construction of any kind shall be permitted within 0.5 kilometre (Zone-I) from the boundary of protected area or up to the boundary of the Eco-sensitive Zone whichever is nearer: Provided that, local people shall be permitted to undertake construction in their land for their residential use including the activities listed in sub-paragraph (1) of paragraph 3.

- (a) Construction of all types of new buildings and houses up to a distance of 0.5 km i.e. in the zone-I shall be prohibited; from 0.5 kilometre to 1.25 kilometre from the boundary of Sukhna Wildlife Sanctuary, construction of low density (ground coverage less than half of the plot size) and low rise building (height upto 15 feet) can be allowed if permissible under the prescribed land use plan of the area.; any construction will have to adhere to the Development Regulation applicable to the area and shall be regulated as per the Ecosensitive Zone management plan; beyond 1.25 kilometre construction of new buildings and houses shall be regulated as per existing Chandigarh Administration Building Bylaws and Architectural Control/Zoning regulation of Union territory Administration. Construction of basement in Zone-I of Ecosensitive Zone shall not be allowed, however, reconstruction/repair of building in Zone-I shall be allowed subject to the restriction as above i. e. construction of low density (ground coverage less than half of the plot size) and low rise building (height upto 15 feet).
- (b) The construction activity related to small scale industries not causing pollution shall be regulated and kept at the minimum, with the prior permission from the competent authority as per the applicable rules and regulations, if any.
- (c) The further, construction and augmentation of civic amenities shall be regulated as per the Zonal Master Plan.

Further, Hon'ble Supreme Court of India has disposed of Interlocutory Application No 1000 of 2003 in Writ petition (Civil) No 202 of 1995 in Re: T.N Godavarman Thirumulpad Vs Union of India & Ors. vide an order dated 3rd June 2022. In para 44 of the order, the Hon'ble Court has directed amongst other things as follows:

(e) ... No new permanent structure shall be permitted to come up for whatsoever purpose within the ESZ.

The extent of Eco-Sensitive Zone varies from 2.0 kilometres to 2.75 kilometres.

CHHATTISGARH

S. No.	File No	Name of the Proposal
3.	6-177/2022 WL	Proposal for use of 0.828 ha of forest land from Udanti Sitanadi Tiger Reserve for construction of high level bridge and its approach road across Balka river on Ratwa-Birnasilli road near CRPF camp, Village-Birnasilli, District-Dhamtari, Chattisgarh. FP/CG/Others/149523/2021

1	Name of the Proposal	Proposal for use of 0.828 ha of forest land from Udanti Sitanadi Tiger Reserve for construction of high level bridge and approach road across Balka river of Ratwa-Birnasilli road near CRPF cam Village-Birnasilli, District-Dhamta Chattisgarh. FP/CG/Others/149523/2021		eserve for ge and its river on PF camp,		
	Name of the protected area involved	Udan	nti-Sitanadi Ti	ger Rese	rve	
3	File No.	6-177	7/2022 WL			
4	Name of the State	Chat	tisgarh			
	Whether proposal is sub-judice		sub-judice			
	Area of the protected area		.54 square ki	lometers		
7(a)	Area proposed for diversion / Denotification	0.828				
7(b)	Area so far diverted from the protected area(s)	S.n o	Name of project	User Agenc y	Ye ar	Area Diverted / Permitte d for use(ha.)
			132 KV Transmissi on line from Nagri to Indagaon.	C.G. State Power Trans. Co. Ltd.	201 8	15.15
			Optical fibre cable laying from mainpur to Deobhog	Relianc e Teleco m	200 7	1.00
			Optical fibre laying from Mainpur to Amlipadar	Bharat Sancha r Nigam LTD.	9	2.78
			Optical fibre laying from Taurenga barrier to Indagaon barrier.	Relianc e Teleco m	200 7	0.51
			Total			19.44

		T		
8	Status of ESZ if any	Revised proposal is awaited from the State Govt.		
9	Specific comments w.r.t. section	The applicant shall compulsorily follow the		
	29 to the Wild Life (Protection)	provisions under Wildlife (Protection) Act,		
	Act, 1972	1972.		
10	Whether linear / non-linear	Linear		
11	Whether EC obtained	No		
12	Name of the applicant agency	Chief Engineer, PWD Bridge Zone, Raipur		
13	Date of submission	25/02/2022		
14	Total number of tree to be felled	-		
15	Maps depicting the Sanctuary	Yes		
	and the diversion proposal			
	included or not			
16	Recommendation of State Board	for Wild Life		
		mended the proposal in its meeting held on		
	12 th meeting held on 21 st June, 202			
17	Brief justification on the proposal	as given by the applicant agency		
	Construction of bridge is necessar	ry to provide all weather communication,		
	transportation of food supplies and execution of government schemes for the			
	villagers.	9		
18	Rare and endangered species found in the area			
	Udanti-Sitanadi Tiger Reserve is home to Tiger, Panther, Wild Buffalo, Barking			
	Deer and Sloth Bear, etc.			
19	Opinion of the Chief Wild Life Wa	rden		
	The State CWI W has recommended	ed the proposal with the condition that the		
		ould be put in place for protection and		
	conservation of wildlife.	build be put in place for protection and		
20	Violation, if any			
20	violation, if any			
	No violation of WPA, 1972 and FCA	1980		
21	Comments of Ministry	, 1000.		
۱ ک	Comments of willistry			
	National Tiger Conservation Author	rity (NTCA) vide letter no F.No.7-20/2022-		
	National Tiger Conservation Authority (NTCA) vide letter no.F.No.7-20/2022- NTCA dated 23 rd September, 2022 has suggested following mitigation			
	measures:	22 has suggested following initigation		
	incasures.			
	1 The approach road should	be provided with speed breakers/rumble		
	strips at so as to curtail vehic	·		
		no natural drainage gets obstructed by		
	implementation of the project	. Adequate water passageways need to be		

provided wherever applicable.

3. Construction work should be carried out inside the Tiger Reserve during daytime. No labor camp should be constructed within the forest area. The User Agency should ensure that no labor trespasses inside the forest for collection of fuel wood or other forest products.

- 4. Special care should be taken to ensure that the animal movement is not restricted due to the construction work.
- 5. No construction materials (including topsoil) should be procured from forests. Construction debris should be appropriately dumped outside the Tiger Reserve area.

GUJARAT

S. No.	File No	Name of the Proposal
4.	6-213/2022 WL	Diversion of 4.16 ha forest land for development of marine infrastructure for safe keeping of BSF vessels near Koteshwar, Gujarat.
		FP/GJ/DEF/151369/2022.

1	Name of the	Proposal for use of 4.16 ha forest land from	
	Proposal	Narayan Sarovar Sanctuary for development	
		of marine infrastructure for safe keeping of	
		BSF vessels near Koteshwar, Gujarat.	
		FP/GJ/DEF/151369/2022.	
2		Narayan Sarovar Wildlife Sanctuary area	
	Area involved		
3		6-213/2022 WL	
4	Name of the State	Gujarat	
5	Whether proposal is sub-judice	Not sub-judice	
6	Area of the protected area	442.91	
7(a)	Area proposed for	4.16 Ha	
. ,	diversion/Denotification		
7(b)	Area so far diverted	NA	
	from the protected		
	area(s)		
8	•	Final notified on 31 th May 2012. ESZ extends	
	Finally notified, if any	upto 2.5 km from the boundary of the	
_		sanctuary.	
9	Specific comments w.r.t	For national security	
	section 29 to the Wild		
	Life (Protection) Act, 1972		
10	Whether project	Non-linear	
	linear/non-linear		
11	Whether EC obtained	No	
12	Date of submission by	02/09/2022	
	user agency		
13	Name of the applicant agency	EE,BFD-II,CPWD-BHUJ	
14	Total number of tree to be felled	Nil	
15	Maps depicting the	Yes	
	Sanctuary and the		
	diversion proposal		
	included or not		
16	Recommendation of Stat		
	State Board for Wild Life has recommended the proposal in its 20th		
	meeting held on 22.12.202	1.	

17 Brief justification on the proposal as given by the applicant agency

The Water Wing of the Border Security Force (BSF) has established its base at Koteshwar in Kutch district to patrol and exercise surveillance of the India –Pakistan border area through marine vessels.

Most of the patrol area is a complex network of channels and intertidal mud flats, many of which have mangroves, and is only accessible by boats. These remote areas are completely uninhabited and the closest locations connected by road with human inhabitation are eastern shore of the Keri creek.

At present, BSF does not have any permanent marine facilities for parking; bunkering or repair of their fleet in this remote area the lack of a sheltered harbor also compromises the efficiency of rapid response vessel deployment during the monsoons, as much of the fleet moves to the neighbouring Jakhau area for shelter.

To address this shortcoming, BSF proposes to establish a marine facility for safekeeping of their vessel and base in the vicinity of Koteshwar from which border patrol operations can be undertaken effectively and efficiently. So We have developed facilities discussed above in Village Guhar nani, Tar Lakhapat, Dist Kutch. We have selected our Project site as per the strategic and intelligently importance with as possible as to avoid forest land but very small portion of our project site in placed in forest area of village Guhar nani. We are demanded forest area 4.16 Ha(out of this 0.6618 Ha area is used for the build infrastructure and 3.4982 Ha area will be used for dredging to pass vessels). To the forest department so we can develop the above discussed facility for our Force in interest of Nation. And this demanded forest area is barest minimum. For our Project we want area which filled with water sheltered by natural barriers like land and rocks or artificial barriers like break waters sometimes surrounded by telrapods that can provide a ship with safe anchorage.

Natural harbours are usually surrounded by land and this creates a protective bay making it a good anchorage point for ships. And as discussed about this the project of defence we have to also sure about the secrecy.

Our selected land is this area can only full fill the all the fundamental aspects for the Our main ain. So we have selected this land for out project and there is no any alternate land that can full fill the all the fundamental aspects. And our selected land contain very small patch of forest land which can' be avoidable so please grant this land for our project.

18 Rare and endangered species found in the area

Narayan Sarovar Wildlife Sanctuary is home to Chinkara, caracal, wolf, leopard, Spiny-tailed Lizard, Desert Cat etc.

19 Opinion of the Chief Wild Life Warden

The State Chief Wild Life Warden has recommended the proposal with the following conditions:

- 1. User agency shall not violate any regulatory provisions under Section-9,17A,27,29,30,31 & 32 of wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.
- 2. The User agency small not harm or destroy wildlife habitat including fauna and flora of the Sanctuary.
- 3. The User Agency shall not use the area for any other work other than the work permitted.
- 4. The User agency shall not establish any temporary or permanent labour camp in the Sanctuary.
- 5. The User Agency or his contractor shall not create any fire places inside the Sanctuary.
- 6. All the material required for the work shall be prepared outside the sanctuary.
- 7. The work in the Sanctuary will be allowed only in the day time for 8 AM to 6 PM.
- 8. Approval under Forest (Conversation) Act, 1980, if required, shall be obtained separately for use of forest land.
- 9. The user Agency shall deposit NPV for the use of land of Protected Area as per the existing rates before initiating any work on the allotted land.
- 10. The User Agency shall restore the land in its original form after completion of the work.

20 Violations if any,

The User Agency has not violated any provisions of the Wild Life (Protection) Act,1972 and no work has been started without sanction.

21 Comments of Ministry

JHARKHAND

S. No.	File No	Name of the Proposal
5.	6-211/2022 WL	Proposal use of 1.558 ha forest land to the construction of 4-laning of road from Ranchi-Jamshedpur-Mahulia from Km 217.300 to Km 233.350 in the state of Jharkhand under NHDP Phase-III on EPC (Item Rate) mode (Length 16.050 Km.) under Package-III. FP/JH/ROAD/138926/2021

1	Name of the Proposal	Proposal use of 0.574 ha forest land from Dalma Sanctuary for improvement of road design for 4-laning of road from Ranchi-Jamshedpur-Mahulia from Km 217.300 to Km 233.350 in the state of Jharkhand under NHDP Phase-III on EPC (Item Rate) mode (Length 16.050 Km.) under Package-III. FP/JH/ROAD/138926/2021				
2	Name of the protected Area involved	Dalma (Sanctuary			
3	File No	6-211/2	022 WL			
4	State	Jharkha	and			
5	Whether proposal is sub- judice	Not sub				
6	Area of the protected area	193.507	77 sq.km			
7(a)	Area proposed for diversion/ De-notification	0.574 h	na			
7(b)	Area so far diverted from the protected area(s)	S.n o	Name of project	User Agency	Year	Area Diverted/ Permitte d for use(ha.)
		1.	4/6 lanning of NH-33	National Highway Authority of India	201 3	2.64
		2.	4/6 lanning of Ratgaon Mahulia Section of NH-33	National Highway Authority of India	201 9	4.117
		3.	Swarnarekh a Multipurpose Project	Departmen t of Water Resources, Jharkhand	201 1	145.26
	Status of ESZ, if any	from the	ESZ finally notified on 29.03.2012. It extends upto 5 km from the boundary of the Dalma Sanctuary with an extent of 522.98 sq. km.			

9.	Specific	No data
	comments w.r.t	
	section 29 to the	
	Wild Life	
	(Protection) Act,	
	1972	
10	Whether project	Road
	linear/non-linear	
11	Whether EC	No
	obtained	
12	Date of	26/03/2019
	submission by	
	user agency	
13	Name of the	PIU, National Highways Authority of India, Jamshedpur
	applicant agency	
14	Total number of	4
	tree to be felled	
15	Maps depicting	Yes
	the diversio	
	n proposal	
	included or not	
	I	4.6

16 Recommendation of State Board for Wild Life

The proposal was recommended by State Board for Wild Life in its 14th meeting held on 3rd February, 2022.

17 Brief justification on the proposal as given by the applicant agency

Four Laning of the NH-33 (Rargaon - Jamshedpur Section from km 217.300 to km 233.350) in the state of Jharkhand under NHDP Phase -III is an important Project under construction as package-III as part of the Four packages for the entire length of NH-33. Due to its high volume of traffic and to enforce safety features in the project as per recommendation of Safety Consultant of NHAI, it is important that the new road is provided with the desired road geometrics.

The Project Highway has 16.05 km length four lane flexible pavement with 1 flyover, 1 ROB, 1 Major Bridge, 05 Minor Bridges and 39 Culverts so as to cater to high volume of traffic.

The Project Highway particularly passing through Hill Section in Chandil from Km 223+700 to Km 225+400 in which Re-alignment from Km 224+400 to Km 225+400 is proposed for new Railway Over Bridge (ROB) at Km 225+115. The approved General Arrangement Drawing (GAD) of ROB as approved by Railways caters to the technical requirements considered for high speed freight corridor. The ROB is being constructed in conjunction with a Vehicle Underpass (VUP) which will act as a major junction of NH-32 and SH-5.

Thus, for a semi access controlled 4 lane highway with high speed of operations, inadequacies in road curves can cause serious hazards to traffic operation. Thus, as recommended by Safety Experts, the design of road curves

to cater for design speed was prepared which involves passing of the alignment through Forest land. Survey was carried out and reviewed again to ensure minimum forest land gets involved while ensuring compliance of the mandatory road geometrics/ curves.

Accordingly, to improve the curves and manage smooth merging of traffic of NH 32 and SH5, forest land is required to be made available to implement the alignment and providing the desired space for safe movement of Traffic.

Hence the forest land to be made available to improve the safety of traffic operation along the project highway.

18 Rare and endangered species found in the area

.The Dalma Sanctuary is home to Asian elephant, Ratel, Wild Dog, Mouse Deer, Indian Giant Squirrel, Python, Pangolin, Serpent Eagle etc.

19 Opinion of the Chief Wild Life Warden

The Chief Wild Life Warden has recommended the proposal with the following conditions:

- 1. That a comprehensive Site-Specific Wildlife Conservation Plan shall be drawn by the User Agency in consultation with the PA officials and the cost of implementation of this plan shall be borne by the User Agency at project cost. The said plan must be formulated in accordance with the guidelines laid down by the Wildlife Institute of India, Dehradun as also by the Central Government/Government of Jharkhand from time to time.
- 2. That the User Agency shall submit an Undertaking to the effect that during as well as after the implementation of the project they will abide by all instructions issued by the PA officials, aiming towards conservation and protection of wildlife in the area, in letter and spirit.
- 3. That the User Agency shall assist the State Forest Department towards conservation, protection and development of natural resources as and when required and in the manner as desired by the PA administration.
- 4. That no labour camp shall be established within the PA.

20 Violation, if any

Project authority has never violated any provision of Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972(as amended) as well as Forest Conservation Act, 1980.

21 Comments of the Ministry

KARNATAKA

S. No.	File No	Name of the Proposal
6.	6-206/2022 WL	Proposal for use of 0.05404 ha of forest land from Kudremukh National Park for drinking water supply pipeline to Kitlemane Kodige, Hulugarubailu to Kigga in Shringeri Tq. Chikkamagaluru district, Karnataka.
		FP/KA/WATER/35135/2018

1	Name of the Proposal	Proposal for use of 0.05404 ha of	
		forest land from Kudremukh National	
		Park for drinking water supply	
		pipeline to Kitlemane Kodige,	
		Hulugarubailu to Kigga in Shringeri	
		Tq. Chikkamagaluru district,	
		Karnataka.	
		FP/KA/WATER/35135/2018	
	Name of the protected area involved	Kudremukh National Park	
	File No.	6-206/2022 WL	
	Name of the State	Karnataka	
	Whether proposal is sub-judice	Not sub-judice	
6	Area of the protected area	600.57 square kilometers	
` '	Denotification	0.05404 ha	
7(b)	Area so far diverted from the	NA	
	protected area(s)		
8	Status of ESZ if any	Final notified on 2 nd July, 2020. The	
		Eco-sensitive Zone shall be to an	
		extent of 0 (zero) to 9.60 kilometres.	
9		The work shall be taken up only	
	the Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972	under the close supervision of the	
		jurisdictional forest officers & staff, to	
		avoid any damage to the flora &	
		fauna and the User Agency should	
		not violate Sec.29/36(6) of Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.	
10	Whether linear / non-linear	Linear	
		No	
12	Name of the applicant agency	Karnataka Rural Infrastructure	
		Development Limited,	
		Chikkamagaluru	
	Date of submission	17/02/2020	
	Total number of tree to be felled	Nil	
15	Maps depicting the Sanctuary and the diversion proposal included or not	Yes	
16	Recommendation of State Board for W	lild Life	
10		illa Lile	
	The State Board for Wild Life recommer	nded the proposal in its 15 th meeting	
	held on 19 th January, 2021.	idea the proposal in its to theeting	
17	Brief justification on the proposal as g	iven by the applicant agency	
	,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	The said location has been selected duly considering the site specificity. The		
	project is sanctioned by Zilla Pancha	, , , ,	

benefits the BPL houses of tribes situated in Kigga. Hulgarbailu, Ammur Shanthapura hamlets of Markal Village in Sringeri Taluk Chikkamagaluru District. It is to submit that, 38 houses are situated with 143 population and one of their basic requirements is drinking water supply. This proposal considered is for tribal areas with a purpose to bring the people to main stream of the society. The Karnataka Rural Infrastructure Development Limited (KRIDL) Chikkamagaluru has taken up the project at the instance Zilla Panchayath Chikkamagaluru under drinking water supply work. The total length of pipe line is 3367.00 m, in which 315.00 m, pipe line in passing through Sy no 33,40 of Hulgar bailu Patta land. 3052.00 m pipe line is passing through Kigga RF & Narasimha parvath RF (Kudremukh National Park). The movement of men and material will be along with existing road to widening location.

18 Rare and endangered species found in the area

Kudremukh National Park is home to common leopard, rusty spotted cat, Malabar civet, sloth bear, Asian elephant, gaur, sambar, Indian giant squirrel and Indian pangolin etc.

19 **Opinion of the Chief Wild Life Warden**

The State CWLW has recommended the proposal with the following conditions:

- 1. During the summer period the sufficient level of water should maintained in stream that so as not to affect the aquatic animals & the water should not be polluted due to project activities.
- 2. All the staff and workers involved in the project implementation should be informed, created awareness about wildlife, so that they would not harm/ kill/ hunt / poach or abet in any such crimes in any way, failing which, legal course of action under the provisions of Wildlife (Protection) Act. 1972. will be taken.
- 3. Care should be taken not to disturb the wildlife species and their habitat during construction activities.
- 4. No work shall be done before sunrise and after sunset in the project area.
- 5. The user agency and other project personnel will comply with the provisions of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972, Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and Environmental (Protection) Act, 1986.
- 6. The muck generated during the project implementation shall be taken out of the protected area without endangered the flora and fauna.
- 7. The work shall be taken up only under the close supervision of the jurisdictional officers & staff, to avoid any damage to the flora & fauna.
- 8. No tents or any other stay arrangement shall be permitted inside the protected area.

20 Violation, if any

No violation has been reported.

21 Comments of Ministry

MAHARASHTRA

S. No.	File No	Name of the Proposal
1.	6-212/2022 WL	Diversion of 0.2787 ha Protected Area for laying gas pipeline by Bharat Gas Resources Ltd. on Limpangaon-Nagar Road passing through Great Indian Bustard Wildlife Sanctuary in Shrigonda Ta. of Ahmednagar District.
		FP/MH/Pipeline/5557/2020

1	Name of the Proposal	Proposal for use of 0.2787 ha from Great Indian Bustard Sanctuary for laying gas pipeline by Bharat Gas Resources Ltd. on Limpangaon-Nagar Road in Shrigonda Ta. of Ahmednagar District, Maharashtra
		FP/MH/Pipeline/5557/2020
2	Name of the protected area involved	Great Indian Bustard Bird Sanctuary
3	File No	6-212/2022 WL
		Maharashtra
	Whether proposal is	
	sub-judice .	·
	Area of the protected area	366.73 sq. km
7(a)		0.2787 ha
	diversion / De- notification	
7/1 \	Anna an Can Parata I	N. A.
. ,	Area so far diverted	NA
	from the protected	
	area(s)	
8	Status of ESZ if any	Final notified on 11 th February, 2020. The ESZ extends from zero to 400m from the boundary of the Sanctuary.
9	Specific comments	The proposed pipeline is sub-terrain. The alignment
		is not blocking any water course and no tree felling is
	Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972	required.
10	Whether linear / non-	Linear
	linear	
11	Whether EC obtained	No
	Name of the applicant agency	Bharat Gas Resources Limited
	Date of submission	24/12/2020
	Total number of tree to	
	be felled	
15	Maps depicting the Sanctuary and the diversion proposal included or not	Yes
16	Recommendation of Sta	te Board for Wild Life
	State Board for Wild Life r 6 th June, 2022.	ecommended the proposal in its 18 th meeting held on

17 Brief justification on the proposal as given by the applicant agency

Bharat Gas Resources Limited, Ahmednagar & Aurangabad GA. Laying of 24" diameter Steel Gas pipeline, within the RoW of roads MDR-63, NH222/SH-10 and from protected area of Great Indian Bastard Wildlife Sanctuary in Shrigonda Taluka along the proposed route of Limpangoan to Nagar of Ahmednagar District of Ahmednagar And Aurangabad GA.

(Pipeline Passing through Protected Area for 0.2787 Ha)

In consideration of the requirements of Environmental parameters, construction methodologies to be adopted for different terrain encountered en-route, design and engineering factors, availability of logistic support during construction, operation and maintenance of pipeline, various feasible routes were identified by the Consultant M/s.Deshpande Patil Consultants based on desk study of relevant topographic maps of Survey of India.

The study was subsequently supplemented with field reconnaissance and data collection along the feasible routes. Finally, the optimum route was arrived at after analyzing the various data and conducting in depth study of topographic maps based on field data.

Methodology of selection of pipeline route

Relevant topographic maps along the various alternative pipeline route (s) were identified. After conducting a detailed desktop study of the alternative routes on the topographic sheet the feasible routes were identified. This was subsequently supplemented with route reconnaissance data collection along the feasible routes for arriving at the optimum route.

The pipeline will be laid at minimum 1.2 m below ground level and as per the requirement of authority.

The pipeline will be passing through protected forest land for about 2786.9 Sq.m i.e.0.2787 Hectares of Great Indian Bastard Wildlife Sanctuary. The pipeline will be laid within the RoW of MDR-63, NH-222/SH-10 road of route Limpangoan to Nagar. While identifying the various alternatives for selection of the optimum route following factors were considered.

Since the gas pipeline is underground there will be no disturbance to wildlife movement overland.

Pipeline route has been finalized in such a way that minimum forest land will be utilized as well as tree cutting will be avoided.

- -Demand and supply center
- -Compliance with environmental regulations
- -Safety of people and property
- -Shortest possible pipeline length
- -Minimum number of bends
- -Favorable ground profile for pipeline hydraulics

- -Accessibility to pipeline route during construction, maintenance and operation
- -Location of pipeline facilities and access there to.
- -Avoidance of mining protected and reserved forest archaeological and other sensitive areas.
- -Avoidance of unstable ground features
- -Minimizing road, rail, rivers, and flood prone areas
- -Avoidance of rocky stretches
- -Avoidance of areas reserved for planned future development
- -Flexibility for future expansion

Based on the above detailed route surveys have been carried out to finalize the exact corridor for laying the pipeline.

18 Rare and endangered species found in the area

Great Indian Bustard Bird Sanctuary bill Stork, Grey Heron, Indian Pond Heron, Night Heron, Coot, Little Cormorant, Curlew, Avocet, Godwit, Gulls, Greb, Brahminy Duck, Tufted Pochard, Spot Bill Duck, Common Pochard, Gadwall, Spoonbill, Red Headed Falcon, Waterhen and Black Kite etc.

