

**MINUTES OF THE 13th MEETING OF THE REGIONAL EMPOWERED
COMMEITTE (REC) OF REGIONAL OFFICE, NORTH CENTRAL ZONE,
DEHRADUN HELD ON 24th NOVEMBER, 2016**

The 13th meeting of the Regional Empowered Committee (REC) of the Regional Office, North Central Zone, Dehradun was held on 24th November, 2016 at Regional Office, Dehradun under the Chairmanship of Shri Ajay Kumar, Addl. PCCF, Regional Office, Dehradun.

Following official/non-official members & the special invitees were present in the meeting.

S.No.	Name	Designation
1.	Shri Ajay Kumar, Addl. PCCF, R.O., Dehradun	Chairman
2.	Dr. G.S. Rawat, IFS (Retd.)	Member
3.	Shri Parveen Thaplyal, IFS (Retd.)	Member
4.	Ms. Kamal Preet, CF, R.O., Dehradun	Member
5.	Shri. M. S. Negi, CF, R.O., Dehradun	Member Secretary
Special Invitee		
6.	Shri Vinod Kumar, APCCF & Nodal Officer, Uttarakhand	Special Invitee
7.	Shri S. D. Sharma Nodal Officer- cum-CCF (FCA), H.P.	Special Invitee
8.	Representatives of the User Agencies	

The following proposals seeking diversion of forest land for non-forest purposes pertaining to Himachal Pradesh and Uttarakhand were discussed in detail and the case wise decision taken by REC is as under:-

S.No.	Name of Proposal	Decision Taken By The REC
HIMACHAL PRADESH		
1.	Diversion of 5.467 ha of forest land for construction of link road from Magazine Tarsooh to village Samtehan in favour of HPPWD within the jurisdiction of Bilaspur Forest Division, Distt. Bilaspur, Himachal Pradesh (Online Proposal No.- FP/HP/ROAD/7587/2014).	<p>Regional Empowered Committee discussed the proposal seeking diversion of 5.467 ha of forest land for construction of link road from Magazine Tarsooh to village Samtehan. The committee was informed that 119 numbers of trees and 98 saplings are proposed to be felled in the project, the forest land proposed for diversion is not a part of any Protected Area and no rare and endangered species of flora and fauna have been reported. On the observation regarding reducing the no. of muck dumping sites, the Ex. Engineer, HPPWD informed the REC that the 17 no. of dumping sites have been chosen to distribute the muck evenly along the entire length of road which will facilitate proper dumping as the quantity per dumping site will be less. He also informed that dumping of muck by erecting retaining Walls and like structures is part of the DPR of this project.</p> <p>After detailed discussion on various aspects of the proposal, the committee decided to grant In-Principle approval subject to fulfillment of following conditions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The User agency would ensure that the dumping sites are stabilized within one year of construction of the road. 2. The State Govt. will submit geo-referenced digital map of area proposed for CA in hard and

		<p>soft copy giving geo-coordinates at all the corner points to enable DSS analysis as map uploaded in Part-I is not proper.</p> <p>3. The State Govt. will submit Penal CA Scheme for an area of 1.5 ha i.e. 3 times of area broken. (0.5 X 3=1.5) along with geo-referenced digital map in hard and soft copy and site suitability certificate.</p>
2.	<p>Diversion of 8.8203 ha of forest land for construction of Govt. Medical College in favour of Chief Medical Officer within the jurisdiction of Hamirpur Forest Division, Distt. Hamirpur, Himachal Pradesh (Online Proposal No.- FP/HP/Others/10211/2015).</p>	<p>Regional Empowered Committee discussed the proposal seeking diversion of 8.8203 ha of forest land for construction of Govt. Medical College. The committee was informed that 2365 numbers of trees are proposed to be felled in the project, the forest land proposed for diversion is not a part of any Protected Area and no rare and endangered species of flora and fauna have been reported. No representative from the User Agency attended the meeting, due to which many project related queries of the REC could not be satisfactorily answered. REC therefore desired that the concerned person from user agency must be present in the next meeting. REC also noticed some other shortcomings in the project.</p> <p>After detailed discussion on various aspects of the proposal, the REC decided to defer the proposal for decision in the next meeting and desired that the State Govt. may be requested to submit the following documents/ information / clarifications:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The State Govt. may clarify as to how the project is site specific and why no other location i.e non-forest land can be taken up for the project. 2. The State Govt. have shown eco-class (v) for the forest area proposed for diversion whereas

