Name of Project: Rehabilitation and Upgradation to 2-lane with paved shoulders configuration of Radhaita to Pilibhit Section of NH-731K (Km 137.250 to Km 183.380) (Package-IV) in the State of Uttar Pradesh under Green National Highways Corridor Project (GNHCP) with the loan assistance of world Bank on EPC mode.

Nature of Proposal: Diversion of 44.376 ha of forest land for Rehabilitation and Upgradation to 2-lane with paved shoulders configuration of Radhaita to Pilibhit Section of NH-731K (Km 137.250 to Km 183.380) (Package-IV) in the State of Uttar Pradesh under Green National Highways Corridor Project (GNHCP) with the loan assistance of world Bank on EPC mode in favor of the Project Director, Project Implementation Unit, MoRT&H Shahjahanpur-Uttar Pradesh.

Total Design Length of the Project Road: 46.130 Kms

N - 12 54 12 1

The proposed road starts from Radhaita of Km 137.250 and passes through the District of Shajahanpur and ends at Assam Chauraha Pilinhit Km 183.380 in the State of Uttar Pradesh. The Design length of project road is 46.130 km.

Number of District through which project road traverses-1 No i.e Pilibhit District

Total forest area proposed for diversion: 44.396 ha

Purpose: The cost Benefit Analysis is being undertaken as the required forest land is > 20 hectre for proposed diversion of forest land being affected due to widening of existing road for above said project.

ish Shukla Project Director 5/9/10 PIU MoRT&H, Shahjahanpur

n a n

Guidelines for conducting cost-benefit analysis for projects involving forest diversion

(i) While considering proposal for diversion of forest land for non forestry use, it is essential that ecological and environmental losses and eco economic distress caused to the people who are displaced are weighted against economic and social gains. (ii) Whenever the forest land is involved in the development projects, the cost of ecosystem services and fragmentation of habitat of wildlife and economic distress caused to the people dependent on forests and the cost of settlement of people dependent on forest should also be added as the cost of forest diversion in addition to the standard project cost which would have been incurred by the user agencies without involvement of forest land while conducting the cost benefit analysis of the project. Similarly the benefits from the project accruing due to diversion of forest land and used in the project should also be accounted for in the benefits component in addition to the standard benefits of the project which would have been accrued without involvement of forest land while conducting the cost benefit analysis and determining the benefit and cost ratio (BC ratio).

(iii) The cost of Compensatory afforestation and its maintenance in future and soil & moisture conservation at present discounted value and future benefits from such compensatory forestation accruing over next 50 years monetized and discounted to the present value should be included as cost and benefits respectively of compensatory affrestation while conducting the cost benefit analysis and determining the benefit and cost ratio (BC ratio).

(iv) **Table A** list the details the types of projects involving forest land for which cost benefit analysis will be required, **Table-B** Lists the parameters according to which the cost aspect of forest land diverted for the development projects will be determined, while **Table C** lists the parameters for assessing the benefits accruing to the project using forest land.

(v) A cost benefits analysis as above should be accompany the proposals sent to central Government for forest clearance under the Forest Conservation Act.

Ashish Shukla Project Director 5/1/? PIU MoRT&H, Shahjahanpur

Table A: Cases under which a Cost -benefit analysis for forest diversion are required

л

Sl	Nature of Proposal	Applicable/Not Applicable	Remarks
1	All Categories of proposal involving forest land upto 20 hectres in plains and upto 5 hectres in hills	Not Applicable	These proposals may be considered a case to case basis and value judgments.
2	Proposed for defense installation purpose and oil prospecting only	Not Applicable	In view of national priority accorded to these sectors, the proposal would be critically assessed to help ascertain that the utmost minimum forest land is diverted for non forest use
3	Habitation, establishment of industrial units, tourist lodge complex and other building construction	Not Applicable	These activities being detrimental in protection and conservation of proposals would be rarely entertained.
4	All other proposal involving forest land more than 20 hectres in plain and more than 5 hectres in hills including roads,transmission line, minor, medium and major irrigation projects, hydro projects, mining activity, railway line, location specific installations like microwave stations, auto repeater centres, TV tower etc.	Applicable	These are cases where a cost benefit analysis is necessary to determine when diverting the forest land to non forest use in the overall public interest.