19 Opinion of the Chief Wild Life Warden

The State Chief Wild Life Warden has recommended the proposal with the following conditions:

- 1. In sanctuary area, the gas pipeline laying work will be carried out within RoW, during day time only.
- 2. Care will be taken that no tree is felled.
- 3. The user agency should restore the land after use/maintenance.
- 4. The Project Proponent should restore any loss to forest/environment.
- 5. The Project Proponent will seek permission from State Forest Department for carrying out any maintenance.
- 6. The Project Proponent will have to submit NOC from the agency which holds the right to be the right of way.
- 7. Horizontal drilling machine to be used for pipeline laying work inside sanctuary area.
- 8. The length of trench dug at a time should not exceed 500 m. which shall be filled up & compacted before digging next stretch of 500 m. to avoid accidental damage to wildlife inside the sanctuary.
- 9. While executing the work and thereafter also there should be no violation of provisions Wildlife (Protection), Act 1972, by Project Proponent or their contractors.
- 10.A contingency plan shall be prepared by the Project Proponent to manage leakage / any accident which may affect the aquatic birds and ecosystem. The contingency plan shall include.
 - a. Leak detection system to immediately identify the leakages, if any.
 - b. Remote operated valves (ROV) for terminating the pipeline operation and isolation.
 - c. Stopping of pipeline operation and isolation of leaky segment by closing of block valves at Specialising valve (SV) stations.

- Intelligent pigging for monitoring of pipeline defects and maintaining pipeline integrity.
- e. Impressed Current Cathodic protection to prevent corrosion
- f. Three layered PE coating on outer surface of the pipeline to prevent external corrosion
- g. Regular patrolling of the pipeline by Line walkers to prevent any third party incidents.
- h. In order to have effective control on the pipeline and for its optimum utilization and safety, an appropriate system such as Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) and Applications Software (APPS) package shall be implemented.
- i. Dedicated Pipeline integrity & Maintenance team for monitoring, maintenance and repairs of pipeline along with leak arresting equipment's and machines.
- 11. Project proponent shall deposit 2% of project cost of areas falling in Great Indian Bustard sanctuary and Eco-Sensitive Zone of in Great Indian Bustard sanctuary for wildlife conservation and management activities of the State with Deputy Conservator of Forests (Wildlife), Pune.

20 Violation if any

As per the proposal, the User Agency has not violated Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and no work related to the proposed project has commenced at the proposed location.

21 Comments of Ministry

RAJASTHAN

A. Proposal falling inside the protected area

S. No.	F. No.	Name of the Proposal
1.	6-179/2022 WL	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for electricity connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer district, Rajasthan.
		FP/RJ/IRRIG/3955/2019
2.	6-182/2022 WL	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for power connection to own Khatedari land, Rajasthan by Shri Sagata Ram.
		FP/RJ/Others/5673/2021
3.	6-183/2022 WL	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for Power connection to own Khatedari land in Barner district, Rajasthan by Shri Prem Singh.
		FP/RJ/Others/5672/2021
4.	6-184/2022 WL	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for Power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer district, Rajasthan by Babu Lal Paharu Ram.
		FP/RJ/Others/5663/2021
5.	6-185/2022 WL	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer district, Rajasthan by Shri Sagata Ram.
		FP/RJ/Others/5647/2021
6.	6-186/2022 WL	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer district, Rajasthan by Ms. Ugam Kanwar. FP/RJ/Others/5645/2021
7.	6-187/2022 WL	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha of forest land from Desert
	0 101/2022 112	National Park for power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer district, Rajasthan by Ms. Har Kanwar.
		FP/RJ/Others/5622/2021
8.	6-188/2022 WL	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer district, Rajasthan by Ms. Ger Kanvar.
		FP/RJ/Others/5621/2021
9.	6-189/2022 WL	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer district, Rajasthan by Shri Bija Ram.
L	1	1

		FP/RJ/Others/5604/2021
10.	6-190/2022 WL	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer district, Rajasthan.
		FP/RJ/Others/5588/2021
11.	6-191/2022 WL	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha land from Desert National Park for power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer district, Rajasthan by Shri Anavar.
		FP/RJ/Others/5577/2020
12.	6-192/2022 WL	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer district, Rajasthan by Shri Ran Singh.
		FP/RJ/Others/5572/2020
13.	6-193/2022 WL	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer district, Rajasthan by Shri Sajan Singh. FP/RJ/Others/5564/2020
14.	6-194/2022 WL	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for
14.	0 134/2022 WE	power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer district, Rajasthan by Shri Padam.
		FP/RJ/Others/5555/2020
15.	6-195/2022 WL	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer district, Rajasthan by Shri Rawata Ram Suthar.
		FP/RJ/Others/5554/2020
16.	6-196/2022 WL	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer district, Rajasthan by Shri Biharilal Maheshwari.
		FP/RJ/Others/5550/2020
17.	6-197/2022 WL	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer district, Rajasthan by Shri Chanan Ram.
		FP/RJ/Others/5549/2020
18.	6-198/2022 WL	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer district, Rajasthan by Shri Arjun Ram.
		FP/RJ/Others/5548/2020
19.	6-199/2022 WL	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer district, Rajasthan by Mr.Vinod Kumar.

		FP/RJ/Others/5546/2020
20.	6-200/2022 WL	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for
		power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer district,
		Rajasthan by Shri Sataram.
		FP/RJ/Others/5523/2020
21.	6-201/2022 WL	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for
		power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer district, Rajasthan by Shri Reshma Ram.
		FP/RJ/Others/5522/2020
22.	6-202/2022 WL	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for
		power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer district, Rajasthan by Shri Aatam Ram.
		FP/RJ/Others/5521/2020
23.	6-203/2022 WL	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for
		power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer district,
		Rajasthan by Shri Uka Ram.
		FP/RJ/Others/5482/2020
24.	6-204/2022 WL	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for
		power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer district,
		Rajasthan by Shri Surta Ram.
		FP/RJ/Others/5472/2020
25.	6-205/2022 WL	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for
		power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer district,
		Rajasthan by Shri Bhagwana Ram.
		FP/RJ/Others/5471/2020
26.	6-209/2022 WL	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for
		power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer district,
		Rajasthan by Shri Arjun Ram Anda Ram.
		FP/RJ/Others/5662/2021
27.	6-210/2022 WL	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for
		power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer district,
		Rajasthan by Shri Jogendra Singh.
		FP/RJ/Others/5590/2021
28.	6-31/2022 WL	Proposal for use of 13.725 ha of forest land from Ramgarh
		Vishdhari Tiger Reserve for Strengthening & Widening of
		Tonk Nagar Nainwa Khatkar K. Patan road SH-34 KM34/0
		to 86/300 under SRF Scheme, Rajasthan.
		FP/RJ/ROAD/4004/2019.
29.	6-180/2022 WL	Proposal for use of 22.5 ha of forest land from Nahargarh
		Wildlife Sanctuary for construction of tourist facilities inside

		Amer Fort Premises, Department of Archaeology and Museums, Rajasthan.
		FP/RJ/Others/6306/2022
30.	6-181/2022 WL	Proposal for use of 21.255 ha of forest land from Nahargarh Wildlife Sanctuary for construction of tourist facilities inside Nahargarh Fort, Department of Archaeology and Museums, Rajasthan.
		FP/RJ/Others/6305/2022

	Name of the Proposal	eled dist	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for electricity connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer district, Rajasthan.			
	Name of the	_				4
2	Name of the	Des	ert National P	ark vviidiite	e Sanc	tuary
	protected Area involved					
	File No	6-1	79/2022 WL			
	Name of the State	_	asthan			
	Whether proposal is					
	sub-judice	101	oub judice			
6	Area of the protected	316	2 Sa Km			
	area		'			
7(a)	Area proposed for	0.1	ha			
	diversion/					
	Denotification	<u> </u>				
` '	Area so far diverted	S.	Name of	User	Year	Area
		No	project	Agency		diverted/permitted
	area(s)		D !!	D !!	0004	for use (ha).
		1.	Reliance	Reliance	2021	0.9
			Jio 4G Project	Jio Infocomm		
			Fioject	Limited		
		2.	Resurfacing	Ninety	2021	35.502
		<u> </u>	Jaisalmer	Six		00.002
			Khuri	Border		
			Miajlar	Roads		
				RCC		
				GREF		
		3.	Resurfacing	Ninety	2021	26.718
			of Harsani	Six		
			Miajlar Road	Border Roads		
			Noau	RCC		
				GREF		
8	Status of ESZ, if any	Info	rmation is awa		he Sta	ate Government
9.		_				nission for laying of
-	w.r.t section 29 to					
						not likely to have any
	,		•	on wildlife	or it	s habitat in Desert
	1972		ional Park.			
10	Whether project	Line	ear			
1.4	linear/non-linear	NI-				
11	Whether EC obtained	INO				
	UDIAITIEU					

12	Date of submission	05/03/2020			
	by user agency				
13	Name of the	Nura W/O Ahmad Khan			
	applicant agency				
14	Total number of	Nil			
	tree to be felled				
15	Maps depicting the	Yes			
	Sanctuary and the				
	diversion proposal				
	included or not				
16	Recommendation of S	State Board for Wild Life			
	Proposal was recomme	ended by State Board for Wild Life through circulation.			
17	Brief justification on	the proposal as given by the applicant agency			
	Project is providing ele	ectricity connection up to the tube well in an individual's			
	farmland, which is basic amenity.				
18	Rare and endangered species found in the area				
		-			
	Desert National Park is home to Chinkara, Antelope, Blackbucks, jackals and				

19 Opinion of the Chief Wild Life Warden

different species of foxes etc.

The Chief Wild Life Warden has recommended the proposal with the following conditions:

General Conditions:

- GC 2: In compliance of decision taking in the meeting of Standing Committee of NBWL dated 07.08.2021, 2% of the proportional project cost of the project falling within the ESZ and Protected Area should be deposited in RPACS by the User Agency for management and protection of wildlife in the Protected Area.
- 2. **GC 3:** No work shall be done before sunrise and after sunset in the project area.
- 3. **GC 4**: No material of any kind should be extracted from the Protected Area
- 4. **GC 5:** There will be no felling of trees and burning of fuel wood inside the Protected Area and.
- 5. **GC 6:** The waste material generated should be disposed outside the Protected Area.
- 6. **GC 7:** There will be no labour camp within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area.
- 7. **GC 9:** No blasting will be carried out within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area during the work.
- 8. **GC 13:** There shall be no high mast/beam/search Lights & high sounds within 1 km from the Protected Area boundary.
- 9. **GC 15:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.

- 10.**GC 16:** Maintenance activity of any nature should be carried out only after seeking formal approval from competent authority of Tiger Reserve/PA.
- 11.**GC 18:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of Standard SOP/Guidelines issued by WII, Dehradun for linear projects.
- 12.**GC 19:** Any permission/clearance required under FCA-1980 or other acts may be taken as per rules.

Site Specific Conditions:

1. **SSC 1**: Power transmission line shall be laid underground in view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 19.04.2021 in Case No.838/2019.

20 | Violation, if any

The Use Agency has not violated the provisions of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and no work has been started without proper sanction.

21 Comments of Ministry

1	Name of the Proposal	pow	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for power connection to own Khatedari land, Rajasthan by			
		Shri	Sagata Ram			
		FP/I	RJ/Others/56	73/2021		
2		Des	ert National P	ark		
	protected Area involved					
3	File No	6-18	6-182/2022 WL			
4	Name of the State	Raja	asthan			
5	Whether proposal is sub-judice					
6	Area of the protected area	316	2 Sq Km			
7(a)	Area proposed for diversion/ Denotification	0.1	ha			
7(b)		_		User	Year	Area
	from the protected	No	project	Agency		diverted/permitted
	area(s)					for use (ha).
		1.	Reliance Jio 4G	Reliance Jio	2021	0.9
			Project	Infocomm		
				Limited		
		2.	Resurfacing	Ninety	2021	35.502
			Jaisalmer Khuri	Six Border		
			Miajlar	Roads		
			iviiajiai	RCC		
				GREF		
		3.	Resurfacing	Ninety	2021	26.718
			of Harsani Miajlar	Six Border		
			Road	Roads		
				RCC		
	2		<u> </u>	GREF		
8	Status of ESZ, if any					
9.			The proposal seeks working permission for laying of			
		overhead power line connection up to the tube well in				
		an individual's farm land, which is not likely to have any adverse impact on wildlife or its habitat in Desert				
	1972		onal Park.			
10	Whether project	Line	ar			
	linear/non-linear					

	No			
Date of submission	21/01/2021			
Name of the	Sagata Ram			
	Nil			
Maps depicting the Sanctuary and the diversion proposal included or not	Yes			
Recommendation of	State Board for Wild Life			
	ended by State Board for Wild Life through circulation.			
Brief justification on	the proposal as given by the applicant agency			
NA				
Rare and endangered species found in the area				
Desert National Park is home to Chinkara, Antelope, Blackbucks, jackals and different species of foxes etc.				
Opinion of the Chief Wild Life Warden				
The Chief Wild Life Wa	arden has recommended the proposal with the following			
Committee of Nicost of the project deposited in RPA of wildlife in the Incommendate of	liance of decision taking in the meeting of Standing BWL dated 07.08.2021, 2% of the proportional project ct falling within the ESZ and Protected Area should be ACS by the User Agency for management and protection Protected Area. shall be done before sunrise and after sunset in the ial of any kind should be extracted from the Protected I be no felling of trees and burning of fuel wood inside			
	Date of submission by user agency Name of the applicant agency Total number of tree to be felled Maps depicting the Sanctuary and the diversion proposal included or not Recommendation of Proposal was recomm Brief justification on NA Rare and endangered Desert National Park is different species of for Opinion of the Chief The Chief Wild Life Was conditions: 1. GC 2: In composal committee of Nicost of the project deposited in RPA of wildlife in the Island Conditions: 2. GC 3: No work project area. 3. GC 4: No mater Area.			

5. **GC 6:** The waste material generated should be disposed outside the Protected Area.

the Protected Area and.

- 6. **GC 7:** There will be no labour camp within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area.
- 7. **GC 9:** No blasting will be carried out within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area during the work.
- 8. **GC 13:** There shall be no high mast/ beam/search Lights & high sounds within 1 km from the Protected Area boundary.
- 9. **GC 15:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.

- 10.**GC 16:** Maintenance activity of any nature should be carried out only after seeking formal approval from competent authority of Tiger Reserve/PA.
- 11.**GC 18:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of Standard SOP/Guidelines issued by WII, Dehradun for linear projects.
- 12.**GC 19:** Any permission/clearance required under FCA-1980 or other acts may be taken as per rules.

Site Specific Conditions:

1. **SSC 1**: Power transmission line shall be laid underground in view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 19.04.2021 in Case No.838/2019.

20 Violation, if any

The user agency has not violated the provisions of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and no work has been started without proper sanction.

21 Comments of Ministry

1	Name of the Proposal	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for Power connection to own Khatedari land in Barner district, Rajasthan by Shri Prem Singh. FP/RJ/Others/5672/2021					
2	Name of the protected Area involved	Des	Desert National Park				
3	File No	6-18	33/2022 WL				
4	Name of the State	Raja	asthan				
5	Whether proposal is subjudice		sub-judice				
6	protected area		2 Sq Km				
7(a)	Area proposed for diversion/ Denotification	0.1	0.1 ha				
7(b)		_	Name of project	User Agency	Year	Area diverted/permitted for use (ha).	
		1.	Reliance Jio 4G Project	Reliance Jio Infocomm Limited	2021	0.9	
		2.	Resurfacing Jaisalmer Khuri Miajlar	Ninety Six Border Roads RCC GREF	2021	35.502	
		3.	Resurfacing of Harsani Miajlar Road	Ninety Six Border Roads RCC GREF	2021	26.718	
8	Status of ESZ, if any	Infor	mation is await	ed from the	State	Government	
9.	_		•		•	ission for laying of	
						o the tube well in an	
						likely to have any	
	Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972		•	wildlite or its	nabit	at in Desert National	

10	linear/non-linear	Linear						
11	Whether EC	No						
	obtained							
12	Date of	21/01/2021						
	submission by							
	user agency							
13		Prem Singh						
	applicant agency							
14	Total number of	Nil						
	tree to be felled							
15	Maps depicting	Yes						
	the Sanctuary							
	and the diversion							
	proposal included or not							
16		of State Board for Wild Life						
16	Recommendation	of State Board for Wild Life						
	Proposal was recom	nmended by State Board for Wild Life through circulation.						
17		on the proposal as given by the applicant agency						
		mano proposan ao given ay ano appinoante agency						
	Project is providing	electricity connection up to the tube well in an individual's						
	farmland, which is b	· '						
18	Rare and endange	red species found in the area						
		k is home to Chinkara, Antelope, Blackbucks, jackals and						
	different species of foxes etc.							
19	Opinion of the Chi	ef Wild Life Warden						
	TI OI: ()A('III.'(
		Warden has recommended the proposal with the following						
	conditions:							
	General Condition	ne:						
		n compliance of decision taking in the meeting of Standing						

10 Whether project Linear

- GC 2: In compliance of decision taking in the meeting of Standing Committee of NBWL dated 07.08.2021, 2% of the proportional project cost of the project falling within the ESZ and Protected Area should be deposited in RPACS by the User Agency for management and protection of wildlife in the Protected Area.
- 2. **GC 3:** No work shall be done before sunrise and after sunset in the project area.
- 3. **GC 4**: No material of any kind should be extracted from the Protected Area.
- 4. **GC 5:** There will be no felling of trees and burning of fuel wood inside the Protected Area and.
- 5. **GC 6:** The waste material generated should be disposed outside the Protected Area.
- 6. **GC 7:** There will be no labour camp within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area.

- 7. **GC 9:** No blasting will be carried out within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area during the work.
- 8. **GC 13:** There shall be no high mast/ beam/search Lights & high sounds within 1 km from the Protected Area boundary.
- 9. **GC 15:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.
- 10.**GC 16:** Maintenance activity of any nature should be carried out only after seeking formal approval from competent authority of Tiger Reserve/PA.
- 11.**GC 18:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of Standard SOP/Guidelines issued by WII, Dehradun for linear projects.
- 12.**GC 19:** Any permission/clearance required under FCA-1980 or other acts may be taken as per rules.

1. **SSC 1**: Power transmission line shall be laid underground in view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 19.04.2021 in Case No.838/2019.

20 Violation, if any

The Use Agency has not violated the provisions of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and no work has been started without proper sanction.

21 Comments of Ministry

1	Name of the Proposal	Pow	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for Power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer district, Rajasthan by Babu Lal Paharu Ram.			
		FP/I	RJ/Others/56	63/2021		
2		Des	ert National F	ark		
	protected Area involved					
	File No	6-184/2022 WL				
4	Name of the State	Raja	asthan			
5	Whether proposal is sub-judice	Not	Not sub-judice			
6	Area of the protected area	316	2 Sq Km			
7(a)	Area proposed for diversion/ Denotification	0.1 ha				
	Area so far diverted from the protected area(s)		Name of project	User Agency	Year	Area diverted/permitted for use (ha).
		1.	Reliance Jio 4G Project	Reliance Jio Infocomm Limited	2021	0.9
		2.	Resurfacing Jaisalmer Khuri Miajlar	Ninety Six Border Roads RCC GREF	2021	35.502
		3.	Resurfacing of Harsani Miajlar Road	Ninety Six Border Roads RCC GREF	2021	26.718
8	Status of ESZ, if any					
9.			•		- .	nission for laying of
	w.r.t section 29 to the Wild Life					p to the tube well in a not likely to have any
						s habitat in Desert
	1972		onal Park.			

	linear/non-linear						
11	Whether EC No						
	obtained						
12	Date of submission 20/01/2021						
	by user agency						
13	Name of the Babu Lal Paharu Ram						
	applicant agency						
14	Total number of tree Nil						
	to be felled						
15	Maps depicting the Yes						
	Sanctuary and the						
	diversion proposal						
	included or not						
16	Recommendation of State Board for Wild Life						
	Proposal was recommended by State Board for Wild Life through circulation.						
17	Brief justification on the proposal as given by the applicant agency						
	Project is providing electricity connection up to the tube well in an individual's						
	farmland, which is basic amenity.						
18	Rare and endangered species found in the area						
	December 1 New York Park St. Leaves (c. Oli's Leave As (classes, Plant), edge St. Lebes and						
	Desert National Park is home to Chinkara, Antelope, Blackbucks, jackals and						
40	different species of foxes etc.						
19	Opinion of the Chief Wild Life Warden						
	The Chief Wild Life Warden has recommended the proposal with the following						
	The Chief Wild Life Warden has recommended the proposal with the following conditions:						
	Conditions.						
	General Conditions:						
	1. GC 2: In compliance of decision taking in the meeting of Standing						
	Committee of NBWL dated 07.08.2021, 2% of the proportional project						
	cost of the project falling within the ESZ and Protected Area should be						
	deposited in RPACS by the User Agency for management and protection						
	of wildlife in the Protected Area.						
	2. GC 3: No work shall be done before sunrise and after sunset in the						
	project area.						
	3. GC 4 : No material of any kind should be extracted from the Protected						
1	A						

Whether project Linear

Area.

the Protected Area and.

Protected Area.

Protected Area.

7. **GC 9:** No blasting will be carried out within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area during the work.

4. GC 5: There will be no felling of trees and burning of fuel wood inside

5. GC 6: The waste material generated should be disposed outside the

6. GC 7: There will be no labour camp within 1 km from the boundary of

- 8. **GC 13:** There shall be no high mast/ beam/search Lights & high sounds within 1 km from the Protected Area boundary.
- 9. **GC 15:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.
- 10.**GC 16:** Maintenance activity of any nature should be carried out only after seeking formal approval from competent authority of Tiger Reserve/PA.
- 11.**GC 18:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of Standard SOP/Guidelines issued by WII, Dehradun for linear projects.
- 12.**GC 19:** Any permission/clearance required under FCA-1980 or other acts may be taken as per rules.

1. **SSC 1**: Power transmission line shall be laid underground in view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 19.04.2021 in Case No.838/2019.

20 Violation, if any

The Use Agency has not violated the provisions of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and no work has been started without proper sanction.

21 Comments of Ministry

1	Name of the	Pro	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for					
	Proposal	pow	er connection	n to own I	Khated	lari land in Barmer		
		dist	ict, Rajasthar	ı by Shri Sa	igata F	Ram.		
		_	RJ/Others/56					
2		Des	ert National P	ark				
	protected Area							
_	involved	C 40	NE /0000 NA/I					
3	File No	+	35/2022 WL					
4	Name of the State	Raja	asthan					
5	Whether proposal	Not	sub-judice					
	is sub-judice	INOL	3dD-judice					
	lo sub judioc							
6	Area of the	316	2 Sq Km					
	protected area		•					
7(a)	Area proposed for	0.1	ha					
	diversion/							
	Denotification							
7(b)	Area so far	_	Name of	User	Year	Area		
		No	project	Agency		diverted/permitted		
	protected area(s)					for use (ha).		
		1.	Reliance Jio	Reliance	2021	0.9		
			4G Project	Jio				
				Infocomm				
				Limited				
		2.	Resurfacing	Ninety	2021	35.502		
			Jaisalmer	Six				
			Khuri	Border				
			Miajlar	Roads RCC				
				GREF				
		3.	Resurfacing	Ninety	2021	26.718		
		J.	of Harsani	Six	2021	20.7 10		
			Miajlar	Border				
			Road	Roads				
				RCC				
			GREF					
8	Status of ESZ, if any	Info	Information is awaited from the State Government					
9.	Specific comments							
	w.r.t section 29 to							
	the Wild Life individual's farm land, which is not likely to have any							

		adverse impact on wildlife or its habitat in Desert National Park.					
40							
10	Whether project	Linear					
	linear/non-linear						
11	Whether EC	No					
	obtained						
12	Date of submission	18/01/2021					
	by user agency						
13	Name of the	Sagata Ram					
	applicant agency						
14	Total number of	Nil					
	tree to be felled						
15	Maps depicting the	Yes					
'	Sanctuary and the						
	diversion proposal						
	included or not						
16		f State Board for Wild Life					
10	Necommendation o	I State Board for Wild Life					
	Droposal was recomm	nandad by State Poord for Wild Life through circulation					
47		mended by State Board for Wild Life through circulation.					
17	Brief justification on the proposal as given by the applicant agency						
	Drainatio providing al	catricity connection up to the tube well in an individual's					
	Project is providing electricity connection up to the tube well in an individual's						
4.0	farmland, which is ba	•					
18	Rare and endangere	ed species found in the area					
		is home to Chinkara, Antelope, Blackbucks, jackals and					
	different species of foxes etc.						
19	Opinion of the Chief	Wild Life Warden					
		arden has recommended the proposal with the following					
	conditions:						
	General Conditions:						
	GC 2: In compliance of decision taking in the meeting of Standing						
	Committee of	Committee of NBWL dated 07.08.2021, 2% of the proportional project					
		ject falling within the ESZ and Protected Area should be					
		RPACS by the User Agency for management and					
		ildlife in the Protected Area.					
		k shall be done before sunrise and after sunset in the					
		S. S					

3. GC 4: No material of any kind should be extracted from the Protected

4. GC 5: There will be no felling of trees and burning of fuel wood inside

5. GC 6: The waste material generated should be disposed outside the

6. GC 7: There will be no labour camp within 1 km from the boundary of

project area.

Protected Area.

Protected Area.

the Protected Area and.

- 7. **GC 9:** No blasting will be carried out within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area during the work.
- 8. **GC 13:** There shall be no high mast/ beam/search Lights & high sounds within 1 km from the Protected Area boundary.
- 9. **GC 15:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.
- 10.**GC 16:** Maintenance activity of any nature should be carried out only after seeking formal approval from competent authority of Tiger Reserve/PA.
- 11.**GC 18:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of Standard SOP/Guidelines issued by WII, Dehradun for linear projects.
- 12.**GC 19:** Any permission/clearance required under FCA-1980 or other acts may be taken as per rules.

1. **SSC 1**: Power transmission line shall be laid underground in view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 19.04.2021 in Case No.838/2019.

