रांयुक्त रथल निरीक्षण रिपोर्ट

दिगाह बन मंडल के वन परिक्षेत्र दमोह/समोनी अंतर्गत बनक्षेत्रों में तेल एवं प्राकृतिक गैरा की खोज (रिशमिक सर्वे) हेतु रकवा 18167.00 है. वन भूमि की आवश्यकता हेतु व्यविदित बनभूमि का दिनांक 30/08/2018 को संयुक्त स्थल निरीक्षण किया गया, विरीक्षण उपसंत बेकलिस्ट अनुसार निरीक्षण प्रतिवेदन निम्नानुसार हैं:—

| SI. No. | Pariticular | | Inspection Report by CCF (T.) Sagar | | | | |
|------------|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|------------|----------|--|
| 1.01 | Extent is hectares. | Sr.no. | Range | Comp. No. | Shot holes | Area(h.) | |
| 1 | | 1 | Singrampur | RF 286,287,288,291, 292,305,319,337,426, 434,435,439,440 | 1254 | 4604.20 | |
| | | | | PF 23,24,60,61,82,83 84,85,86, | 308 | 1130.80 | |
| | a v | | 5) B | Total | 1562 | 5735.00 | |
| | | , | | RF 304,306,327 | 289 | 1061.10 | |
| | | 2 | Tejgarh | PF 26,27,28,29,30,31,32, 33,34,35,36,37,40, 43,44,45,47,54,62,466,467 | 1160 | 4259.00 | |
| | | | | Total | 1449 | 5320.10 | |
| | | 3 | Tendukhera | RF 196,197,198, 199,200,201 | 422 | 1549.40 | |
| | * | | renduknera | PF-92, 93 | 86 | 315.80 | |
| | | | | Total | 508 | 1865.20 | |
| | | 4 | Sagoni | RF 284,290,385,386,387 ,388,389,390,391,392, 393,394,395,396,397,398,399 | 1429 | 5246.70 | |
| | | | Total | | | 5246,70 | |
| | | | Grand Total 4948 18167.00 | | | | |
| 2 | Location (lat-long) of the forest land proposed for diversion. | According to attached list | | | | | |
| 3 | Legal status of the forest land (Protected forest, reserved forests, revenue forest lands or any other forest land) | RF (12461.40 h.) & PF (5705.60 h.) | | | | | |
| 4 | Demarcation of the area with temporary earns etc. | Vac | | | | | |
| 5 | | Yes | | | | | |
| 6 | Any signs of eneroachment. Any activity already taken up within the forest land or adjoining non- | No No | | | | | |
| | forest land as part of the proposed project by the user agency. Details of action taken against the User Agency in case of violation of the FC Act and guidelines there under. | No | | | | | |
| 7 | Status of vegetation. Site quality, species composition etc. | Site Quality -VB, Density 0.1 to 0.5 Composition – Mixed forest. | | | | | |
| 8 | Importance of area from wildlife point of view. Status of wildlife | Area has no importance from wildlife point of view at present. But in future some part | | | | | |
|) | (density and abundance of important species, bird life reptiles, butterflies and other scheduled animals, any endangered wildlife) Any latest census of wildlife in this area. | may come in corridor of PTR and Nauradehi forest Division or buffer area. | | | | | |
| 9 | Endemism of flora/fauna or any other unique ecosystem in the area. | At present Not applicable. | | | | | |
| 10 | Current land use. Is this area managed as per prescriptions in the Working Plan and, if not, why? | Yes, RDF & IFS | | | | | |
| 11 | Importance of the area from historical or religious point of view. | Area has no importance from historical or religious point of view. | | | | | |
| 12 | Any dependent persons/families on the land. | No persons/Families are dependent on the proposed area. | | | | | |
| 13 | Any displacement of persons proposed. | No displacement proposed. | | | | | |
| 14 | Is there any Rehabilitation and Resettlement Plan for the persons to | As no persons/families are dependent on the proposed area, therefore no resettlemen | | | | | |
| | be affected? Is there any dissenting voice among the persons to be | and habilitation plan is applicable and there is no dissenting voice. | | | | | |
| | affected 2 Is there any dissenting voice among the persons proposed | A The state of the | | | | | |
| | to be displaced? | | | | | | |
| 15 | Compensatory a forestation proposed is on forest land or non-forest | | * | Not applicable. | | | |
| 10 | land. | ixot applicable. | | | | | |
| | | is . | | | | | |
| | Location of the area, suitability of the area for CA. If in the degraded | · · | | | | | |

| | forest land then what is the current Working Plan prescription for the area? Distance of the non-forest land for CA from the nearest forest area. Number of patches in case the area should be more than kms. Proposed area should not be part of any protected area. Also distance from the boundary of the nearest protected area should be more than | At present proposed area is not a part of protected area. Proposed area is at 2.5 km from Rani Durgavati Wild life Sanctuary and 500 mt. from ESZ., at 25 kms from Nauradehi Wild life Sanctuary and at 55 kms from Panna Tiger Reserve Buffer Zone |
|----|--|---|
| 17 | 10 kms. Dependence of tribal's in the area. Whether the rights of the tribal's | No |
| 18 | have been recognized in the area. Utility of the project, including the people living in close vicinity of | Project is of great importance from national point of view and also for developme and generation of employment to those living in close vicinity of the project. |
| 19 | the project. In case of renewal whether all the conditions stipulated in the earlier | It is an application for allocation of fresh forest land. |
| 20 | sanction order have been complied with. Alternatives examined by the user agency in case of non-site specific | No |
| | projects. A certificate by the user agency that the forest land requested for | Not applicable |
| 21 | diversion for non-forestry purpose is bare minimum. Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuring that the purpose for | No |
| 22 | which the forest land is being diverted is also not adversely an ected. | No |
| 23 | Any other issue of significance. | The Area bears huge potential for oil and natural gas and as the seismic survey |
| 24 | Specific recommendations of the CCF with reasons for approval of the project. | The Area bears huge potential to the survey project is National importance for Hydrocarbon exploration. The survey project is recommended for approval. |

Place- Sagar Date-3\.../.2018 Chief Conservator of Forest Sagar Circle Sagar