Since the proposal is for diversion of forest land measuring less than 20 hectre in plain area for the road project cost benefit analysis report is not applicable

Table B: Estimation of Cost of forest diversion

S. No	Parameters	Given Guideline	Evaluation
1	Ecosystem services looses due to	Economic value of loss of	NPV value has been taken
	proposed forest diversion	ecosystem services due to	as Rs 8.03 lakhs per hectare
		diversion of forest shall be the	
		net present Value (NPV) of	Therefore losses =
		the forest land being diverted	8.03X44.376=Rs 356.3393
		as prescribed by central	Lakhs
		Government (MOEF & CC)	
	1	Note: In case of National	
		parks the NPV shall be ten	
		(10) times the normal NPV	
	×	and in case wildlife Sanctuary	
		the NPV shall be five (5)	
		times the normal NPV or	

Ashish Shukla Project Director 5/9/2。 PIU MoRT&H, Shahjahanpur

5.

	IC GOIDLINES NO 7-		
		otherwise prescribed by the	
		ministry or any other	
t j he		competent authority	
2	Loss of animal husbandry	To be quantified and	Loss of Animal husbandry
	productivity, including loss of	expressed in monetary terms	due to proposed diversion
	fodder	or 10% of NPV applicable	is very, moderate and
		whichever is maximum	calculated below.
			calculated below.
			Gross Loss @5
			ton/Ha/Year @ Rs.100/-
	P. 11.11		
			per tonne. Therefore loss of
			fodder as estimated for
			about 44.376 hact .will be
		*	44.376X5X100X50 Years
		< 降度	=Rs. 1109400
			10% of NPV
			=44.376X8.03X0.1=35.63393
			lakhs. So considered
			amount is Rs 35.63393
			Lakhs.
3	Cost of human resettlement	To be quantified and	Nil human resettlement is
		expressed in monetary terms	required since no family
		as per approved R & R plan.	residing in forest land.
4	Loss of public facilities and	To be quantified and	No Loss of public
	administrative infrastructure	expressed in monetary terms	Infrastructure and
	(Roads, buildings School,	on actual basis at the time of	administrative
	dispensaries, electric lines,	diversion.	infrastructure (roads,
	railways etc) on forest land, or		buildings, schools,
	which would require forest		dispensaries, electric lines,
	land if these facilities were		railways, etc) on the forest
	diverted due to the project.		land.
	1)		All public utilities affected
			will be shifted by UP PWD,
-			NH Construction Division
		2 I X	at cost of Rs 1040 Lakhs
5	Possession value of forest land	30% of environment costs (The circle rate of adjoining
	diverted	NPV) due to loss of forests or	area in the district is about
	ur or tou	circle rate of adjoining area in	62 Lakhs per hectare where
		the district should be added	as 30 % of NPV is 2.41
			lakhs. Which is more than
A		as a cost component as	62 lakh per hac.
э. 	-	possession value of forest	02 Ianii per liac.
		land whichever is maximum	Therefore Procession Value
			Therefore Procession Value
			of forest land will be
			=62X44.376=Rs 2751.312
			lakhs
6	Cost of Suffering to oustees	The social cost of	Nil as no Resettlement and
		rehabilitation of Oustees (in	Rehabilitation is required in
		addition to the cost likely to	forest land. Which is
		be incurred in providing	proposed to be diverted.



n 17

-	TE GEIDLINLD NO /-	0J2011-IC DATED	01-00-2017
		residence, occupation and social services as per R & R plan) be worked out as 1.5 times of what oustees should have earned in two years had	
7	Habitat fragmentation Cost	he not been shifted While the relationship between fragmentation and forest goods and services is complex, for the sake of simplicity the cost due to fragmentation has been pegged at 50% of NPV applicable as a thumb rule.	8.03 X 0.5X44.376 = Rs
8	Compensatory afforestation and soil & moisture conservation cost	The actual cost of compensatory afforestation and soil & moisture conservation and its maintenance in future at present discounted value	same as those adopted in other stage I approved projects of MoRT&H in the area i.e approx 3 Lakhs per hactre. However the exact amount will be known only after the
			Stage I approval. Considering 3 Lakhs per hactre for estimate the Cost of CA = 3X44.376X2= Rs. 266.256 Lakhs

Table C: Existing Guidelines for estimating benefits of forest land diversion in CBA

S. No	Parameters	Given Guideline	Evaluation
1	Increase in	To be quantified and	The proposal project for which diversion of
	productivity	expressed in monetary	forest land is sought is for widening of
	attributable to the	terms avoiding double	existing road .The project road will improve
	specific project	counting	accessibility to the region .This will help in
	N.		both economic & social development in the
			region.
			The project will enable smooth accessibility
	e		in the region by which people of the region
			will be directly benefited. This will accelerate
			industrialization /commercialization in
<			region and the same will directly generate
			maximum employment opportunities in
			these areas and boosting up the economy of
			the region and state. Again directly the