20 Violation, if any

The Use Agency has not violated the provisions of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and no work has been started without proper sanction.

21 Comments of Ministry

1	Name of the Proposal	pow	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer district, Rajasthan by Ms. Ugam Kanwar.				
		FP/	FP/RJ/Others/5645/2021				
2	Name of the	Des	ert National F	ark			
	protected Area involved						
3	File No	_	36/2022 WL				
	Name of the State		asthan				
5	Whether proposal is sub-judice						
6	Area of the protected area	316	2 Sq Km				
7(a)	Area proposed for diversion/ Denotification	0.1	0.1 ha				
7(b)	Area so far diverted from the protected area(s)			User Agency	Year	Area diverted/permitted for use (ha).	
		1.	Reliance Jio 4G Project	Reliance Jio Infocomm Limited	2021	0.9	
		2.	Resurfacing Jaisalmer Khuri Miajlar	Ninety Six Border Roads RCC GREF	2021	35.502	
		3.	Resurfacing of Harsani Miajlar Road	Ninety Six Border Roads RCC GREF	2021	26.718	
8	Status of ESZ, if any	Info	rmation is awa	aited from t	the Sta	ate Government	
9.	w.r.t section 29 to the Wild Life (Protection) Act,	The proposal seeks working permission for laying of overhead power line connection up to the tube well in an individual's farm land, which is not likely to have any adverse impact on wildlife or its habitat in Desert					
10	1972 Whether project linear/non-linear	1	ional Park. ear				

11	Whether EC obtained	No
12	Date of submission	18/01/2021
13	by user agency Name of the	Ugam Kanwar
13	applicant agency	Ogani Kanwai
14	Total number of tree to be felled	Nil
15	Maps depicting the Sanctuary and the diversion proposal included or not	Yes
16	Pocommondation of	State Board for Wild Life

Recommendation of State Board for Wild Life

Proposal was recommended by State Board for Wild Life through circulation.

Brief justification on the proposal as given by the applicant agency

Project is providing electricity connection up to the tube well in an individual's farmland, which is basic amenity.

Rare and endangered species found in the area

Desert National Park is home to Chinkara, Antelope, Blackbucks, jackals and different species of foxes etc.

Opinion of the Chief Wild Life Warden

The Chief Wild Life Warden has recommended the proposal with the following conditions:

- 1. GC 2: In compliance of decision taking in the meeting of Standing Committee of NBWL dated 07.08.2021, 2% of the proportional project cost of the project falling within the ESZ and Protected Area should be deposited in RPACS by the User Agency for management and protection of wildlife in the Protected Area.
- 2. GC 3: No work shall be done before sunrise and after sunset in the project area.
- 3. **GC 4**: No material of any kind should be extracted from the Protected
- 4. GC 5: There will be no felling of trees and burning of fuel wood inside the Protected Area and.
- 5. **GC 6:** The waste material generated should be disposed outside the Protected Area.
- 6. **GC 7:** There will be no labour camp within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area.
- 7. GC 9: No blasting will be carried out within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area during the work.
- 8. GC 13: There shall be no high mast/ beam/search Lights & high sounds within 1 km from the Protected Area boundary.
- 9. GC 15: The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.

- 10.**GC 16:** Maintenance activity of any nature should be carried out only after seeking formal approval from competent authority of Tiger Reserve/PA.
- 11.**GC 18:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of Standard SOP/Guidelines issued by WII, Dehradun for linear projects.
- 12.**GC 19:** Any permission/clearance required under FCA-1980 or other acts may be taken as per rules.

 SSC 1: Power transmission line shall be laid underground in view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 19.04.2021 in Case No.838/2019.

20 Violation, if any

The Use Agency has not violated the provisions of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and no work has been started without proper sanction.

21 Comments of Ministry

1	Name of the Proposal		Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer				
	Горозаг	"	rict, Rajasthar				
		L		·			
	Nieron die	+	FP/RJ/Others/5622/2021				
	Name of the protected Area	Des	ert National F	ark			
	involved						
	File No		37/2022 WL				
	Name of the State		asthan				
5	Whether proposal is sub-judice		•				
6	Area of the protected area	316	2 Sq Km				
	Area proposed for diversion/ Denotification	0.1	0.1 ha				
, ,	Area so far diverted from the protected	_	Name of project	User Agency	Year	Area diverted/permitted	
	area(s)					for use (ha).	
		1.	Reliance Jio 4G Project	Reliance Jio Infocomm Limited	2021	0.9	
		2.	Resurfacing Jaisalmer Khuri Miajlar	Ninety Six Border Roads RCC GREF	2021	35.502	
		3.	Resurfacing of Harsani Miajlar Road	Ninety Six Border Roads RCC GREF	2021	26.718	
	Status of ESZ, if any						
	w.r.t section 29 to the Wild Life	The proposal seeks working permission for laying of overhead power line connection up to the tube well in an individual's farm land, which is not likely to have any adverse impact on wildlife or its habitat in Desert National Park.					
	Whether project linear/non-linear						

11	Whether EC	No				
	obtained					
12	Date of submission	15/01/2021				
	by user agency					
13	Name of the	Har Kanwar				
	applicant agency					
14	Total number of tree	Nil				
	to be felled					
15	Maps depicting the	Yes				
	Sanctuary and the					
	diversion proposal					
	included or not					
16	Recommendation of S	State Board for Wild Life				
	Proposal was recomme	ended by State Board for Wild Life through circulation.				
17	Brief justification on t	he proposal as given by the applicant agency				
	_					
	Project is providing electricity connection up to the tube well in an individual's					
	farmland, which is basic	c amenity.				

18 Rare and endangered species found in the area

Desert National Park is home to Chinkara, Antelope, Blackbucks, jackals and different species of foxes etc.

19 Opinion of the Chief Wild Life Warden

The Chief Wild Life Warden has recommended the proposal with the following conditions:

- GC 2: In compliance of decision taking in the meeting of Standing Committee of NBWL dated 07.08.2021, 2% of the proportional project cost of the project falling within the ESZ and Protected Area should be deposited in RPACS by the User Agency for management and protection of wildlife in the Protected Area.
- 2. **GC 3:** No work shall be done before sunrise and after sunset in the project area.
- 3. **GC 4**: No material of any kind should be extracted from the Protected Area.
- 4. **GC 5:** There will be no felling of trees and burning of fuel wood inside the Protected Area and.
- 5. **GC 6:** The waste material generated should be disposed outside the Protected Area.
- 6. **GC 7:** There will be no labour camp within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area.
- 7. **GC 9:** No blasting will be carried out within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area during the work.
- 8. **GC 13:** There shall be no high mast/ beam/search Lights & high sounds within 1 km from the Protected Area boundary.
- 9. **GC 15:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.

- 10.**GC 16:** Maintenance activity of any nature should be carried out only after seeking formal approval from competent authority of Tiger Reserve/PA.
- 11.**GC 18:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of Standard SOP/Guidelines issued by WII, Dehradun for linear projects.
- 12.**GC 19:** Any permission/clearance required under FCA-1980 or other acts may be taken as per rules.

1. **SSC 1**: Power transmission line shall be laid underground in view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 19.04.2021 in Case No.838/2019.

20 Violation, if any

The Use Agency has not violated the provisions of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and no work has been started without proper sanction.

21 Comments of Ministry

	Name of the Proposal	pow	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer			
		distr	rict, Rajasthar	n by Ms. Ge	er Kan	var.
		FP/I	RJ/Others/56	21/2021		
		Des	Desert National Park			
	protected Area involved					
	File No		38/2022 WL			
	Name of the State		asthan			
5	Whether proposal is sub-judice	Not	sub-judice			
	Area of the protected area					
	Area proposed for diversion/ Denotification	0.1 ha				
	Area so far diverted from the protected area(s)	S. No	Name of project	User Agency	Year	Area diverted/permitted for use (ha).
		1.	Reliance Jio 4G Project	Reliance Jio Infocomm Limited	2021	0.9
		2.	Resurfacing Jaisalmer Khuri Miajlar	Ninety Six Border Roads RCC GREF	2021	35.502
		3.	Resurfacing of Harsani Miajlar Road	Ninety Six Border Roads RCC GREF	2021	26.718
	Status of ESZ, if any					
						nission for laying of
	w.r.t section 29 to					
						not likely to have any s habitat in Desert
	1972		onal Park.	on whalle	Oi it	s nabitat ili Desett

10	Whether project	Linear				
	linear/non-linear					
11	Whether EC	No				
	obtained					
12	Date of submission	15/01/2021				
	by user agency					
13	Name of the	Ger Kanvar				
	applicant agency					
14	Total number of tree	Nil				
	to be felled					
15	Maps depicting the	Yes				
	Sanctuary and the					
	diversion proposal					
	included or not					
16	Recommendation of S	State Board for Wild Life				
	Proposal was recommended by State Board for Wild Life through circulation.					
17	Brief justification on t	he proposal as given by the applicant agency				
	Project is providing electricity connection up to the tube well in an individual's					
	farmland, which is basic amenity.					
18	8 Rare and endangered species found in the area					
		s home to Chinkara, Antelope, Blackbucks, jackals and				
	different species of foxe	es etc.				

The Chief Wild Life Warden has recommended the proposal with the following conditions:

- GC 2: In compliance of decision taking in the meeting of Standing Committee of NBWL dated 07.08.2021, 2% of the proportional project cost of the project falling within the ESZ and Protected Area should be deposited in RPACS by the User Agency for management and protection of wildlife in the Protected Area.
- 2. **GC 3:** No work shall be done before sunrise and after sunset in the project area.
- 3. **GC 4**: No material of any kind should be extracted from the Protected Area.
- 4. **GC 5:** There will be no felling of trees and burning of fuel wood inside the Protected Area and.
- 5. **GC 6:** The waste material generated should be disposed outside the Protected Area.
- 6. **GC 7:** There will be no labour camp within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area.
- 7. **GC 9:** No blasting will be carried out within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area during the work.

- 8. **GC 13:** There shall be no high mast/ beam/search Lights & high sounds within 1 km from the Protected Area boundary.
- 9. **GC 15:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.
- 10.**GC 16:** Maintenance activity of any nature should be carried out only after seeking formal approval from competent authority of Tiger Reserve/PA.
- 11.**GC 18:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of Standard SOP/Guidelines issued by WII, Dehradun for linear projects.
- 12.**GC 19:** Any permission/clearance required under FCA-1980 or other acts may be taken as per rules.

1. **SSC 1**: Power transmission line shall be laid underground in view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 19.04.2021 in Case No.838/2019.

20 Violation, if any

The Use Agency has not violated the provisions of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and no work has been started without proper sanction.

21 Comments of Ministry

	Name of the Proposal	pow	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer			
		distr	rict, Rajasthar	n by Shri Bi	ja Ran	า.
		FP/I	FP/RJ/Others/5604/2021			
		Des	Desert National Park			
	protected Area involved					
	File No		39/2022 WL			
	Name of the State		asthan			
5	Whether proposal is sub-judice	Not	sub-judice			
6	Area of the protected area	316	2 Sq Km			
	Area proposed for diversion/ Denotification	0.1 ha				
	Area so far diverted from the protected area(s)	S. No	Name of project	User Agency	Year	Area diverted/permitted for use (ha).
		1.	Reliance Jio 4G Project	Reliance Jio Infocomm Limited	2021	0.9
		2.	Resurfacing Jaisalmer Khuri Miajlar	Ninety Six Border Roads RCC GREF	2021	35.502
		3.	Resurfacing of Harsani Miajlar Road	Ninety Six Border Roads RCC GREF	2021	26.718
	Status of ESZ, if any	_				
						nission for laying of
	w.r.t section 29 to the Wild Life					
		adv				not likely to have any s habitat in Desert

10	Whether project	Linear				
	linear/non-linear					
11	Whether EC	No				
	obtained					
12	Date of submission	09/01/2021				
	by user agency					
13	Name of the	Bija Ram				
	applicant agency					
14	Total number of tree	Nil				
	to be felled					
15	Maps depicting the	Yes				
	Sanctuary and the					
	diversion proposal					
	included or not					
16	Recommendation of S	State Board for Wild Life				
	Proposal was recommended by State Board for Wild Life through circulation.					
17						
	Project is providing elec-	ctricity connection up to the tube well in an individual's				
	farmland, which is basi	c amenity.				
18	Rare and endangered	species found in the area				
		-				
	Desert National Park is	s home to Chinkara, Antelope, Blackbucks, jackals and				

different species of foxes etc.

The Chief Wild Life Warden has recommended the proposal with the following conditions:

- GC 2: In compliance of decision taking in the meeting of Standing Committee of NBWL dated 07.08.2021, 2% of the proportional project cost of the project falling within the ESZ and Protected Area should be deposited in RPACS by the User Agency for management and protection of wildlife in the Protected Area.
- 2. **GC 3:** No work shall be done before sunrise and after sunset in the project area.
- 3. **GC 4**: No material of any kind should be extracted from the Protected Area.
- 4. **GC 5:** There will be no felling of trees and burning of fuel wood inside the Protected Area and.
- 5. **GC 6:** The waste material generated should be disposed outside the Protected Area.
- 6. **GC 7:** There will be no labour camp within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area.
- 7. **GC 9:** No blasting will be carried out within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area during the work.

- 8. **GC 13:** There shall be no high mast/ beam/search Lights & high sounds within 1 km from the Protected Area boundary.
- 9. **GC 15:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.
- 10.**GC 16:** Maintenance activity of any nature should be carried out only after seeking formal approval from competent authority of Tiger Reserve/PA.
- 11.**GC 18:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of Standard SOP/Guidelines issued by WII, Dehradun for linear projects.
- 12.**GC 19:** Any permission/clearance required under FCA-1980 or other acts may be taken as per rules.

1. **SSC 1**: Power transmission line shall be laid underground in view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 19.04.2021 in Case No.838/2019.

20 Violation, if any

The Use Agency has not violated the provisions of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and no work has been started without proper sanction.

21 Comments of Ministry

Proposal power connection to own Khatedari lar	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer				
district, Rajasthan.					
FP/RJ/Others/5588/2021	EB/P I/Othors/5589/2021				
2 Name of the Desert National Park Wildlife Sanctuary					
protected Area					
involved					
3 File No 6-190/2022 WL					
4 Name of the State Rajasthan					
5 Whether proposal is Not sub-judice sub-judice					
6 Area of the protected 3162 Sq Km area					
7(a) Area proposed for 0.1 ha diversion/ Denotification	0.1 ha				
	Area ed/permitted use (ha).				
1. Reliance Reliance 2021	0.9				
Jio 4G Jio					
Project Infocomm Limited					
	35.502				
Jaisalmer Six	00.00=				
Khuri Border					
Miajlar Roads RCC					
GREF					
3. Resurfacing Ninety 2021	26.718				
of Harsani Six					
Miajlar Border Roads					
RCC					
GREF					
8 Status of ESZ, if any Information is awaited from the State Gov					
9. Specific comments The proposal seeks working permission					
w.r.t section 29 to overhead power line connection up to the the Wild Life an individual's farm land, which is not likely					
(Protection) Act, adverse impact on wildlife or its habit	•				
1972 National Park.					

10	Whether project	Linear
	linear/non-linear	
11	Whether EC	No
	obtained	
12	Date of submission	02/01/2021
	by user agency	
13	Name of the	Shri Gopal Singh
	applicant agency	
14	Total number of tree	Nil
	to be felled	
15	Maps depicting the	Yes
	Sanctuary and the	
	diversion proposal	
	included or not	
16	Recommendation of S	State Board for Wild Life
	Proposal was recomme	ended by State Board for Wild Life through circulation.
17	Brief justification on t	he proposal as given by the applicant agency
	Project is providing elec-	ctricity connection up to the tube well in an individual's
	farmland, which is basi	c amenity.
18	Rare and endangered	species found in the area
		-
	Desert National Park is	s home to Chinkara, Antelope, Blackbucks, jackals and

different species of foxes etc.

The Chief Wild Life Warden has recommended the proposal with the following conditions:

- GC 2: In compliance of decision taking in the meeting of Standing Committee of NBWL dated 07.08.2021, 2% of the proportional project cost of the project falling within the ESZ and Protected Area should be deposited in RPACS by the User Agency for management and protection of wildlife in the Protected Area.
- 2. **GC 3:** No work shall be done before sunrise and after sunset in the project area.
- 3. **GC 4**: No material of any kind should be extracted from the Protected Area.
- 4. **GC 5:** There will be no felling of trees and burning of fuel wood inside the Protected Area and.
- 5. **GC 6:** The waste material generated should be disposed outside the Protected Area.
- 6. **GC 7:** There will be no labour camp within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area.
- 7. **GC 9:** No blasting will be carried out within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area during the work.

- 8. **GC 13:** There shall be no high mast/ beam/search Lights & high sounds within 1 km from the Protected Area boundary.
- 9. **GC 15:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.
- 10.**GC 16:** Maintenance activity of any nature should be carried out only after seeking formal approval from competent authority of Tiger Reserve/PA.
- 11.**GC 18:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of Standard SOP/Guidelines issued by WII, Dehradun for linear projects.
- 12.**GC 19:** Any permission/clearance required under FCA-1980 or other acts may be taken as per rules.

1. **SSC 1**: Power transmission line shall be laid underground in view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 19.04.2021 in Case No.838/2019.

20 Violation, if any

The Use Agency has not violated the provisions of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and no work has been started without proper sanction.

21 Comments of Ministry

	Name of the Proposal	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha land from Desert National Park for power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer district, Rajasthan by Shri Anavar.				
			RJ/Others/55			
2	Name of the protected Area involved	Desert National Park				
3	File No	6-19	91/2022 WL			
4	Name of the State	Raja	asthan			
5	Whether proposal is sub-judice	Not	sub-judice			
	Area of the protected area	316	2 Sq Km			
	Area proposed for diversion/ Denotification	0.1 ha				
	Area so far diverted from the protected area(s)		Name of project	User Agency	Year	Area diverted/permitted for use (ha).
		1.	Reliance Jio 4G Project	Reliance Jio Infocomm Limited	2021	0.9
		2.	Resurfacing Jaisalmer Khuri Miajlar	Ninety Six Border Roads RCC GREF	2021	35.502
		3.	Resurfacing of Harsani Miajlar Road	Ninety Six Border Roads RCC GREF	2021	26.718
8	Status of ESZ, if any					
9.	-		•		- .	nission for laying of
	w.r.t section 29 to the Wild Life		•			-
						not likely to have any s habitat in Desert
	1972		onal Park.	JI. WIIGIIIO	J. 10	as.tat iii booott

10	Whether project linear/non-linear	Linear			
11	Whether EC obtained	No			
12	Date of submission by user agency	30/12/2020			
	Name of the applicant agency				
14	Total number of tree to be felled	Nil			
15	Maps depicting the Sanctuary and the diversion proposal included or not	Yes			
16		State Board for Wild Life ended by State Board for Wild Life through circulation.			
17	·	he proposal as given by the applicant agency			
	Project is providing electricity connection up to the tube well in an individual's farmland, which is basic amenity.				
18	Rare and endangered	species found in the area			
	Desert National Park is different species of foxe	s home to Chinkara, Antelope, Blackbucks, jackals and es etc.			

The Chief Wild Life Warden has recommended the proposal with the following conditions:

- GC 2: In compliance of decision taking in the meeting of Standing Committee of NBWL dated 07.08.2021, 2% of the proportional project cost of the project falling within the ESZ and Protected Area should be deposited in RPACS by the User Agency for management and protection of wildlife in the Protected Area.
- 2. **GC 3:** No work shall be done before sunrise and after sunset in the project area.
- 3. **GC 4**: No material of any kind should be extracted from the Protected Area.
- 4. **GC 5:** There will be no felling of trees and burning of fuel wood inside the Protected Area and.
- 5. **GC 6:** The waste material generated should be disposed outside the Protected Area.
- 6. **GC 7:** There will be no labour camp within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area.
- 7. **GC 9:** No blasting will be carried out within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area during the work.

- 8. **GC 13:** There shall be no high mast/ beam/search Lights & high sounds within 1 km from the Protected Area boundary.
- 9. **GC 15:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.
- 10.**GC 16:** Maintenance activity of any nature should be carried out only after seeking formal approval from competent authority of Tiger Reserve/PA.
- 11.**GC 18:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of Standard SOP/Guidelines issued by WII, Dehradun for linear projects.
- 12.**GC 19:** Any permission/clearance required under FCA-1980 or other acts may be taken as per rules.

1. **SSC 1**: Power transmission line shall be laid underground in view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 19.04.2021 in Case No.838/2019.

20 Violation, if any

The Use Agency has not violated the provisions of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and no work has been started without proper sanction.

21 Comments of Ministry

1	Name of the Proposal	pow	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer				
		district, Rajasthan by Shri Ran Singh.					
			RJ/Others/55				
		Des	ert National F	Park			
	protected Area involved						
3	File No	6-19	92/2022 WL				
4	Name of the State	Raja	asthan				
5	Whether proposal is sub-judice	Not	sub-judice				
	Area of the protected area	316	2 Sq Km				
	Area proposed for diversion/ Denotification	0.1 ha					
, ,	Area so far diverted from the protected area(s)		Name of project	User Agency	Year	Area diverted/permitted for use (ha).	
		1.	Reliance Jio 4G Project	Reliance Jio Infocomm Limited	2021	0.9	
		2.	Resurfacing Jaisalmer Khuri Miajlar	Ninety Six Border Roads RCC GREF	2021	35.502	
		3.	Resurfacing of Harsani Miajlar Road	Ninety Six Border Roads RCC GREF	2021	26.718	
	Status of ESZ, if any						
9.			•		-	nission for laying of	
	w.r.t section 29 to the Wild Life						
						not likely to have any s habitat in Desert	
	1972		onal Park.		J. 10		

10	Whether project	Linear				
	linear/non-linear					
11	Whether EC	No				
	obtained					
12	Date of submission	27/12/2020				
	by user agency					
13	Name of the	Ran Singh				
	applicant agency					
14	Total number of tree	Nil				
	to be felled					
15	Maps depicting the	Yes				
	Sanctuary and the					
	diversion proposal					
	included or not					
16	Recommendation of S	State Board for Wild Life				
	Proposal was recomme	ended by State Board for Wild Life through circulation.				
17	Brief justification on t	he proposal as given by the applicant agency				
	Project is providing elec-	ctricity connection up to the tube well in an individual's				
	farmland, which is basi	c amenity.				
18	Rare and endangered	species found in the area				
	Desert National Park is home to Chinkara, Antelope, Blackbucks, jackals and					

different species of foxes etc.

The Chief Wild Life Warden has recommended the proposal with the following conditions:

- GC 2: In compliance of decision taking in the meeting of Standing Committee of NBWL dated 07.08.2021, 2% of the proportional project cost of the project falling within the ESZ and Protected Area should be deposited in RPACS by the User Agency for management and protection of wildlife in the Protected Area.
- 2. **GC 3:** No work shall be done before sunrise and after sunset in the project area.
- 3. **GC 4**: No material of any kind should be extracted from the Protected Area.
- 4. **GC 5:** There will be no felling of trees and burning of fuel wood inside the Protected Area and.
- 5. **GC 6:** The waste material generated should be disposed outside the Protected Area.
- 6. **GC 7:** There will be no labour camp within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area.
- 7. **GC 9:** No blasting will be carried out within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area during the work.

- 8. **GC 13:** There shall be no high mast/ beam/search Lights & high sounds within 1 km from the Protected Area boundary.
- 9. **GC 15:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.
- 10.**GC 16:** Maintenance activity of any nature should be carried out only after seeking formal approval from competent authority of Tiger Reserve/PA.
- 11.**GC 18:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of Standard SOP/Guidelines issued by WII, Dehradun for linear projects.
- 12.**GC 19:** Any permission/clearance required under FCA-1980 or other acts may be taken as per rules.

1. **SSC 1**: Power transmission line shall be laid underground in view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 19.04.2021 in Case No.838/2019.

20 Violation, if any

The Use Agency has not violated the provisions of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and no work has been started without proper sanction.

21 Comments of Ministry

1	Name of the Proposal	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer district, Rajasthan by Shri Sajan Singh.					
		FP/I	FP/RJ/Others/5564/2020				
		Des	ert National F	ark			
	protected Area involved						
	File No		93/2022 WL				
4	Name of the State	Raja	asthan				
5	Whether proposal is sub-judice	Not	sub-judice				
	Area of the protected area	316	2 Sq Km				
	Area proposed for diversion/ Denotification	0.1 ha					
, ,	Area so far diverted from the protected area(s)		Name of project	User Agency	Year	Area diverted/permitted for use (ha).	
		1.	Reliance Jio 4G Project	Reliance Jio Infocomm Limited	2021	0.9	
		2.	Resurfacing Jaisalmer Khuri Miajlar	Ninety Six Border Roads RCC GREF	2021	35.502	
		3.	Resurfacing of Harsani Miajlar Road	Ninety Six Border Roads RCC GREF	2021	26.718	
	Status of ESZ, if any					tate Government	
9.	Specific comments				• .	mission for laying of	
	w.r.t section 29 to the Wild Life		•			up to the tube well in	
	(Protection) Act,					is not likely to have its habitat in Desert	
	1972		tional Park.		5 51	no naznat in 2000it	

10	Whether project linear/non-linear	Linear
11	Whether EC obtained	No
12	Date of submission by user agency	24/12/2020
13	Name of the applicant agency	Sajan Singh
14	Total number of tree to be felled	Nil
15	Maps depicting the Sanctuary and the diversion proposal included or not	Yes
4.0	December defice of C	tota Daged for Wild Life

16 Recommendation of State Board for Wild Life

Proposal was recommended by State Board for Wild Life through circulation.

17 Brief justification on the proposal as given by the applicant agency

Project is providing electricity connection up to the tube well in an individual's farmland, which is basic amenity.

