SITE INSPECTION REPORT

Prescribed vide PCCF (HoFF) No. Desk-17-4/1445, Dated 15/11/2015

Sr. No.	Items		Observations and remarks		
1.	Name of the Project and location (Range, Round, Beat)	Construction of approach road by Shree Nakoda Bhairav Darshan Dham in Village Dhekale, Taluka Palghar, District Palghar, Maharashtra. Range: Dahisar, Round: Ganja, Beat: Dhekale.			
2.	Name of the User Agency	Shree Nakoda Bhairav Darshan Dham (Trust)  24 /05 /2017  Protected Forest Survey No. 101 (W34) : 0.01.20 ha. 101 : 0.04.80 ha.  Total : 0.06.00 ha.			
3.	Date of Site inspection				
4.	Extent (ha) and legal status of forest land proposed for diversion				
5:	a) Details of forest land proposed for	Activity	Survey No.	Legal Status	Area in ha.
5.	diversion and activity-wise break-up of	Construction of	101 (W34)	P.F.	0.01.20
		approach road	101	P.F.	0.04.80
	forest land	* 1	1.	Total	0.06.00
		Density : Below 0.4  Eco-value class : I			
	b) Density and Eco-value class				
6.	Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the User Agency in	Yes			
	Col.2 of Part-1 is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no				
	recommended area item-wise with				
	details of alternative examined			No.	
7.	Whether the proposal involves any		No		
	construction of building (including		· i		
	residential) or not? If yes, details	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *			
	thereof				
8.	a) Whether forest area proposed for	No			
	diversion is important from wildlife				
	point of view or not.	No rare or endangered or unique species of flora and fauna			
	b) Details of any rare or endangered or				
	unique species of flora and fauna	found in proposed Forest land. However list of flora and fau			
	found in proposed forest land. If so,	found in adjoining forest area is enclosed herewith.			
	the details thereof.				

29

	c) Aerial distance from the nearest	5.00 KM & above		
	boundary of any Protected Area (km)			
	d) Remarks about sensitivity of the	Sensitivity of forest area is not likely to be affected due to project.		
	forest area likely to be effected due to			
	project:	No		
	e) Whether wildlife mitigation plan is	110		
	required? If yes, reasons therefor.			
9.	Details of vegetation;			
	a) Total number of trees to be felled:	No Tree felling		
	b) Number of trees to be felled of girth			
	below 60 cm.	Nil		
	c) Number of trees to be felled of girth	Nil		
	above 60 cm.	NII		
	d) Effect of removal of trees on the general ecosystem in the area.	Nil		
10.	Background note on the proposal (short summary)	User Agency has purchased five private survey numbers to establish philanthropic campus for convenience of members of their community in particular and other public in general. As per statement given by Project Authority, it has inadvertently constructed approach road through Protected Forest and violated the FCA due to misinformation given by sellers of private survey numbers. Since User Agency has no other approach, it is seeking regularization of that violation and approval under section 2 of FCA,1980.		
11.	Whether the proposal involves any violation of Forest (Conservation) Act 1980? If yes, a detailed report on violation including action taken against the concerned officials to be attached	Rs.45,000/- is recovered from Project Authority.  Work is stopped forthwith.		
12.	Whether the proposal involves rehabilitation of displaced person. If yes, whether rehabilitation plan has been approved by the State Government?	rehabilitation plan required.		
13.	Details on catchment and culturable command area under the project (if applicable) Status of catchment area treatment plan to prevent siltation of reservoir (if applicable)			

ersabilita leng in

14.	Utility of the project	The approach road will be utilized by the Project Authority for enjoyment of their private property.
15.	Whether land being diverted has any socio-cultural/religious value? Whether any scared grove or very old growth trees/forest exist in the areas proposed for diversion?  Any other important information	No No
17.	related to the project (Separate note may be attached, if required)  Details of documents and photographs enclosed:	Enclosed on page No. to
18.	Recommendation of the Inspecting Officer.	The violation is done by the Project Authority. The offence case is booked against the Project Authority. Project Authority has submitted the proposal for regularization of violation and permission under section 2 of FCA,1980. Recommended for regularization of violation and approval under section 2 of FCA, 1980 with appropriate action may dim fit.

Place: Dahanu

Date:

(N.S. Ladkat)

Deputy Conservator of Forests, Dahanu Forest division, Dahanu