Cost Benefit Analysis as per GoI Guidelines 01-08-2017

Name of the Project: Development of Vadodara Mumbai Expressway (Phase-II SPUR) from km 0+000 to km 79+783 in the State of Maharashtra

Table-A: Cases under which Cost-Benefit Analysis for Forest Diversion are required

No.	Nature of Proposal	Applicable / Not Applicable	Remarks
1.	All categories of proposals involving forest land upto 20 hectares in plains and upto 5 hectares in hills	Not Applicable	These proposals may be considered in a case to case basis and value judgement
2.	Proposal for defence installation purposes and oil prospecting (prospecting only)	Not Applicable	In view of national priority accorded to these sectors, the proposals would be critically assessed to help ascertain that the utmost minimum forest land is diverted for non-forest use
3.	Habitation, establishment of industrial units, tourist lodges complex and other building construction	Not Applicable	These activities being detrimental to protection and conservation of forest, as a matter of policy, such proposals would be rarely entertained.
4.	All other proposals involving forest land more than 20 hectares in plains and more than 5 hectares in hills including roads, transmission lines, minor, medium and major irrigation projects, hydro projects, mining activity, railway lines, location specific installations like micro-wave stations, auto repeater centres, TV towers etc.	Applicable	These are cases where a cost – benefit analysis is necessary to determine when diverting the forest land to non-forest use in the overall public interest

Table-B: Estimation of cost of Forest Diversion

SN	Parameters	Remarks	Response
1.	Ecosystem loses due to proposed forest diversion	of forest shall be the net present value (NPV) of the forest land being diverted as prescribed by the	expressway alignment is Tropical Dry Deciduous Forests (Eco-class III) Approx. NPV value of forests*: 12.29 Lakh / ha Forest land proposed to be diverted: 111.7321 ha Loss of ecosystem: 12.29 lakh /ha x 111.7321 ha Rs. 13.73 Cr.
2.	Loss of animal husbandry productivity, including loss of fodder.	To be quantified and expressed in monetary terms or 10% of NPV applicable whichever is maximum	
3.	Cost of human resettlement	To be quantified and expressed in monetary terms as per approved	Total cost of human resettlement as per R&R Plan is Rs. 750 Crore

SN	Parameters	Remarks	Response
		R&R Plan	
4.	Loss of public facilities and administrative infrastructure (Roads, building, school, dispensaries, electric lines, railways etc.). On forest land, or which would require forest land if these facilities were diverted due to the project.	To be quantified and expressed in monetary terms on actual cost basis at the time of diversion	Nil
5.	Possession Value of forest land diverted	30% of environmental costs (NPV) due to loss of forests or circle rate of adjoining area in the district should be added as a cost component as possession value of forestland whichever is maximum	= Rs. 4.12 Cr.
6.	Cost of Suffering to oustees	The social cost of rehabilitation of oustees (in addition to the cost likely to be incurred in providing residence, occupation and social services as per R&R Plan) be worked out as 1.5 times of what oustees should have earned in two years had he not been shifted	
7.	Habitat Fragmentation Cost	While the relationship between fragmentation and forest goods and services is complex, for the sake of simplicity the cost due to fragmentation has been pegged at 50% of NPV applicable as a thumb rule.	= Rs. 6.86 Cr.
8.	Compensatory afforestation and soil & moisture conservation cost	The actual cost of compensatory afforestation and soil & moisture conservation and its maintenance in future at present discounted value	
		Total estimated Cost	Rs. 820.90 Crore

Table-C: Existing guidelines for estimating benefit of forest diversion in CBA

SN	Parameters	Remarks	Response
1.	Increase in productivity	To be quantified and expressed in	The economic analysis of the expressway
	attributable to the	monetary terms avoiding double	gives an EIRR of 13.43% indicating that
	specific project.	counting	the project is economically viable largely
			due to huge time related savings likely to
			accrue from the expressway. The
			Financial analysis of the project gives a
			project IRR of 16.45% for a concession

SN	Parameters	Remarks	Response	
			period of 38 years with no grant from NHAI. The equity IRR is 24.44%.	
2.	Benefit to economy due to the specific project	The incremental economic benefit in monetary terms due to the activities attributed to the specific project	uninterrupted free flow of traffic and	
3.	No. of population benefited due to the specific project	As per the Detailed Project Report	Population of Mumbai Regions, Palghar District and Population of 8 districts Gujarat, Union Territory of Dadra Nagar Haveli (about 8 crore people, either directly or indirectly) will be benefited	
4.	Economic benefits due to direct and indirect employment due to the project	As per the Detailed Project Report	Proposed project shall provide direct employment to around 100 people during construction period (2.5 years) and operation period. Economic benefit = Rs. 37.5 Cr.	
5.	Economic Benefits due to Compensatory afforestation	afforestation accruing over next 50 years monetised and discounted to the present value should be included as benefits of compensatory afforestation. *For benefits of CA the guideline of the Ministry for NPV estimation may be consulted.	(225.9336 x 1000 sapling/ha x Rs. 2,494/unit)	
	Total estimated benefit Rs. 1515.85 Cr.			

CB ratio (calculation is based on available information) \cong 1:1.8

Project Director, NHAI, PIU-Thane

PROJECT DIRECTOR
NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA
PROJECT MEPLEMENTATION UNIT-THINE

(M T Attarde)