18 Rare and endangered species found in the area

Desert National Park is home to Chinkara, Antelope, Blackbucks, jackals and different species of foxes etc.

19 Opinion of the Chief Wild Life Warden

The Chief Wild Life Warden has recommended the proposal with the following conditions:

- GC 2: In compliance of decision taking in the meeting of Standing Committee of NBWL dated 07.08.2021, 2% of the proportional project cost of the project falling within the ESZ and Protected Area should be deposited in RPACS by the User Agency for management and protection of wildlife in the Protected Area.
- 2. **GC 3:** No work shall be done before sunrise and after sunset in the project area.
- 3. **GC 4**: No material of any kind should be extracted from the Protected Area.
- 4. **GC 5:** There will be no felling of trees and burning of fuel wood inside the Protected Area and.
- 5. **GC 6:** The waste material generated should be disposed outside the Protected Area.
- 6. **GC 7:** There will be no labour camp within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area.
- 7. **GC 9:** No blasting will be carried out within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area during the work.

- 8. **GC 13:** There shall be no high mast/ beam/search Lights & high sounds within 1 km from the Protected Area boundary.
- 9. **GC 15:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.
- 10.**GC 16:** Maintenance activity of any nature should be carried out only after seeking formal approval from competent authority of Tiger Reserve/PA.
- 11.**GC 18:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of Standard SOP/Guidelines issued by WII, Dehradun for linear projects.
- 12.**GC 19:** Any permission/clearance required under FCA-1980 or other acts may be taken as per rules.

1. **SSC 1**: Power transmission line shall be laid underground in view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 19.04.2021 in Case No.838/2019.

20 Violation, if any

The Use Agency has not violated the provisions of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and no work has been started without proper sanction.

21 Comments of Ministry

	Name of the Proposal					sert National Park for dari land in Barmer	
	Порозаг	"	ict, Rajasthar				
			RJ/Others/55				
2		Des	ert National P	ark			
	protected Area involved						
3	File No	6-19	94/2022 WL				
	Name of the State		asthan				
		 					
5	Whether proposal is sub-judice	Not	sub-judice				
	Sub-judice						
6	Area of the protected	316	2 Sq Km				
	area						
7(a)	Area proposed for diversion/	0.1	ha				
	Denotification						
7(b)	Area so far diverted	S.	Name of	User	Year	Area	
	from the protected	No	project	Agency		diverted/permitted	
	area(s)					for use (ha).	
		1.	Reliance	Reliance	2021	0.9	
			Jio 4G	Jio Infocomm			
			Project	Limited			
		2.	Resurfacing	Ninety	2021	35.502	
			Jaisalmer	Six			
			Khuri	Border			
			Miajlar	Roads RCC			
				GREF			
		3.	Resurfacing	Ninety	2021	26.718	
			of Harsani	Six			
			Miajlar	Border			
			Road	Roads RCC			
				GREF			
8	Status of ESZ, if any	Info	rmation is awa		he Sta	ite Government	
9.	Specific comments	The	proposal see	eks workin	g perr	nission for laying of	
	w.r.t section 29 to						
						not likely to have any	
	(Protection) Act,			on milalite	or it	s habitat in Desert	
	1972	IVI ~ ti	onal Park.				

10	Whether project	Linear
	linear/non-linear	
11	Whether EC	No
	obtained	
12	Date of submission	20/12/2020
	by user agency	
13	Name of the	Padam
	applicant agency	
14	Total number of tree	Nil
	to be felled	
15	Maps depicting the	Yes
	Sanctuary and the	
	diversion proposal	
	included or not	
16	Recommendation of S	State Board for Wild Life
	Proposal was recomme	ended by State Board for Wild Life through circulation.
17	Brief justification on t	he proposal as given by the applicant agency
	-	
	Project is providing elec-	ctricity connection up to the tube well in an individual's
	farmland, which is basic	c amenity.
18	Rare and endangered	species found in the area
		•
	Desert National Park is	s home to Chinkara, Antelope, Blackbucks, jackals and

different species of foxes etc.

The Chief Wild Life Warden has recommended the proposal with the following conditions:

- GC 2: In compliance of decision taking in the meeting of Standing Committee of NBWL dated 07.08.2021, 2% of the proportional project cost of the project falling within the ESZ and Protected Area should be deposited in RPACS by the User Agency for management and protection of wildlife in the Protected Area.
- 2. **GC 3:** No work shall be done before sunrise and after sunset in the project area.
- 3. **GC 4**: No material of any kind should be extracted from the Protected Area.
- 4. **GC 5:** There will be no felling of trees and burning of fuel wood inside the Protected Area and.
- 5. **GC 6:** The waste material generated should be disposed outside the Protected Area.
- 6. **GC 7:** There will be no labour camp within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area.
- 7. **GC 9:** No blasting will be carried out within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area during the work.

- 8. **GC 13:** There shall be no high mast/ beam/search Lights & high sounds within 1 km from the Protected Area boundary.
- 9. **GC 15:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.
- 10.**GC 16:** Maintenance activity of any nature should be carried out only after seeking formal approval from competent authority of Tiger Reserve/PA.
- 11.**GC 18:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of Standard SOP/Guidelines issued by WII, Dehradun for linear projects.
- 12.**GC 19:** Any permission/clearance required under FCA-1980 or other acts may be taken as per rules.

1. **SSC 1**: Power transmission line shall be laid underground in view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 19.04.2021 in Case No.838/2019.

20 Violation, if any

The Use Agency has not violated the provisions of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and no work has been started without proper sanction.

21 Comments of Ministry

-			Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer					
	Гюрозаі		district, Rajasthan by Shri Rawata Ram Suthar.					
			rou, ruajaourua					
		FP/I	RJ/Others/55	54/2020				
2		Des	ert National P	ark				
	protected Area involved							
3		6-19	95/2022 WL					
	Name of the State		asthan					
5	Whether proposal is	Not	sub-judice					
	sub-judice							
	Anna atti annatasta l	040	0.0.16					
6	Area of the protected area	316	2 Sq Km					
7(a)	Area proposed for	0 1	 ha					
/ (α)	diversion/	0.1	πα					
	Denotification							
	Area so far diverted	H	Name of	User	Year	Area		
		No	project	Agency		diverted/permitted		
	area(s)					for use (ha).		
		1.	Reliance	Reliance	2021	0.9		
			Jio 4G	Jio				
			Project	Infocomm Limited				
		2.	Resurfacing	Ninety	2021	35.502		
		<u> </u>	Jaisalmer	Six	2021	00.002		
			Khuri	Border				
			Miajlar	Roads				
				RCC GREF				
		3.	Resurfacing	Ninety	2021	26.718		
		٥.	of Harsani	Six	2021	20.710		
			Miajlar	Border				
			Road	Roads				
				RCC				
0	Status of ES7 if and	امر	ormation is a	GREF	tha C	toto Covernment		
8 9.	Status of ESZ, if any Specific comments					tate Government mission for laying of		
J.	w.r.t section 29 to				• .	up to the tube well in		
	the Wild Life		•			is not likely to have		
	(Protection) Act,			pact on wild	dlife or	its habitat in Desert		
	1972	Na	National Park.					

10	Whether project linear/non-linear	Linear
11	Whether EC obtained	No
12	Date of submission by user agency	18/12/2020
13	Name of the applicant agency	Rawata Ram Suthar
14	Total number of tree to be felled	Nil
15	Maps depicting the Sanctuary and the diversion proposal included or not	Yes
16	Decempondation of S	State Poord for Wild Life

16 Recommendation of State Board for Wild Life

Proposal was recommended by State Board for Wild Life through circulation.

17 Brief justification on the proposal as given by the applicant agency

Project is providing electricity connection up to the tube well in an individual's farmland, which is basic amenity.

18 Rare and endangered species found in the area

Desert National Park is home to Chinkara, Antelope, Blackbucks, jackals and different species of foxes etc.

19 **Opinion of the Chief Wild Life Warden**

The Chief Wild Life Warden has recommended the proposal with the following conditions:

- GC 2: In compliance of decision taking in the meeting of Standing Committee of NBWL dated 07.08.2021, 2% of the proportional project cost of the project falling within the ESZ and Protected Area should be deposited in RPACS by the User Agency for management and protection of wildlife in the Protected Area.
- 2. **GC 3:** No work shall be done before sunrise and after sunset in the project area.
- 3. **GC 4**: No material of any kind should be extracted from the Protected Area.
- 4. **GC 5:** There will be no felling of trees and burning of fuel wood inside the Protected Area and.
- 5. **GC 6:** The waste material generated should be disposed outside the Protected Area.
- 6. **GC 7:** There will be no labour camp within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area.
- 7. **GC 9:** No blasting will be carried out within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area during the work.

- 8. **GC 13:** There shall be no high mast/ beam/search Lights & high sounds within 1 km from the Protected Area boundary.
- 9. **GC 15:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.
- 10.**GC 16:** Maintenance activity of any nature should be carried out only after seeking formal approval from competent authority of Tiger Reserve/PA.
- 11.**GC 18:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of Standard SOP/Guidelines issued by WII, Dehradun for linear projects.
- 12.**GC 19:** Any permission/clearance required under FCA-1980 or other acts may be taken as per rules.

1. **SSC 1**: Power transmission line shall be laid underground in view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 19.04.2021 in Case No.838/2019.

20 Violation, if any

The Use Agency has not violated the provisions of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and no work has been started without proper sanction.

21 Comments of Ministry

	Name of the Proposal	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer				
		dist	rict, Rajasthar	n by Shri Bi	harilal	Maheshwari.
		FP/I	RJ/Others/55	50/2020		
2	Name of the		ert National F			
	protected Area					
	involved	0.46	20/0000 14/1			
	File No Name of the State		96/2022 WL			
4	Name of the State	Kaja	asthan			
	Whether proposal is sub-judice	Not	sub-judice			
	Area of the protected area	316	2 Sq Km			
	Area proposed for diversion/ Denotification	0.1 ha				
, ,	Area so far diverted from the protected area(s)		Name of project	User Agency	Year	Area diverted/permitted for use (ha).
		1.	Reliance Jio 4G Project	Reliance Jio Infocomm Limited	2021	0.9
		2.	Resurfacing Jaisalmer Khuri Miajlar	Ninety Six Border Roads RCC GREF	2021	35.502
		3.	Resurfacing of Harsani Miajlar Road	Ninety Six Border Roads RCC GREF	2021	26.718
	Status of ESZ, if any					
9.	l -		•		- .	nission for laying of
	w.r.t section 29 to		•			-
						not likely to have any s habitat in Desert
	1972		onal Park.	OII WIIGIIIG	Oi it	5 Habitat III Desett
1	<u> </u>		· •			

10	Whether project	Linear
	linear/non-linear	
11	Whether EC	No
	obtained	
12	Date of submission	16/12/2020
	by user agency	
13	Name of the	Biharilal Maheshwari.
	applicant agency	
14	Total number of tree	Nil
	to be felled	
15	Maps depicting the	Yes
	Sanctuary and the	
	diversion proposal	
	included or not	
16	Recommendation of S	State Board for Wild Life
	Proposal was recomme	ended by State Board for Wild Life through circulation.
17	Brief justification on t	he proposal as given by the applicant agency
		ctricity connection up to the tube well in an individual's
	farmland, which is basic	c amenity.
18	Rare and endangered	species found in the area
	Desert National Park is	s home to Chinkara, Antelope, Blackbucks, jackals and

19 Opinion of the Chief Wild Life Warden

different species of foxes etc.

The Chief Wild Life Warden has recommended the proposal with the following conditions:

- GC 2: In compliance of decision taking in the meeting of Standing Committee of NBWL dated 07.08.2021, 2% of the proportional project cost of the project falling within the ESZ and Protected Area should be deposited in RPACS by the User Agency for management and protection of wildlife in the Protected Area.
- 2. **GC 3:** No work shall be done before sunrise and after sunset in the project area.
- 3. **GC 4**: No material of any kind should be extracted from the Protected Area.
- 4. **GC 5:** There will be no felling of trees and burning of fuel wood inside the Protected Area and.
- 5. **GC 6:** The waste material generated should be disposed outside the Protected Area.
- 6. **GC 7:** There will be no labour camp within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area.
- 7. **GC 9:** No blasting will be carried out within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area during the work.

- 8. **GC 13:** There shall be no high mast/ beam/search Lights & high sounds within 1 km from the Protected Area boundary.
- 9. **GC 15:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.
- 10.**GC 16:** Maintenance activity of any nature should be carried out only after seeking formal approval from competent authority of Tiger Reserve/PA.
- 11.**GC 18:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of Standard SOP/Guidelines issued by WII, Dehradun for linear projects.
- 12.**GC 19:** Any permission/clearance required under FCA-1980 or other acts may be taken as per rules.

1. **SSC 1**: Power transmission line shall be laid underground in view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 19.04.2021 in Case No.838/2019.

20 Violation, if any

The Use Agency has not violated the provisions of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and no work has been started without proper sanction.

21 Comments of Ministry

1	Name of the	Pro	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for				
	Proposal	pow	er connection	n to own	Khated	dari land in Barmer	
		dist	rict, Rajasthar	n by Shri Cl	hanan	Ram.	
			,	-			
		FP/	RJ/Others/55	49/2020			
2	Name of the	Des	ert National F	ark			
	protected Area	ı					
	involved						
3	File No	6-19	97/2022 WL				
4	Name of the State	Raja	asthan				
5	Whether proposal is	Not	sub-judice				
	sub-judice		,				
6	Area of the protected	316	2 Sq Km				
	area .		•				
7(a)	Area proposed fo	0.1	ha				
· ,	diversion/						
	Denotification						
	Area so far diverted		Name of	User	Year	Area	
	from the protected	No	project	Agency		diverted/permitted	
	area(s)					for use (ha).	
		1.	Reliance	Reliance	2021	0.9	
		' -	Jio 4G	Jio	2021	0.9	
			Project	Infocomm			
			l Toject	Limited			
		2.	Resurfacing	Ninety	2021	35.502	
		Z.	Jaisalmer	Six	2021	00.002	
			Khuri	Border			
			Miajlar	Roads			
			iviiajiai	RCC			
				GREF			
		3.	Resurfacing	Ninety	2021	26.718	
			of Harsani	Six			
			Miajlar	Border			
			Road	Roads			
				RCC			
				GREF			
8	Status of ESZ, if any	Info	rmation is awa	aited from t	he Sta	ite Government	
9.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_				nission for laying of	
	w.r.t section 29 to				• .	, ,	
						not likely to have any	
	(Protection) Act					s habitat in Desert	
	1972	Nat	ional Park.				
	Whether projec	Line	ear				
	linear/non-linear						
				·			

11	Whether EC	No					
	obtained						
12	Date of submission	16/12/2020					
	by user agency						
13	Name of the	Chanan Ram					
	applicant agency						
14	Total number of tree	Nil					
	to be felled						
15	Maps depicting the	Yes					
	Sanctuary and the						
	diversion proposal						
	included or not						
16	Recommendation of State Board for Wild Life						
	Proposal was recommended by State Board for Wild Life through circulation.						
17	Briof justification on t	ho proposal as given by the applicant agency					

Brief justification on the proposal as given by the applicant agency

Project is providing electricity connection up to the tube well in an individual's farmland, which is basic amenity.

Rare and endangered species found in the area

Desert National Park is home to Chinkara, Antelope, Blackbucks, jackals and different species of foxes etc.

Opinion of the Chief Wild Life Warden

The Chief Wild Life Warden has recommended the proposal with the following conditions:

- 1. GC 2: In compliance of decision taking in the meeting of Standing Committee of NBWL dated 07.08.2021, 2% of the proportional project cost of the project falling within the ESZ and Protected Area should be deposited in RPACS by the User Agency for management and protection of wildlife in the Protected Area.
- 2. GC 3: No work shall be done before sunrise and after sunset in the project area.
- 3. **GC 4**: No material of any kind should be extracted from the Protected
- 4. **GC 5:** There will be no felling of trees and burning of fuel wood inside the Protected Area and.
- 5. **GC 6:** The waste material generated should be disposed outside the Protected Area.
- 6. GC 7: There will be no labour camp within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area.
- 7. GC 9: No blasting will be carried out within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area during the work.
- 8. **GC 13:** There shall be no high mast/ beam/search Lights & high sounds within 1 km from the Protected Area boundary.
- 9. GC 15: The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.

- 10.**GC 16:** Maintenance activity of any nature should be carried out only after seeking formal approval from competent authority of Tiger Reserve/PA.
- 11.**GC 18:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of Standard SOP/Guidelines issued by WII, Dehradun for linear projects.
- 12.**GC 19:** Any permission/clearance required under FCA-1980 or other acts may be taken as per rules.

1. **SSC 1**: Power transmission line shall be laid underground in view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 19.04.2021 in Case No.838/2019.

20 Violation, if any

The Use Agency has not violated the provisions of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and no work has been started without proper sanction.

21 Comments of Ministry

		Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for						
	Proposal	1.				dari land in Barmer		
		aist	rict, Rajasthar	n by Shri Ai	rjun Ra	am.		
		FP/	RJ/Others/55	48/2020				
2	Name of the		ert National F					
_	protected Area		orridationari	an.				
	involved							
3	File No	6-19	98/2022 WL					
	Name of the State		asthan					
5	Whether proposal is sub-judice		•					
6	Area of the protected area	316	2 Sq Km					
7(a)	Area proposed for diversion/ Denotification	0.1	0.1 ha					
	Area so far diverted		Name of	User	Year	Area		
	from the protected	No	project	Agency		diverted/permitted		
	area(s)					for use (ha).		
		1.	Reliance	Reliance	2021	0.9		
			Jio 4G	Jio				
			Project	Infocomm				
		2.	Resurfacing	Limited Ninety	2021	35.502		
		L .	Jaisalmer	Six	2021	33.302		
			Khuri	Border				
			Miajlar	Roads				
				RCC				
				GREF				
		3.	Resurfacing	Ninety	2021	26.718		
			of Harsani Miajlar	Six Border				
			Road	Roads				
			rtodd	RCC				
				GREF				
8	Status of ESZ, if any	Info	rmation is awa	aited from t	he Sta	ate Government		
9.						nission for laying of		
	w.r.t section 29 to		•			•		
			an individual's farm land, which is not likely to have any adverse impact on wildlife or its habitat in Desert					
	(Protection) Act, 1972		ional Park.	on whalle	or it	S nabitat in Desem 		
10	Whether project							
	linear/non-linear							
	ı							

11	Whether EC	No					
	obtained						
12	Date of submission	16/12/2020					
	by user agency						
13	Name of the	Arjun Ram					
	applicant agency						
14	Total number of tree	Nil					
	to be felled						
15	Maps depicting the	Yes					
	Sanctuary and the						
	diversion proposal						
	included or not						
16	Recommendation of S	State Board for Wild Life					
	Proposal was recommended by State Board for Wild Life through circulation.						
17	Brief justification on the proposal as given by the applicant agency						
	Project is providing elec-	ctricity connection up to the tube well in an individual's					
	farmland, which is basic	,					

18 Rare and endangered species found in the area

Desert National Park is home to Chinkara, Antelope, Blackbucks, jackals and different species of foxes etc.

19 Opinion of the Chief Wild Life Warden

The Chief Wild Life Warden has recommended the proposal with the following conditions:

- GC 2: In compliance of decision taking in the meeting of Standing Committee of NBWL dated 07.08.2021, 2% of the proportional project cost of the project falling within the ESZ and Protected Area should be deposited in RPACS by the User Agency for management and protection of wildlife in the Protected Area.
- 2. **GC 3:** No work shall be done before sunrise and after sunset in the project area.
- 3. **GC 4**: No material of any kind should be extracted from the Protected Area.
- 4. **GC 5:** There will be no felling of trees and burning of fuel wood inside the Protected Area and.
- 5. **GC 6:** The waste material generated should be disposed outside the Protected Area.
- 6. **GC 7:** There will be no labour camp within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area.
- 7. **GC 9:** No blasting will be carried out within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area during the work.
- 8. **GC 13:** There shall be no high mast/ beam/search Lights & high sounds within 1 km from the Protected Area boundary.
- 9. **GC 15:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.

- 10.**GC 16:** Maintenance activity of any nature should be carried out only after seeking formal approval from competent authority of Tiger Reserve/PA.
- 11.**GC 18:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of Standard SOP/Guidelines issued by WII, Dehradun for linear projects.
- 12.**GC 19:** Any permission/clearance required under FCA-1980 or other acts may be taken as per rules.

1. **SSC 1**: Power transmission line shall be laid underground in view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 19.04.2021 in Case No.838/2019.

20 Violation, if any

The Use Agency has not violated the provisions of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and no work has been started without proper sanction.

21 Comments of Ministry

	Proposal	pow dist	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer district, Rajasthan by Mr.Vinod Kumar. FP/RJ/Others/5546/2020 Desert National Park					
	protected Area		ort Hational I	ark				
	involved File No	C 40	0.400/0000 14//					
	Name of the State		99/2022 WL asthan					
	Whether proposal is							
	sub-judice		,					
	Area of the protected area		- -					
, ,	Area proposed for diversion/ Denotification							
	Area so far diverted from the protected area(s)			User Agency	Year	Area diverted/permitted for use (ha).		
		1.	Reliance Jio 4G Project	Reliance Jio Infocomm Limited	2021	0.9		
		2.	Resurfacing Jaisalmer Khuri Miajlar	Ninety Six Border Roads RCC GREF	2021	35.502		
		3.	Resurfacing of Harsani Miajlar Road	Ninety Six Border Roads RCC GREF	2021	26.718		
	Status of ESZ, if any	_						
	w.r.t section 29 to the Wild Life	The proposal seeks working permission for laying of overhead power line connection up to the tube well in an individual's farm land, which is not likely to have any adverse impact on wildlife or its habitat in Desert National Park.						
	Whether project linear/non-linear	Line	ear					

11	Whether EC	No				
	obtained					
12	Date of submission	16/12/2020				
	by user agency					
13	Name of the	M. Vinod Kumar				
	applicant agency					
14	Total number of tree	Nil				
	to be felled					
15	Maps depicting the	Yes				
	Sanctuary and the					
	diversion proposal					
	included or not					
16	Recommendation of S	State Board for Wild Life				
	Proposal was recomme	ended by State Board for Wild Life through circulation.				
17	Brief justification on t	he proposal as given by the applicant agency				
	_					
	Project is providing electricity connection up to the tube well in an individual's					
	farmland, which is basi	·				
4.0	Dave and andennas	anada farmila tha ana				

18 Rare and endangered species found in the area

Desert National Park is home to Chinkara, Antelope, Blackbucks, jackals and different species of foxes etc.

19 Opinion of the Chief Wild Life Warden

The Chief Wild Life Warden has recommended the proposal with the following conditions:

- GC 2: In compliance of decision taking in the meeting of Standing Committee of NBWL dated 07.08.2021, 2% of the proportional project cost of the project falling within the ESZ and Protected Area should be deposited in RPACS by the User Agency for management and protection of wildlife in the Protected Area.
- 2. **GC 3:** No work shall be done before sunrise and after sunset in the project area.
- 3. **GC 4**: No material of any kind should be extracted from the Protected Area.
- 4. **GC 5:** There will be no felling of trees and burning of fuel wood inside the Protected Area and.
- 5. **GC 6:** The waste material generated should be disposed outside the Protected Area.
- 6. **GC 7:** There will be no labour camp within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area.
- 7. **GC 9:** No blasting will be carried out within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area during the work.
- 8. **GC 13:** There shall be no high mast/ beam/search Lights & high sounds within 1 km from the Protected Area boundary.
- 9. **GC 15:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.

- 10.**GC 16:** Maintenance activity of any nature should be carried out only after seeking formal approval from competent authority of Tiger Reserve/PA.
- 11.**GC 18:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of Standard SOP/Guidelines issued by WII, Dehradun for linear projects.
- 12.**GC 19:** Any permission/clearance required under FCA-1980 or other acts may be taken as per rules.

1. **SSC 1**: Power transmission line shall be laid underground in view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 19.04.2021 in Case No.838/2019.

20 Violation, if any

The Use Agency has not violated the provisions of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and no work has been started without proper sanction.

21 Comments of Ministry

1	Name of the Proposal	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer district, Rajasthan by Shri Sataram. FP/RJ/Others/5523/2020				
2	Name of the protected					
	Name of the protected Area involved	Desert National Park				
	File No	6-200/2022 WL				
	Name of the State		asthan			
	Whether proposal is sub-judice	_	sub-judice			
	Area of the protected area					
	Area proposed for diversion/ Denotification	0.1	ha			
7(b)	Area so far diverted	S.	Name of	User	Year	Area
	from the protected area(s)	No	project	Agency		diverted/permitted for use (ha).
		1.	Reliance Jio 4G Project	Reliance Jio Infocomm Limited	2021	0.9
		2.	Resurfacing Jaisalmer Khuri Miajlar	Ninety Six Border Roads RCC GREF	2021	35.502
		3.	Resurfacing of Harsani Miajlar Road	Ninety Six Border Roads RCC GREF	2021	26.718
8	Status of ESZ, if any	Info	rmation is awa	aited from t	he Sta	te Government
9.	Specific comments w.r.t section 29 to the Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972	The proposal seeks working permission for laying of overhead power line connection up to the tube well in an individual's farm land, which is not likely to have any adverse impact on wildlife or its habitat in Desert National Park.				
	Whether project linear/non-linear	Line	ar			
		No				

12	Date of submission by	05/12/2020
	user agency	
13	Name of the applicant	Sataram
	agency	
14	Total number of tree to	Nil
	be felled	
15	Maps depicting the	Yes
	Sanctuary and the	
	diversion proposal	
	included or not	

16 Recommendation of State Board for Wild Life

Proposal was recommended by State Board for Wild Life through circulation.

17 Brief justification on the proposal as given by the applicant agency

Project is providing electricity connection up to the tube well in an individual's farmland, which is basic amenity.

