## Site Inspection Report

A proposal has been received by this office from Exce. Eng. K.B.C. Dn. No1/7 RadhanPur (under FCA) of 8.0224 Ha, of forest land for a non forestry purpose. The Project envisages the use of forest land of (village Fangali, Aluvas, patanka, madhutra, chansra) (R.F.) The side Inspection of the land involved in the proposal has been done by me on Dt. 12/ 1/2017, inspection of the side it is found that the land require by the user agency is a R.F. 8.0224 Ha. The requirement of forest land as proposed by the agency in col-2 of part-1 is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. No protected Archaeological/Heritage site? Defense establishment of any other important monuments is located in the area. No rare/endangered/unique spices of flora and fauna are found in the area.

- Demarcation of the area with temporary calms etc.- NO 1.
- Any signs of engroachment, NO 2
- Any activity already taken up within the forest land or adjoining non-forest land as part of 3. the proposed project by the user agency. Details of action taken against the User Agency in case of Violation of the FC Act and Guidelines there under. - No.
- In case of revenue forest land, nature of vegetation and density may be given. N.A. 4.
- Any displacement of persons proposed, NO 5.
- Criteria adopted by the DFO for ascertaining suitability of the non-forest land for CA. = N.A. 8.
- Distance of the non-forest land for CA from the nearest forest area. Number of patches in 7. case the area is not compact. If in the degraded forest land then what is the current working plan prescription for the area? - NA
- In case of renewal of mining whether all the conditions stipulated in the FO/EO have been B. complied with, - N.A.
- Whether the DFO agrees with the declaration of the User Agency that the forest land 9. proposed for diversion is barest minimum? - YES
- Any other issue of significance. NO 10.
- Specific recommendations of the DFO, it is recommended to divert this land for the purpose. 11.

Place: PATAN Date : (2/ 1/2017.

> (R.A.Darcellva) Deputy Conservator of Forests Patan Forest Division, Patan.

much.

I heve inspected the project in Length on ...... and recommend for diverting the land requested in the proposal for the purpose.

Chief Conservator of Forests

N.B. State the purpose for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted.

Out of (a) and (b) tick ( ) the option which is applicable and cross (x) the option which is not applicable.

As per letter No.2-2/2000-FC, Dt.16/10/2000 from Ministry of Environment and Forest, Govt. of India, for proposals involving less than 40 Ha. Of forest land. The site inspection report from DYCF is required and for proposal involving more than 40 ha. Of Forest land Site inspection report from the conservator of forest is required.