		<p>the vegetation is predominantly khair as per list of trees submitted. The density of vegetation, mentioned as 0.3995, appears to be low which also needs to be reassessed. Therefore, the State Govt. may reassess the eco-class, density and NPV.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">3. The KML file of the revised area now proposed for diversion may be uploaded in Part-I and revised geo-referenced digital map showing all the components may also be provided/uploaded to enable Decision Support system (DSS) Analysis.4. Keeping in view the fact that out of total 8.8203 ha, only 0.48 ha is required for buildings, REC is of the view that requirement of forest land for remaining components can be reduced specially the components at sr. no. 3 and 4 uploaded at B 2.4 Part-I Online. The State Govt. may re-examine the requirement of forest land for remaining components and submit justification. REC also noted that the Medical Council of India has proposed 15 acres as minimum area requirement in hills and thus felt that there appears to be possibility of further reduction of forest land requirement from proposed 20 acres.5. The various entries in C/B analysis may be quantified in monetary terms.6. The FRA certificate though provided in "other than" linear format in hard copy but the same is not uploaded at s.no. K of Part-I online, which may be done now.7. The component wise breakup updated in Part-I online (B 2.4) is different from the similar
--	--	---

		<p>details provided in the document uploaded as additional document in Part-I and also placed at pg. 53/C of hard copy.</p> <p>8. The area proposed for diversion has now been revised to 8.8203 ha instead of 14.54 ha but the area in Part-I at various places is still not changed and mentioned as 14.54 ha, which may be changed to actual area proposed for diversion at all places.</p>
3.	<p>Diversion of 4.065 ha of forest land for construction of Harsar-III Hydro Electric Project (19 MW) in favour of Harsar Hydro Power Pvt. Ltd. within the jurisdiction of Bharmour Forest Division, Distt. Chamba, Himachal Pradesh (Online No.- FP/HP/HYD/13853/2015).</p>	<p>Regional Empowered Committee discussed the proposal seeking diversion of 4.065 ha of forest land for construction of Harsar-III Hydro Electric Project (19 MW). The committee was informed that 44 numbers of trees are proposed to be felled in the project, the forest land proposed for diversion is not a part of any Protected Area and no rare and endangered species of flora and fauna have been reported.</p> <p>Since the User Agency had not asked any forest land for transmission line, which is an important component of any HEP, the committee wanted to know the reason for the same. It was examined to the committee that a query was raised in this regard by the Regional Office and the State Govt., vide their letter No. ft 48-2956/2015 (FCA) dated 27.10.2016 has intimated that a separate SPV is proposed to be formed for taking up common transmission line for all projects in the area and case for diversion of forest land for common T/L will be submitted in the name of SPV. However, no document confirming this fact has been furnished which is duly approved by the competent authority of the concerned department of the state.</p> <p>After detailed discussion on various aspects of the</p>

		<p>proposal, the REC noticed that there are certain other shortcomings also in the proposal, which need to be addressed by the State Govt./User agency before a final decision is taken. The committee, therefore, decided to defer the proposal for consideration in the next meeting and desired that the State Govt. may be requested to submit the following information / documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. As directed vide Ministry letter 11-196/2016 dated 20.09.2016, the state Govt. may propose CA on equivalent area on non-forest land in place of degraded forest land.2. The legal status of forest land proposed for diversion may be mentioned in Part-II Online.3. Geo-referenced digital map of Catchment area for Harsar-III project delineating the area proposed to be treated under this project out of the comprehensive CAT Plan area duly authenticated by FD may be submitted.4. Instead of showing different components of project in separate KML files, only one KML file showing all the components may be uploaded at Para C, Part-I Online.5. The DSS report of Digital map provided for area proposed for diversion shows some components of the project falling within "Tundah Wild Life Sanctuary (WLS)" though the report of DFO shows that the area does not fall within any protected area. The user agency informed during the meeting that the project area falls near but outside "Kugti WLS" and away from "Tundah WLS". The State Govt. may clarify the correct position of area purposed for diversion.6. The village wise breakup may be provided at B
--	--	--