18 Rare and endangered species found in the area

Desert National Park is home to Chinkara, Antelope, Blackbucks, jackals and different species of foxes etc.

19 Opinion of the Chief Wild Life Warden

The Chief Wild Life Warden has recommended the proposal with the following conditions:

- GC 2: In compliance of decision taking in the meeting of Standing Committee of NBWL dated 07.08.2021, 2% of the proportional project cost of the project falling within the ESZ and Protected Area should be deposited in RPACS by the User Agency for management and protection of wildlife in the Protected Area.
- 2. **GC 3:** No work shall be done before sunrise and after sunset in the project area.
- 3. **GC 4**: No material of any kind should be extracted from the Protected Area.
- 4. **GC 5:** There will be no felling of trees and burning of fuel wood inside the Protected Area and.
- 5. **GC 6:** The waste material generated should be disposed outside the Protected Area.
- 6. **GC 7:** There will be no labour camp within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area.
- 7. **GC 9:** No blasting will be carried out within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area during the work.
- 8. **GC 13:** There shall be no high mast/ beam/search Lights & high sounds within 1 km from the Protected Area boundary.
- 9. **GC 15:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.
- 10.**GC 16:** Maintenance activity of any nature should be carried out only after seeking formal approval from competent authority of Tiger Reserve/PA.

- 11.**GC 18:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of Standard SOP/Guidelines issued by WII, Dehradun for linear projects.
- 12.**GC 19:** Any permission/clearance required under FCA-1980 or other acts may be taken as per rules.

1. **SSC 1**: Power transmission line shall be laid underground in view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 19.04.2021 in Case No.838/2019.

20 Violation, if any

The Use Agency has not violated the provisions of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and no work has been started without proper sanction.

21 Comments of Ministry

1	Name of the Proposal	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer district, Rajasthan by Shri Reshma Ram.				
		FP/RJ/Others/5522/2020				
	Name of the protected Area involved	Desert National Park				
	File No	6-201/2022 WL				
	Name of the State	_	asthan			
	sub-judice		sub-judice			
	area .		2 Sq Km			
	Area proposed for diversion/ Denotification	0.1	ha			
7(b)	Area so far diverted	S.	Name of	User	Year	Area
	from the protected area(s)	No	project	Agency		diverted/permitted for use (ha).
		1.	Reliance Jio 4G Project	Reliance Jio Infocomm Limited	2021	0.9
		2.	Resurfacing Jaisalmer Khuri Miajlar	Ninety Six Border Roads RCC GREF	2021	35.502
		3.	Resurfacing of Harsani Miajlar Road	Ninety Six Border Roads RCC GREF	2021	26.718
8	Status of ESZ, if any	Info	rmation is awa	aited from t	he Sta	te Government
9.	Specific comments w.r.t section 29 to the Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972	The proposal seeks working permission for laying of overhead power line connection up to the tube well in an individual's farm land, which is not likely to have any adverse impact on wildlife or its habitat in Desert National Park.				
	Whether project linear/non-linear	Line	ear			
11	Whether EC obtained	No				

12	Date of submission by	05/12/2020
	user agency	
13	Name of the applicant	Shri Reshma Ram
	agency	
14	Total number of tree to	Nil
	be felled	
15	Maps depicting the	Yes
	Sanctuary and the	
	diversion proposal	
	included or not	

16 Recommendation of State Board for Wild Life

Proposal was recommended by State Board for Wild Life through circulation.

17 Brief justification on the proposal as given by the applicant agency

Project is providing electricity connection up to the tube well in an individual's farmland, which is basic amenity.

18 Rare and endangered species found in the area

Desert National Park is home to Chinkara, Antelope, Blackbucks, jackals and different species of foxes etc.

19 Opinion of the Chief Wild Life Warden

The Chief Wild Life Warden has recommended the proposal with the following conditions:

- GC 2: In compliance of decision taking in the meeting of Standing Committee of NBWL dated 07.08.2021, 2% of the proportional project cost of the project falling within the ESZ and Protected Area should be deposited in RPACS by the User Agency for management and protection of wildlife in the Protected Area.
- 2. **GC 3:** No work shall be done before sunrise and after sunset in the project area.
- 3. GC 4: No material of any kind should be extracted from the Protected Area.
- 4. **GC 5:** There will be no felling of trees and burning of fuel wood inside the Protected Area and.
- 5. **GC 6:** The waste material generated should be disposed outside the Protected Area.
- 6. **GC 7:** There will be no labour camp within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area.
- 7. **GC 9:** No blasting will be carried out within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area during the work.
- 8. **GC 13:** There shall be no high mast/ beam/search Lights & high sounds within 1 km from the Protected Area boundary.
- 9. **GC 15:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.
- 10.**GC 16:** Maintenance activity of any nature should be carried out only after seeking formal approval from competent authority of Tiger Reserve/PA.

- 11.**GC 18:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of Standard SOP/Guidelines issued by WII, Dehradun for linear projects.
- 12.**GC 19:** Any permission/clearance required under FCA-1980 or other acts may be taken as per rules.

1. **SSC 1**: Power transmission line shall be laid underground in view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 19.04.2021 in Case No.838/2019.

20 Violation, if any

The Use Agency has not violated the provisions of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and no work has been started without proper sanction.

21 Comments of Ministry

1	Name of the	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for					
	Proposal	pow	power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer				
	•	distı	rict, Rajasthar	n by Shri Aa	atam F	Ram.	
			-	•			
		FP/I	RJ/Others/55	21/2020			
2	Name of the	Des	ert National F	ark			
	protected Area						
	involved						
3	File No	6-20	02/2022 WL				
4	Name of the State	Raja	asthan				
5	Whether proposal is	Not	sub-judice				
	sub-judice						
6	Area of the	316	2 Sq Km				
	protected area						
7(a)	Area proposed for	0.1	ha				
	diversion/						
	Denotification				Ī:		
	Area so far diverted		Name of	User	Year	Area	
	from the protected	No	project	Agency		diverted/permitted	
	area(s)					for use (ha).	
		1.	Reliance	Reliance	2021	0.9	
			Jio 4G	Jio			
			Project	Infocomm			
			_	Limited			
		2.	Resurfacing	Ninety	2021	35.502	
			Jaisalmer	Six			
			Khuri	Border			
			Miajlar	Roads			
				RCC			
				GREF			
		3.	Resurfacing	Ninety	2021	26.718	
			of Harsani	Six			
			Miajlar	Border			
			Road	Roads			
				RCC			
			1	GREF	<u> </u>		
0	Status of ES7 if and	Info	rmation is a	nitad fram 4	ho Cto	ata Cavaramant	
8	Status of ESZ, if any						
9.	I -		• •		.	nission for laying of	
1		overhead power line connection up to the tube well in					
		an individual's farm land, which is not likely to have any adverse impact on wildlife or its habitat in Desert					
	(1972		onal Park.	on whalle	טו וני	יומטונמנ ווו שלאלונ	
10	Whether project						
'0	linear/non-linear		, cai				
	ai/iioii iiiioai						

11	Whether EC obtained	No
12	Date of submission	05/12/2020
	by user agency	
13	Name of the	Aatam Ram
	applicant agency	
14	Total number of tree	Nil
	to be felled	
15	Maps depicting the	Yes
	Sanctuary and the	
	diversion proposal	
	included or not	
16	Recommendation of	State Board for Wild Life

Proposal was recommended by State Board for Wild Life through circulation.

Brief justification on the proposal as given by the applicant agency

Project is providing electricity connection up to the tube well in an individual's farmland, which is basic amenity.

Rare and endangered species found in the area

Desert National Park is home to Chinkara, Antelope, Blackbucks, jackals and different species of foxes etc.

Opinion of the Chief Wild Life Warden

The Chief Wild Life Warden has recommended the proposal with the following conditions:

- 1. GC 2: In compliance of decision taking in the meeting of Standing Committee of NBWL dated 07.08.2021, 2% of the proportional project cost of the project falling within the ESZ and Protected Area should be deposited in RPACS by the User Agency for management and protection of wildlife in the Protected Area.
- 2. GC 3: No work shall be done before sunrise and after sunset in the project area.
- 3. GC 4: No material of any kind should be extracted from the Protected
- 4. **GC 5:** There will be no felling of trees and burning of fuel wood inside the Protected Area and.
- 5. **GC 6:** The waste material generated should be disposed outside the Protected Area.
- 6. GC 7: There will be no labour camp within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area.
- 7. GC 9: No blasting will be carried out within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area during the work.
- 8. **GC 13:** There shall be no high mast/ beam/search Lights & high sounds within 1 km from the Protected Area boundary.

- 9. **GC 15:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.
- 10.**GC 16:** Maintenance activity of any nature should be carried out only after seeking formal approval from competent authority of Tiger Reserve/PA.
- 11.**GC 18:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of Standard SOP/Guidelines issued by WII, Dehradun for linear projects.
- 12.**GC 19:** Any permission/clearance required under FCA-1980 or other acts may be taken as per rules.

1. **SSC 1**: Power transmission line shall be laid underground in view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 19.04.2021 in Case No.838/2019.

20 Violation, if any

The Use Agency has not violated the provisions of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and no work has been started without proper sanction.

21 | Comments of Ministry

1			Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer					
	Proposal		er connectioi rict, Rajasthar					
		uisti	iot, rajastilai	i by Oilli Oi	ta itai	111.		
		FP/I	FP/RJ/Others/5482/2020					
2		Des	ert National P	ark				
	protected Area involved							
3	File No	6-203/2022 WL						
4	Name of the State	Raja	asthan					
5	Whether proposal is sub-judice	Not	sub-judice					
6	Area of the protected area	316	2 Sq Km					
7(a)	Area proposed for diversion/	0.1	ha					
7(b)	Denotification Area so far diverted	S.	Name of	User	Year	Area		
` '	from the protected			Agency	Cai	diverted/permitted		
	area(s)					for use (ha).		
		1.	Reliance	Reliance	2021	0.9		
			Jio 4G Project	Jio Infocomm				
			l Tojoot	Limited				
		2.	Resurfacing	Ninety	2021	35.502		
			Jaisalmer Khuri	Six Border				
			Miajlar	Roads				
				RCC				
				GREF	2221	20 = 12		
		3.	Resurfacing of Harsani	Ninety Six	2021	26.718		
			Miajlar	Border				
			Road	Roads				
				RCC GREF				
				GKEF	<u> </u>			
8	Status of ESZ, if any	Info	rmation is awa	aited from t	he Sta	te Government		
9.						nission for laying of		
		overhead power line connection up to the tube well in						
			an individual's farm land, which is not likely to have any adverse impact on wildlife or its habitat in Desert					
	1972	Nati	onal Park.					
10	Whether project	Line	ear					
	linear/non-linear							

11	Whether EC	No
	obtained	
12	Date of submission	12/11/2020
	by user agency	
13	Name of the	Shri Uka Ram
	applicant agency	
14	Total number of tree	Nil
	to be felled	
15	Maps depicting the	Yes
	Sanctuary and the	
	diversion proposal	
	included or not	
16	Recommendation of S	State Board for Wild Life

Proposal was recommended by State Board for Wild Life through circulation.

Brief justification on the proposal as given by the applicant agency

Project is providing electricity connection up to the tube well in an individual's farmland, which is basic amenity.

Rare and endangered species found in the area

Desert National Park is home to Chinkara, Antelope, Blackbucks, jackals and different species of foxes etc.

Opinion of the Chief Wild Life Warden

The Chief Wild Life Warden has recommended the proposal with the following conditions:

- 1. GC 2: In compliance of decision taking in the meeting of Standing Committee of NBWL dated 07.08.2021, 2% of the proportional project cost of the project falling within the ESZ and Protected Area should be deposited in RPACS by the User Agency for management and protection of wildlife in the Protected Area.
- 2. GC 3: No work shall be done before sunrise and after sunset in the project area.
- 3. GC 4: No material of any kind should be extracted from the Protected
- 4. **GC 5:** There will be no felling of trees and burning of fuel wood inside the Protected Area and.
- 5. **GC 6:** The waste material generated should be disposed outside the Protected Area.
- 6. **GC 7:** There will be no labour camp within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area.
- 7. **GC 9:** No blasting will be carried out within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area during the work.
- 8. **GC 13:** There shall be no high mast/ beam/search Lights & high sounds within 1 km from the Protected Area boundary.

- 9. **GC 15:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.
- 10.**GC 16:** Maintenance activity of any nature should be carried out only after seeking formal approval from competent authority of Tiger Reserve/PA.
- 11.**GC 18:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of Standard SOP/Guidelines issued by WII, Dehradun for linear projects.
- 12.**GC 19:** Any permission/clearance required under FCA-1980 or other acts may be taken as per rules.

1. **SSC 1**: Power transmission line shall be laid underground in view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 19.04.2021 in Case No.838/2019.

20 Violation, if any

The Use Agency has not violated the provisions of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and no work has been started without proper sanction.

21 | Comments of Ministry

1	Name of the	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for					
	Proposal	pow	power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer				
	•	disti	rict, Rajasthar	า by Shri Sเ	urta Ra	am.	
			-	•			
		FP/I	FP/RJ/Others/5472/2020				
2	Name of the	Des	ert National F	ark			
	protected Area						
	involved						
3	File No	6-20	04/2022 WL				
4	Name of the State	Raja	asthan				
5	Whether proposal is	Not	sub-judice				
	sub-judice						
6	Area of the	316	2 Sq Km				
	protected area						
7(a)	Area proposed for	0.1	ha				
	diversion/						
	Denotification		1		•		
	Area so far diverted		Name of	User	Year	Area	
	from the protected	No	project	Agency		diverted/permitted	
	area(s)					for use (ha).	
		1.	Reliance	Reliance	2021	0.9	
			Jio 4G	Jio			
			Project	Infocomm			
				Limited			
		2.	Resurfacing	Ninety	2021	35.502	
			Jaisalmer	Six			
			Khuri	Border			
			Miajlar	Roads			
				RCC			
		_		GREF			
		3.	Resurfacing	Ninety	2021	26.718	
			of Harsani	Six			
			Miajlar	Border			
			Road	Roads			
				RCC GREF			
]	GKEF	<u> </u>		
8	Status of ESZ, if any	Info	rmation is aw	aited from t	he Sta	ate Government	
9.							
	I -		• •		.	nission for laying of	
I		overhead power line connection up to the tube well in an individual's farm land, which is not likely to have any					
						s habitat in Desert	
	1972		onal Park.	OII WIIGIIIG	01 11	o nabitat in Doseit	
10	Whether project						
	linear/non-linear		· -				
		L					

11	Whether EC obtained	No
	Date of submission by user agency	07/11/2020
13	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Shri Surta Ram
	Total number of tree to be felled	Nil
	Maps depicting the Sanctuary and the diversion proposal included or not	Yes
16	Recommendation of S	State Board for Wild Life

Proposal was recommended by State Board for Wild Life through circulation.

17 Brief justification on the proposal as given by the applicant agency

Project is providing electricity connection up to the tube well in an individual's farmland, which is basic amenity.

18 Rare and endangered species found in the area

Desert National Park is home to Chinkara, Antelope, Blackbucks, jackals and different species of foxes etc.

19 Opinion of the Chief Wild Life Warden

The Chief Wild Life Warden has recommended the proposal with the following conditions:

- 1. **GC 2:** In compliance of decision taking in the meeting of Standing Committee of NBWL dated 07.08.2021, 2% of the proportional project cost of the project falling within the ESZ and Protected Area should be deposited in RPACS by the User Agency for management and protection of wildlife in the Protected Area.
- 2. **GC 3:** No work shall be done before sunrise and after sunset in the project area.
- 3. **GC 4**: No material of any kind should be extracted from the Protected Area.
- 4. **GC 5:** There will be no felling of trees and burning of fuel wood inside the Protected Area and.
- 5. **GC 6:** The waste material generated should be disposed outside the Protected Area.
- 6. **GC 7:** There will be no labour camp within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area.
- 7. **GC 9:** No blasting will be carried out within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area during the work.
- 8. **GC 13:** There shall be no high mast/ beam/search Lights & high sounds within 1 km from the Protected Area boundary.

- 9. **GC 15:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.
- 10.**GC 16:** Maintenance activity of any nature should be carried out only after seeking formal approval from competent authority of Tiger Reserve/PA.
- 11.**GC 18:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of Standard SOP/Guidelines issued by WII, Dehradun for linear projects.
- 12.**GC 19:** Any permission/clearance required under FCA-1980 or other acts may be taken as per rules.

1. **SSC 1**: Power transmission line shall be laid underground in view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 19.04.2021 in Case No.838/2019.

20 Violation, if any

The Use Agency has not violated the provisions of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and no work has been started without proper sanction.

21 | Comments of Ministry

1	Name of the Proposal	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer district, Rajasthan by Shri Bhagwana Ram. FP/RJ/Others/5471/2020				
2	Name of the protected		ert National P			
-	Area involved					
	File No	6-205/2022 WL				
4	Name of the State	_	asthan			
5	Whether proposal is sub-judice		•			
6	Area of the protected area					
7(a)	Area proposed for diversion/ Denotification	0.1	ha			
7(b)	Area so far diverted from the protected area(s)	_	Name of project	User Agency	Year	Area diverted/permitted for use (ha).
		1.	Reliance Jio 4G Project	Reliance Jio Infocomm Limited	2021	0.9
		2.	Resurfacing Jaisalmer Khuri Miajlar	Ninety Six Border Roads RCC GREF	2021	35.502
			Resurfacing of Harsani Miajlar Road	Ninety Six Border Roads RCC GREF	2021	26.718
8	Status of ESZ, if any					te Government
9.	-		•		- .	nission for laying of
	w.r.t section 29 to the Wild Life (Protection)	i i				
	Act, 1972	an individual's farm land, which is not likely to have any adverse impact on wildlife or its habitat in Desert National Park.				
	Whether project linear/non-linear	Line				
11	Whether EC obtained	No				

12	Date of submission by	07/11/2020			
	user agency				
13	Name of the applicant	Bhagwana Ram			
	agency				
14	Total number of tree to	Nil			
	be felled				
15	Maps depicting the	Yes			
	Sanctuary and the				
	diversion proposal				
	included or not				
16	Recommendation of State Board for Wild Life				

Proposal was recommended by State Board for Wild Life through circulation.

Brief justification on the proposal as given by the applicant agency

Project is providing electricity connection up to the tube well in an individual's farmland, which is basic amenity.

Rare and endangered species found in the area

Desert National Park is home to Chinkara, Antelope, Blackbucks, jackals and different species of foxes etc.

Opinion of the Chief Wild Life Warden

The Chief Wild Life Warden has recommended the proposal with the following conditions:

- 1. GC 2: In compliance of decision taking in the meeting of Standing Committee of NBWL dated 07.08.2021, 2% of the proportional project cost of the project falling within the ESZ and Protected Area should be deposited in RPACS by the User Agency for management and protection of wildlife in the Protected Area.
- 2. GC 3: No work shall be done before sunrise and after sunset in the project
- 3. **GC 4**: No material of any kind should be extracted from the Protected Area.
- 4. GC 5: There will be no felling of trees and burning of fuel wood inside the Protected Area and.
- 5. GC 6: The waste material generated should be disposed outside the Protected Area.
- 6. GC 7: There will be no labour camp within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area.
- 7. GC 9: No blasting will be carried out within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area during the work.
- 8. GC 13: There shall be no high mast/ beam/search Lights & high sounds within 1 km from the Protected Area boundary.
- 9. GC 15: The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.
- 10. GC 16: Maintenance activity of any nature should be carried out only after seeking formal approval from competent authority of Tiger Reserve/PA.

- 11.**GC 18:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of Standard SOP/Guidelines issued by WII, Dehradun for linear projects.
- 12. **GC 19:** Any permission/clearance required under FCA-1980 or other acts may be taken as per rules.

1. **SSC 1**: Power transmission line shall be laid underground in view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 19.04.2021 in Case No.838/2019.

20 Violation, if any

The Use Agency has not violated the provisions of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and no work has been started without proper sanction.

21 Comments of Ministry

1	Name of the Proposal		Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer				
	-	dist	rict, Rajasthar	n by Shri Ar	jun Ra	nm Anda Ram.	
		FP	/RJ/Others/56	62/2021			
2	Name of the	+	ert National P				
	protected Area						
	involved						
	File No		09/2022 WL				
	Name of the State		asthan 				
	Whether proposal is sub-judice		•				
6	Area of the protected area	316	2 Sq Km				
	Area proposed for diversion/ Denotification	0.1	ha				
	Area so far diverted	S.	Name of	User	Year	Area	
	from the protected	No	project	Agency		diverted/permitted	
	area(s)					for use (ha).	
		1.	Reliance	Reliance	2021	0.9	
			Jio 4G	Jio			
			Project	Infocomm Limited			
		2.	Resurfacing	Ninety	2021	35.502	
			Jaisalmer	Six			
			Khuri	Border			
			Miajlar	Roads			
				RCC GREF			
		3.	Resurfacing		2021	26.718	
		J.	of Harsani	Six		20.710	
			Miajlar	Border			
			Road	Roads			
				RCC			
	Otatua of EO7 If arres	le f c	was ations in accord	GREF	b o C+-	to Covernors and	
8 9.	Status of ESZ, if any Specific comments					nission for laying of	
) 9 .	w.r.t section 29 to		• •		• .		
			•			not likely to have any	
	(Protection) Act,					s habitat in Desert	
	1972	_	onal Park.				
	Whether project	Line	ear				
	linear/non-linear						

11	Whether EC obtained	No				
12	Date of submission by user agency	20/01/2021				
13	Name of the applicant agency	Arjun Ram Anda Ram				
14	Total number of tree to be felled	Nil				
15	Maps depicting the Sanctuary and the diversion proposal included or not	Yes				
16	Recommendation of State Board for Wild Life Proposal was recommended by State Board for Wild Life through circulation.					

17 Brief justification on the proposal as given by the applicant agency

Project is providing electricity connection up to the tube well in an individual's farmland, which is basic amenity.

18 Rare and endangered species found in the area

Desert National Park is home to Chinkara, Antelope, Blackbucks, jackals and different species of foxes etc.

19 Opinion of the Chief Wild Life Warden

The Chief Wild Life Warden has recommended the proposal with the following conditions:

- GC 2: In compliance of decision taking in the meeting of Standing Committee of NBWL dated 07.08.2021, 2% of the proportional project cost of the project falling within the ESZ and Protected Area should be deposited in RPACS by the User Agency for management and protection of wildlife in the Protected Area.
- 2. **GC 3:** No work shall be done before sunrise and after sunset in the project area.
- 3. **GC 4**: No material of any kind should be extracted from the Protected Area.
- 4. **GC 5:** There will be no felling of trees and burning of fuel wood inside the Protected Area and.
- 5. **GC 6:** The waste material generated should be disposed outside the Protected Area.
- 6. **GC 7:** There will be no labour camp within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area.
- 7. **GC 9:** No blasting will be carried out within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area during the work.
- 8. **GC 13:** There shall be no high mast/ beam/search Lights & high sounds within 1 km from the Protected Area boundary.
- 9. **GC 15:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.

- 10.**GC 16:** Maintenance activity of any nature should be carried out only after seeking formal approval from competent authority of Tiger Reserve/PA.
- 11.**GC 18:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of Standard SOP/Guidelines issued by WII, Dehradun for linear projects.
- 12.**GC 19:** Any permission/clearance required under FCA-1980 or other acts may be taken as per rules.

1. **SSC 1**: Power transmission line shall be laid underground in view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 19.04.2021 in Case No.838/2019.

20 Violation, if any

The Use Agency has not violated the provisions of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and no work has been started without proper sanction.

21 Comments of Ministry

1	Name of the Proposal	Proposal for use of 0.1 ha from Desert National Park for power connection to own Khatedari land in Barmer district, Rajasthan by Shri Jogendra Singh. FP/RJ/Others/5590/2021				
2	Name of the protected Area involved	Desert National Park				
3	File No	6-21	10/2022 WL			
4	Name of the State	Rajasthan				
	Whether proposal is sub-judice					
	Area of the protected area					
	Area proposed for diversion/ Denotification	0.1	ha			
	Area so far diverted from the protected area(s)		Name of project	User Agency	Year	Area diverted/permitted for use (ha).
		1.	Reliance Jio 4G Project	Reliance Jio Infocomm Limited	2021	0.9
		2.	Resurfacing Jaisalmer Khuri Miajlar	Ninety Six Border Roads RCC GREF	2021	35.502
		3.	Resurfacing of Harsani Miajlar Road	Ninety Six Border Roads RCC GREF	2021	26.718
8	Status of ESZ, if any	Info	rmation is awa	aited from t	he Sta	ate Government
		The proposal seeks working permission for laying of overhead power line connection up to the tube well in an individual's farm land, which is not likely to have any adverse impact on wildlife or its habitat in Desert National Park.				
	linear/non-linear	Line	ar			
11	Whether EC obtained	No				

Date of submission by	03/01/2021
user agency	
Name of the applicant	Jogendra Singh
agency	
Total number of tree to	Nil
be felled	
Maps depicting the	Yes
Sanctuary and the	
diversion proposal	
included or not	
	Name of the applicant agency Total number of tree to be felled Maps depicting the Sanctuary and the diversion proposal

16 Recommendation of State Board for Wild Life

Proposal was recommended by State Board for Wild Life through circulation.

17 Brief justification on the proposal as given by the applicant agency

Project is providing electricity connection up to the tube well in an individual's farmland, which is basic amenity.

18 Rare and endangered species found in the area

Desert National Park is home to Chinkara, Antelope, Blackbucks, jackals and different species of foxes etc.