Ashish Shukla Project Director 5/9/2» PIU MoRT&H, Shahjahanpur

in the second

	TTC GOIDLINED		C DATED 01-00-2017
			project will have the potential for temporary employment generation for local people 200 for years generating 200X365X2=146000 man days during the construction period.
2	Benefits of economy	The incremental	Economic benefit in terms of increase in
	due to the specific	economic benefit in	trade, saving in vehicular operation and
	project	monetary terms due to	maintenance cost better connectivity, safer
		the activities	journey to commuter and saving of travel
		attributed to the	time.
		specific project.	
			Improved road connectivity helps in better implementation and management of government schemes .it will provide last and economical transport of goods, After completion of project, the local people and industries situated in the area will be greatly benefited . The widening of project road will provide safe and fast, economical and environment friendly transportation to the State, which in term will accelerate the rate of growth in this area. In addition to that there are several other benefits that may accrue due to saving in fuel, reduction in time to commute, vehicle maintain ace, reduction in cabon erosion etc. "However they have not been quantified as it will be a function of various govt.policy variables". Exact quantification of the value
			is not possible as it is time and policy dependent.
3	No. of population benefited due to specific project	As per the detailed project report	The project road passes through Pilibhit District, which has 2031007 Population. The entire population of the district and adjoining districts would be benefitted by the project.
4	Economic benefits due	As per the detailed	
	to of direct and indirect employment due to the project.	project report	Directly employment generation for local people 200 for 2 years generating 200X365X2=146000 man days during the construction period and indirect employment as a result of development of infrastructure and will also provide direct benefits to small scale industrial units
5	Economic benefits due to Compensatory Afforestation	Benefits from such compensatory forestation accruing over next 50 years monetized and discounted to the present value should	In lieu of total trees to be remove from proposed Row in forest land along the project road it is proposed to undertake at least twice of affected area as Compensatory afforestation and forest conservation act 1980 So the net productivity will increase . The Compensatory Afforestation will be done in



be included as benefits	44.376X2= 88.752 hactare of degraded forest
of compensatory	land. Which is down the line would be
afforestation.	having a density of minimum 0.3 The
*For benefits of CA the	ecological value for a 50 years period for the
guideline of the	density of 10 is Rs. 126.74 Lakhs per hectre
ministry for NPV	.By considering minimum 0.3 density the
estimation may be	ecological gain for the project would be
considered.	126.74X0.3X88.752=
	Rs. 3374.529 lakhs

Summary of Cost -Benefit Analysis for the Project

S.No	Loss (in Lakh)	Benefit (Lakh)
1	Ecosystem services losses Rs 356.3393	Ecology gain for Compensatory Rs. 3374.529
	Lakhs	lakhs
2	Loss of Animal Husbandry Productivity	146000 Man days will be generated assuming 500
	including loss of Fodder = Rs 35.63393	Rs per Day as wages total benefit = 500X146000=
	Lakhs.	7300 Lakhs
3	Loss of Public facility Rs 1040 Lakhs	
4	Possession Value of Forest Land diverted	
	Rs 2751.312 lakhs	
5	Habitat Fragmentation Cost Rs 178.1696	
	Lakhs.	
6	Compensatory Afforestation and Soil and	
	Moisture Conservation Rs. 266.256 Lakhs,	
	Total Loss = Rs 356.3393Lakhs + Rs	Total Benefit Rs 10674.529 Lakhs
	35.63393 Lakhs + Rs 1040 Lakhs + Rs	
	2751.312 lakhs + Rs 178.1696 Lakhs.+ Rs.	
	266.256 Lakhs,= Rs 4627.711	
	Lakhs	

Benefit Cost Ratio =Total Benefit /Total Loss =

Rs 10674.529 Lakhs / Rs 4627.711 Lakhs =2.30665428

which is more than 1 hence project is viable.

Note 1: Net Present Value (NPV) of environment and ecosystem services loss:

The concept of NET Present Value of the forest land diverted is a scientific method of calculating the environment cost and other losses caused due to diversion of forest land for non-forestry purposes. The NPV represents the net value of various ecosystem services and other environment services in monetary terms which the forest would have provided if the forest would not have been diverted.

Ashish Shukla Project Director 5/9/10 PIU MoRT&H, Shahjahanpur

Note 2: Possession Value of forest land diverted:

The forest land diverted for the project such as irrigation, hydropower, railways, roads, wind, and transmission lines and mining etc are unlikely to be returned and remains in possession of the user agencies. Therefore 30% of the net present value (NPV) of the forest land diverted or market rate of adjoining area in the district should be added as a cost of component as "possession value of forest land" in addition to the environment costs due to loss of forests.

Ashish Shukla Project Director 5/9/10 PIU MAShish Shuklahanpur Project Director, PIU, MoRT&H ,Shahjahanpur, Uttar Pradesh Signature, Seal & Date

Date: 5/9/10 Place: Lucknow