संयुक्त स्थल निरीक्षण रिपोर्ट

दमाह जिले में प्रस्तावित सतधारू माध्यम सिंचाई परियोजना के लिए वनभूमि के व्यपवर्तन हेतु 789.93 हे. वन भूमि की आवश्यकता हेतु आवेदित वनभूमि का दिनांक 23.10.2017 को संयुक्त स्थल निरीक्षण किया गया, निरीक्षण उपरांत चेकलिस्ट अनुसार निरीक्षण प्रतिवेदन निम्नानुसार है

SI. No.	Pariticular		Inspection Report by DFO (T.)	
1,0.	Extent is hectares.	Sr.no.	Comp. No.	Area(h.)
1		1	RF 241	15.41
		2	RF 240	32.02
		3	RF 238	85.08
		4	RF 124	112.57
		5	RF 122	233.39
		6	RF 123	94.08
		7	RF 113	1.72
		8	RF 121	13.28
		Total RF 587.5		587.55
		9	PF 235	1.31
		10	PF 237	37.80
		11	PF 236	74.52
		12	PF 233	1.35
		13	PF 234	83.20
		14	PF 232	4.20
			Total PF	202.38
			Grand Total	789.93
2	Location (lat-long) of the forest land proposed for diversion.	According to attached list		
3	Legal status of the forest land (Protected forest, reserved forests, revenue forest		DE (507 551) 6 DE (202 201)	
	lands or any other forest land)	RF (587.55 h.) & PF (202.38 h.)		
4	Demarcation of the area with temporary cairns etc.	Yes		
5	Any signs of encroachment.	No		
6	Any activity already taken up within the forest land or adjoining non-forest land			
	as part of the proposed project by the user agency. Details of action taken against	No		
	the User Agency in case of violation of the FC Act and guidelines there under.			
7	Status of vegetation. Site quality, species composition etc.	Site Quality -VB, Density 0.1 to 0.4 Composition – Mixed forest.		
8	Importance of area from wildlife point of view. Status of wildlife (density and	Area has no importance from wildlife point of view.		
	abundance of important species, bird life reptiles, butterflies and other scheduled			
	animals, any endangered wildlife) Any latest census of wildlife in this area.			
9	Endemism of flora/fauna or any other unique ecosystem in the area.	Nat analiaskia		
		Not applicable.		
10	Current land use. Is this area managed as per prescriptions in the Working Plan and, if not, why?		Yes, RDF	
11	Importance of the area from historical or religious point of view.	Area has no im	nnortance from historical or religious point	of view
12	Any dependent persons/families on the land.	Area has no importance from historical or religious point of view. No persons/Families are dependent on the proposed area.		
13	Any displacement of persons proposed	No displacement proposed.		
14	Is there any Rehabilitation and Resettlement Plan for the persons to be affected?	As no persons/families are dependent on the proposed area, there		rea therefore n
	Is there any dissenting voice among the persons to be affected? Is there any	그 사람들은 경기를 가는 사람들이 가는 것이 없는 사람들이 있다면 가장 그렇게 하는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이다.		
		resettlement and rehabilitation plan is applicable and there is no dissenting		
	dissenting voice among the persons proposed to be displaced?	voice.		
15	Compensatory a forestation proposed is on forest land or non-forest land.	Compensatory a forestation is being prepared on Non forest land (Revenue		
	Location of the area, suitability of the area for CA. If in the degraded forest land	land). Area is suitable for CA and CA scheme is preparing in 12 Patches .		
	then what is the current Working Plan prescription for the area ? Distance of the	and CA Scheme Prepared on Degraded Forest Land in 17 Patches.		
	non-forest land for CA from the nearest forest area. Number of patches in case			
	the area should be more than kms.			
16	Proposed area should not be part of any protected area. Also distance from the	Proposed area	is not a part of protected area. Proposed a	rea is at 55 km
- 71			rgavati Wild life Sanctuary, at 4.5 kms	

17	Dependence of tribals in the area. Whether the rights of the tribals have been recognized in the area.	There are no tribals residing on
18	Utility of the project, including the people living in close vicinity of the project.	the proposed area. Project is of great importance from national point of view and also for development of agricultural and dirking water including generation of employment to those living in close vicinity of the project.
19	In case of renewal whether all the conditions stipulated in the earlier sanction order have been complied with.	It is an application for allocation of fresh forest land.
20	Alternatives examined by the user agency in case of non-site specific projects.	Yes, alternatives are examined and proposed forest land is minimum and inevitable.
21	A certificate by the user agency that the forest land requested for diversion for non-forestry purpose is bare minimum.	Certificate is obtained from the user agency.
22	Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuring that the purpose for which the forest land is being diverted is also not adversely affected.	No
23	Any other issue of significance.	No
24	Specific recommendations of the DFO with reasons for approval of the project.	Since Satdharu irrigation Scheme is a project of national importance and it will provide water for irrigation of agricultural and Dirking water Therefore it is recommended for approval of diversion of 789.93 h. of forest land for construction of aforesaid project.

Divisional Forest Officer Damoh(T)