

SITE INSPECTION REPORT

Sr. No.	Items		Observation and remarks
1.	Name of the Project and location (Range, Round, Beat)		Permission for laying 1500 mm dia. M.S. pipeline along NH-4B in the ROW of CIDCO land from Wadghar village to Kalamboli Junction in village Panvel and Wadghar, Tal. Panvel, District Raigad.
	Location i)	Division	: Alibag Forest Division.
	ii)	Range	: Panvel
	iii)	Round	: Panvel
	iv)	S.No.	: Mangrove Forest of village Panvel S.No. 645pt., 487pt., 488pt., 789pt., 654pt., 531pt. and village Wadghar S.No. 137pt., 159pt., 134pt., 133pt., 132pt., Tal. Panvel
2.	Name of the User Agency		: Executive Engineer (Hetavane), CIDCO, Navi Mumbai.
3.	Date of site inspection		: 15/07/2017 and 26/07/2017.
4.	Extent (ha) and legal status of forest land proposed for diversion		: 0.4005 ha. Mangrove forest land
5.	a) Details of forest land proposed for diversion and activity-wise break-up of forest land		: Detailed area statement attached on Pg. No.
	b) Density of the forest		: The density is below 0.4
6.	Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the user Agency in col.2 of Part-I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no, recommended area item-wise with details of alternative examined		: Yes. Required forestland is barest minimum and unavoidable.
7.	Whether the proposal involves any construction of building (including residential) or not? If yes, details thereof		: No.
8.	a.	Whether forest area proposed for diversion is important form wildlife point of view or not	: No.

	b.	Details of any rare or endangered or unique species of flora and fauna found in proposed forest land. If so, the details thereof.	:	Nil.
	c.	Aerial distance from the nearest boundary of any Protected Area (km)	:	The Project area is beyond 10 Km. from Karnala Bird Sanctuary and this project area does not falls in Karnala Bird Sanctuary Eco-Sensitive Zone.
	d.	Remarks about sensitivity of the forest area likely to be affected due to project.	:	There is no adverse effect on the wildlife and birds in the sanctuary or Eco-Sensitive Zone.
	e.	Whether wildlife mitigation plan is required? If, yes, reason therefore	:	No.
9.		Details of vegetation		
	a.	Total number of trees to be felled:	:	Mangrove plants are to be felled for laying of water pipeline. Mangorve Bushes
	b.	Number of trees to be felled of girth below 60 cm:	:	Mangorve Bushes
	c.	Number of trees to be felled of girth above 60 cm:	:	Nil.
	d.	Effect of removal of trees on the general ecosystem in the area	:	Nil.
10.		Background note on the proposal (short summary)	:	Project summary attached on Page No.
11.		Whether the proposal involves any violation of Forest (Conservation) Act 1980? If yes, a detailed report on violation including action taken against the concerned officials to be attached	:	Though no work has been started by the agency for the purpose of laying out of the pipeline, in the stretch of 555 meter on the other side of the creek no mangrove vegetation exists and the land has been filled up. The agency representative told that the filling up of adjoining land area has been done by CIDCO for the purpose of rehabilitation of the people displaced in the Navi Mumabi Airport project.

12.	Whether the proposal involves rehabilitation of displaced person. If yes, whether rehabilitation plan has been approved by the State Government?	:	No.
13.	Details on catchments and cultivable command area under the project (if applicable)	:	Nil
	Status of catchments area treatment plan to prevent siltation of reservoir (if applicable)	:	Nil
14.	Utility of the Project	:	This project for laying drinking water pipeline. This help to develop basic necessity i.e. drinking water to increasing population of Navi Mumbai. This project is for infrastructure development and public use.
15.	Whether land being diverted has any socio-cultural / religious values? Whether any sacred grove or very old growth trees/forests exist in the areas proposed for diversion	:	No.
16.	Any other important information related to the project (Separate note may be attached, if required)	:	No.
17.	Details of documents and photographs enclosed:	:	Photographs enclosed on Page No.
18.	Recommendations of the Inspecting Officer (CCF/CF/DCF)	:	This project is for infrastructure development and public use and which required less than 1.00 ha. forest land. Project proponent is ready to bear all the Government levies hence the proposal is being recommended

Date : 8/08/2017.
Place : Alibag


 (Maneesh Kumar)
 Deputy Conservator of Forests,
 Alibag.
Deputy Conservator Forests
Alibag