19 Opinion of the Chief Wild Life Warden

The Chief Wild Life Warden has recommended the proposal with the following conditions:

- GC 2: In compliance of decision taking in the meeting of Standing Committee of NBWL dated 07.08.2021, 2% of the proportional project cost of the project falling within the ESZ and Protected Area should be deposited in RPACS by the User Agency for management and protection of wildlife in the Protected Area.
- 2. **GC 3:** No work shall be done before sunrise and after sunset in the project area.
- 3. GC 4: No material of any kind should be extracted from the Protected Area.
- 4. **GC 5:** There will be no felling of trees and burning of fuel wood inside the Protected Area and.
- 5. **GC 6:** The waste material generated should be disposed outside the Protected Area.
- 6. **GC 7:** There will be no labour camp within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area.
- 7. **GC 9:** No blasting will be carried out within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area during the work.
- 8. **GC 13:** There shall be no high mast/ beam/search Lights & high sounds within 1 km from the Protected Area boundary.
- 9. **GC 15:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.
- 10.**GC 16:** Maintenance activity of any nature should be carried out only after seeking formal approval from competent authority of Tiger Reserve/PA.

- 11.**GC 18:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of Standard SOP/Guidelines issued by WII, Dehradun for linear projects.
- 12.**GC 19:** Any permission/clearance required under FCA-1980 or other acts may be taken as per rules.

Site Specific Conditions:

1. **SSC 1**: Power transmission line shall be laid underground in view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 19.04.2021 in Case No.838/2019.

20 Violation, if any

The Use Agency has not violated the provisions of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and no work has been started without proper sanction.

21 Comments of Ministry

	T	<u></u>
1	Name of the Proposal	Proposal for use of 13.725 ha of forest land from
		Ramgarh Vishdhari Tiger Reserve for
		Strengthening & Widening of Tonk Nagar
		Nainwa Khatkar K. Patan road SH-34 KM34/0 to
		86/300 under SRF Scheme, Rajasthan.
		60/300 under Sixi Scheme, ixajastilan.
		FP/RJ/ROAD/4004/2019.
2	•	Ramgarh Vishdhari Tiger Reserve
	Area involved	
3	File No.	6-31/2022 WL
4	Name of the State	Rajasthan
		,
5		Not sub-judice
	sub-judice	
6	Area of the protected	1501.88 sq. km.
	area	
7(a)	Area proposed for	13.725 ha
[()	diversion/	1011 20 110
	Denotification	
7/1 \		N L A
7(b)	Area so far diverted	INA
	from the protected	
	area(s)	
8	Status of ESZ, if any	Draft Notification - 06.01.2021
9.	i	Since, it is an existing road, with no change in
		width; the impact as per the project is section 29
		to the Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972 would be
	1972	·
	1972	l e
		prescribed.
10	Whether project	Linear
	linear/non-linear	
11	Whether EC obtained	No
12	Date of submission by	26/03/2019
	user agency	
13		Public Works Department Rajasthan, Jaipur
	agency	
14	Total number of tree to	NΔ
'4		
1-	be felled	N
15	Maps depicting	res
	the diversion	
	proposal included or	
	not	
16	Recommendation of State	Board for Wild Life
		-
	Proposal was recommende	d by State Board for Wild Life through circulation
17		proposal as given by the applicant agency
''		applicant as given by the applicant agency
1		

Strengthening & Widening of Tonk Nagar Nainwa Khatkar K. Patan road SH-34 KM34/0 to 86/300 under SRF Scheme, Rajasthan is necessary as it is old and during consolidation record 2028-2047, as per revenue record, it is in the name of PWD. There is no alternative road which would not affected the forest land. Construction cost would be high, if other alternative road is considered.

18 Rare and endangered species found in the area

Ramgarh Vishdhari Tiger Reserve is home for Tiger, Leopard, Sloth Bear, Hyaena, Jackal, Chital, Sambhar etc.

19 Opinion of the Chief Wild Life Warden

The Chief Wild Life Warden has recommended the proposal with the following conditions:

- 1. **GC 1:** 5% of the proportional project cost falling within the Protected Area **should** be deposited in RPACS by the user agency for management and protection of Wildlife in the state.
- 2. **GC 3:** No work shall be done before sense and after sunset in the project area.
- 3. **GC 4:** No material of any kind should be extracted from the Protected Area and Eco-Sensitive Zone.
- 4. **GC 5:** There will be no felling of trees and burning of fuel wood inside the Protected Area and Eco-Sensitive Zone.
- 5. **GC 6:** The Waste material generated should be disposed outside the Protected Area and Eco-Sensitive Zone.
- 6. **GC 7:** There will be no labor camp within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area.
- 7. **GC 9:** No blasting will be carried out within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area during the work.
- 8. **GC 10:** To restrict movement of wild animals towards the road/ railway track in the Protected Area. Adequate mitigative measures such as wall chin link fencing will be constructed by the User Agency to stop accidents.
- 9. **GC 11:** Green belt should be created by the User Agency on the periphery of the project area.
- 10.**GC 12:** Water Harvesting Structure for charging of Water should be mandatory in the project area.
- 11. **GC 13:** There shall be no high mast beam lights & high sould within 1 km from the Protected Area boundary.
- 12.**GC 14:** Signages regarding information about the wild animals in the area. Control of the traffic volumes speed etc should be erected in the project area.
- 13.**GC 15:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.
- 14.**GC 16:** Maintenance activity of any nature should be carried out only after seeking formal approval from competent authority of tiger reserve/PA.
- 15.GC 18: The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of Standard SOP Guidelines issued by WII. Dehradun for linear project.

16. **GC 19:** Any permission clearance required under FCA -1980 or other acts may be taken as per rules.

Site Specific Conditions:

Nil

20 Violation, if any

Project authority has never violated any provision of Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972(as amended) as well as Forest Conservation Act, 1980.

21 Comments of NTCA

National Tiger Conservation Authority (NTCA) vide letter no.F.No.7-12/2022-NTCA dated 7th October, 2022 has suggested following mitigation measures:

Suggested Mitigation Measures

A. In Between Khatkad T Junction & Manakchowk (7 km)

- 1. The Committee proposed construction of 2-3 culverts, ideally of 10 m span and 4 m height, as animal underpasses on this section of the road. Locations of these culverts should be determined after a joint survey made by PWD with forest department. PWD should also consider widening of the existing culverts to 10 m wherever feasible. Places where these culverts are at the level of the road, the underlying drainage structures may be deepened to 4 m height.
- 2. The underpasses should be concrete (RCC) structures so as to enhance their longevity and minimize disturbances caused during annual repair / maintenance of otherwise bitumen structures. The bottom of structures should, as far as possible, have similar substrates to that which would occur naturally in the absence of the structure. The approach to an animal crossing is a crucial factor in determining its use. Appropriate use of vegetation (trees, shrubs and grasses) can play a significant role in enhancing the naturalness of an engineered structure.
- 3. PWD should ensure that no drainage is blocked by desilting the existing water passageways. Box and pipe culverts need to be placed at many additional places along this entire section (Khatkad T junction to Manakchowk) permitting unhindered movement of smaller mammals, amphibians and reptiles. Details of these structures may be adopted from WII guidelines on linear infrastructures.
- 4. Vegetative camouflage should also need to be fortified along the road as per WII's guidelines on linear infrastructure.
- 5. The remaining portion of the road should be provided with speed breakers and rumble strips at every interval of 500 meters. Speed breakers and rumble strips should be appropriately marked to make them visible to the drivers (both during day and night) so as to avoid vehicular accidents. Sign posts warning about movements of wild animals should also be placed by PWD at regular intervals along the widened road. These should be placed where wildlife crossings are

- maximum and should be determined in consultation with forest department.
- 6. In areas where underpasses are not provided and the road passes through forest areas, clearing of brushwood and bushes up-to a width of 10-15 m on both sides of the road would be maintained by PWD for clear visibility of the wild animals crossing the road. This should be done in consultation with forest department.

B. In Between Manakchowk & Jetpur (11 km)

Since the area is predominantly flat with little undulations and passing mostly through human habitations, the Committee recommends provision of speed breakers and rumble strips at every interval of 500 meters along the road. Speed breakers and rumble strips should be appropriately marked to make them visible to the drivers (both during day and night) so as to avoid vehicular accidents. Sign posts warning about movements of wild animals should also be placed by PWD at regular intervals along the widened road. These should be placed where wildlife crossings are maximum and should be determined in consultation with forest department.

C. In the Eco-Sensitive Zone

The Committee observed a violation since road has already been widened unmitigated without seeking any wildlife clearance. Sign posts warning about movements of wild animals should be placed by PWD at regular intervals along the widened road under eco-sensitive zone. These should be placed where wildlife crossings are maximum and should be determined in consultation with forest department.

D. General Mitigation Measures:

- Construction work should be during daytime and no night camp of labours and contractor / user agency officials inside the forest should be allowed.
- 2. Use of heavy earth moving vehicles should be made as minimum as possible since they are likely to create substantial noise pollution in the area.
- Construction materials (including top soil) should be procured from outside the forest area. The debris should be appropriately dumped outside the forest by user agency taking due care of air/dust pollution.
- 4. PWD should ensure that the construction period is kept minimal since construction work continued for a longer duration might completely decimate the wildlife populations in the area beyond recovery
- 5. PWD should construct CCTV enabled check posts for forest department at Khatkad T junction, Manakchowk and Jetpur (or any other strategic positions as deemed fit by forest department) for regular monitoring of vehicles and control of traffic during emergency situations.
- 6. Rajasthan Forest Department should gradually try to regulate traffic at night on the road.

7. The CWLW, Rajasthan should have appropriate mechanism in place for compliance of the conditions laid in this report during various phases of project implementation.

Though the committee has mentioned regarding the widening of the road in eco-sensitive zone without wildlife clearance, it is worth mentioning here that the project does not require EC and therefore, the portion of the road falling in the ESZ does not require consideration of the SCNBWL.

1	Name of the Proposal	Proposal for use of 22.5 ha of forest land from
'	rame of the Froposar	Nahargarh Wildlife Sanctuary for construction of
		tourist facilities inside Amer Fort Premises,
		•
		, ,
		Rajasthan.
		FP/RJ/Others/6306/2022
2	Name of the protected	Nahargarh Wildlife Sanctuary
	Area involved	
3	File No.	6-212/2022 WL
4	Name of the State	Rajasthan
5	Whether proposal is sub-	Yes. The National Green Tribunal vide its order
	judice	dated 04.10.2021 in original application no.11/2021
		Rajendra Tiwari Versus Union of India and Ors.
		directed that all restaurants operating in the notified
		forest area of Nahargarh Wildlife
		Sanctuary/Nahargarh Fort be discontinued with
		effect from December 01, 2021. In case of any
		dispute the boundaries be verified jointly by the
		Forest Department and Collector Jaipur. NGT also
		directed that the light and sound program in the
		area of wildlife sanctuary be discontinued from the
		said date. It is however made clear that this will not
		affect parking is however made clear that this will
		not affect parking and entry of visitors in the
		Fort/Museum. However, the Forest Department will
		install noise barriers at appropriate locations to
		mitigate the impact of noise generated by the
		vehicles. The Forest Department will also regulate
		number of vehicles and locations of parking. The
		Forest Department may also undertake and
		regulate such other activities as may be consistent
		with object of the wildlife Act and FC Act in the
		notified forest and wildlife area, including Fort. The
		Archaeology and Museum Department, Rajasthan
		has filed Civil Appeal No.7128/2021 against the
		order of NGT before Hon'ble Supreme Court.
		Hon'ble Supreme Court vide order dated
		24.02.2022 has stayed the operation of order
		passed by the NGT.
6	Area of the protected area	
7(a)	• •	22.5 ha
	diversion/De-notification	
7(b)	Area so far diverted from	NA
	the protected area(s)	

8	Status of ESZ, if any	Final notified on 8 th March, 2019. The Eco- Sensitive Zone shall be to an extent of 0 (zero) to 13 kilometers.
9.	section 29 to the Wild Life	The proposal is for 22.5 ha land for tourist facilities at Amer Fort Area. There is no negative impact on the Wildlife Sanctuary.
10	Whether project linear/non-linear	Non-Linear
11	Whether EC obtained	No
12	Date of submission by user agency	27/04/2022
13	Name of the applicant agency	Department of Archaeology and Museums, Rajasthan
14	Total number of tree to be felled	NA
15	Maps depicting the diversion proposal included or not	Yes
16	Recommendation of State Proposal was recommended	Board for Wild Life by State Board for Wild Life through circulation.

17 Brief justification on the proposal as given by the applicant agency

- 1. According to the decision taken in the covenant on 12 April 1954, Amer Mahal was transferred from the royal family to the state government for its preservation as an ancient monument. Amer Mahal has been under the control of the Department of Archaeology and Museums since its constitution.
- **2.** Entry tickets for tourists have been imposed by the Department of Archaeology and Museums from 1st June 1956.
- 3. The ancient palace of Amer on the hill was declared protected on 16 September 1968, in accordance with the powers conferred by the Department of Archeology and Museums under sub-section 4 of section 3 of the Rajasthan Monuments and the Antiquities Act 1961 (Act No. 19 of 1961).
- **4.** The following buildings and structures are mainly located in the precincts of the palace, some of the which are opened for tourists which are as follows:
 - Kesar Kyari (Mohan Baadi) Sound and light show, kiosk and vehicle parking (No construction on a vacant parking land) operational.
 - b. Dil e Aaram Bagh Kiosk & Shop operated by M/s Tribal Cooperative Marketing Development Federation of India Limited
 - c. Ram Bagh
 - d. Elephant Stand kiosk and vehicle parking (No construction on a vacant parking land) and operation of elephants and batteries operated vehicles.
 - e. Surajpol Gate
 - f. Chandpole Gate

- g. Jaleb Chowk superintendent office, staff office, palace booking, elephant ride platform, CCTV control room, home guard control room, interpretation center, A.T.M., segway ride and restaurant operational.
- h. Shila Mata Temple Complex
- i. Panna Miyan Ki Haveli
- j. Vehicle parking on the hill outside Chandpole Gate (No construction on a vacant parking land) kiosk operational
- k. Sinhpol gate
- I. Deewan-e-aam audio guide operational
- m. 27 Court
- n. Ganesh Pol
- o. Sheesh Mahal
- p. Mughal Gardens
- a. Sukh Niwas
- r. Mansingh Mahal
- s. Madhosingh Dhan
- t. Tunnel
- Janani Dyodi Cafeteria, Book Shop, Souvenir Shop, Amer Palace Shop, Forex Exchange Counter Operational

Note All the above tourist facilities are operated in the structure located in the premises of the palace built from the middle of 1579 to 1880. No new construction has been done for these tourist facilities. The main objective of the Department is to preserve monuments in their original form. Therefore, construction of new structures is not possible inside. We have attached a map of the area of the palace complex.

Due to these operational facilities, there has been massive increase in the number of tourists in previous years. For example, 13,79,872 tourists in the year 2012-13 and 18,62,092 tourists in the year 2019-20 visited the Amer Palace.

Local residents are engaged in the above activities for the convenience of tourists. These activities are also the basis of livelihood of the local residents. There are about 150 personnel in the parking, restaurant, cafeteria, elephant operations and security guards etc. Therefore, the livelihood of these 150 families is dependent presently on the above activities.

Therefore, proposal for wildlife clearance is being submitted for the area of Amer Palace keeping in mind all the parameters.

18 Rare and endangered species found in the area

Nahargarh Wildlife Sanctuary is home to banded krait (*Bugorus fasciatus*), cobra (*Naja naja*),common krait (*Bungarus caeruleus*), fresh water swamp crocodile (*Crocodylus palustris*), Indian python (*Python molurus*), north Indian flap shelled turtle (*Lissemys punctata punctata*), rat snake (*Ptyas mucosus*), leopard (*Panthera pardus*) etc.

19 Opinion of the Chief Wild Life Warden

The Chief Wild Life Warden has recommended the proposal with the following conditions:

- 1. Wildlife clearance is being sought as per directions of Chief Secretary Rajasthan dated 07.03.2022 for activities already under operation. An amount equal to entry fees shall be charged from the tourist for entering Nahargarh Sanctuary and deposited in RPACS for management and protection of wildlife in that area.
- 2. **GC 3:** No work shall be done before sunrise and after sunset in the project area.
- 3. GC 4: No material of any kind should be extracted from the Protected Area.
- 4. **GC 5:** There will be no felling of trees and burning of fuel wood inside the Protected Area.
- 5. **GC 6:** The waste material generated should be disposed outside the Protected Area.
- 6. **GC 7:** There will be no labor camp within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area.
- **7. GC 9:** No blasting will be carried out within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area during the work.
- **8. GC 11:** Green belt should be created by the User Agency on the periphery of the project area.
- **9. GC 12:** Water Harvesting Structure for recharging of water should be mandatory in the project area.
- 10.**GC 13:** There shall be no high mast/ beam/search lights & high sounds within 1 km from the Protected Area boundary.
- 11.**GC 14:** Signages regarding information about the wild animals in the area, control of the traffic volumes, speed etc. should be erected in the project area.
- 12.**GC 15:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.
- 13.**GC 16:** Maintenance activity of any nature should be carried out only after seeking formal approval from competent authority of tiger reserve/PA.
- **14.GC 19:** Any permission/clearance required under FCA-1980 or other acts may be taken as per rules.

Site Specific Conditions:

- 1. **SSC 1:** All plastic material like polythene bags and other waste material should be disposed-off outside the sanctuary area.
- 2. SSC 2: All tourism activities will run only in day time (sunrise to Sunset).
- 3. **SSC3:** No night camping will be allowed for any tourism activity.

20 Comments of Ministry

1	Name of the Proposal	Proposal for use of 21.255 ha of forest land from Nahargarh Wildlife Sanctuary for construction of tourist facilities inside Nahargarh Fort, Department of Archaeology and Museums, Rajasthan.
		FP/RJ/Others/6305/2022
2	Area involved	Nahargarh Wildlife Sanctuary
3	File No.	6- 181/2022 WL
4	Name of the State	Rajasthan
5	Whether proposal is subjudice	Yes. The National Green Tribunal vide its order dated 04.10.2021 in original application No.11/2021 Rajendra Tiwari Versus Union of India and Ors. directed that all restaurants operating in the notified forest area of Nahargarh Wildlife Sanctuary/Nahargarh Fort be discontinued with effect from December 01,2021. In case of any dispute the boundaries be verified jointly by the Forest Department and Collector Jaipur. NGT also directed that the light and sound program in the area of wildlife sanctuary be discontinued from the said date. It is however made clear that this will not affect parking is however made clear that this will not affect parking and entry of visitors in the Fort/Museum. However, the Forest Department will install noise barriers at appropriate locations to mitigate the impact of noise generated by the vehicles. The Forest Department will also regulate number of vehicles and locations of parking. The Forest Department may also undertake and regulate such other activities as may be consistent with object of the wildlife Act and FC Act in the notified forest and wildlife area, including Fort. The Archaeology and Museum Department, Rajasthan has filed Civil Appeal No.7128/2021 against the order of NGT before Hon'ble Supreme Court. Hon'ble Supreme Court vide order dated 24.02.2022 has stayed the operation of order
6	Area of the protected area	passed by the NGT. 52.40 sq km
7(a)	•	21.255 ha
7(b)	Area so far diverted from the protected area(s)	NA
8	Status of ESZ, if any	Final notified on 8 th March, 2019. The Eco- Sensitive Zone shall be to an extent of 0 (zero) to 13 kilometers.

	tourist
section 29 to the Wild Life facilities at Nahargarh Fort Area. There	is no
(Protection) Act, 1972 negative impact on the Wildlife Sanctuary.	
10 Whether project Non-Linear	
linear/non-linear	
11 Whether EC obtained No	
12 Date of submission by 27/04/2022	
user agency	
13 Name of the applicant Department of Archaeology and Mu	seums,
agency Rajasthan.	
14 Total number of tree to be NA	
felled	
15 Maps depicting the Yes	
diversion proposal	
included or not	
16 Recommendation of State Board for Wild Life	
Proposal was recommended by State Board for Wild Life through circulat	ion.

17 Brief justification on the proposal as given by the applicant agency

- 1. According to the decision taken in the covenant on 4 April 1949, the Nahargarh fort was transferred from the royal family to the state government to preserve it as an ancient monument. The Nahargarh Fort has been under the control of the Archaeology and Museums Department since its constitution.
- 2. The entry of tourists through entry tickets on Nahargarh fort was implemented by the Department of Archaeology and Museums from 1st April 1958.
- 3. The Sudarshan (Nahargarh Fort) and Charan Temple was declared protected on 16 September 1968, in accordance with the powers conferred by the Department of Archaeology and Museums under subsection 4 of section 3 of the Rajasthan Monuments and the Antiquities Act 1961 (Act No. 19 of 1961).
- 4. The following buildings and structures are mainly located in the precincts of Nahargarh Fort, some of the which are opened for tourists which are as follows:
 - a. Dungar Gate
 - b. Bawdi
 - c. Ghati Gate
 - d. Tadi Gate
 - e. Silah Khana (Wax Museum Operational)
 - f. Soldier Rest House (Office Operational)
 - g. Deewan -e Aam (Food Court Operational)
 - h. Telecommunication Office
 - i. Maharaja Mahal (Open Upon A Time)
 - j. Khazana Bhawan
 - k. Madhavendra Bhawan
 - I. Pani Ka Tanka
 - m. Captain's Dera

- n. Hazari Bhuj (Padhav Restaurant RTDC)
- o. Kali Burj
- p. Parking (No construction on vacant land)
- q. Open Air Theatre not constructed by the Department (But under the control of Forest Department)

Note: All the above tourist facilities are operated in the structure located in the fort complex built from 1734 to the middle of 1920. No new construction has been done for these tourist facilities. The basic objective of the department is to maintain the protected monuments in their original form. Therefore, it is not possible to renovate inside the protected monument.

Due to the above activities conducted for convenience of tourists, the number of tourists has increased significantly in the last years. For example, 2,23,908 tourists in the year 2012-13 and in the year 2019-20, 7,14,645 tourists came to visit Nahargarh fort.

Local residents are engaged in the above activities for the convenience of tourists. These activities are also the basis of livelihood of the local residents. Around 100 personnel are engaged in parking, wax museum, stop, security guard etc. Therefore, the livelihood of these 100 families is dependent presently on the above activities.

Therefore, proposal for wildlife clearance is being submitted for the area of Nahargarh Fort keeping in mind all the parameters.

18 Rare and endangered species found in the area

Nahargarh Wildlife Sanctuary is home to Nahargarh Wildlife Sanctuary is home to banded krait (*Bugorus fasciatus*), cobra (*Naja naja*),common krait (*Bungarus caeruleus*), fresh water swamp crocodile (*Crocodylus palustris*), Indian python (*Python molurus*), north Indian flap shelled turtle (*Lissemys punctata punctata*), rat snake (*Ptyas mucosus*), leopard (*Panthera pardus*) etc. etc

19 Opinion of the Chief Wild Life Warden

The Chief Wild Life Warden has recommended the proposal with the following conditions:

- Wildlife clearance is being sought as per directions of Chief Secretary Rajasthan dated 07.03.2022 for activities already under operation. An amount equal to entry fees shall be charged from the tourist for entering Nahargarh Sanctuary and deposited in RPACS for management and protection of wildlife in that area.
- 2. **GC 3:** No work shall be done before sunrise and after sunset in the project area.
- 3. **GC 4**: No material of any kind should be extracted from the Protected Area.
- 4. **GC 5:** There will be no felling of trees and burning of fuel wood inside the Protected Area.
- 5. **GC 6:** The waste material generated should be disposed outside the Protected Area.

- 6. **GC 7:** There will be no labor camp within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area.
- **7. GC 9:** No blasting will be carried out within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area during the work.
- **8. GC 11:** Green belt should be created by the User Agency on the periphery of the project area.
- **9. GC 12:** Water Harvesting Structure for recharging of water should be mandatory in the project area.
- 10.**GC 13:** There shall be no high mast/ beam/search lights & high sounds within 1 km from the Protected Area boundary.
- 11. **GC 14:** Signages regarding information about the wild animals in the area, control of the traffic volumes, speed etc. should be erected in the project area.
- 12.**GC 15:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.
- 13. **GC 16:** Maintenance activity of any nature should be carried out only after seeking formal approval from competent authority of tiger reserve/PA.
- **14.GC 19:** Any permission/clearance required under FCA-1980 or other acts may be taken as per rules.

Site Specific Conditions:

- 1. **SSC 1:** All plastic material like polythene bags and other waste material should be disposed off outside the sanctuary area.
 - 2. SSC 2: All tourism activities will run only in day time (sunrise to Sunset).
 - 3. **SSC3:** No night camping will be allowed for any tourism activity.

20 Comments of Ministry

The Standing Committee may like to take a view on the proposal.

B. Proposal falling outside the protected area

S. No.	F. No.	Name of the Proposal	
1.	6-175/2022 WL	Proposed Silica Sand mining project ML.No.09/2003 (Area 4.2682 Ha) in village- Manoharpura, Tehsil, district-Karauli of M/s Rama Minerals. Proposed site is 5.1 Km away from the Kaila Devi Wildlife Sanctuary (WLS) which is a part of Ranthambhore Tiger Reserve. FP/RJ/MIN/4863/2020	
2.	6-176/2022 WL	Proposed Richhoti silica sand and masonry stone mine M.L.No.06/1982 M/s Kumar Herbals & Silica, Rajasthan. Proposed site is 8.2 Km away from the Kaila Devi Wildlife Sanctuary which is part of Ranthambhore Tiger Reserve (RTR). FP/RJ/MIN/5863/2021	

	Name of the protected	ML. Mar Min Kail of R FP/ Kail		a 4.2682 district-Ka ite is 5.1 l nctuary (W er Reserve D. nctuary (W	Ha) arauli o Km aw 'LS) wh	in village- if M/s Rama yay from the hich is a part
3	Area involved File no.		tanthambhore Tige 75/2022 WL	er Reserve	e (RTR)	
4	Name of the State	Raja	asthan			
5	Whether proposal is sub-judice	Not	sub-judice			
6	Area of the protected area			,		
. ,	diversion/Denotification		68 ha			
7(b)		no	Name of project Diversion of 32.2 ha of forest	User Agency PWD	Year 2007	Area Diverted/ Permitted for use(ha.)
			land for construction of road from keladevi to karanpur in Sawai Madhopur district at present district Karauli state Rajasthan.			
		2.	High power transmission line 400KV S/C & DC from Chhabra TPS to Hindaun T/L.	RRVPNL	2011	09.1
8	Status of ESZ, if any	Info	ormation is awaited	d from the	State (Govt.