		<p>2.3 in Part-I online.</p> <p>7. Recommendation of CF is still not uploaded in online Part-II, which may be done now.</p> <p>8. Confirmation may be furnished from the Competent Authority of the concerned Department of the State Govt. that power of this project will be evacuated through joint T/L alongwith power of other upcoming projects in the area.</p>
4.	<p>Diversion of 4.862 ha of forest land for the construction of 21 MW Bharmour HEP State-II in favour of M/s Bharmour Hydro Project within the jurisdiction of Bharmour Forest Division, Distt. Chamba, Himachal Pradesh (Online No. FP/HP/HYD/13857/2015).</p>	<p>Regional Empowered Committee discussed the proposal seeking diversion of 4.862 ha of forest land for the construction of 21 MW Bharmour HEP State-II. The committee was informed that 28 saplings only are proposed to be felled in the project, the forest land proposed for diversion is not a part of any Protected Area and no rare and endangered species of flora and fauna have been reported. Regarding the period for which diversion has been sought the user agency clarified that the period has been rightly mentioned as 40 years since the agreement with the State Govt. is for 40 years only. Since the User Agency had not asked for forest land for the purpose of T/L, which is an important component for any HE Project, the committee asked the User Agency to elaborate on the issue. The representative of the User Agency informed that HP Power Transmission Corporation ltd. had intimated that the electricity generated from this project as well as some neighbouring projects would be pooled at sub-station at Lahol. A letter dated 07.10.2014 of HPPTCL is available in the proposal in this regard according to which the User Agency would submit proposal for erection of T/L at a later date once the issue of pooling station is decided.</p>

		<p>After detailed discussion on various aspects of the proposal, the REC noticed that there are certain shortcomings in the proposal, which need to be addressed by the State Govt./User agency before a final decision is taken. The REC, therefore, decided to defer decision the proposal to next meeting and desired that the State Govt. may be requested to submit the following documents/ information / clarifications:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Following details of new dumping sites may be provided:- Location wise area and legal status of land. Revised location may be shown on digital map also. 2. Regarding CAT Plan, geo-referenced digital map of catchment area of Bharmour II HEP delineating boundary of area proposed for treatment under this project, out of the comprehensive CAT Plan area, duly authenticated by Forest Department may be submitted. 3. Regarding CA area the State Govt. may propose CA on equivalent area on non-forest land as directed by MoEF & CC vide letter dated 20.09.2016. 4. The DSS analysis of area proposed for diversion shows that some of the components lie within the "Tundah WLS" though the report of DFO shows that the area does not fall within any protected area. User Agency also stated during the meeting that the area falls outside the sanctuary. The State Govt. may re-examine the location of area proposed for diversion and provide clarification accordingly.
--	--	---

5.	<p>Diversion of 0.6943 ha of additional forest land for the construction of 24 MW Paudital Lassa HEP in favour of M/s Greenko Hatkoti Energy pvt. Ltd. Within the jurisdiction of Rohru Forest Division, Distt. Shimla, Himachal Pradesh (Online No. FP/HP/HYD/17484/2016).</p>	<p>Regional Empowered Committee discussed the proposal seeking diversion of 0.6943 ha of additional forest land for the construction of 24 MW Paudital Lassa HEP. The committee was informed that no tree is proposed to be felled in the project, the forest land proposed for diversion is not a part of any Protected Area and no rare and endangered species of flora and fauna have been reported. The committee was informed that an area of 4.89 ha has already been approved for the said project by this office vide letter dated 25.07.2012. Now the requirement the additional area of 0.6943 ha has been made by the project authorities. The representative of the User Agency informed the committee that additional area requirement was due to construction of road and bridges which was earlier expected to be made by PWD and for certain other additional components, requirement of which could not be foreseen earlier. On reporting density as 'zero', it was clarified that since no trees are existing on the area proposed for diversion, the density has been reported as zero.</p> <p>After detailed discussion on various aspects of the proposal, the committee decided to recommend the proposal to MoEF & CC Govt. of India, New Delhi for approval subject to fulfillment of following conditions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The single KML file for area proposed for diversion showing all components may be provided/uploaded at para C Part-I Online instead of separate KML files for individual component. 2. Few more coordinates are required to be mentioned for all linear components including end, start and all turning points in the geo-referenced map provided for area proposed for diversion. All linear and non-linear components
----	---	---

