



	Details on catchment and culturable command area under the project (if applicable)	Not Applicable.
	Status of catchment area treatment plan to prevent siltation of reservoir (if applicable)	
14	Utility of the Project	
15	Whether land being diverted has any socio-cultural/religious values? Whether any scared grove of vary old growth trees/ forest exist in the areas proposed for diversion?	No.
16	Any other important information related to the project (Separate note may be attached, if required)	Detailed letter enclosed.
17	Details of documents and photographs enclosed:	Nil.
18	Recommendations of the Inspection Officer (CCF/CF/DCF)	The user agency has approached only for General clearance under section 2(iii) of FCA, 1980. The user agency is ready to pay NPV for the same. The mining lease period for the proposed land has been extended up to 2030. Hence, the same is sent for General approval. The NPV is worked out to be Rs. 15,55,17,010 as per supreme court directions.


 (Signature)
 Deputy Conservator of Forest
 Pandharkawada Division, Pandharkawada

Deputy Conservator of Forest
 Pandharkawada Division, Pandharkawada

Name of Inspecting Officer: DCF, Pandharkawada

Designation: DCF, Pandharkawada


 Deputy Conservator of Forest
 Pandharkawada Division, Pandharkawada

Place: 24/1/16
 Date:

Site Inspection Report

Prescribed vide PCCF (H&F) NO. Desk-17-4/1445, Dated: 5/1/2015

Sr. No.	Items	Observations and remarks																																												
1	Name of the Project and location (Range, Round, Beat)	Shindola Limestone Mines, Village- Shindola, Taluka- Wani, District- Yavatmal. Range- Wani, Round- Kurli, Beat- Kurli.																																												
2	Name of the User Agency	ACC Cement Chanda Cements Works, P.O. Cement Nagar Chandrapur-442502, Maharashtra, India.																																												
3	Date of site inspection	24/01/2016																																												
4	Extent (ha) and legal status of forest land proposed for diversion	Reserve Forest Land 193.67 Ha.																																												
5	a) Details of forest land proposed for diversion and activity-wise break-up of forest land	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Sr. No</th> <th>Name Village</th> <th>Survey No.</th> <th>Area in Ha.</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>Yenak</td> <td>87 Part</td> <td>25.66</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>Chanakha</td> <td>43 Part</td> <td>53.02</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>Pardi</td> <td>2 Part</td> <td>70.60</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>Kurli</td> <td>78/1</td> <td>2.71</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>79/1</td> <td>5.10</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>80/1</td> <td>5.97</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>85</td> <td>10.02</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>86</td> <td>11.36</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>87</td> <td>9.23</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Total</td> <td></td> <td>193.67</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sr. No	Name Village	Survey No.	Area in Ha.	1	Yenak	87 Part	25.66	2	Chanakha	43 Part	53.02	3	Pardi	2 Part	70.60	4	Kurli	78/1	2.71			79/1	5.10			80/1	5.97			85	10.02			86	11.36			87	9.23		Total		193.67
Sr. No	Name Village	Survey No.	Area in Ha.																																											
1	Yenak	87 Part	25.66																																											
2	Chanakha	43 Part	53.02																																											
3	Pardi	2 Part	70.60																																											
4	Kurli	78/1	2.71																																											
		79/1	5.10																																											
		80/1	5.97																																											
		85	10.02																																											
		86	11.36																																											
		87	9.23																																											
	Total		193.67																																											
	b) Density and Eco-value class	More than 0.4 density ECO-class III (Tropical dry Deciduous Forest) Not Applicable.																																												
6	Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the User Agency in col.2 of Part-I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no, recommended area item-wise with details of alternative examined.	Not Applicable.																																												
7	Whether the proposal involves any construction of building (including residential) or not? If yes, details thereof	Yes, the propose land is important from the point of view of wildlife. It acts as corridor of tiger movement from Chandrapur to Kawal Sanctuary via Pandharkawala.																																												
8	a) Whether forest area proposed for diversion is important from wildlife point of view or not.																																													

9	b) Details of any rare or endangered or unique species of flora and fauna found in proposed forest land. If so, the details thereof. c) Aerial distance from the nearest boundary of any Protected Area (km) d) Remarks about sensitivity of the forest area likely to be affected due to project: e) Whether wildlife mitigation plan is required? If yes, reasons therefor:	Leopard, Tiger, Nilgai, Chital, Barking deer, Wild boar etc. The proposed site is beyond 10 K.m. distance from Tipeshwar Wildlife Sanctuary. Not Applicable. Yes, the area is one of the critical habitat for tigers and it acts as corridor of tiger movement from Chandrapur to Kawal Sanctuary.
10	Details of vegetations: a) Total number of trees to be felled: b) Number of trees to be felled of girth below 60 cm: c) Number of trees to be felled of girth above 60 cm: d) Effect of removal of trees on the general ecosystem in the area.	Not Applicable. The project is for lime stone mining for cement factory of ACC. As of now the user agency has approached for General clearance under section 2 (iii) of Forest Conservation Act of 1980.
11	Background note on the proposal (Short summary)	Not Applicable.
12	Whether the proposal involves any violation of Forest (Conservation) Act 1980? If yes, a detailed report against the concerned officials to be attached. Whether the proposal involves rehabilitation of displaced person. If yes, whether relaid by the State has been approved by the Government?	Not Applicable.