

Full Title of the Project:- C/o Kurpan-II Small Hydro Power Project (4.8 MW) in
Distt. Kullu, H.P. .

File no.: _____

Date of Proposal: _____

Checklist Serial No. 10



JUSTIFICATION FOR LOCATING THE PROJECT IN FOREST AREA

The user agency proposed three different alignments/ alternated for setting up 4.80 MW Kurpan- Small Hydro Power proposed on Kurpan Nallah. The Joint Inspection Committee examined all the proposed alignments/alternatives.

Alternatives for project components.


Three alternatives of project via A-1, A-2 & A-3 were examined and all the possibilities regarding minimum use of forest land and less involvement of public funds were explored. Last two i.e. A-2 & A- were rejected due to some substantial reasons and first on i.e. A-1 was selected as under:-

A-1 Alignment is free from soil erosion, involves shorter length resultantly lesser extent of forest area shall be involved and less funds as compare to below two alignments will be utilized to create the project, hence finalized.

A-2 Lengthy alignment, more trees, more forest land, erosion prone zone more funds will be involved to established proposed proposal, required more forest land, hence rejected.

A-3 Lengthy alignment, more trees, more forest land, erosion prone zone more funds will be involved to established proposal, required more forest land, hence rejected

For: Himprabha Power (P) Ltd
Authorized Signatory



Countersigned by:


Divisional Forest Officer
Ani Forest Division
Distt. Kullu H.P.

Full Title of the Project:- C/o Kurpan-II Small Hydro Power Project (4.8 MW) in
Distt. Shimla, H.P.

File no.: _____

Date of Proposal: _____

Checklist Serial No. 9

JUSTIFICATION FOR LOCATING THE PROJECT IN FOREST AREA

The user agency proposed three different alignments/ alternated for setting up 4.80 MW Kurpan- Small Hydro Power proposed on Kurpan Nallah. The Joint Inspection Committee examined all the proposed alignments/alternatives.

Alternatives for project components.

Three alternatives of project via A-1, A-2 & A-3 were examined and all the possibilities regarding minimum use of forest land and less involvement of public funds were explored. Last two i.e. A-2 & A- were rejected due to some substantial reasons and first on i.e. A-1 was selected as under:-

A-1 Alignment is free from soil erosion, involves shorter length resultantly lesser extent of forest area shall be involved and less funds as compare to below two alignments will be utilized to create the project, hence finalized.

A-2 Lengthy alignment, more trees, more forest land, erosion prone zone more funds will be involved to established proposed proposal, required more forest land, hence rejected.


A-3 Lengthy alignment, more trees, more forest land, erosion prone zone more funds will be involved to established proposal, required more forest land, hence rejected.

For Himprachi Power Pvt. Ltd.

Authorized Signatory

Countersigned by:

Divisional Forest Officer
Rampur Forest Division
Distt. Shimla, H.P.


Dy. Conservator of Forests
Rampur Forest Division
Rampur Bushahr (H.P.)