


Site inspection Report

A proposal has been received by this office from Executive Engineer kutch Branch Canal Dn. No. 2/4 Bhachau for permission for diversion for (under FCA-1980) of 0.3855 ha. of forest land for a non forestry purpose i.e. the project is constructing kutch branch Canal on protected forest (road side). The site inspection of the land involved in the proposal has been done by me on date: 04/11/2016 inspection of the site it is found that the land required by the user agency is a P.F. and measuring 0.3855 ha. The requirement of Forest land as proposed by the user agency in col.2 of part-1 is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. No protected archaeological/heritage site/Defence establishment or any other important monuments is located in the area. No rare/endangered/unique species of flora and fauna are found in the area.

1. Demarcation of the area with temporary cairns etc. :-- Road Side P.F. area Declared wide Gazette Notification page No. 20-A 15th March 1973
2. Any signs of encroachment. :-- No, Encroachment was Reported.
3. Any activity already taken up within the forest land or adjoining non-forest land as part of the proposed project by the user agency. Details of action taken against the User Agency in case of Violation of the FC Act and Guidelines there under. :-- No
4. In case of revenue forest land, nature of vegetation and density may be given. :-- Not Applicable.
5. Any displacement of persons proposed. :-- No Displacement of persons is found.
6. Criteria adopted by the DFO for ascertaining suitability of the non-forest land for CA. :-- Not Applicable.
7. Distance of the non-forest land for CA from the nearest forest area. Number of patches in case the area is not compact. if in the degraded forest land then what is the current working plan prescription for the area ? :-- Not Applicable.
8. In case of renewal of mining whether all the conditions stipulated in the FC/EC have been complied with. :-- Not Applicable.
9. Whether the DFO agrees with the declaration of the User Agency that the forest land proposed for diversion is barest minimum ? :-- YES
10. Any other issue of significance. :-- No
11. Specific recommendations of the DFO :-- The proposal is being recommended on the grounds of developement activity and area being diverted is very Small.

Place: Bhuj

Date: 4/11/2016


(Dr. B. Suchindra)

Dy. Conservator of Forest
Extn. Division- Bhuj Kutch