

Site Inspection Report

Date of Inspection –

Presence – Forest officials

- (1) Sri Sourav Chandra, DFO, Dhanbad.
- (2) Sri Sashi Bhusan Pd. Gupta, RFO, Tundi Range.
- (3) Sri Rajendra Prasad, BO, Maharajganj Beat, Tundi Range.

User agency officials

- (1) Sri Prabhat Kumar, DGM, RCD.

On the aforesaid date, the area was visited. The total forest land proposed for diversion is 14.92 ha. The proposal includes following mouzas with respective area for diversion.


| Sl. NO. | Mauza | Plot No. | Area in Acre |
|-----------------|--------------|----------|------------------------|
| 1. | Sundarpahari | 864(P) | 0.18 |
| | | 1193(P) | 0.45 |
| | | 1076(P) | 0.04 |
| 2. | Pandugri | 459(P) | 8.24 |
| 3. | Durgadih | 765 | 0.63 |
| | | 766 | 0.17 |
| | | 767 | 0.04 |
| | | 768 | 0.04 |
| | | 771 | 0.24 |
| | | 234 | 4.37 |
| 4. | Lodhariya | 1475(P) | 0.28 |
| | | 1476(P) | 0.37 |
| | | 1477(P) | 1.07 |
| 5. | Mahatodih | 271(P) | 0.18 |
| 6. | Gatditundi | 890 | 2.45 |
| 7. | Sagbehari | 119(P) | 0.60 |
| | | 150 | 0.05 |
| | | 180 | 1.70 |
| 8. | Kammalpur | 261 | 2.03 |
| | | 272 | 1.02 |
| 9. | Lochnasar | 2 | 0.58 |
| 10. | Rajnagar | 10 | 0.76 |
| 11. | Bhangnahir | 11 | 0.25 |
| | | 19 | 0.20 |
| | | 22 | 0.18 |
| | | 30 | 0.50 |
| 12. | Kolhar | 511(P) | 1.82 |
| | | 1669 | 1.09 |
| 13. | Karitand | 2 | 1.40 |
| 14. | Jiyajori | 222(P) | 3.72 |
| 15. | Lakrakhundi | 489 | 0.45 |
| 16. | Dhadhkitand | 25(P) | 0.15 |
| 17. | Prattapur | 111 | 1.61 |
| Total :- | | | 36.86 (in Acre) |
| Total :- | | | 14.92 (in Ha.) |

S. Chandra
 Divisional Forest Officer
 Dhanbad

All along the stretch of road, a wide variety of tree sp. were found. It consisted of mainly Sal, *Acacia* sp, Date palm, Dhela, Mango, Pipal, Shisham, Ber, Semal, Banyan etc. At places, the undergrowth was heavy with mainly *Cassia* sp., *Lantana camara*, *Ipomea* sp. etc. The crop density for this linear forest diversion proposal was 0.4. The marking on trees was complete. A random sampling of trees at DBH was done and it was checked with marking list.

The almost entire area was gently sloping with some portion being moderately affected by erosion. Hence, the scheme containing soil and moisture conservation activities should be applied for better management of the structure of the forest. Although as such no rare/endangered/unique sp. of flora and fauna was found, however the adjoining areas of the proposed forest area for diversion has been visited by elephant herds over the years. The forest area also did not have any archaeological/heritage site/ defense establishment etc.

The proposed diversion is mainly for road widening of the existing road. As such no realignment of the same was not possible while the alternatives to the present road would have encompassed more forest area with larger number of trees to be felled. Also, the road passes through tribal dominated area. Once widened, the proposed road will lead to greater goods and traffic movement and will be instrumental to rapid development. Considering all the aforementioned details, it was found that the forest area proposed for diversion is unavoidable and barest minimum of the project.


Divisional Forest Officer,
Dhanbad.


Divisional Forest Officer
Dhanbad