		<p>may shown on geo-referenced digital map uploaded in Part-I Online.</p> <p>3. The State Government may provide CA over equivalent area on non-forest land as per directions contained in MoEF & CC, New Delhi letter dated 20.09.2016.</p>
6	<p>Diversion of 1.93 ha (instead of 2.29 ha) of forest land for the construction of 3MW Chakshi-II Small Hydro Electric Project in favour of M/s Continental Hydro Power Pvt. Ltd. within the jurisdiction of Parbati Forest Division, Distt. Kullu, Himachal Pradesh.</p>	<p>Regional Empowered Committee discussed the proposal seeking diversion of 1.93 ha of forest land for the construction of 3MW Chakshi-II Small Hydro Electric Project. The committee was informed that though the proposal was discussed and recommended by the REC in its meeting held on 30.11.2015 and submitted to MoEF & CC, Govt. of India, New Delhi, the proposal was returned as the width of Right of Way for T/L which has been proposed through underground cable had not been revised by the User Agency in accordance with the guidelines conveyed through the minutes of meeting dated 29.01.2016 held between MoEF & CC and Central Electricity Authority. Further the CA was also not proposed on equivalent area on non-forest land. The REC was further informed that the State Govt. has now revised the RoW from 5.68 m to 1.42 m in accordance with guidelines dated 29.01.2016 thereby reducing the area proposed for diversion from 2.29 ha to 1.93 ha. The committee was also informed that the State Govt. has proposed CA over equivalent area on private land i.e. 1.93 ha in compliance to the instructions of the Ministry in this regard. It was also noted by the REC that as a result of reduction in the area proposed for diversion the no. of trees involved has also come down to 210 trees and 65 saplings from earlier proposed 228 trees and 65 saplings. In the minutes of the meeting of REC held on 30.11.2015 the number of trees and</p>

		<p>saplings involved earlier over an area of 2.29 ha were erroneously mentioned as 159 trees and 32 saplings, which in fact were 228 trees and 65 saplings. The forest land proposed for diversion is not a part of any Protected Area and no rare and endangered species of flora and fauna have been reported. It was pointed out in the meeting that as per guidelines, the width of trench should be 0.6 m for the underground cable but width of RoW is taken as 1.42 m. To this, it was clarified that as per the current local practice by Revenue Department of HP in Kullu District the lowest unit of measuring/ recording length and width is "Karam" and one "Karam" is equal to 1.42 m. Hence, it is not possible to take width of RoW less than 1.42 m. Moreover, some RoW is also needed outside the trench for movement or maintenance purpose.</p> <p>After detailed discussion on various aspects of the proposal the REC decided to recommend the proposal to MoEF & CC, Govt. of India, New Delhi for approval subject to fulfillment of following conditions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Revised KML file and geo-referenced digital map for the new CA area over equivalent non-forest land will be provided and also uploaded in Part-I online.
7	<p>Diversion of 1.75 ha (instead of 2.35 ha) of forest land for construction of 1.00 MW Barthi Small Hydro Electric Power Project in favour of M/s Jai Jawala Hydro Power Project within the jurisdiction Parbati Forest Division, Distt. Kullu, Himachal Pradesh.</p>	<p>Regional Empowered Committee discussed the proposal seeking diversion of 1.75 ha of forest land for construction of 1.00 MW Barthi Small Hydro Electric Power Project. Though the proposal was discussed and recommended in the REC meeting held on 26.05.2016, the REC was informed that as per the State Govt. letter dt. 15.11.2016, the area proposed for diversion is now reduced from 2.35 ha to 1.75 ha because of reduction in width of RoW to 1.42 m in accordance with guidelines</p>

		<p>of MoEF & CC, GoI, New Delhi for laying underground cables issued in form of minutes of meeting dated 29.01.2016 held with Central Electricity Authority. Further, equivalent area of private land is now proposed for carrying out CA in conformity with GoI directions. It was also noted by the REC that as a result of reduction in the area proposed for diversion the no. of trees involved has also come down to 65 trees from earlier proposed 77 trees. The forest land proposed for diversion is not a part of any Protected Area and no rare and endangered species of flora and fauna have been reported. It was pointed out in the meeting that as per guidelines, the width of trench should be 0.6 m for the underground cable but width of RoW is taken as 1.42 m. To this, it was clarified that as per the current local practice by Revenue Department of HP in Kullu District the lowest unit of measuring/ recording length and width is "Karam" and one "Karam" is equal to 1.42 m. Hence, it is not possible to take width of RoW less than 1.42 m. Moreover, some RoW is also needed outside the trench for movement or maintenance purpose.</p> <p>After detailed discussion on various aspects of the proposal, the committee decided to recommend the proposal to MoEF & CC, Govt. of India, New Delhi for approval subject to fulfillment of following conditions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Revised KML file and geo-referenced digital map for the new CA area over equivalent non-forest land will be provided and also uploaded in Part-I online.
8	Diversion of 2.43 ha (instead of 2.64 ha) of forest land for construction of 1.80 MW Shilla Small Hydro Electric Power	Regional Empowered Committee discussed the proposal seeking diversion of 2.43 ha (instead of 2.64 ha) of forest land for construction of 1.80 MW Shilla Small Hydro Electric Power Project. Though the proposal was