9.	Specific comments w.r.t	Section 29 of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972 is
	section 29 to the Wild	not applicable in the Eco-Sensitive Zone.
	Life (Protection) Act,	
	1972	
10	Whether project	Non-Linear
	linear/non-linear	
11	Whether EC obtained	EC obtained vide F.No.F() DEIAA/DEAC/
		Project/ Cat-1(a) B2(EC)/91 dated 2nd Jun2, 2016
12	Date of submission by	04/02/2020
	user agency	
13	Name of the applicant	RAMA MINERALS
	agency	
14	Total number of tree to	Nil
	be felled	
15	Maps depicting the	Yes
	diversion proposal	
	included or not	
16	Recommendation of Stat	e Board for Wild Life

Proposal was recommended by State Board for Wild Life through circulation with a condition that User Agency will take environmental clearance for the project.

17 Brief justification on the proposal as given by the applicant agency

Proposed Silica Sand mining project ML.No.09/2003, Area 4.2682 Ha at near village-Richhoti, Tehsil & District-Karauli (Rajasthan) of M/s Rama Minerals. The project cost of the proposed Sililca mining project ML.No.09/2003 is Rs.30.00 Lakhs.

Kailadevi Wildlife Sanctuary and Ranthambore Tiger Reserve are located at 5.1 Km in South-East direction from the proposed project. Since the project falls within the ESZ of (10 Km) of Kailadevi WLS & Ranthambore TR, it requires Wildlife Clearance from NBWL Standing Committee under Wildlife (Protection) Act. 1972.

18 Rare and endangered species found in the area

Kaila Devi Wildlife Sanctuary is home to tiger, leopard, sloth bear, wild boar, sambar, spotted deer, chinkara, jackal, hyena and wolf etc.

19 Opinion of the Chief Wild Life Warden

The Chief Wild Life Warden has recommended the proposal with the following conditions:

- GC 2: 2% of the proportional project cost of the project falling within the ESZ of protected area should be deposited in RPACS by the User Agency for management and protection of wildlife within the same Protected Area.
- 2. **GC 3:** No work shall be done before sunrise and after sunset in the protected area and within 1 Km of Protected Area.

- 3. **GC 4**: No material of any kind should be extracted from the Protected Area beyond the project area.
- 4. **GC 5:** There will be no felling of trees and burning of fuel wood inside the Protected Area and Eco-Sensitive Zone.
- 5. **GC 6:** The waste material generated should be disposed outside the Protected Area and Eco-Sensitive Zone.
- 6. **GC 7:** There will be no labor camp within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area.
- 7. **GC 9:** No blasting will be carried out within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area during the work.
- 8. **GC 11:** Green belt should be created by the User Agency on the periphery of the project area.
- 9. **GC 12:** Water Harvesting Structure for recharging of water should be mandatory in the project area.
- 10.**GC 13:** There shall be no high mast/ beam/search lights & high sounds within 1 km from the Protected Area boundary.
- 11.**GC 14:** Signages regarding information about the wild animals in the area, control of the traffic volumes, speed etc. should be erected in the project area.
- 12.**GC 15:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.
- 13.**GC 16:** Maintenance activity of any nature should be carried out only after seeking formal approval from competent authority of tiger reserve/PA.
- 14. **GC 17:** Six feet high wall is to be constructed on the periphery of applied project area.
- 15.**GC 19:** Any permission/clearance required under FCA-1980 or other acts may be taken as per rules.

Site Specific Conditions:

- SSC 1: Creation of green belt by planting a suitable combination of trees that can be grown and have good leaf cover shall be adopted by the user agency by their own cost as per suggestions of the DCF RTR-II Karauli.
- 2. **SSC 2:** The mined out area shall be backfilled with waste material and later on planted. The dumps shall be afforested with local grass and plant species. All along the edge of the pit fencing will be made and afforested with good fruit bearing species.
- 3. **SSC 3:** The User Agency will deposit fund in RPACS for construction of 6 feet high protection wall in protected areas. Length of this wall will be calculated at the rate of 250 m for each ha of project area.

20 Violation, if any

No violation has been done by the User Agency.

20 Comments of Ministry

National Tiger Conservation Authority (NTCA) vide letter no.F.No.7-25/2022-NTCA dated 23rd September, 2022 has suggested following mitigation measures:

1. The project proponent should ensure that no natural watercourse is affected at any stage of the mining lease.

- 2. No long term dumping may be permitted. Wherever required for short term, it may be done in a way that it creates least disturbance to the movement of wild animals.
- 3. The project proponent shall ensure that no labor trespasses inside the forests.
- 4. It has been observed that multiple mining leases are operational within 10 km radius of Ranthambhore Tiger Reserve. Rajasthan Forest Department may commission a study evaluating cumulative ecological impact of these projects on the landscape dynamics and future viability.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India has disposed of Interlocutory Application No 1000 of 2003 in Writ petition (Civil) No 202 of 1995 in Re: T.N Godavarman Thirumulpad Vs Union of India & Ors. vide an order dated 3rd June 2022. In para 44 of the order, the Hon'ble Court has directed amongst other things as follows:

- (b) In the event, however, the ESZ is already prescribed as per law that goes beyond one kilometre buffer zone, the wider margin as ESZ shall prevail. If such wider buffer zone beyond one kilometre is proposed under any statutory instrument for a particular national park or wildlife sanctuary awaiting final decision in that regard, then till such final decision is taken, the ESZ covering the area beyond one kilometre as proposed shall be maintained....
- (e) In the event any activity is already being undertaken within the one kilometre or extended buffer zone (ESZ), as the case may be, of any wildlife sanctuary or national park which does not come within the ambit of prohibited activities as per the 9th February 2011 Guidelines, such activities may continue with permission of the Principal Chief Conservator of Forests of each State or Union Territory and the person responsible for such activities in such a situation shall obtain necessary permission within a period of six months. Such permission shall be given once the Principal Chief Conservator of Forests is satisfied that the activities concerned do not come within the prohibited list and were continuing prior to passing of this order in a legitimate manner. No new permanent structure shall be permitted to come up for whatsoever purpose within the ESZ.
- (h) In respect of sanctuaries or national parks for which the proposal of a State or Union Territory has not been given, the 10 kilometres buffer zone as ESZ, as indicated in the order passed by this Court on 4th December 2006 in the case of Goa Foundation and also contained in the Guidelines of 9th February 2011 shall be implemented. Within that area, the entire set of restrictions concerning an ESZ shall operate till a final decision in that regard is arrived at.....

The Ministry has requested essential information/revised proposal for declaration of the ESZ around the Ranthambore Tiger Reserve from the State Government. However, the revised proposal from the State Government is awaited.

2 Name of the protected Area involved 3 File no. 4 Name of the State 5 Whether proposal is subjudice 6 Area of the protected area	stor & S awa whic (RT FP/ Kail part 6-1 Raja Not	RJ/MIN/5863/20 a Devi Wildlife of Ranthambho 76/2022 WL asthan	.06/1982 M n. Propose aila Devi V anthambho 021 Sanctuary	I/s Kuned site Vildlife ore Tige (WLS)	nar Herbals is 8.2 Km Sanctuary er Reserve
7(b) Area so far diverted from the protected area(s)	_	Diversion of 32.2 ha of forest land for construction of road from keladevi to karanpur in Sawai Madhopur district at present district Karauli state Rajasthan. High power transmission line 400KV S/C & DC from Chhabra TPS to Hindaun T/L.		2007 2011	Area Diverted/ Permitted for use(ha.) 32.2
8 Status of ESZ, if any	Info	ormation is awa	ited from th	ne State	e Govt.

9.	Specific comments w.r.t section 29 to the Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972	, , ,			
10	Whether project linear/non- linear	Non-Linear			
11	Whether EC obtained	EC under process			
12	Date of submission by user	15/04/2021			
	agency				
13	Name of the applicant agency	M/S. Kumar Herbals			
14	Total number of tree to be felled	Nil			
15	Maps depicting the diversion proposal included or not	Yes			
16	Recommendation of State Boa	rd for Wild Life			
	a condition that User Agency will	State Board for Wild Life through circulation with take environmental clearance for the project.			
17	Brief justification on the propo	sal as given by the applicant agency			
	village-Richhoti, Tehsil & District-Karauli Rajasthan. The project cost of the proposed Sililca mining project ML.No.06/1982 is Rs.69.00 Lakhs. Kailadevi Wildlife Sanctuary and Ranthambore Tiger Reserve are located at 8.2 Km in South-East direction from the proposed project. Since the project falls within the ESZ of (10 Km) of Kailadevi WLS & Ranthambore TR, due to which it requires Wildlife Clearance from NBWL Standing Committee under Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.				
18	Rare and endangered species	found in the area			
	Kaila Devi Wildlife Sanctuary is home to tiger, leopard, sloth bear, wild boar, sambar, spotted deer, chinkara, jackal, hyena and wolf etc.				
19	Opinion of the Chief Wild Life \	Varden			
	The Chief Wild Life Warden following	has recommended the proposal with the conditions:			
	GC 2: 2% of the proportional project cost of the project falling within the ESZ of protected area should be deposited in RPACS by the User Agency for management and protection of wildlife within the state				
	protected area and within 3. GC 4 : No material of any k beyond the project area. 4. GC 5 : There will be no fel	ling of trees and burning of fuel wood inside the			
	Protected Area and Eco-S	ensitive Zone.			

 GC 6: The waste material generated should be disposed outside the Protected Area and Eco-Sensitive Zone.

- 6. **GC 7:** There will be no labor camp within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area.
- 7. **GC 9:** No blasting will be carried out within 1 km from the boundary of Protected Area during the work.
- 8. **GC 11:** Green belt should be created by the User Agency on the periphery of the project area.
- 9. **GC 12:** Water Harvesting Structure for recharging of water should be mandatory in the project area.
- 10.**GC 13:** There shall be no high mast/ beam/search lights & high sounds within 1 km from the Protected Area boundary.
- 11.**GC 14:** Signages regarding information about the wild animals in the area, control of the traffic volumes, speed etc. should be erected in the project area.
- 12.**GC 15:** The user agency and project personnel will comply with the provisions of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972.
- 13.**GC 16:** Maintenance activity of any nature should be carried out only after seeking formal approval from competent authority of tiger reserve/PA.
- 14. **GC 17:** Six feet high wall is to be constructed on the periphery of applied project area.
- 15. **GC 19:** Any permission/clearance required under FCA-1980 or other acts may be taken as per rules.

Site Specific Conditions:

- 1. **SSC 1:** Creation of green belt by planting a suitable combination of trees that can be grown and have good leaf cover shall be adopted by the user agency by their own cost as per suggestions of the DCF Karauli
- 2. **SSC 2:** The mined out area shall be backfilled with the waste material and later on planted. The dumps shall be afforested with local grass and plant species. All along the edge of the pit fencing will be made and afforested with good fruit bearing species.
- 3. **SSC 3:** The User Agency will deposit fund in RPACS for construction of 6 feet high protection wall in protected areas. Length of this wall will be calculated at the rate of 250 m for each ha of project area.

20 Violation, if any

No violation has been done by the User Agency

21 Comments of Ministry

National Tiger Conservation Authority (NTCA) vide letter no.F.No.7-24/2022-NTCA dated 23rd September, 2022 has suggested following mitigation measures:

- 1. The project proponent should ensure that no natural watercourse is affected at any stage of the mining lease.
- 2. The project proponent shall ensure that no labor trespasses inside the forests.
- 3. It has been observed that multiple mining leases are operational within 10 km radius of Ranthambhore Tiger Reserve. Rajasthan Forest Department

may commission a study evaluating cumulative ecological impact of these projects on the landscape dynamics and future viability.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India has disposed of Interlocutory Application No 1000 of 2003 in Writ petition (Civil) No 202 of 1995 in Re: T.N Godavarman Thirumulpad Vs Union of India & Ors. vide an order dated 3rd June 2022. In para 44 of the order, the Hon'ble Court has directed amongst other things as follows:

- (b) In the event, however, the ESZ is already prescribed as per law that goes beyond one kilometre buffer zone, the wider margin as ESZ shall prevail. If such wider buffer zone beyond one kilometre is proposed under any statutory instrument for a particular national park or wildlife sanctuary awaiting final decision in that regard, then till such final decision is taken, the ESZ covering the area beyond one kilometre as proposed shall be maintained...
- (e) In the event any activity is already being undertaken within the one kilometre or extended buffer zone (ESZ), as the case may be, of any wildlife sanctuary or national park which does not come within the ambit of prohibited activities as per the 9th February 2011 Guidelines, such activities may continue with permission of the Principal Chief Conservator of Forests of each State or Union Territory and the person responsible for such activities in such a situation shall obtain necessary permission within a period of six months. Such permission shall be given once the Principal Chief Conservator of Forests is satisfied that the activities concerned do not come within the prohibited list and were continuing prior to passing of this order in a legitimate manner. No new permanent structure shall be permitted to come up for whatsoever purpose within the ESZ. ...
- (h) In respect of sanctuaries or national parks for which the proposal of a State or Union Territory has not been given, the 10 kilometres buffer zone as ESZ, as indicated in the order passed by this Court on 4th December 2006 in the case of Goa Foundation and also contained in the Guidelines of 9th February 2011 shall be implemented. Within that area, the entire set of restrictions concerning an ESZ shall operate till a final decision in that regard is arrived at.....

The Ministry has requested essential information/revised proposal for declaration of the ESZ around the Ranthambore Tiger Reserve from the State Government. However, the revised proposal from the State Government is awaited.

TELANGANA

A. Proposal falling outside the protected area

S. No.	F. No.	Name of the Proposal
1.	6-118/2022 WL	Proposal for use of 18.083 Ha of forest land default ESZ of Pranahita Wildlife Sanctuary for execution of left flank main canal and 8-R distributary for Nilwai Medium Irrigation project in Mancherial district (Package No.12) in favour of Executive Engineer, Irrigation Department, MIP Division, Mancherial, Telangana. The proposed site is 6.64 Km away from Pranahitha Wildlife Sanctuary.
		FP/TG/IRRIG/30173/2017

-	h. (4 B	lp 14 440 000 11 44 11 11
1	Name of the Proposal	Proposal for use of 18.083 Ha of forest land default ESZ of Pranahita Wildlife Sanctuary for execution of left flank main canal and 8-R distributary for Nilwai Medium Irrigation project in Mancherial district (Package No.12) in favour of Executive Engineer, Irrigation Department, MIP Division, Mancherial, Telangana. The proposed site is 6.64 Km away from Pranahitha Wildlife Sanctuary. FP/TG/IRRIG/30173/2017
	Name of the protected area involved	Pranahitha Wildlife Sanctuary
	File No.	6-118/2022 WL
4	Name of the State	Telangana
5	Whether proposal is sub-judice	Not sub-judice
6	Area of the protected area	136 sq.kms
	Area proposed for diversion / Denotification	18.083 Ha in default ESZ of the Sanctuary
7(b)	Area so far diverted from the	NA
	protected area(s)	
8	Status of ESZ if any	Draft notified on 17 th November, 2014 which has expired. Revised proposal is awaited from the State Government.
9		The proposed Project is not falling in Pranahitha Wildlife Sanctuary but is passing through Eco-Sensitive Zone of Pranahitha Wildlife Sanctuary at a distance of 6.64 km from the boundary of the sanctuary. Hence there will be no negative impact on the sanctuary with reference to Sec.29 of WLPA, 1972.
	Whether linear / non-linear	Linear
	Whether EC obtained	No
	Name of the applicant agency	Irrigation Department
	Date of submission	06/07/2019
	Total number of tree to be felled	
	Maps depicting the Sanctuary and the diversion proposal included or not	Yes
16	Recommendation of State Board	for Wild Life
	The State Board for Wild Life recom 01.02.2020.	nmended the proposal in its 1 st meeting held on

17 Brief justification on the proposal as given by the applicant agency

The Nilwai Project, a medium Irrigation Project, is situated across Peddavagu stream in G-9 Pranahitha basin, near Nilwai (V), Vemanapally (M), Mancherial district of Telangana State (1 Km North-West of Nilwai village and 68 km from Mancherial town). It envisages construction of Head works comprising of earthern dam of length of 4185 m and weir of length 340 m with a maximum flood discharge capacity of 1471 cumec, left flank and right flank regulators. The canal system comprises left bank canal of length 15.100 km with 14 nos of distributaries to irrigate an ayacut of 3000 ha and right bank canal of length 15.100 km with 24 nos of distributaries to irrigate an ayacut of 260 ha. The project on completion will create ultimate irrigation potential of 5 260 ha (13000 Acres) in drought prone areas in twenty villages in Vemanapally and Kotapally mandals of Mancherial district in Telangana State, the project also envisages provision of 0.384 TMC for drinking water to the enrooted villages:

- To provide Irrigation facilities for a command area of 5260 ha in drought prone areas in Mancherial district of Telangana State.
- To provide 0.384 TMC as drinking water to the enroute villages.

The administrative approval for the project was sanctioned for ₹90.50 Crores vide G.O.Rt.No.125 I&CAD(Irr) Dept., Dt:22-01-2005. CWC cleared the project in its 87th meeting of the Advisory Committee held on 17.11.2006 and communicated vide No.16/27/2006-PA(N)/2088-120 DT:22.11.2006. the project was examined for its techno-economic viability and the planning Commission accorded investment clearance to the project for the estimated cost of ₹90.50 cr(2004-2005 price level) vide its letter No.20(1)/2006-WR, dt:12.2.2007.

18 Rare and endangered species found in the area

Pranahita (Black Buck) Wildlife Sanctuary is home to Blackbuck, Chowsinga, Spotted deer, Indian fox, Jackal, Wild boar and Sloth bear etc.

19 Opinion of the Chief Wildlife Warden

The State CWLW has recommended the proposal subject to the following conditions:

- 1. User Agency shall supply water to Wild Animals during the dry summer month from the canal to be laid.
- 2. The user agency to take up the planting in the gaps along alignment.
- 3. The proposed works should blend with the forest in such a way that the wildlife is not disturbed.
- 4. Work shall be carried out from 6.00 am to 6.00 pm only.
- The material for carrying out the proposed works shall be kept outside the Tiger Reserve only. As and when required they should be carried to the site during execution only.
- 6. No labour camp should be established inside the Tiger Reserve during the execution of the work.\
- 7. The debris formed due to the execution of the works shall be taken away from the Tiger Reserve on day-to-day basis.

- 8. The user agency shall provide funds for taking up the following mitigation measures over next 3 years to minimize the impact of the proposed project on the wildlife habitat falling in the ESZ of Pranahita Wildlife Sanctuary.
- 9. The project is recommended subject to implementation of mitigation measures with funds to be provided by the User Agency which shall be deposited in BIOSOT account of Chief Wildlife Warden, as under:

(₹in lakh)

SI.	Name of the work	Qty.	Amount
No.			
1	Development of Natural Grassland including 3 m wide fire line around the grassland@₹15000/-ha	30 ha	4.500
2.	Broadcasting of grass seed incl. cost of seed @₹3000/-per ha	30 ha	0.900
3.	Digging of bore well & cost and erection of solar pump system @₹4.50 lakh each	2 nos	9.000
4.	Construction of Mini percolation tank @Rs.1.00 lakhs each	4 Nos	4.000
5.	Construction of Saucer pits @₹0.10 lakh each	10 Nos	1.000
6.	De-silting and deepening of tanks @₹0.50 lakh each	3 Nos	1.500
7.	Strengthening of protection including cost of mobile patrolling and strike force	3 Units	21.000
8.	Purchase of camera traps	15 Nos.	3.000
9.	Public awareness programs	LS	3.000
10.	Administrative cost		2.395
	Total		50.295

20 Violation, if any

The User Agency has already carried out construction work of canal over an extent of 13.829 ha of area violating the FC Act, 1980. The details are as follows:

- 1. Cement concrete work of canal with a length of 2225.45 m over an extent of 8.725 ha of area has already been carried out in Girelly and Girelly Ext. Reserved Forest Blocks by the Irrigation Department long back.
- 2. Earth work excavation with a length of 1147 m over an extent of 5.104 ha of area has already been carried out in Girelly and Girelly Ext. Reserved Forest Blocks by the Irrigation Department Long back.

21. Animal Passage Plan

The animal passage plan submitted by the User Agency is placed as **Annexure XI.**

21 Comments of Ministry

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India has disposed of Interlocutory Application No 1000 of 2003 in Writ petition (Civil) No 202 of 1995 in Re: T.N Godavarman Thirumulpad Vs Union of India & Ors. vide an order dated 3rd June 2022. In para 44 of the order, the Hon'ble Court has directed amongst other things as follows:

- b. In the event, however, the ESZ is already prescribed as per law that goes beyond one kilometre buffer zone, the wider margin as ESZ shall prevail. If such wider buffer zone beyond one kilometre is proposed under any statutory instrument for a particular national park or wildlife sanctuary awaiting final decision in that regard, then till such final decision is taken, the ESZ covering the area beyond one kilometre as proposed shall be maintained....
- e. In the event any activity is already being undertaken within the one kilometre or extended buffer zone (ESZ), as the case may be, of any wildlife sanctuary or national park which does not come within the ambit of prohibited activities as per the 9th February 2011 Guidelines, such activities may continue with permission of the Principal Chief Conservator of Forests of each State or Union Territory and the person responsible for such activities in such a situation shall obtain necessary permission within a period of six months. Such permission shall be given once the Principal Chief Conservator of Forests is satisfied that the activities concerned do not come within the prohibited list and were continuing prior to passing of this order in a legitimate manner. No new permanent structure shall be permitted to come up for whatsoever purpose within the ESZ.
- h. In respect of sanctuaries or national parks for which the proposal of a State or Union Territory has not been given, the 10 kilometres buffer zone as ESZ, as indicated in the order passed by this Court on 4th December 2006 in the case of Goa Foundation and also contained in the Guidelines of 9th February 2011 shall be implemented. Within that area, the entire set of restrictions concerning an ESZ shall operate till a final decision in that regard is arrived at.....

Draft Notification for ESZ which was published on 17.11.2014 has expired. Ministry has requested the Chief Wild Life Warden, Telangana to submit revised proposals vide letters dated **28.07.2020 and 16.10.2020.** However, the revised proposal from the State Government is awaited.

B. Proposal falling inside the protected area

S. No.	F. No.	Name of the Proposal
1.		Proposal for use of 5.9359 ha of forest land from Pakhal Wildlife Sanctuary for formation of BT road from L032-Mattewada to Veerampet km 0/0 to 8/000 at Gudur Mandal of Mahabubabad District, Telangana.
		FP/TG/ROAD/123095/2021

1	Name of the Proposal	Proposal for use of 5.9359 ha of forest land
'		from Pakhal Wildlife Sanctuary for formation
		of BT road from L032-Mattewada to
		Veerampet km 0/0 to 8/000 at Gudur Mandal
		of Mahabubabad District, Telangana.
		FP/TG/ROAD/123095/2021
		Pakhal Wildlife Sanctuary
	involved	
	File No.	6-123/2022
-	Name of the State	Telangana
5	Whether proposal is sub-judice	Not sub-judice
	Area of the protected area	860 sq.km
7(a)	Area proposed for diversion/ Denotification	5.9359 ha
7(b)	Area so far diverted from the	NA
	protected area(s)	
8	Status of ESZ if any	Final notified on 30 th November, 2016. The
	,	extent of Eco-sensitive Zone ranges from
		zero kilometer to ten kilometers from the
		boundary of the Pakhal Wildlife Sanctuary
9	Specific comments w.r.t. section	This is an existing earthen road which is
	•	proposed to be black topped. The length of
	Act, 1972	the road is 7914.48 meters with a width of
		7.50 meters. There is no other alternative for
		the proposed road to connect the habitations
		in the forest area of Gudur WLM Division.
		The total length of the road is passing
		through the Pakhal Wildlife Sanctuary which
		is home to wildlife including tiger. Further in
		the recent past, movement of Royal Bengal
		Tiger is noticed. Hence, to minimize any sort
		of impact on wildlife and habitat, wildlife
		mitigation measures along with animal
		passage plan are being proposed.
	Whether linear / non-linear	Linear
-	Whether EC obtained	No
	Name of the applicant agency	Panchayat Raj Engineering Department
	Date of submission	15/02/2021
14	Total number of tree to be felled	NA
15	Maps depicting the Sanctuary	Yes
	and the diversion proposal	
1.0	included or not	
16	Recommendation of State Board fo	JI WIIIU LITE

The State Board for Wild Life recommended the proposal in its 3rd meeting held on 7th September, 2021 with the following suggestions:

- The maximum vehicular speed shall be prescribed as 30 kmph and it shall be ensured that this is implemented strictly and speed breakers included at regular intervals on the road stretches in forest areas.
- Movement of vehicles shall be restricted during the night hours from 9.00 PM to 6.00 AM to avoid accidental death of animals and minimize disturbance to wildlife habitat.
- Dense grass and low height shrubs shall be planted on either side of the underpass in bell shape with chain link fence of size 8"x8"x 10 gauge to create a natural ambience and facilitate movement of reptiles through the fence.

17 Brief justification on the proposal as given by the applicant agency

The Government of Telangana State has decided for formation of BT Road from L032-Mattewada to Veerapet (Km 0/000 to 8/000) at Gudur Mandal of Mahabubabad District under PMGSY.

