## BENEFIT COST RATIO FOR SHEOPUR TO GORAS ROAD PROJECT FOR FOREST PROPOSAL

Table A: Cases under which cost benefit analysis

S.NO	NATURE OF PROPOSAL	APPLICABLE/NOT	REMARK
		APPLICABLE	
1	All categories of Proposal Involving	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
	forest land upto 20 hectares in plains and		
	upto 5 heactare in hills		
2	Proposal for define installation purposes	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
	and prospecting (prospection only)		
3	Habitation establishment of industrial	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
	units, tourist lodges complex and other		
	building construction		
4	All other proposel involving forest land	Applicable	BC ratio has been
	more than 20 hectare in bills including		calculated as per forest
	roads, transmission line, minor, medium		Guidelines
	and major irrigation project, hydro		
	projects, ,mining activity, railway lines,		
	location specific installation like micro,		
	wave station, auto repeater centres, TV		
	tower etc.		

Table B: Estimation of cost of forest diversion

I able I	Table B. Estimation of cost of folest diversion			
S.NO	Parameter		REMARK	
1	Ecosystem services losses due to	Applicable	337.760	
	proposed forest diversion			
2	Loss of animal husbandry productivity	Applicable	33.776	
	including loss of fodder			
3	Cost of human resettlement	Not Applicable	0.00	
4	Loss of Public facilities and	Not Applicable	0.00	
	administrative infrastructure (Road,			
	building, school, dispensaries, electric			
	lines railways, etc) on forest land, which			
	would require forest land if these			
	facilities were diverted due to the			
	project.			
5	Possession value of forest land diverted	Applicable	101.328	
6	Cost of suffering to ousters	Not Applicable	0.00	
7	Habitat fragmentation cost	Applicable	168.880	
8	Compernsatory afforestation and soil &	Applicable	506.64	
	moisture conservation cost.			
	Total		1148.384	

TABLE C: Existing Guildelines for estimating benefits of forest-diversion in CBA



S.NO	Parameter		REMARK
1	Increase in productively attribute ro the	Applicable	2533.200
	specific project		
2	Benefit to economy due to specific	Applicable	4.22
	project		
3	No. of population benefits due to	Applicable	1.078
	specific project		
4	Economic benefits due of direct and	Applicable	3.078
	indirect employment due to the project		
5	Economic benefit due to compensatory	Applicable	2533.200
	afforestation		
	Total		5074.776
	Benefit cost Ratio:	5074.776/1148.384 = 4.419	



Cost Benefit Analysis Guidelines for forest land diversion -2017

Table -A: Cases under which a cost-benefit analysis for forest diversion are required

S.NO	NATURE OF PROPOSAL	APPLICABLE /NOT	REMARK
		APPLICABLE	
1	All categories of proposals involving	Not applicable	These proposals may be
	forest land upto 20 hectares in plains		considered on a case to
	upto 5 hetare in hills		case basis and value
			judgment
2	Proposal for defence installation	Not applicable	In view of national
	purposes and oil prospecting		priority accorded to
	(prospecting only)		these sectors, the
			proposals would be
			critically assessed to
			help ascertain that the
			utmost minimum forest
			land is diverted for non
			forest use.
3	Habitation, establishment of industrial	Not applicable	These activities being
	units, tourist lodges complex and other		detrimental to protection
	building construction		and conservation of
			forest, as a matter of
			policy, such proposals
			would be rarely
			entertained
4	All other proposals involving forestland	Applicable	These are cases where a
	more than 20 hectares in plains and		cost benefit analysis is
	more than 5 hectares in hills including		necessary to determine
	roads, transmission lines, minor,		when diverting the forest
	medium and major irrigation project,		land to non-forest use in
	hydro project mining activity, railway		the overall public
	lines, location specific installation like		interest.
	micro wave station, outo repeater		
	centres, TV tower etc.		

Table B: Estimation of cost of forest diversion:

S.NO	Parameters	REMARK
1	Ecosystem services losses due to proposed forest diversion	Economic value of loss of eco-system services due to diversion of forest shall be the net present value (NPV) of the forest land being diverted as prescribed by the central Government (MoEF & CC).  Note: In case of national parks the NPV shall be ten (10) times the normal NPV and in case of wildlife sanctuary the NPV or otherwise prescribed by the ministry or any other competent authority.
2	Loss of animal husbandry productivity including loss of fodder	To be quantified and expressed in monetary terms or 10% of NPV applicable whichever in maximum.
3	Cost of human resettlement	To be quantified and expressed in monitory terms as per approved R&R plan
4	Loss of public facilities and administrative infrastructure (Road, building, school, dispensaries, electric lines, railways, etc) on forest land which would require forest land if these facilities were diverted due to the project.	To be quantified and expressed in monetary terms on actual cost basis at the time of diversion.
5	Possession value of forest land diverted	30% of environmental cost (NPV) due to loss of forest or circle rate of adjoining area in the district should be added as a cost component as possession value of forest land which ever is maximum.
6	Cost of suffering to oustees	The social cost of rehabilitation of oustees (in addition to the cost likely to be incurred in providing residence, occupation and social services as per R&R plan) be worked out as 1.5 times of what oustees should have earned in two years had he not been shifted.
7	Habital Fragmentation cost	While the relationship between fragmentation and forest goods and services is complex, for the sake of simplicity the cost due tofragmentation has been simplicity the cost due to fragmentation bas been pegged at 50 % of NPV applicable as a thumb rule.
	Compensatory afforestation and soil & moisture conservation cost	The actual cost of compensatory afforestation and soil and moisture conservation and its maintenance in future at present discounted value.

Table -C Existing guidelines for estimating benefits of forest-diversion in CBA

S.NO NATURE OF PROPOSA	REMARK	
------------------------	--------	--

1	Increase in productively attribute to	To be quantified & expressed in monetary terms avoiding
	the specific project	double counting
2	Benefit to economy due to the	The incremental exonomic benefit in monetary terms due to the
	specific project	activities attributes to the specific project.
3	No. of population benefited due to	As per the Detailed project report
	specific project	
4	Economic benefits due to of direct	As per the detailed project report
	and indirect employment due to the	
	project	
5	Economic benefits due to	Benefits from such compensatory forestation accruing over next
	compensatory afforestation	50 years monetized and discounted to the present value should
		be included as benefits of compensatory afforestation.
		For benefit of CA the guideline of the Ministry of NPV
		estimation may be consulted.

## Note 1: Net present value (NPV) of environment and ecosystem services loss:

The concept of Net present value of the forest land diverted id as scientific method of calculating the environmental cost and other losses caused due to diversion of forest land for non-forestry purposes. The NPV represents the net value of various ecosystem service and other environmental services in monetary terms which the forest would have provided if the forest would not have been diverted.

## Note -2: Possession value of forest land diverted:

The forest land diverted for the project such as irrigation, hydropower, railways roads, wind, and transmission lines and mining etc are unlikely to be returned and remains in possession of the used agencies. Therefore 30% of the net present value (NPV) of forest land diverted or market rate of adjoining area in the district. should be added as a cost component as "possession value of forest land" in addition to the environmental costs due to loss of forest.