<p>Project in favour of M/s Hydro Waves pvt. Ltd. within the jurisdiction Parbati Forest Division, Distt. Kullu, Himachal Pradesh.</p>	<p>discussed and recommended in the REC meeting held on 26.05.2016, the REC was informed that as per the proposal was re-discussed in the REC the State Govt. letter dated 15.11.2016, the area proposed for diversion is reduced from 2.64 ha to 2.43 ha because of reduction in width of RoW to 1.42 m in accordance with guidelines of MoEF & CC, GoI, New Delhi for laying underground cables issued in form of minutes of meeting dated 29.01.2016 held with Central Electricity Authority. Further, private land equivalent to the area proposed for diversion is now proposed for carrying out CA in conformity with GoI directions. It was also noted by the REC that as a result of reduction in the area proposed for diversion the no. of trees involved has also come down to 111 trees and 255 saplings from earlier proposed 118 trees and 275 saplings. The forest land proposed for diversion is not a part of any Protected Area and no rare and endangered species of flora and fauna have been reported. It was pointed out in the meeting that as per guidelines, the width of trench should be 0.6 m for the underground cable but width of RoW is taken as 1.42 m. To this, it was clarified that as per the current local practice by Revenue Department of HP in Kullu District the lowest unit of measuring/ recording length and width is "Karam" and one "Karam" is equal to 1.42 m. Hence, it is not possible to take width of RoW less than 1.42 m. Moreover, some RoW is also needed outside the trench for movement or maintenance purpose.</p> <p>After detailed discussion on various aspects of the proposal, the committee decided to recommend the proposal to MoEF & CC, Govt. of India, New Delhi for approval subject to fulfillment of following conditions:</p>
--	--

		1. Revised KML file and geo-referenced digital map for the new CA area over equivalent non-forest land will be provided and also uploaded in Part-I online.
UTTARAKHAND		
9	Diversion of 6.4046 ha of forest land for construction of 20.550 km long Motor Road from Tartik (Jakholi) to Toli Talli in favour of Gramya Vikas Vibhag (PMGSY) within the jurisdiction of Almora Forest Division, District Almora, Uttarakhand (Online No.- FP/UK/ROAD/17292/2015).	<p>Regional Empowered Committee discussed the proposal seeking diversion of 6.4046 ha of forest land for construction of 20.550 km long Motor Road from Tartik (Jakholi) to Toli Talli. The committee noted that 130 number of trees are proposed to be felled in the project and the forest land proposed for diversion is not a part of any Protected Area and no rare and endangered species of flora and fauna have been reported. The committee noticed that the no. of trees in Civil Soyam Land of Gauna and Bhatkhola is shown as 33 at page No. 51 of hard copy of the proposal. Again at page no. 52, the no. of trees in Civil Benap Land of Gauna and Bhatkhola is shown as 97. Thus, the total trees in forest land comes 130 (33+97). On the other hand, the legal categories of forest land involved in the project is mentioned as Revenue Forest (6.2291 ha) and Village Forest (0.1755 ha) in Online Part-II. Additional PCCF & Nodal Officer, Uttarakhand and the representative of the User Agency informed that the Revenue Forest Land mentioned in online Part-II is the Civil Soyam Land and Village Forest Land mentioned in online Part-II is the Van Panchayat Land.</p> <p>After detailed discussion on various aspects of the proposal, REC noticed that there are some other shortcomings also in the proposal. The REC, therefore, decided to defer the proposal for consideration in the next meeting and desired that the State Govt. may be</p>


		<p>requested to submit the following documents/information / clarifications:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The name of the forest land mentioned in the enumeration lists submitted with the hard copy of the proposal is required to be corrected in accordance with the names mentioned in online Part-II & revised lists may be submitted. 2. Original copies of all the revised documents are required for placing in the hard copy of the proposal, which may be submitted. 3. It is seen from the data given in C/B Analysis Formats i.e Annexure- VI (b) & Annexure VI(c) that the loss is more than the benefits. Moreover, the environmental losses have not been calculated correctly. C/B Analysis is required to be reviewed and revised documents required to be submitted. 4. This road has been re-named as Tatrik (Jakholi) to Toli Talli Motor Road (earlier name Takoli Band to Gauna Motor Road- 10 Km) and length also revised to 20.550 Km. But, revised administrative approval of the competent authority of User Department has not been submitted, which may be submitted.
10	<p>Diversion of 5.6325 ha of forest land for construction of 7.60 km long Arakot-Kalich-Thunara-Damti Motor Road, in favour of PWD within the jurisdiction of Tons Forest Division, District Uttarkashi, Uttarakhand (Online No.- FP/UK/ROAD/16964/2015).</p>	<p>Regional Empowered Committee discussed the proposal seeking diversion of 5.6325 ha of forest land for construction of 7.60 km long Arakot-Kalich-Thunara- Damti Motor Road. The committee was informed that 281 numbers of trees are proposed to be felled in the project and the forest land proposed for diversion is not a part of any Protected Area and no rare and endangered species of flora and fauna have been reported. Regarding validity of</p>

