


**CATEGORY OF PROPOSALS FOR WHICH COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS  
IS APPLICABLE**


(See Para 2.6 of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980)

Sl. No.	Name of the Proposal		Applicable / Not Applicable	Remarks
1	All category of proposals involving forest land upto 20 Ha., in plains and upto 5 Ha., in hills	::	Not Applicable	Consideration on category basis and value judgment.
2.	Proposal for defense installation purposes and oil prospecting (prospecting only)	::	No	----
3	Habitation, Establishment of Industrial units, Tourist Lodges / Complex and other Building Constructions.	::	No	----
4.	All other proposals involving forest land more than 20 Ha., in plains and more than 5 Ha., in hills including roads, transmission lines, minor, medium and major irrigation projects, Hydel projects, mining activity railway lines, location specific installations like microwave stations, auto-repeater centre T.V. towers etc.,	::	---	For construction of Percolation tank in Sy. No. 901, Compt. No. 487 of Tarimela RF, Singanamala mandal of Ananthapuramu Range & District

  
**(C. VIJAYA BHASKAR REDDY)**  
 Technical Director,  
 Ecology Sector,  
 Rural Development Trust,  
 Ananthapuramu.


**PARAMETERS FOR EVALUATION OF BENEFIT NOT WITHSTANDING  
LOSS OF FOREST**

Sl. No.	Parameters		Medium & Major irrigation, Hydro, Electric large mining and other Misc., projects
1	Loss of value of timber, fuel wood and minor forest produces on an annual basis, including loss of man-hours per annum of people who derived livelihood and wages from the harvest of these commodities.	::	For construction of Percolation tank in Sy. No. 901, Compt. No. 487 of Tarimela RF, Singanamala mandal of Ananthapuramu Range & District without no loss of Timber, Firewood no loss to anybody.
2.	Loss of animal husbandry productivity, including loss of fodder.	::	No
3	Cost of human resettlement	::	No resettlement involved.
4.	Loss of public facilities and administrative infrastructure (Roads, Buildings Schools, Dispensaries, Electric lines, Railway etc.,) on forest land or which would require forest land if these facilities were diverted due to the project.	::	Not applicable
5.	Environmental losses (Soil erosion, effect on Hydrological cycle, Wildlife habitat, Microclimate upsetting of ecological balance)	::	----
6.	Suffering to oustees There are no oustees who are being evicted hence no loss	::	No

  
**(C. VIJAYA BHASKAR REDDY)**  
 Technical Director,  
 Ecology Sector,  
 Rural Development Trust,  
 Ananthapuramu.

**PARAMETERS FOR EVALUATION OF BENEFIT, NOT WITH  
STANDING LOSS OF FORESTS**

Sl. No.	Parameters		Nature of proposal mining projects.
1.	Increase in productivity attributable to the specific project	::	To store the rain water and improve the ground water in the 327 Bore wells, 703 Acres expected irrigation under this bore wells
2.	Benefit to economy	::	
3	No., of population benefited	::	About 4801 Population
4.	Employment potential	::	19680 Nos
5.	Cost of acquisition of facility on non-forest land wherever feasible.	::	Nil
6.	Loss of (a) agricultural and (b) animal husbandry production due to diversion of forest land.	::	Nil
7.	Cost of rehabilitation the displaced persons as differ from compensatory amounts given for displacement.	::	Nil
8.	Cost of supply of free fuel wood to workers residing in or near forest area during the period of construction.	::	No fuel wood is required.

  
**(C. VIJAYA BHASKAR REDDY)**  
 Technical Director,  
 Ecology Sector,  
 Rural Development Trust,  
 Ananthapuramu.