### JHARKHAND URBAN INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT COMPANY (JUIDCO) LIMITED



(A Government of Jharkhand Undertaking)

3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Pragati Sadan, Kutchery Chowk, Ranchi-834001 Ph no.- 0651-2225878, E-Mail Id- <u>juidcolimited@gmail.com</u> CIN: U45200JH2013SGC001752,



Letter No-JUIDCO | 35 50 到 | Hax | WSS | 124 | 2016-2122 Date- 28 | 05 | 2019

# Hazaribagh Urban Water Supply Scheme Under Hazaribagh Municipal Corporation

#### Guideline for conducting cost-benefit analysis for project involving forest diversion

- (i) While considering proposal for diversion of forest land for non-forestry use, it is essential that ecological and environment losses and eco-economic distress caused to the people who are displaced are weighted against economic and social gains.
- (ii) Whenever the forest land is involved in the development projects, the cost of ecosystem services and fragmentation of habit of wildlife and economic distress caused to people dependent on forest and the cost of settlement of people dependent on forest should also be added as the cost of forest diversion in addition to the standard project cost which would have been incurred by the user agencies of the without involvement of forest land while conducting the cost benefit analysis of the project. Similarly the benefit from the project accruing due to diversion of forest land and used in the project should also be accounted for in the benefits component in addition to the standard benefits of the project which would have been accrued without involvement of forest land while conducting the cost benefit analysis and determining the benefit and cost ratio (BC ratio).
- (iii) The cost of compensatory a forestation and its maintenance in future and soil & moisture conservation at present discounted value and future benefits from such compensatory a forestation accruing over next 50 years monetized and discounted to the present value should be included as cost and benefits respectively of compensatory a forestation while conducting the cost benefit analysis and determining the benefit and cost ratio (BC ratio).
- (iv) Table-A lists the details the types of project involving forest land for which cost-benefit analysis will be required.
  - Table-B lists the parameters according to which the cost aspect of forest land diverted for the development projects will be determined, while
  - Table-C lists the parameters for assessing the benefits accruing to the project using of forest land.
- (v) A cost-benefit analysis as above should accompany the proposals sent to the central government for forest clearance under the forest conservation Act.



Table-A:Cases under which a cost-benefit analysis for forest diversion is required.

	Natura of managal	Applicable/	Remarks
No.	Nature of proposal	not	ne
		applicable	
_	All estates of proposal involving	not	This proposal may be
1	All categories of proposal involving	,	Tills bioboom
	forest land upto 20v hectares in plains	applicable	considered on a case to case
	and upto 5 hectare in hills.		basic and value judgment.
2	Proposal for the defense installation	not	In view of national priority
	purposes and oil prospecting	applicable	accorded to these sectors, the
	(prospecting only)		proposals would be critically
			assessed to help ascertain that
			the utmost minimum forest land
			is diverted for non-forest use.
3	Habitation, establishment of industrial	not	These actives being detrimental
	units, tourist lodges complex and other	applicable	to protection and conservation
	building construction.		of forest, as a matter of policy,
			such proposals would be rarely
			entertained.
4	All other proposal involving forest land	applicable	These are cases where a cost-
	more than 20 hectares in plains and		benefit analysis is necessary to
	more than 5 hectares in hills including	11	determine when diverting the
	roads, transmission lines, minor,		forest land to non-forest use in
	medium and major irrigation project,		the overall public interest.
	hydro project, mining activity, railway		20
,	lines, location specific installation like		
	micro-wave station, auto repeater		
	centers, TV towers etc.		

Table-B: Estimation of cost of forest diversion.

S.N	Parameters	Remarks
1	Ecosystem services losses due to proposal forest diversion.	Economic value of loss of eco-system services due to diversion of forest shall be the net present value (NPV) of the forest land being diverted as prescribed by the central government (MoEF& CC).  Note: in case of national park the NPV shall be ten (10) times the normal NPV and in case of wildlife sanctuary the NPV shall be five (5) times the normal NPV or otherwise prescribed bye the ministry or any other competent authority.
2	Loss of animal husbandry productivity, including loss of fodder.	To be quantified and expressed in monetary terms or 10%
3	Cost of human resettlement.	To be quantified and expressed in monetary terms as per approved R&R plan.

al

4	administrative infrastructure (Road, building, school, dispensaries, electric lines, railways, etc.) on forest land, which would required forest land if these facility were diverted due to the	To be quantified and expressed in monetary terms on actual cost basis at the time of diversion.
5	Possession value of forest land diverted.	added as a cost component as possession value of forest
6	Cost of suffering to outset.	The social cost of rehabilitation of oustees (in addition to the cost likely to be incurred in providing residence, occupation and social services as per R&R plan) be worked out as 1.5 times of what oustees should have earned in two years had he not been shifted.
7	Habitat fragmentation cost.	While the relationship between fragmentation and forest goods and services is complex, for the sake of simplicity the cost due to fragmentation has been pegged at 50% of NPV applicable as a thumb rule.
8	Compensatory a forestation and soil & moisture conservation cos.	The actual cost of compensatory afforestation and soil and moisture conservation and its maintenance in future at present discounted value.

## Table-C-Existing guidelines for estimating benefits of forest-diversion in CBA

Sr.	parameters	Remarks
1	Increase in productively attribute to the specific project.	To be quantified & expressed in monetary terms avoiding double counting.
2	Benefits to economy due to the specific project	The incremental economic benefit in monetary terms due to the activities attributed to the specific project.
4	No. of population benefits due to the specific project	As per detailed project report.
5	Economic benefits due of direct and indirect employment due to the project	As per detailed project report.
6	Economic benefits due to compensatory afforestation.	Benefits from such compensatory afforestation. Accruing over next 50 years monetized and discounted to the present value should be included as benefits of compensatory afforestation *for benefits of CA the guideline of the ministry for NPV estimation may be consulted.



Note-1: Net present value (NPV) of environment and ecosystem services loss:

The concept of net present value of the forest land diverted is a scientific method of calculating the environmental cost and other losses caused due to diversion of forest land for non-forestry purposes. The NPV represent the net value of various ecosystem services and other environmental services in monetary term which the forest would have provided if the forest would not have diverted.

#### Note-2: Possession value of forest land diverted:

The forest land diverted for the project such as irrigation, hydropower, railways, roads, wind and transmission lines and mining etc are unlikely to be returned and remains in possession of the user agencies. Therefore 30% of the net present value (NPV) of forest land diverted or market rate of adjoining area in the district should be added as a cost component as " possession value of forest land" in addition to the environment costs due loss of forests.

D. D. Mish Project Director (Admin.)

Project Director (Admin)
Project Director (Adm Jharkhand Urban Infrastructure Development

Company Limited, Ranchi Ibania