		<p>administrative/financial approval accorded in 2005. the representative of the User Agency informed that the approval is still valid. Some minor shortcomings were noticed by the committee in the proposal. Additional PCCF & Nodal Officer, Uttarakhand and the representative of the User Agency assured that the short comings will be removed and revised information/documents will be submitted shortly. The committee also concluded that this project intends to provide road connectivity to a significantly large population in difficult terrain and the minor shortcomings noticed relate to correction of documents and not impacting the area proposed for diversion and number of trees.</p> <p>After detailed discussion on various aspects of the proposal, the committee decided to accord in-principle approval for diversion of 5.6325 ha forest land for this road, subject to submission of following information/documents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Clearly visible copy of map showing location of alternative examined is required to be uploaded in Part-I. 2. Area of 0.4125 ha (0.20625 RF Land and 0.20625 ha Civil Soyam Land) identified for muck dumping is incorporated in the forest land proposed for diversion in all the relevant columns except para 2, Part-II online, which may also be corrected. 3. In para-4 of Online Part-II, density is mentioned as 0.6 but keeping in view involvement of only 64 trees per ha, this appears on a higher side, which may be reviewed and corrected, if required. 4. Approximate distance is mentioned as NIL in
--	--	--

		<p>para-7 of Online Part-II, which may be uploaded online.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. 'Not applicable' is mentioned against details of wildlife in the area in para-8 (i) of Online Part-II, which may be reviewed and correct information uploaded. 6. CA stipulated is mentioned as 169.605 ha against 1611.31813 ha forest land diverted in past and progress of CA is mentioned as 0.00 ha, which may be reviewed and correct position may be uploaded. 7. Although, the cost benefit ratio is positive but the monetary figures given in C/B Ratio calculation sheet do not tally with the monetary figures given in Annexure-VI (b) and Annexure-VI (c). Moreover all the parameters have not been quantified. Revised documents may be submitted. 8. As per CA scheme uploaded in Online Part-II, the cost of CA is shown as 25,61,735.37 but cost of CA is mentioned as 27,29,767 in another CA Scheme uploaded as additional document in Online Part-I, which is stated to be the correct. The correct CA scheme is also required to be uploaded in online Part-II. 9. The balance quantity of muck proposed to be disposed of in muck dumping sites is mentioned as 11,396.20 cum instead of 1396.20 cum, which is required to be corrected in the Muck Dumping Plan. Revised plan to be submitted/ uploaded accordingly.
--	--	---

The meeting ended with thanks to the Chair.

This issues with the approval of Addl.PCCF, Regional Office (North Central) Dehradun-Cum-Chairman REC.



(M. S. Negi)


CF-cum-Member Secretary

File. No. RO-DDN/REC/1-2014/VOL-2/1405

Dated: 02 Dec., 2016

Copy for information & confirmation to:

1. Dr. G.S. Rawat, IFS (Retd.), 102/2, Vasant Vihar, Dehradun-248006.
2. Shri Parveen Thaplyal, IFS (Retd.), Shivkripa, Sangam Vihar Chouras, P.O. Kikileshwar, Distt. Tehri -249161, Uttarakhand
3. Dr. S.P. Singh, 09, Waldorf Compound, Mallital, Nainital-263001, Uttarakhand.
4. The APCCF & Nodal Officer, Forest Conservation, Uttarakhand, Indira Nagar, Forest Colony, Dehradun for information. He is requested to take action on the decisions taken in the REC meeting (Project wise) & ensure submission of compliance to this office for further necessary action.
5. The Nodal Officer-cum-CCF (FCA), Forest Department, Govt. of Himachal Pradesh, Talland, Shimla, H.P. for information. He is requested to take action on the decisions taken in the REC meeting (Project wise) & ensure submission of compliance to this office for further necessary action.



(M. S. Negi)

CF-cum-Member Secretary