The Government vide GO Ms.No.699, Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, under PMGSY proposals of 2017-2018, dated 16.11.2017 has approved administrative sanction and GO.Rt.No.217, Dt:03.04.2018 for revised administrative sanction for laying of BT and maintenance of Roads and GO.Rt.No.178, Dt:06.05.2020 for re-revised administrative sanction. The proposed project is one among them.

The existing surface is from km 0/000 to 8/000 is track portion. The project is for new connectivity of the existing road from track to BT standards. The road passes through the reserve forest km 0/000 to 8/000. Hence forest clearance is needed in the reach 0/000 to 7/918 i.e 7918 mts for formation & upgradation of road to BT standards.

It is also an important road connecting these villages to the Gudur Mandal Headquarter enrooting number of interior villages, and also vehicular movement for transportation of goods.

For the people of Veerampet, no other transport is available to Ootla Gram Panchayat except the proposed road which leads to Mandal headquarters Gudur. As per Govt. policy all habitations and missing links to be connected. There is no alternative land or route available to connect the habitation, hence the road is proposed through forest.

As per the DGPS survey authentication report, the extent of area passing in the Reserve Forest Area is 5.9359 ha (Length-7914.48 mts, width-7.50 mts). As there is no alternative to that for formation of BT Road, proposal is being furnished for diversion of forest land.

The details of forest area required are as follows:

Division Name	Block Name			Area ir Ha	1
Gudur	Gudur RF	7914.48	7.5	5.9359	
		7914.48		5.9359	

18 Rare and endangered species found in the area

Pakhal Wildlife Sanctuary is home to Tiger, Indian Gaur, Leopard, Leopard cat, Sloth Bear, Hyeana, Wild Dog, Wolf, Jackal, Fox, Sambar, Cheetal, Four-horned Antelope, Chinkara, Giant Squirrel and Flying Squirrel etc.

19 Opinion of the Chief Wild Life Warden

The State Chief Wild Life Warden has recommended the proposal subject to User Agency complying with the following conditions:

- 1. As per the specifications issued by WII a minimum of two passage ways per Km length of the Infrastructure with a minimum of 30.00 m width and 3.00 m height shall be incorporated in animal passage plan.
- 2. Therefore, the User Agency as a part of the wild animal passage plan shall construct under passes at the locations indicated in the Animal Passage Plan prepared by the Forest Divisional Officer/ District Forest Officer concerned following the specifications issued by the Wildlife Institute of India, Dehradun.
- 3. The underpasses shall have bell- mouth opening with splayed wing walls at both ends with grasses, herbs and shrubs to attract movement of wild animals.
- 4. The User Agency shall install speed controlling devices with proper sign boards for every 50.00 meters interval.
- 5. The following mitigation plan as recommended by CF, Kothagudem is approved for 112.70 lakhs.

SI.	Name of the item	Unit	Q	Amt.
No		cost		,
1.	Installation of solar bore wells connected PTs	6.00	2 No	12.00
2.	Management of Grasslands by uprooting obnoxious weeds and sowing locally collected grass seeds (including maintenance for 3 years)	0.40	30 ha	12.00
3	Integrated camping site-Creation of water sources by constructing major Percolation tank (cost ₹5.00 lakh) along with drilling and installation of two solar powered borewells (cost ₹3.50 lakh each to fill the pumps along with a watch tower cum camping site.	20.00	2	40.00
4	Engaging a team of two trackers at each major PT site for monitoring wildlife movement, collection of data from camera traps fixing and safeguarding camera traps for 3 years		2 trackers per site	14.40
5	Procurement of camera traps	0.25	4 nos	1.00

6.	Formation of approach road to the	LS	LS	1.00
	integrated campaign facilities			
7.	Procurement of fire-fighting equipment	As per actuals	LS	3.00
8.	Installation of large hoarding and sign boards for creation of publicity and awareness	1.00	4 nos	4.00
9.	Conducting nature camps to school children	0.10	10	1.00
10.	Conducting awareness programmes	LS	LS	1.3
11.	Construction & improvement of EEC	LS	LS	20.00
12	Administrative cost & unforeseen expenditure	As per actuals	LS	3.00
Tota	I			112.70

- 6. The work shall be carried out only between 9.00 AM to 5.00 PM without disturbing/damaging the flora and fauna of the area.
- 7. No labour camp shall be set up during the execution of work.
- 8. All the debris at the site of construction of under passes shall be removed after construction.
- 9. Care shall be taken to ensure no incidents of fire occur in the forest area. No explosives to be used.
- 10. The User Agency shall establish two check posts on either end of the road on Mattewad road to Veerampet at their own cost as per the specification given by FDO/DFO concerned and hand over to the Forest Department.
- 11. The vehicle movement shall be restricted from 9.00 P.M. to 7.00 A.M. Entry fee shall be collected at ₹50.00 for Four wheelers during 7.00 a.m. to 9.00 p.m.
- 12. There shall be no violation of Forest Act, Forest Conservation Act or Wildlife (Protection) Act during the execution of work.
- 13. Any other condition specified by the circle head/DFO/FDO shall be complied with.
- 14. The mitigation amount of ₹112.70 lakh shall be deposited in the BIOSOT account of Chief Wildlife Warden in Union Bank, Secretariat Branch, Hyderabad bearing A/c No.110310100030284, IFSC Code UBIN0811033.

20 Violation, if any

It is mentioned in part-III of the proposal that the UA has violated in the said project area and the volume of Excavated gravel is: 49280 Cmt & the area of Violation is: 5.9359 Ha in Gudur & Kothaguda Range of Guduru (WLM) division.

The Chief Wild Life Warden has mentioned that since most of the work is completed, the proposal is recommended subject to the User Agency complying with the conditions furnished in Part-IV of the proposal.

21 Comments of Ministry

UTTAR PRADESH

A. Proposal falling inside the protected area

S. No.	F. No.	Name of the Proposal
1.	6-104/2022 WL	Proposal for use of 0.5845 ha of forest land from Katarniyaghat Wild Life Sanctuary for laying of OFC and installation of pre-constructed junction boxes for Reliance 4G project along with road on MDR-149 from Km5.40 to Km.41.60, on ODR(1) from Km.4.00 to Km7.80, on ODR(2) from Km.0.00 to Km.7.00, on ODR(3) from Km.0.00 to Km.7.50 & on ODR(4) from Km.0.00 to Km.1.00 of Total Km 55.50, Uttar Pradesh.
		FP/UP/Others/120377/2021

	I	-
2	Name of the protected	Proposal for use of 0.5845 ha of forest land from Katarniyaghat Wild Life Sanctuary for laying of OFC and installation of pre-constructed junction boxes for Reliance 4G project along with road on MDR-149 from Km5.40 to Km.41.60, on ODR(1) from Km.4.00 to Km7.80, on ODR(2) from Km.0.00 to Km.7.00, on ODR(3) from Km.0.00 to Km.7.50 & on ODR(4) from Km.0.00 to Km.1.00 of Total Km 55.50, Uttar Pradesh. FP/UP/Others/120377/2021
	Area involved	Katerniyaghat Wild Life Sanctuary
3	File No	6-104/2022 WL
4	Name of the State	Uttar Pradesh
5	Whether proposal is sub-judice	Not sub-judice
6	Area of the protected area	400.09 sq km
7(a)	Area proposed for diversion/ Denotification	0.5845 ha (Approximately 42 km of the OFC is passing through the core of Dudhwa Tiger Reserve (Katarniaghat WLS) and approximately 10 km of OFC is passing through buffer of the Tiger Reserve).
7(b)	Area so far diverted from the protected area(s)	NA
8	Status of ESZ, if any	Proposal is pending with the State Government
9.	Specific comments w.r.t section 29 to the Wild	Govt. of U.P. vide its notification No.388/14-3-32/1976 dated 31.05.1976 declared 40009.35 ha of forest land as Katarniaghat Wildlife Sanctuary, having various important species of flora & fauna and its environment as protected area, in district-Bahraich, for the purpose of protecting, propagating and developing the wildlife and is environment. Katarniaghat Wildlife Sanctuary has rich vegetation having various important species of flora and fauna and its environment as protected Area. The same Katarniaghat Wildlife Sanctuary with its area 400.09 Sq Km along with Dudhwa National Park and Kishanpur Wildlife Sanctuary is notified as the part of Dudhwa Tiger Reserve with an area of total 1093.79 sq km as its core or critical tiger habitat be kept as inviolate for the purpose of tiger conservation in exercise of the powers

		under section 38-V of Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972 (as amended) vide Govt. of U.P.
		notification no.1505/14-4-2010-872/2007 dated
		09.06.2010.
10	Whether project	Linear
	linear/non-linear	
11	Whether EC obtained	No
12	Date of submission by	08/02/2021
	user agency	
13	Name of the applicant	Reliance Jio Infocomm Ltd
	agency	
14	Total number of tree to	NA
	be felled	
15	Maps depicting	Yes
	the diversion	
	proposal included or not	
16	Decempondation of State	Doord for Wild Life

16 Recommendation of State Board for Wild Life

Proposal was recommended by State Board for Wild Life in its 13th meeting held on 10th June, 2022.

17 Brief justification on the proposal as given by the applicant agency

M/s Reliance Jio Infocomm Ltd (A company Promoted by Reliance Industries Ltd), formerly Infotel Broadband Services Ltd have got license to operate 4th Generation Broadband Wireless Access Services (4G) and implement Fibre to Home Networks across 23 States.

With a view to ensure connectivity, company is laying Optical Fibre Cable along the road side as per the agreed policy of Government of India and as per GO No. 1485/ukS-9-2012-161t/12 dated 15.10.2012 issued by the Chief Secretary, Government of UP.

Since, the Fibre is to be connected end to end between the stretch District Bahraich along with road on MDR-149 (Mihinpurwa-Katarniya Ghat Raod) from Km. 5.40 to Km. 41.60, on ODR (Vishunapur - Rampurwa Matehi Road) from Km. 4.00 to Km. 7.80, on ODR (Girijapuri Bairaaj to Girijapuri Petrol Pump Chauraha to Hukum Singh Pradhan Ka Kohlu) from Km. 0.00 to Km. 7.00, on ODR (Bhainsoi to Trimuhani) from Km. 0.00 to Km. 7.50 & on ODR (Harkhapur Bridge to Harkhapur Village Boundary) from Km. 0.00 to Km. 1.00. Total Km 55.50 & 0.5845 Ha in Katarniya Ghat Wild Life Division, Bahraich-UP and the same stretch falls under reserve Forest, hence the permission to lay Optical Fibre Cable is being required. It is the minimum requirement of Forest land and there is no alternative for this Forest land.

We have done the survey and find that there is no alternate route available to lay the Fibre and to connect the same with the other end. Hence, it is requested that permission may kindly be granted.

18 Rare and endangered species found in the area

Katerniaghat Wild Life Sanctuary is home to gharial, tiger, rhino, Gangetic dolphin, swamp deer, hispid hare, Bengal florican, the white-backed and long-billed vultures etc.

19 **Opinion of the Chief Wild Life Warden**

The Chief Wild Life Warden has recommended the proposal with the following conditions:

- The legal status of land shall remain unchanged. The user agency will have right only for the purpose applied for and land shall not be used for any purpose other than that specified in the proposal. The said land or part of it shall not be transferred to any other department, institution or person without prior approval of State Govt/Central Govt.
- 2. As reserve forest land is also involved in the project, forest clearance as per provisions of Forest Conservation Act, 1980 will also be required and user agency will be required to comply all conditions stipulated therein.
- 3. Amount of Net Present Value (N.P.V.) shall be paid by the User Agency as per directions issued by Ministry of Environment of Forest (FC Division), New Delhi vide Letter No. F.No. 5-3/2011-FC (Vol-I) dated 06.01.2022 and Letter No.F.No.5-3/2011-FC (Vol-I) dated 19.01.2022 for proposed forest land 0.5848 ha falling within the Katarniaghat WLS.
- 4. The user agency shall deposit ₹2.60 lakhs (₹ two lakhs and sixty thousands only) for mitigation measures to minimize the negative impact of the project on the habitat of wildlife in the Katarniaghat Wildlife Sanctuary under the online deposit head no.6-"Additional Charges for protected area in Campa Fund" as per guidelines of Government of India. This amount will be spent on the mitigation measures within the same protected area.
- 5. The personnel of user agency working on the site should be well aware and shall be bound to follow the provisions of Wildlife (Protection) Acct, 1972. (As amended)
- 6. The employees or workers shall never be involved in forest offences with regard to Wildlife and forest produce. In case of violation of this condition, the necessary compensation will be deposited by the User Agency as imposed by DFO concerned accordance to law.
- 7. The user agency will ensure that no labor camp shall be established inside the sanctuary. Nights stay in the sanctuary neither firewood nor any other forest produce from the forest will be used.
- 8. The user agency will keep firefighting equipments as well as equipments for minimizing noise pollution and muck disposals at the site.
- 9. During execution of the project work, the user agency shall adopt certain technical measures to negate the impact relating any environmental pollution/radioactive pollution.
- 10. In reference of U.P. Govt. order vide letter No. 4862/14-2-/2008 dated 12.11.2008, the user agency shall plant 20 km in 3 lane at one place of the division/state and maintain the green cover on the project cost. Planting site for this purpose shall be identified by the concerned

DFO. Protected Area Manager. Only indigenous forest trees species shall be used for such plantation.

- 11. All the conditions related to the proposals on laying of Optical Fiber Cables inside protected areas, laid down by Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (Wildlife Division), Govt. of India vide its letter-F.No.6-175/2017 WL, dated 19.02.2018 will be complied with by the user agency.
- 12. The user agency shall ensure that the length of the trench dug up at a time does not exceed 500 M. which shall be filled up and compacted before digging next trench of 500 M.
- 13. The user agency will have to submit NOC from the agency which holds the right to use the right of way.
- 14. No labor camp shall be established in sanctuary area.
- 15. No work shall be allowed from sunset to sunrise.

The above conditions will be ensured by DFO Kaimur Wildlife Division, Mirzapur.

20 **Violation**, if any

Project authority has never violated any provision of Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972 (as amended) as well as Forest Conservation Act, 1980.

21 Comments of NTCA

National Tiger Conservation Authority (NTCA) vide letter no.F.No.7-23/2022-NTCA dated 23rd September, 2022 has suggested following mitigation measures:

- 1. The OFC should be covered with excavated materials after the construction. Construction debris should be disposed of away from the forest by the cost of the user agency.
- 2. No alteration in the existing drainage pattern should be allowed within the Tiger Reserve for implementing the proposed construction.
- 3. The construction work should be done in between sunrise and sunset and no labor camp should be allowed inside the forested areas at nights. The user agency shall ensure that no labor trespasses inside the forests apart from the construction site.
- 4. While laying the OFC, the work should be executed in a timely manner simultaneously excavating the trenches and laying the OFC so as to minimize the disturbance to wildlife. The user agency should not dig trenches along long stretches and leave them open for several weeks without completion of work.
- 5. Any future maintenance of the OFC would be subject to prior approval of the competent authority of Uttar Pradesh Forest Department.

UTTARAKHAND

A. Proposal falling inside the protected area.

S. No.	F. No.	Name of the Proposal
1.	6-172/2022 WL	Proposal for use of 0.983 ha of forest land from Kedarnath Wildlife Sanctuary for construction of bridle track from Rambara to Garuuchatti (Km.6.750 to Km 12.100) in Rudraprayag district, Uttarakhand. FP/UK/ROAD/5775/2021

2 3 4 5	Area involved File no. Name of the State Whether proposal is sub-judice	Proposal for use of 0.983 ha of forest land from Kedarnath Wildlife Sanctuary for construction of bridle track from Rambara to Garuuchatti (Km.6.750 to Km 12.100) in Rudraprayag district, Uttarakhand. FP/UK/ROAD/5775/2021 Kedarnath Wildlife Sanctuary 6-172/2022 WL Uttarakhand Not sub-judice					
6	Area of the protected area	975.2 Sc	q. Km				
7(a)	Area proposed for		Component	wise		•	
	diversion/ Denotification	S.no	Componer	nt	under	ect Area Protected ea(ha.)	
		1	Bridle Way		O	.963	
		2	Muck Dumpin	g	(0.02	
7(b)	Area so far diverted from the protected	Name o	f projects		rea	Year of	
	from the protected area(s)	Kotma-0	Chilond foot		erted 45 ha	diversion 1990	
	urcu(3)	track rou		1.0	45 Ha	1990	
		Anusuya foot trac	a-Atrimuni k route	0.38	391 ha	1997	
		Pipalkot Bimru-S foot trac	yun-Dumak	0.3	14 ha	1997	
		Barsal c supply	drinking water	0.1	59 ha	2000	
		Jugasu-Raulaink- Ransi-Gaundar LVMR			34 ha	2000	
			Jalmalla- asi Motor road	3.0	31 ha	2002	
			ltitude plant n centre field	2.0)0 ha	2003	
		Constru lane shoulde configur	with paved rs	3.6	6395	2020	

		Km 73.600 to Km 75.345 (Sonprayag Bypass) of NH-109 in the State of Uttarakhand Construction of 775 m Viaduct (Bridge) from (sonprayag) design 75.345 to (Mundkatiya) Km 76.120 (existing km 70.350 to km 71.200) of NH-109 (New NH-107) Rudraprayag district of Utarakhand state	.93 10.9266 ha	2020		
		Total	10.9200 na			
8	Status of ESZ, if any	Draft notification issue Revised proposal is Government.		•		
9.	w.r.t section 29 to the	The proposal involves 190 number of various species of trees. The main purpose of the project is construction of pathway from Rambana to Garudchatti for holy Kedarnath shrine.				
10	Whether project linear/non-linear	Linear				
11	Whether EC obtained	Yes, EC application ye	t to be submitte	ed		
12	Date of submission by user agency	27/02/2021				
13	Name of the applicant agency	PWD/DDMA Guptkash	i			
14	Total number of tree to be felled	Nil				
15	Maps depicting the diversion proposal included or not	Yes				

16 Recommendation of State Board for Wild Life

Proposal was recommended by State Board for Wild Life in its meeting held on 21st June, 2022.

17 Brief justification on the proposal as given by the applicant agency

Due to outbreak of flash floods in Mandakini river (year, 2013), the existing bridle track washed away between Rambara and Sh. Kedarnath Dham. To start the yatra route in upcoming years, an alternative track on opposite mountains has been constructed i.e., via Gaurikund (0.000 Km)-Bhimbali (6.00)-Lincholi (10.75 Km)-Rudrapoint (13.50 Km)-Sh.Kedarnath (16.50 Km.). This track contains Glacier point and remains snowy although the winter. It takes a lot of time and money for snow clearance every year. So, it is beneficial to construct new track between Rambara to Garurchatti, which lies on sunny face of mountain. The new track will follow the route Gaurikund-Bhimbali (6.00 Km)-Rambara (6.625 Km)-Garuchatti (11.975 Km)-Sh. Kedarnath (15.50 Km). Thus, new track will be shorter by 1.00 Km and also free from Glacier point. It will also provide alternate routes, which can be utilized by district administration one as pilgrims on foot and other for pilgrims on Pony. This is the shortest and most technical feasible available alignment to restore the connectivity for the washed out segment. New construction of this bridle track falls under Kedarnath Wildlife Sanctuary.

18 Rare and endangered species found in the area

Kedarnath Wildlife Sanctuary is home to yellow-throated Marten, leopard, jackal, snow leopard, Himalayan black bear, leopard, wild boar, the Himalayan musk deer, the Royles Mountain Vole and the red giant flying squirrel etc.

19 Opinion of the Chief Wild Life Warden

The Chief Wildlife Warden has recommended the proposal with the following conditions:

- 1. Legal status of the diverted forest land shall remain unchanged.
- 2. No damage to the flora and fauna of the surrounding area shall be caused.
- 3. The forest land shall not be used for any purpose other than the specified in the proposal.
- 4. The concerned territorial Divisional Forest Officer/Director shall monitor the implementation of the project regularly and report for the violations, if any.
- 5. The user agency shall obtain the Environmental Clearance as per the provisions of the Environmental (Protection) Act, 1986, if required.
- 6. The user agency shall comply all the provisions of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972 and all other Acts, Rules, Regulations, Guidelines, Hon'ble Court Order (s) and Hon'ble NGT Order(s) pertaining to this project, if any, for the time being in force, as applicable to the project.

20 Violation, if any

The Wild Life Warden has mentioned that the User Agency has not committed any violation of the Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972 and Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980.

21 Comments of Ministry

Geological assessment has been carried out by the State PWD who have recommended the proposal subject to certain conditions. [Annexure XII]

AGENDA No. 5

Any other item with the permission of the Chair

1	Name of the Proposal	Proposal for use of 26.43 ha of forest land from Kedarnath Wildlife Sanctuary for development of ropeway from Sonprayag to Kedarnath Dham in Rudrayprayag District of Uttarakhand.						
		FP/UK/Others/154214/2022						
2	Name of the protected Area involved	Kedarnath Wildlife Sanctuary						
3	File No.	6-214/2022 WL						
4	Name of the State	Uttarakhand						
5	Whether proposal is sub-judice	Not sub-judice						
6	Area of the protected area	975.2 Sq. Km						
7(a)	Area proposed for diversion/		Component wise breakup					
	Denotification	S.no	Component		Project Area under Protected Area(ha.)			
		1	Station-I Sonprayag		0			
		2	Station-II Gaurikund		0.5			
		3	Station-III Chirbasa		0.5			
		4	Station-IV Lincholi		0.5			
		5	Station-V Kedarnath		2.5			
		6	Towers 21 Nos		3.258			
		7	RoW		14.9886			
		8	Approach Access		4.1834			
			Total		26.43			
7(b)	Area so far diverted from the protected area(s)			Area diverte	ed	Year of diversion		
				1.845 ha		1990		
				0.3891 ha		1997		
				0.314 ha		1997		
				0.159 ha		2000		
				0.84 ha		2000		

		Kotma-Jalmalla- Chaumasi Motor	0.81 ha	2002			
		road					
		High altitude plant	2.00 ha	2003			
		research centre field					
		station					
		Construction of 2 3.6395 2020					
		lane with paved	0.000				
		shoulders					
		configuration from					
		Km 73.600 to Km					
		75.345 (Sonprayag					
		Bypass) of NH-109					
		in the State of					
		Uttarakhand	00	0000			
		Construction of 775	.93	2020			
		m Viaduct (Bridge)					
		from (sonprayag)					
		design 75.345 to					
		(Mundkatiya) Km					
		76.120 (existing km					
		70.350 to km					
		71.200) of NH-109					
		(New NH-107)					
		Rudraprayag district					
		of Utarakhand state					
		Total	10.9266 ha				
8	Status of ESZ, if any	Draft notification issued on 13.12.2017 expired.					
	, ,	Revised proposal is awaited from the State					
		Government.					
9.	Specific comments w.r.t section	The Kedarnath Ropeway project will be of great					
	29 to the Wild Life (Protection)	benefit as it will help reduce human impact on					
	Act, 1972	this high altitude fragile area. Once constructed,					
	·	it will limit footfalls inside the sanctuary, check					
		random solid waste disposal and in general limit					
		disturbance and pollution. It will also be useful					
		for disaster mitigation. As such, this ropeway is					
		expected to be beneficial for the Kedarnath					
		WLS in the future. The project proponent has					
		committed to support a wildlife mitigation plan					
		to be approved by this office.					
10	Whether project linear/non-linear	Linear					
11	Whether EC obtained	EC application yet to be submitted					
12	Date of submission by user	18/05/2022					
	agency						
13	Name of the applicant agency	National Highways Logistics Management Limited					
14	Total number of tree to be felled	NA					
15	Maps depicting the diversion	Yes					
13	proposal included or not	103					
16	Recommendation of State Board for	r Wild Life					
10		=110					
	1						

Proposal was recommended by State Board for Wild Life in its 17th meeting held on21st June, 2022.

17 Brief justification on the proposal as given by the applicant agency

It is certified that the 26.43 ha of forest land proposed to be diverted is inescapable and other alternatives for the proposed project have been explored and the forest land proposed to be diverted is barest minimum. It is further certified that no suitable non-forest land is available for the diversion of proposed for **development of Ropeway Project from Sonprayag-Gaurikund-Kedarnath.**

During the course of finalization of project land to be diverted, three alternatives were examined and there is no scope of any land available in any alternative except forest land **26.43 ha.**

18 Rare and endangered species found in the area

Kedarnath Wildlife Sanctuary is home to yellow-throated Marten, leopard, jackal, snow leopard, Himalayan black bear, leopard, wild boar, the Himalayan musk deer, the Royles Mountain Vole and the red giant flying squirrel etc.

19 Opinion of the Chief Wild Life Warden

The Chief Wild Life Warden has recommended the proposal with the following conditions:

- 1. Legal status of the diverted forest land shall remain unchanged.
- 2. No damage to the flora and fauna of the surrounding area shall be caused. The work shall be done under the strict supervision of the State Forest Department.
- 3. The forest land shall not be used for any purpose other than the specified in the proposal.
- 4. The concerned territorial Divisional Forest Officer/Director shall monitor the implementation of the project regularly and report for violations, if any.
- 5. It shall be ensured that no labourer camps will be set up inside the forest area.
- 6. The user agency shall obtain the Environmental Clearance as per the provisions of the Environmental (Protection) Act, 1986, if required.
- 7. The User agency shall comply all the provisions of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972, 1980 and all other Acts, Rules, Regulations Guidelines, Hon'ble Court Order (s) pertaining to this project, if any, for the time being in force, as applicable to the project.

20 Violation, if any

The Wild Life Warden has mentioned that the User Agency has not committed any violation of the Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and no work has been started without proper sanction.

21. Animal Passage Plan

The User Agency has submitted a wildlife mitigation plan along with the proposal which is placed as Annexure. The User Agency has proposed fencing around the towers and excavated pits at the time construction, sufficiently thick cables and bird diverters fir preventing collision of birds with cables among others.

21 Comments of Ministry